

EF

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, *et al.*,)

Defendants.)

Civil Action No. 05 C 5442

Judge Moran

Magistrate Denlow

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
**FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION
AGAINST ALL CORPORATE DEFENDANTS**

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "the Commission"), commenced this action by filing its Complaint, followed by a First Amended Complaint, for injunctive and other equitable relief pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("Telemarketing Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101, *et seq.*, charging that the defendants engaged in deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Telemarketing Sales Rule," 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

On February 17, 2006, the Court granted the FTC's Motion for Default Judgment as to All Corporate Defendants, including: Defendants Centurion Financial Benefits LLC, 1629936 Ontario Ltd., 1644738 Ontario Ltd., 1466826 Ontario Ltd., 1571816 Ontario Ltd., 1636286 Ontario Ltd., 1648534 Ontario Ltd., 1652242 Ontario Ltd., 1656324 Ontario Ltd., 6347738

Canada Inc., American Getaway Vacations Inc., Credence Travel Processing Inc, and Topstar Media Inc. (“Defendants” or “Corporate Defendants”).

The Commission now seeks the entry of a final judgment against all Corporate Defendants pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 55(b)(2). Having considered the memorandum and exhibits filed in support of the Commission’s motion and the entire record in this matter, and now being fully advised in the premises, the Court hereby grants the Commission’s motion for judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

FINDINGS

1. This is an action by the Commission instituted under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101, *et seq.*, and the FTC’s Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310. Pursuant to these statutes and regulations, the Commission has the authority to seek the relief contained herein.

2. The Commission’s First Amended Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against the Corporate Defendants under Sections 5, 13(b), and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45, 53(b) and 57b, the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101, *et seq.*, and the FTC’s Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case and all parties hereto.

4. Venue in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois is proper under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c), and (d).

5. The alleged activities of the Corporate Defendants are in or affecting commerce,

as defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

6. The Corporate Defendants were properly served with process in this matter.

7. On February 17, 2006, the Court entered an order of default pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55 against all Corporate Defendants for their failure to answer the FTC's First Amended Complaint.

8. The factual allegations in the Commission's First Amended Complaint are taken as true against the Corporate Defendants. Those allegations and the evidence supporting them establish that Defendants violated Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a) and Sections 310.3(a)(2)(iii), 310.4(a)(4), 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B), and 310.8 of the Telemarketing Sales Rule, 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

9. The Corporate Defendants are likely to continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in the First Amended Complaint unless they are permanently enjoined from such acts and practices.

10. The Commission is entitled to equitable monetary relief against Defendants in the amount of \$9,894,514 (USD), for which Corporate Defendants are jointly and severally liable.

11. This Order is in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other civil or criminal remedies that may be provided by law.

12. Entry of this Order is in the public interest.

13. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d), the provisions of this Order are binding upon Corporate Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, corporations, successors and assigns, and upon those persons or entities in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise.

