

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

_____)	
In the Matter of)	
Intuit, Inc.,)	
a corporation,)	Docket No. 9408
Respondent.)	
_____)	

**ORDER ON RESPONDENT’S SECOND
MOTION FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT**

By Order issued March 1, 2023, the motion for *in camera* treatment filed by Respondent Intuit, Inc. (“Respondent”) on February 10, 2023 was granted in part and denied in part without prejudice (“March 1 Order”). After setting forth the standards by which motions for *in camera* treatment are evaluated, the March 1 Order determined that many of the documents for which Respondent sought *in camera* treatment do not meet the standards for *in camera* treatment and that Respondent’s designations of vast portions of transcripts from investigational hearings and depositions were overbroad and included testimony that does not meet the criteria for *in camera* treatment. The March 1 Order directed Respondent to thoroughly review all documents for which it seeks *in camera* treatment and to narrow its requests to only those documents that comply with the Commission’s strict standards for *in camera* treatment.

Respondent filed a Second Motion for *In Camera* Treatment on March 15, 2023. Respondent states that it has undertaken a comprehensive re-review of the 316 exhibits that were not previously granted *in camera* status and, based on that assessment, has withdrawn its request for *in camera* treatment for 153 of 316 documents included in the prior motion. Respondent also states that, based on its re-review, it now proposes tailored redactions for certain documents that it previously sought to be withheld in full. In addition to the documents included in Respondent’s first motion for *in camera* treatment, Respondent seeks *in camera* treatment for 44 of the 459 documents that have been designated by the parties as exhibits since its previous motion.

Upon review of the motion, declaration, and exhibits, Respondent has met the standards required for *in camera* treatment. Therefore, Respondent’s Second Motion for *In Camera* Treatment is GRANTED. *In camera* treatment is granted to the hearing exhibits identified in Attachment A to Respondent’s Second Motion for *In Camera* Treatment to the extent and for the durations indicated in that exhibit. For exhibits where Respondent has sought *in camera* treatment for a period of five years, the expiration date is April 1, 2028. For exhibits where Respondent has sought *in camera* treatment for a period of ten years, the expiration date is April 1, 2033.

The parties are permitted to elicit testimony on the public record that includes references to, or general statements derived from, the content of information that has been granted *in camera* treatment. 16 C.F.R. § 3.45. However, any testimony revealing the confidential information from documents that have been granted *in camera* treatment shall only be provided in an *in camera* session of trial. Counsel shall segregate their questions of witnesses in such a manner that all questions on *in camera* materials will, to the extent practicable, be grouped together and elicited in one *in camera* session during the examination of a witness.

ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: March 21, 2023