

December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0002

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0003

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0005

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0006

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0007

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0008

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0010

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0011

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0012

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0013

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0015

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0016

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0018

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0019

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0020

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0028

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0029

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Commenter Anonymous 0033

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

D S State of Pennsylvania

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter D S:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

G. Dee State of California

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter Dee:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Eva Galperin Director of Cybersecurity Electronic Frontier Foundation 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Ms. Galperin:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

John Davisson Senior Counsel Electronic Privacy Information Center 1519 New Hampshire Avenue NW Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Mr. Davisson:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Mark Everett State of Texas

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Mr. Everett:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Andrew Graham Territory of Puerto Rico

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Mr. Graham:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Erica Olsen Assistant Vice President National Network to End Domestic Violence 1325 Massachusetts Avenue NW 7<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, D.C. 20005-4188

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Ms. Olsen:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Frankie Rodriguez State of Florida

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Commenter Rodriguez:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.



December 8, 2025

Scott Zuckerman Territory of Puerto Rico

Re: In the Matter of Support King, LLC (SpyFone.com), FTC Docket No. C-4756

Dear Mr. Zuckerman:

Thank you for your comment regarding Respondent Scott Zuckerman's Petition to Reopen and Vacate or Modify FTC Consent Order in this matter ("Respondent's Petition"). The Commission has placed your comment on the public record. The Commission has given it serious consideration in connection with its decision concerning whether to grant Respondent's Petition.

Having considered all the facts of this case and the comments submitted in response to Respondent's Petition, the Commission has determined that the public interest would best be served by denying the Petition, because the arguments raised by it do not show that the Order should be reopened and vacated or modified. Respondent's Petition and the Commission's Order Denying the Petition are available from the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.ftc.gov">http://www.ftc.gov</a>.

It helps the Commission's analysis to hear from a variety of sources in its work, and we thank you again for your comment.

By direction of the Commission.