## Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court (202) 479-3011

January 7, 2021

Mr. E. Joshua Rosenkranz, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 51 West 52<sup>nd</sup> Street New York, New York 10019

Ms. Jane Elinor Notz, Esq. Office of the Attorney General State of Illinois 100 West Randolph Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

Re: DISH Network L. L. C. v. United States, et al.,

No. 20-743

Dear Counsel:

Attached are certified copies of the motion to dismiss the petition for writ of certiorari, filed on December 8, 2020, and the order of dismissal pursuant to Rule 46 of the Rules of this Court.

Sincerely,

SCOTT S. HARRIS, Clerk

Herve' Bocage Assistant Clerk

Enc. cc:

All counsel of record Clerk, USCA for the Seventh Circuit (Your docket No. 17-3111)

## Supreme Court of the United States

No. 20-743

## DISH NETWORK L. L. C.,

Petitioner

v.

## UNITED STATES, ET AL.

(7 Jan. 2021 – OT 2020). The foregoing motion to dismiss the petition for writ of certiorari having been received by the Office of the Clerk, and no fees due the Clerk, the petition for writ of certiorari is now hereby dismissed pursuant to Rule 46 of the Rules of this Court.

(Seal)

SCOTT S. HARRIS

Clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States

By:

Danny Bickell Deputy Clerk



ipreme Court of the United States





Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 51 West 52nd Street New York, NY 10019-6142 +1 212 506 5000 orrick.com

E. Joshua Rosenkranz

E jrosenkranz@orrick.com D +1 212 506 5380 F +1 212 506 5151

December 8, 2020

Mr. Scott Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: DISH Network L.L.C. v. United States, et al., No. 20-743

Dear Mr. Harris:

We are writing on behalf of petitioners and respondents to jointly request that the petition for a writ of certiorari in this case be dismissed pursuant to this Court's Rule 46.1.

On December 4, 2020, the U.S. District Court for the Central District of Illinois approved a settlement in the underlying litigation. In light of that development, the petition is most and should be dismissed. Each party has agreed to pay its own costs.

Respectfully,

/s/ E. Joshua Rosenkranz
E. Joshua Rosenkranz
Counsel of Record for Petitioners

/s/ Jeffrey B. Wall

Jeffrey B. Wall, Acting Solicitor General Counsel of Record for Respondent the United States

JAN - 6 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.



Mr. Scott Harris December 8, 2020 Page 2

/s/ Michael J. Mongan

Michael J. Mongan, Solicitor General of California

/s/ Jane Elinor Notz

Jane Elinor Notz, Solicitor General of Illinois

/s/ Kevin Anderson

Kevin Anderson, Senior Deputy Attorney General, North Carolina Department of Justice

/s/ Benjamin M. Flowers

Benjamin M. Flowers, Solicitor General of Ohio