







# In the Matter of Intuit Inc.

Docket No. 9408

Respondent's Opposition to Complaint Counsel's Motion for Summary Decision October 31, 2022



### Summary of Argument

- Complaint Counsel concede Intuit advertised only TurboTax Free Edition—a completely free product that was used by 14 million people each year—as free, and in each ad disclosed Free Edition's qualifications.
- The Commission "may not weigh conflicting evidence." Buending v. Town of Redington Beach, 10 F.4th 1125, 1130 (11th Cir. 2021). "If the record presents disputed issues of fact," the Commission "may not decide them; rather, [it] must deny the motion and proceed to trial." Id.
  - The alleged claims made by Intuit's ads are DISPUTED
  - Allegations that consumers were misled are DISPUTED
  - Allegations that the supposedly deceptive ads were material are DISPUTED
- May 4 Settlement Agreement already provides full relief
- Existence of Intuit's affirmative defenses precludes summary decision

#### **Express Claim**

Complaint Counsel contend:

Intuit has made, is making, and will be able to continue making, notwithstanding the AVC, the false express claim that TurboTax is free. It is not free for most U.S. taxpayers.

Mot. 36

• "Express claims are ones that directly state the representation at issue." *Thompson Med. Co., Inc.,* 104 F.T.C. 648, 788 (1984).

## Actual Express Claim: Turbo Tax Free Edition Is Free If You Qualify

Intuit's Free Edition advertisements do not expressly contain the phrase "all consumers can file their taxes for free with TurboTax,"

CC Response to Intuit SOF ¶ 17

Complaint Counsel admits that Intuit advertises that it has a free product—TurboTax Free Edition. Complaint Counsel further admits that TurboTax Free Edition is available for consumers who qualify. Complaint Counsel further admits that Intuit's ads communicate that the TurboTax Free Edition is free

CC Response to Intuit SOF ¶ 18



TurboTax Free Edition is for simple U.S. returns only. See if you qualify at turbotax.com. Offer subject to change.

## Judge Breyer Rejected CC's Express Claim Theory



Hon. Charles R. Breyer U.S. District Judge for the Northern District of California

"[L]ooking at this one as an example . . . It says 'TurboTax free edition, for simple tax returns only\*'[.] That's what it is."

RX 73 – TRO Hearing Tr. 36:18-22

"[T]his ad . . . tells me that it is limited to simple tax returns[.]"

RX 73 – TRO Hearing Tr. 37:8-9

"I mean, it is right there; isn't it? I mean, it is right under the word 'free, free, free' or 'zero, zero, zero,' it says 'TurboTax free edition, for simple tax returns only.'"

RX 73 – TRO Hearing Tr. 40:3-6

# The Implied Claim: What Is It?

Complaint Counsel first said:

the unmistakable meaning of Intuit's ads: that TurboTax is free

Mot. 19

• Complaint Counsel then said:

Intuit's ads conveyed the message to reasonable consumers that TurboTax was free *for them*.


Reply 3

But Complaint Counsel ultimately conceded:

Complaint Counsel admits that Intuit advertises that it has a free product—TurboTax Free Edition. Complaint Counsel further admits that TurboTax Free Edition is available for consumers who qualify. Complaint Counsel further admits that Intuit's ads communicate that the TurboTax Free Edition is free

## The Only Evidence Contradicts The Purported Implied Claims

- Implied claims should not be found "merely because it could be unreasonably misunderstood by a
  very small and unrepresentative segment of the audience to whom it was directed." Removatron
  Int'l Corp., 111 F.T.C. 206, 292 (1988)
- The **intent of the speaker** is powerful evidence of whether a claim was made to consumers. *See, e.g., Telebrands Corp.,* 140 F.T.C. 27, 304 (2005)
  - There is no evidence that Intuit intended to advance either alleged implied claim.
  - The undisputed evidence is that Intuit intended to communicate that *Free Edition* is free for those who qualify and did so.



## CC's Implied Claim Theory is Disputed by Record Evidence



Cathleen Ryan, SVP of Marketing ıntuıt

- Q. What message did Intuit want consumers to take away from commercials in the Free, Free, Free campaign?
- Α. The objective was to communicate that the TurboTax Free Edition is free.
- Q. Why did Intuit want to convey that message to consumers?
- We wanted to communicate that our Free Edition was free because we Α. want consumers to use our Free Edition if they qualify.

GX 156 – Ryan Dep. Tr. 130:14-131:1

## CC's Implied Claim Theory is Disputed by Expert Testimony



Peter S. Golder, Ph.D.



Based on the entirety of my review, it is my opinion that Intuit's marketing of Free Edition, 19. including its advertising and the representations on the TurboTax website, would not cause reasonable consumers to believe that everyone can use TurboTax for free. COMMISSIONERS:

Golder Decl. ¶ 19

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR PETER N. GOLDER, PH.D.

Docket No. 9408

Alvaro M. Bedova

In the Matter of: Intuit Inc., a corporation


> 56. To start, in my opinion, Intuit's ads did not communicate that TurboTax was free for everyone or all tax situations. For instance, Intuit's TY 2021 Free Edition ads communicated only that one TurboTax product, TurboTax Free Edition, was free. The ads further communicated that Free Edition was only available to qualifying customers.

> > Golder Decl. ¶ 56

## Intuit's Extrinsic Evidence Must be Considered


Complaint Counsel improperly ask the Commission to ignore the extrinsic evidence. Reply 1, 4, 7, 11.

"[I]f extrinsic evidence has been introduced, that evidence 'must be considered by the **Commission** in reaching its conclusion' about the meaning of the advertisement." *Pom* Wonderful LLC, 155 F.T.C. 1, 14 (2013). See also Bristol-Myers Co., 102 F.T.C. 21, 319 (1983); Thompson Med. Co., Inc., 104 F.T.C. 648, 794 (1984).



## Customer Retention And Satisfaction Scores Dispute Deception

TurboTax has consistently high Net Promoter Scores, overall *paid* customer-retention rates of more than 80%, and tens of thousands of 5-star reviews on the TurboTax website.



RX 61 at 36

RX9

	Momentum	Affinity	Relevance	Quality	Value	Consideration	Usage	NPS
Turbo Tax	8	70.3	64%	75%	70%	72%	67%	68%
TaxAct	8.4	67.3	60%	64%	63%	64%	57%	55%
H&R Block	9.4	66.0	49%	63%	54%	55%	49%	54%
Credit Karma Tax	9	71	58%	64%	63%	67%	60%	62%
Jackson Hewitt	11.2	56.1	36%	45%	39%	35%	32%	40%

## Directly On Point

"[I]f consumers believed that the terms in DIRECTV's advertisements did not match the terms disclosed when they sought to subscribe, that feeling of deception would have been reflected in ... DIRECTV's data collected on pain points, consumer research, sales calls, closing rates, activation rates, and churn." *DirecTV*, 2018 WL 3911196, at \*18.

Just like in *DirecTV*, the evidence does not support Complaint Counsel's theory:

High NPS (higher than DirecTV's)	
Industry-leading customer-satisfaction scores	
Unreliable survey evidence	

## No Reliable Evidence of Consumer Complaints

- Only 571 hearsay complaints (out of millions of TurboTax customers) were identified.
- Almost 40% (227 complaints) have since been withdrawn by Complaint Counsel.
- Many of the remaining hearsay complaints have obvious flaws:
  - Many relate to the IRS Free File offering a different and discontinued product that is not at issue in this lawsuit;
  - Several were submitted by Canadian residents, who use a different version of Intuit's products, also not at issue in this lawsuit;
  - At least eleven were judged invalid by the BBB;
  - And many more have nothing to do with TurboTax's advertising.

## No Reliable Evidence of Consumer Complaints



Peter S. Golder, Ph.D.



CONFIDENTIAL

#### UNITED STATES C BEFORE THE FEDERAL

44.

Alvaro M. Bedova

In the Matter of:

Intuit Inc., a corporation

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR

- I note that Complaint Counsel's attempt to limit TurboTax complaints to those mentioning "free" is insufficient to identify only complaints that actually relate to the allegations in this matter.<sup>54</sup> A complaint simply including the word "free" does not necessarily mean the complaint is related to Complaint Counsel's allegations. For example, included in the 571 complaints are complaints that include the word "free" but are about:
  - *The customer's inability to access prior years' returns.* <sup>55</sup> For example, at least one customer complained because they were unable to retrieve their tax return filed in previous years for free - a feature that Intuit offers to customers purchasing paid products or Free Edition customers purchasing an add-on package.<sup>56</sup>
  - *IRS delays that are outside of Intuit's control.* For example, at least two customers filed complaints related to IRS delays in processing their refund and/or 2020 stimulus checks.57
  - *Technical issues with the TurboTax software.* For example, at least two customers filed complaints related to issues with closing their TurboTax accounts.58
  - *TurboTax desktop product.* For example, at least two customers filed complaints related to TurboTax's desktop product (of which no free version exists).<sup>59</sup>

## The Dearth of Consumer Complaints Is Inconsistent With Deception



Peter S. Golder, Ph.D.



When placed in context of the millions of TurboTax customers each year, clearly these customers do not represent the experiences or views of most TurboTax customers. Considering the 571 complaints identified by Complaint Counsel as related to "free" TurboTax, this represents 0.0007 percent of the 86.4 million TurboTax customers who filed at least one return over the period during which the 571 complaints were filed.<sup>53</sup>

Golder Decl. ¶ 43

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR PETER N. GOLDER, PH.D.

43.

COMMISSIONERS

In the Matter of: Intuit Inc., a corporation.

> 17. The level of overall complaints, complaints per customer, and complaints related to deceptive pricing and deceptive advertising for Intuit are all in line with benchmark companies and are inconsistent with the consumer deception alleged by Complaint Counsel.

> > Golder Decl. ¶ 17

## Expert Testimony Creates A Dispute Over Alleged Deception



Rebecca Kirk Fair **ANALYSIS GROUP®** 

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

COMMISSIONERS:

In the Matter of:

Intuit Inc., a comporation

Docket No. 9408

Declaration of Rebecca Kirk Fair


August 30, 2022

My Disclosure Survey demonstrates that consumers upgrade to TurboTax Paid products for reasons other than the allegedly deceptive "free" advertising and being locked into that choice. If consumers had been deceived by Intuit's ads, as the FTC alleges, I would expect to see substantial, statistically significant differences in respondent choices upon learning about an additional free option at the point of the hard stop screen. In contrast to what would be predicted based on the FTC's allegation, I find that consumers with more information about alternative filing options, including information about an alternative free solution (the IRS Free File program), chose to upgrade to a TurboTax Paid product at similar rates as when they saw a representative upgrade screen and additionally selected other alternative solutions at comparable rates.

## Expert Testimony Creates A Dispute Over Alleged Deception



Rebecca Kirk Fair ANALYSIS GROUP®



Kirk Fair Decl. ¶ 24

CONFIDENTIAL

69.

## Expert Testimony Creates A Dispute Over Whether Ads Were Misleading



Peter S. Golder. Ph.D.

TUCK SCHOO

TUCK SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Noah Joshua Phillips Rebecca Kelly Slaughter Christine S. Wilson Alvaro M. Bedova

Docket No. 9

In the Matter of:


Intuit Inc., a corporation

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR PETER AUGUST 30, 2022

Consumers are unlikely to view Intuit's TurboTax Free Edition ads and believe with certainty that they would necessarily be able to file their own taxes for free. Consumers who prepare and file their tax returns each year have likely already completed the Consumer Buying Process in prior years and already have some level of familiarity with their options, including whether their tax situation is likely to qualify them for a free DIY product (whether with TurboTax or a competitor). Moreover, consumers are familiar with free product offerings across a range of goods and services and are in fact skeptical of free product offerings.

Golder Decl. ¶ 69

#### Research Confirms Consumers Were Not Misled



## The Novemsky Survey Is Fundamentally Flawed

#### Professor Novemsky did not show participants any of the challenged ads



Dr. John R. Hauser

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION COMMISSIONERS: Lina M. Khan, Chair Noah Joshua Phillips Rebecca Kelly Slaughte Christine S. Wilson ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED Docket No. 9408 DECLARATION OF JOHN R. HAUSER, SC.I.

AUGUST 30, 2022

If, as it appears from my review of the Novemsky Declaration and associated survey script, the Novemsky Survey did not show respondents any stimuli, this is a fundamental flaw in its design. Without showing respondents any at-issue messaging, the Novemsky Survey does not and cannot tie respondents' perception or understanding to any of Intuit's at-issue advertisements, website communications, or disclosures. By failing to test any stimuli, the Novemsky Declaration cannot ascribe the results of the Novemsky Survey to any alleged misrepresentations made by Intuit versus any other source of information that respondents may have encountered. Instead, and ignoring all of its other flaws, the Novemsky Survey at best simply measures general impressions and unsupported guesses that cannot be connected in any meaningful way to Intuit's advertisements, website communications, or disclosures. Additionally, by not providing respondents with any relevant information (or providing them with inaccurate information) through the survey process, respondents are prone to guessing or answering questions based on other unknown information, beliefs, or aspirations. 45 The Novemsky Survey's attempt to solicit such general beliefs about eligibility are contrary to well-established survey design principles.

## The Novemsky Survey Was Transparently Biased

Novemsky's survey was biased – allowing respondents to opt out after learning of the survey's purpose and target.

#### EXHIBIT 1 AND BRACKETS] Main Questionnaire - OPT OUT You finished the survey! Thank you for your participation. s brief survey. re very important to us. If you don't know an answer to a This survey is being conducted on behalf of the United States Federal Trade Commission (FTC), the please indicate this in your response. e results of this study will not be used to try to sell you nation's consumer protection agency, in order to collect information about the reactions and experiences of potential customers to advertisements by Intuit, the maker of TurboTax. nses when viewing a screen, please wear them before this survey when you have time to complete it uninterrupted The FTC investigates unfair and deceptive conduct by companies. The information you provide could DENT SELECTS 2 ("I do not understand or do not agree to these help us further our mission under the FTC Act to protect consumers. nstructions ee to these instructions SO5. As an additional security measure, please enter the code exactly as it appears in the image below, and then click the "Continue" button Please enter it exactly as it appears, including upper and lower case letters. Do not include any spaces. [DELETE RESPONDENT DATA IF 1 ("Yes")] [PROGRAMMER: PIPE IN ONE RANDOMLY SELECTED CODE. CONFIRM THAT WHAT THE RESPONDENT TYPES IN MATCHES THE PIPED-IN CODE FOR SPELLING AND CASE.] 1. Please delete my submission, I want to opt-out TEXT BOX: 2. Please keep my submission, I do not want to opt-out

GX 305



Jack Rubin, VP of Marketing ıntuıt

- Q. Why doesn't Intuit say Free Edition for Form 1040 with no attached schedules?
- That would be a terrible idea. Α.
- Q. Why would it be a terrible idea?
- It would be more confusing for consumers than just to say, for simple tax returns. Α.


GX 155 - Rubin Dep. Tr. 209:11-16


We use simple tax returns to let consumers know that there are eligibility requirements for using the TurboTax Free Edition, let folks know that those eligibility requirements are tied to the complexity of their tax return.

GX 155 - Rubin Dep. Tr. 55:16-20

- On the TurboTax website, all free claims are accompanied in close proximity by a hyperlinked disclosure.
- The website includes a Free Edition landing page that repeatedly addresses Free Edition's qualifications:









TurboTax Free Edition is for simple U.S. returns only. See if you qualify at turbotax.com. Offer subject to change.

## Expert Testimony Creates A Dispute Over Sufficiency Of Disclosures

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR PETER N. GOLDER, PH.D. AUGUST 30, 2022



Peter S. Golder. Ph.D.



I compared Intuit's TY 2021 Free ads to ads from the same 22 benchmark COMMISSIONERS companies whose BBB complaints I reviewed, and found that Intuit's disclosures were comparable in size, length, and font color to benchmark companies: In the Matter of: Intuit Inc., a con

Golder Decl. ¶ 17



## Market Research On Intuit's Disclosures Creates Further Dispute




Jack Rubin, VP of Marketing ıntuıt

A. I can think of one piece of qualitative research in which the term 'simple tax returns' was part of the research. . . . [T]he respondent responses were about the clarity of the term and appreciating TurboTax showing that right up front at the very beginning of the process...

GX 155 – Rubin Dep. Tr. 58:5-11

This makes it abundantly clear who qualifies.

RX 44 at 7



See RX 44 at 8

## Intuit's Ads Comply With the FTC's Disclosure Guidelines

Intuit's hyperlinked disclosures closely resemble the examples of acceptable hyperlinks in the FTC's ".com Disclosures" guidelines.

Although hyperlinks generally should not be used to disclose information integral to the claim — such as the existence and nature of additional fees consumers might not expect — a hyperlink can be used to disclose the details if they are too complex to describe next to the basic price information. Here the hyperlink leads to the disclosure, "Monitoring plan price: \$15.95 per month with one camera, \$9.95 per month/per camera with two cameras, \$7.95 per month/ per camera with three or more cameras. Save 10% with a 12-month commitment, 20% with a 24-month commitment. Additional 5% discount for seniors and families of active duty military personnel."

RX 96, FTC .Com Disclosures Guidelines at A-7

## The "Deceptive Door Opener" Theory Should Be Shut

Complaint Counsel concede that:

consumers learn that the "freemium" version of TurboTax is not

free for them prior to purchasing a paid version of TurboTax

- Confidential UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION COMMISSIONERS In the matter of: Intuit Inc. Docket No. 9408 COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION
- Complaint Counsel claim that Intuit's representations before the point of sale are "[m]isleading door openers." Mot. 33.
- That theory does not apply.
  - The ads invite consumers to learn about the product online, which you can do well before purchase. It is not deceptive to ask consumers to learn about your product on your website.
  - Complaint Counsel fail to introduce evidence that consumers were deceived and seek improper inferences regarding consumers who abandon the product.

## The FTC Investigator's Credibility Has Not Been Tested

Intuit has not had an opportunity to depose Ms. Shiller, whose declaration includes troubling inaccuracies, such as:

**The Functionality of Intuit's Website**: Clicking on the orange "File for \$0" brought consumers to a screen to create an account and linked the Terms and Privacy Policy. (Shiller Dec. ¶ 97.)



## Materiality Is Disputed And Complaint Counsel Have Not Offered Evidence

- Complaint Counsel must show that the alleged misrepresentations were likely to affect a reasonable consumer's purchasing decision or conduct regarding TurboTax. See Int'l *Harvester Co.*, 104 F.T.C. 949, 1057-1058 (1984).
  - Complaint Counsel have not put forth any evidence of materiality.
- Complaint Counsel rely on an inapplicable presumption.
- Intuit has rebutted any presumption.

## No Presumption of Materiality Applies

- The alleged misrepresentations actually concern Free Edition's qualifications, for which no presumption is appropriate.
- Judge Breyer recognized this important distinction:

3

4

5

6



Hon. Charles R. Breyer U.S. District Judge for the Northern District of California

But they come back and they say: didn't say our system is free to everybody. We said it's free if the return is a simple return. That's what we said. Now, so the deception, I think, at one level has to be with the term "simple" and not "free," I think.

RX 73 – TRO Hearing Tr. 16:3-7

## Intuit's Evidence Rebuts Any "Presumption" of Materiality


- Presumptions are always rebuttable:
  - POM Wonderful LLC, 155 F.T.C. 1, 62 (2013): "A respondent may rebut a presumption of materiality by providing evidence that the claim is not material . . . . 'This is not a high hurdle."
- The presumption is particularly easy to rebut on a motion for summary decision.

# Expert Testimony Rebuts Any "Presumption" of Materiality

Evidence demonstrates that consumers do not choose TurboTax solely because of Intuit's ads.



Dr. John R. Hauser



Hauser Decl. ¶ 76

# Expert Testimony Rebuts Any "Presumption" of Materiality

Consumer behavior is inconsistent with Complaint Counsel's theory of deception:



Peter S. Golder. Ph.D.



Complaint Counsel's theory appears to assume that consumers see a TurboTax TV ad and 36. proceed immediately to paying TurboTax without conducting any other research or considering any other potential providers of tax preparation solutions. This theory flies in UNITED STATES OF A BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRAI the face of the well-recognized Consumer Buying Process.39 COMMISSIONERS Rebecca Kelly Slaughte

Golder Decl. ¶ 36

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR PETER N AUGUST 30, 2022

Docket No. 9408

Alvaro M. Bedova

In the Matter of: Intuit Inc., a corporation

> Consumer Buying Process are likely to conduct research before selecting a tax preparation provider and are unlikely to weigh advertising as the single piece of relevant information on their search. 49 In light of taxpayers' motivation to choose an appropriate tax preparation product, reasonable consumers are likely to perform research on tax preparation options, and they may also specifically research conditions for using free product offerings.

In fact, consumers engaged in the high-involvement

Golder Decl. ¶ 38

## Consumer Testimony Creates Dispute Over Materiality

- Q. Did you read any reviews before you began using TurboTax?
- A. Yeah. [...]
- Q. Do you remember how many reviews you read before you began using TurboTax?
- A. ... [I]f you had to put a number on it, it would be five or six at least reviews and they were generally from individuals. [...]
- Q. And do you recall what the reviews you read about TurboTax said?
- A. Just that it was easy to use mostly and that the word that came to mind ... painless, you know, stuck in my head.

RX 72 – Harford Dep. Tr. 57:9-59:16


- Q. If we can go to paragraph 5 [of your declaration]. It says, "I began using TurboTax in tax year 2013 because I liked the interface and it was straightforward and easy to use and thought it looked clean." Is that right?
- A. Yes.

RX 71 - Rozar Dep. Tr. 73:5-11



# No Prospective Relief Is Warranted

- The purpose of prospective relief is not to "impose criminal punishment or exact compensatory" damages," but rather to prevent future violations of the FTC Act. FTC v. Ruberoid Co., 343 U.S. 470, 473 (1952).
- Instead of evaluating Intuit's current advertising practices, Complaint Counsel continue to focus on Intuit ads that aired years ago and are outside the limitations period. See Opp. 25-26.
- There is no "cognizable danger" of these ads recurring in the same form. TRW, Inc. v. FTC, 647 F.2d 942, 954 (9th Cir. 1981).
  - Indeed, the May 4 Settlement Agreement forbids it.



## The Multistate Settlement Already Prohibits the Allegedly **Deceptive Conduct**

#### ASSURANCE OF VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE

This Assurance of Voluntary Compliance (the "Assurance") is entered into by the

Attorneys General of the States and Commonwealths of Alaska, Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona,

California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Idaho, Indiana,

Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Maryland, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri,

Mississippi, Montana, North Carolina, North Dakota, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey,

RX 76 at 1

The Settlement forbids Intuit from running its "free, free, free" ads, or substantially similar ads:

G. Intuit must not publish, or cause to be published, in any medium (1) its "free, free, free" Video Advertisements (see Appendix A for a list of such advertisements) and (2) Video Advertisements that are substantially similar in their repetition of the word free.

RX 76 at 21


It also requires Intuit to make meaningful enhancements to its "free" disclosures:

> In any non-Space-Constrained Advertisement of free tax preparation products other than on a TurboTax Website, Intuit must disclose, Clearly and Conspicuously, and in Close Proximity to the representation that the product is free: (1) the existence and category of material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product; and (2) that not all taxpayers qualify for the free product.

This Assurance of Voluntary Compliance shall, for all necessary purposes, also be considered an Assurance of Discontinuance. The Attorneys General have authority to execute this Assurance. See generally N.Y. EXEC. LAW § 63(15); TENN. CODE ANN. § 47-18-107; FLORIDA STATUTES SECTION 501.207(6); ILLINOIS CONSUMER FRAUD AND DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT, 815 ILCS 505/6.1; N.J.S.A. 56:8-1 to -227; P.A. UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW 73 P.S. § 201-5; TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE § 17.58; RCW 19.86.100; ALASKA STAT. § 45.50.511; ALA. CODE § 8-19-4; ARKANSAS CODE ANNOTATED § 4-88-114; ARIZ. REV. STAT. § 44-1530; C.R.S. § 6-1-110(2); CONN. GEN. STAT. § 42-110j; D.C. CODE 28-3909(c)(6); 29 DEL. C. § 2525(a) (authorizing cease and desist orders by agreement); O.C.G.A. § 10-1-402; HAW. REV. STAT. SECT. 487-12; IOWA CODE § 714.16; IOWA ADMIN. CODE R. 61-38.1; IDAHO CODE § 48-610; IND. CODE § 24-5-0.5-7; KENTUCKY REVISED STATUTES 367.230; UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW, LA. R.S. § LA. R.S. 51:1410; M.G.L. c. 93A, SEC. 5.; MD. CODE ANN., COM. LAW § 13-402; 5 M.R.S.A. § 210; MICH. COMP. LAWS § 445.906; MINN. STAT. § 8.31, SUBD. 2B; §407.030 RSMO; MISSISSIPPI CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, MS CODE ANN. §75-24-27(1)(g); MONT. CODE ANN. § 30-14-112; N.D.C.C. 51-15-06.1; NEB. REV. STAT. § 59-1610 AND NEB. REV. STAT. § 87-202.05(2); N.H. REV. STAT. ANN. § 358-A:1-7; NEW MEXICO UNFAIR PRACTICES ACT NMSA 1978, §57-12-9 (1971); NEV. REV. STAT. 598.0995; OHIO REVISED CODE 1345.06(F); 15 O.S. § 756.1; ORS 646.632; R.I. GEN. LAWS § 6-13.1-6; SOUTH CAROLINA CODE § 39-5-60; SDCL 37-24-19; UTAH CODE § 13-2-1, et seg.; VA. CODE ANN. § 59.1-202; 9 V.S.A. § 2459; WIS. STAT. § 100.18(11)(e); W. VA. CODE § 46A-7-107; and WYO. STAT. ANN. § 40-12-107.

## The Multistate Settlement Mirrors the Prior FTC Proposal

Category	FTC's November 10, 2021 Proposal	State AG Settlement		
Non-Space Constrained Ads	"(1) the existence of material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product; (2) the general nature, category, or type of those limitations; and (3) that not all taxpayers qualify for the free product."	"(1) the existence and category of material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product; and (2) that not all taxpayers qualify for the free product."		
Space Constrained Ads	"that eligibility requirements apply."	"that eligibility requirements apply."		
Space Constrained Online Ads	"must also include a hyperlink to a landing page or webpage on a TurboTax Website that contains full disclosure of all material eligibility restrictions."	"(1) Clearly and Conspicuously include a hyperlink to a landing page or webpage on a TurboTax Website that Clearly and Conspicuously contains full disclosure of all material eligibility restrictions or (2) link by clicking on the Advertisement itself to a landing page or webpage on a TurboTax Website that Clearly and Conspicuously sets forth full disclosure of all material eligibility restrictions."		
Space Constrained Video Ads	"must [also] verbally disclose that eligibility limitations apply and not all taxpayers qualify."	"except for such Advertisements that are 8 seconds or shorter, Intuit must [also] verbally disclose that not all taxpayers qualify."		
TurboTax Website	"must disclose very near to the representation or through a hyperlink that is very near to the representation, all material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product, including, but not limited to, eligibility criteria for that free product."	"must disclose (1) all material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product, including, but not limited to, eligibility criteria for that free product, or (2) through a hyperlink (i) that is very near to the representation, (ii) that indicates that there are material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product, and (iii) that links to a landing page or webpage that Clearly and Conspicuously sets forth all material limitations on a consumer's ability to use that free product, including, but not limited to, eligibility criteria for that free product."		



## Intuit's Affirmative Defenses Remain Unaddressed

- Complaint Counsel's motion outright ignored Intuit's affirmative defenses:
  - Mootness: Intuit has discontinued the allegedly unfair and deceptive advertising campaign.
  - Overbroad Relief: Requested relief exceeds the scope of the allegations in the Complaint.
  - Invalid Complaint: The Commission did not vote on the final version of the Complaint.
  - Laches/Estoppel: The Commission unreasonably delayed taking action.
  - Due Process Violations: Prejudgment.
  - Other Constitutional Violations: Substantial separation-of-powers and nondelegation concerns.

## No Continuance Is Necessary or Appropriate

#### **Rule 3.24(a)(4) provides:**

"Should it appear from the affidavits of a party opposing the motion that it cannot, for reasons stated, present by affidavit facts essential to justify its opposition, the Commission . . .

- [1] may deny the motion for summary decision or
- [2] may order a continuance to permit affidavits to be obtained or depositions to be taken or discovery to be had . . . . "

#### The appropriate action here is to deny the motion—not to order a continuance.

- There was and is no deception.
- CC have not introduced any evidence—after a three-year investigation.
- Intuit, not the Commission, is entitled to summary decision on this record, but it is time for a fair and contested hearing before the ALJ. Each side should get its day in court after a full and fair record is created.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 24, 2022, I caused the foregoing document to be filed electronically using the FTC's E-Filing system, which will send notification of such filing to:

April Tabor Office of the Secretary Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite CC-5610 Washington, DC 20580 ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

I further certify that on October 24, 2022, I caused the foregoing document to be served via email to:

Roberto Anguizola

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: ranguizola@ftc.gov

Tel: (202) 326-3284

James Evans

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: jevans1@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-2026

Christine Todaro

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: ctodaro@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-3711 Rebecca Plett

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: rplett@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-3664

Sara Tonnesen

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: stonnesen@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-2879

Thomas Harris

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20580 Email: tharris1@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-3620 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY | FILED 10/24/2022 | DOCUMENT NO. 605989 | Page 45 of 45 | PUBLIC

Jody Goodman
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Email: jgoodman1@ftc.gov
Tel: (202) 326-3096

Virginia Rosa Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Email: vrosa@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-3068

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

April Tabor
Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite CC-5610
Washington, DC 20580
ElectronicFilings@ftc.gov

Dated: October 24, 2022

Colleen Robbins
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Email: crobbins@ftc.gov
Tel: (202) 326-2548

J. Ronald Brooke, Jr. Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 Email: jbrooke@ftc.gov Tel: (202) 326-3484

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Molly Dillaway MOLLY DILLAWAY Counsel for Intuit Inc