

PUBLIC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of)	
)	
Vance Childers,)	Docket No. 9452
)	
Appellant.)	

ORDER SCHEDULING VIDEOCONFERENCE

In Appellant Vance Childers’s Notice of Appeal and Application for Review, his attorney, Mr. Lloyd Cueto, argues that Mr. Childers bears “No Significant Fault” as to a Presence ADRV involving Childersattack, on the basis that the veterinarian Dr. Donald McCrosky “fabricated the ridgling explanation . . . and submitted false representations . . . spoliat[e] evidence; and administered Testosterone . . . without the trainer’s knowledge.” Application for Review at 1-2.

However, in the review proceeding involving Dr. McCrosky, No. 9448, Mr. Cueto, then Dr. McCrosky’s attorney, argued, in summary, that: (1) “Dr. McCrosky’s explanation — that he performed a partial castration [of Childersattack], lost track of the retained testicle over time, and acted promptly to remove it upon learning of the atypical finding — was uncontroverted by any eyewitness testimony”; and (2) HIWU failed to prove the intentional conduct required for a Tampering ADRV. Dr. McCrosky’s Brief at 11.

In light of Mr. Cueto’s dual representation in these two proceedings, it is hereby **ORDERED** that a videoconference will be held on June 8, 2026 at 10:30 a.m. Eastern time, to discuss what seems to be a conflict of interest.

PUBLIC

The videoconference will be transcribed, and the transcript will be filed once finalized.

ORDERED:

Jay L. Himes _____

Jay L. Himes
Administrative Law Judge

Date: June 4, 2026