Standards for Safeguarding Customer Information

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule; delay of effective date.


DATES: The effective date for portions of the final rule published December 9, 2021, at 86 FR 70272, 70307, is delayed from December 9, 2022, until June 9, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Final Rule and Delay of Effective Date

On December 9, 2021, the Federal Trade Commission (Commission) amended the Safeguards Rule, 16 CFR Part 314. While portions of the amended Rule became effective on January 10, 2022, certain provisions were originally to become effective December 9, 2022. 16 CFR 314.5.
The Commission is aware that there is a reported shortage of qualified personnel to implement information security programs and that supply chain issues may lead to delays in obtaining necessary equipment for upgrading security systems.\(^1\) In addition, these difficulties were exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic that has been active as financial institutions have attempted to come into compliance with the amended Safeguards Rule. These issues may make it difficult for financial institutions, especially small ones, to come into compliance with the amended Safeguards Rule by December 9, 2022. Accordingly, the Commission is delaying the effective date of those portions of the Safeguards Rule that were to go into effect on December 9, 2022, until June 9, 2023.\(^2\)

**II. Administrative Procedure Act**

The Commission is issuing the final rule without prior notice and the opportunity for public comment and, as explained below, without the delayed effective date ordinarily prescribed by the Administrative Procedure Act (APA).\(^3\) Pursuant to section 553(b)(3)(B) of the APA, general notice and the opportunity for public comment are not required with respect to a rulemaking when an “agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the

---


\(^2\) The Safeguards Rule’s ongoing rulemaking was included in the Commission’s Spring 2022 Regulatory Agenda, but that Agenda did not contemplate this final rule extending the effective date of parts of the final rule issued on December 9, 2021. See Fed. Trade Comm’n, Standards for Safeguarding Consumer Information, https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaViewRule?pubId=202204&RIN=3084-AB35. Pursuant to Section 22(d)(4) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 57-b3(d)(4), this Rule was not included in the Commission’s Spring 2022 Regulatory Agenda because the Commission first considered this final rule and the reasons supporting it after its approval of the Agenda.

\(^3\) 5 U.S.C. 553.
finding and a brief statement of reasons therefor in the rules issued) that notice and public
procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” 4

The Commission believes that the public interest is best served by revising 16
CFR 314.5 to delay the effective date of certain portions of the Safeguards Rule and by
making such revision effective immediately upon publication in the Federal Register. As
noted above, the COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted economic activity in the United
States. This has exacerbated a reported shortage of qualified information security
personnel and supply chain issues that can lead to delays involving equipment necessary
to upgrade information security systems. Delaying the effective date of these portions of
the amended Safeguards Rule will allow financial institutions additional time to
effectively and efficiently bring their information security programs into compliance with
the Rule. 5 For these reasons, the Commission finds that there is good cause consistent
with the public interest to issue the rule without advance notice and comment. 6

The APA also requires a 30-day delayed effective date, except for “(1)
substantive rules which grant or recognize an exemption or relieve a restriction; (2)
interpretative rules and statements of policy; or (3) as otherwise provided by the agency
for good cause.” 7 As noted above, the Commission finds that there is good cause to
revise the effective date of the portions of the Safeguards Rule that were previously
designated to go into effect on December 9, 2022, immediately. 8 The Commission
recognizes that, while this rule revision goes into effect immediately, the result of the

4 Id. 553(b)(3)(B).
5 The revised deadline should also go into effect as soon as possible because the original deadline in
December 2022 is imminent.
7 Id. 553(d).
8 See id. 553(d)(3).
revision is to give regulated parties additional time to come into compliance, so they would not be prejudiced if the change goes into effect immediately. Furthermore, the delay of an effective date of a substantive rule requirement is a “substantive rule[]” that “relieve[s] a restriction” for a period of time, which makes it eligible to take effect without the ordinary wait of 30 days.9

III. Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. The Commission has reviewed this final rule pursuant to authority delegated by the OMB and has determined that it does not contain any collections of information pursuant to the PRA.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act and Congressional Review Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)10 requires an agency to consider whether the rules it proposes will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The RFA applies only to rules for which an agency publishes a general notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b). As discussed previously, consistent with section 553(b)(3)(B) of the APA, the Commission has determined for good cause that general notice and opportunity for public comment is unnecessary, and therefore the Commission is not issuing a notice of proposed rulemaking. Accordingly, the Commission has concluded that the RFA’s requirements relating to initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses do not apply. In any event, the extension of the effective

---

9 Id. 553(d)(1).
date will reduce the burden of complying with the Rule for all covered financial institutions, including small businesses.

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 through 808), the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs designated this rule as not a “major rule,” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 314

Consumer protection, Credit, Data protection, Privacy, Trade practices.

For the reasons stated above, the Federal Trade Commission amends 16 CFR Part 314 as follows:

PART 314—STANDARDS FOR SAFEGUARDING CUSTOMER INFORMATION

1. The authority citation for Part 314 continues to read as follows:


2. Revise § 314.5 to read as follows:

   § 314.5 Effective date.

   Sections 314.4(a), 314.4(b)(1), 314.4(c)(1)–(8), 314.4(d)(2), 314.4(e), 314.4(f)(3), 314.4(h), and 314.4(i) are effective as of June 9, 2023.

   By direction of the Commission.

   April J. Tabor,

   Secretary.