

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)	
)	Civil Action No.: 06-0815-KD-B
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
ROUTE WIZARD, Inc., et. al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**PROPOSED STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER
FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
AS TO DEFENDANTS ROUTE WIZARD, INC.; LIBERTY ROUTES, INC.; READY
ROUTES, INC.; ROUTECRAFTERS, INC.; CASH ROUTES, INC.; NOVASTAR
VENDING, INC.; ALLIANCE LOCATING CO., INC.; AND RICHARD D. NORCROSS,
AND RELIEF DEFENDANT SASIKANT L. NORCROSS**

This matter comes before this Court on the stipulation of Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") and Defendants Route Wizard, Inc.; Liberty Routes, Inc.; Ready Routes, Inc.; RouteCrafters, Inc.; Ca\$h Route\$, Inc.; NovaStar Vending, Inc.; Alliance Locating Co., Inc.; and Richard D. Norcross, and Relief Defendant Sasikant L. Norcross. On November 28, 2006, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") filed a Complaint against Defendants Route Wizard, Inc.; Liberty Routes, Inc.; Ready Routes, Inc.; RouteCrafters, Inc.; Ca\$h Route\$, Inc.; NovaStar Vending, Inc.; Alliance Locating Co., Inc.; Harvey Frank Milner; Richard M. Norcross; and Richard D. Norcross; and Relief Defendants Sasikant L. Norcross; Summer L. Norcross; and Janice Wood-Milner, alleging violations of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act") and the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule titled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and

Business Opportunity Ventures” (the “Franchise Rule”), 16 C.F.R. Part 436, in connection with the marketing of candy vending machine business ventures. The Commission’s Complaint seeks a permanent injunction and other equitable relief in this matter, pursuant to section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). At the same time the Commission sought, and on November 30, 2006, this Court granted *ex parte*, with modifications, the Commission’s motion for a temporary restraining order and for an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be granted pursuant to Rule 65(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Fed. R. Civ. P. 65. (Doc. 70).

On December 19, 2006, this Court held a preliminary injunction hearing in which Defendant Richard D. Norcross and Relief Defendant Sasikant L. Norcross appeared and testified. On December 28, 2006, this Court entered the Preliminary Injunction Order against Defendant Richard D. Norcross; Route Wizard, Inc.; Liberty Routes, Inc.; Ready Routes, Inc.; RouteCrafters, Inc.; Ca\$h Route\$, Inc.; NovaStar Vending, Inc.; Alliance Locating Co., Inc. (“Defendants”); and Relief Defendant Sasikant L. Norcross (“Relief Defendant”) in the form of the Proposed Amended Preliminary Injunction Order With Asset Freeze and Other Equitable Relief submitted by the FTC. (Doc. 92).

Having considered the Complaint, memorandum of law and exhibits filed by the Commission, and with the consent of Defendants and Relief Defendant, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED** and **DECREED**:

FINDINGS

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case and the parties hereto.

2. Venue is proper as to Defendants and Relief Defendant in the Southern District of Alabama under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b).
3. The activities of Defendants and Relief Defendant are in or affecting commerce, as defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.
4. The Complaint alleges claims upon which relief may be granted against Defendants and Relief Defendant under Sections 5(a)(1), 13(b), and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a)(1), 53(b), and 57b, and under the Franchise Rule.
5. The Federal Trade Commission, Defendants, and Relief Defendant stipulate and agree to this Final Order to settle and resolve all matters in dispute between them arising from the Complaint to the date of entry of this Final Order.
6. Defendants and Relief Defendant have waived all rights that may arise under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, *amended by* Pub. L. 104-121, 110 Stat. 847, 863-64 (1996).
7. Defendants and Relief Defendant acknowledge that they have read the provisions of this Final Order and have agreed to abide by them.
8. Defendants and Relief Defendant waive all rights to seek appellate review or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Final Order.
9. Defendants and Relief Defendant waive and release any claim they may have against the FTC and its employees, representatives or agents.
10. Entry of this Final Order is in the public interest.