The Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC” or “Commission”) has conducted an investigation of certain acts and practices of BetterHelp, Inc. (“Proposed Respondent”). The Commission’s Bureau of Consumer Protection (“BCP”) has prepared a draft administrative Complaint (“Draft Complaint”). BCP and Proposed Respondent, individually or through its duly authorized officers, enter into this Agreement Containing Consent Order (“Consent Agreement”) to resolve the allegations in the attached Draft Complaint through a proposed Decision and Order to present to the Commission, which is also attached and made a part of this Consent Agreement.

**IT IS HEREBY AGREED** by and between Proposed Respondent and BCP, that:

1. The Proposed Respondent is BetterHelp, Inc. (“BetterHelp”), also doing business as Compile, Inc.; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; ReGain; and Terappeuta, a Delaware corporation with its principal office or place of business at 990 Villa St., Mountain View, CA 94041.

2. Proposed Respondent neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the Draft Complaint, except as specifically stated in the Decision and Order. Only for purposes of this action, Proposed Respondent admits the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction.

3. Proposed Respondent waives:
   a. Any further procedural steps;
   b. The requirement that the Commission’s Decision contain a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law; and
   c. All rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the Decision and Order issued pursuant to this Consent Agreement.
4. This Consent Agreement will not become part of the public record of the proceeding unless and until it is accepted by the Commission. If the Commission accepts this Consent Agreement, it, together with the Draft Complaint, will be placed on the public record for 30 days and information about them publicly released. Acceptance does not constitute final approval, but it serves as the basis for further actions leading to final disposition of the matter. Thereafter, the Commission may either withdraw its acceptance of this Consent Agreement and so notify Proposed Respondent, in which event the Commission will take such action as it may consider appropriate, or issue and serve its Complaint (in such form as the circumstances may require) and decision in disposition of the proceeding, which may include an Order. See Section 2.34 of the Commission’s Rules, 16 C.F.R. § 2.34 (“Rule 2.34”).

5. If this agreement is accepted by the Commission, and if such acceptance is not subsequently withdrawn by the Commission pursuant to Rule 2.34, the Commission may, without further notice to Proposed Respondent: (1) issue its Complaint corresponding in form and substance with the attached Draft Complaint and its Decision and Order; and (2) make information about them public. Proposed Respondent agrees that service of the Order may be effected by its publication on the Commission’s website (ftc.gov), at which time the Order will become final. See Rule 2.32(d). Proposed Respondent waives any rights it may have to any other manner of service. See Rule 4.4.

6. When final, the Decision and Order will have the same force and effect and may be altered, modified, or set aside in the same manner and within the same time provided by statute for other Commission orders.

7. The Complaint may be used in construing the terms of the Decision and Order. No agreement, understanding, representation, or interpretation not contained in the Decision and Order or in this Consent Agreement may be used to vary or contradict the terms of the Decision and Order.

8. Proposed Respondent agrees to comply with the terms of the proposed Decision and Order from the date that Proposed Respondent signs this Consent Agreement. Proposed Respondent understands that it may be liable for civil penalties and other relief for each violation of the Decision and Order after it becomes final.
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In the Matter of

BETTERHELP, INC., a corporation,
also d/b/a COMPILE, INC.,
also d/b/a MYTHERAPIST,
also d/b/a TEEN COUNSELING,
also d/b/a FAITHFUL COUNSELING,
also d/b/a PRIDE COUNSELING,
also d/b/a ICOUNSELING,
also d/b/a REGAIN,
also d/b/a TERAPPEUTA.

DECISION

The Federal Trade Commission (the “FTC” or “Commission”) initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the Respondent named in the caption. The Commission’s Bureau of Consumer Protection (“BCP”) prepared and furnished to Respondent a draft Complaint. BCP proposed to present the draft Complaint to the Commission for its consideration. If issued by the Commission, the draft Complaint would charge the Respondent with violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

Respondent and BCP thereafter executed an Agreement Containing Consent Order (“Consent Agreement”). The Consent Agreement includes: 1) statements by Respondent that it neither admits nor denies any of the allegations in the Complaint, except as specifically stated in this Decision and Order, and that only for purposes of this action, it admits the facts necessary to establish jurisdiction; and 2) waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission’s Rules.

The Commission considered the matter and determined that it had reason to believe that Respondent has violated the Federal Trade Commission Act, and that a Complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect. The Commission accepted the executed Consent Agreement and placed it on the public record for a period of 30 days for the receipt and consideration of public comments. The Commission duly considered any comments received from interested persons pursuant to Section 2.34 of its Rules, 16 C.F.R. § 2.34. Now, in further conformity with
the procedure prescribed in Rule 2.34, the Commission issues its Complaint, makes the following Findings, and issues the following Order:

Findings

1. The Respondent is BetterHelp, Inc. (“BetterHelp”), also doing business as Compile, Inc.; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; ReGain; and Terappeuta, a Delaware corporation with its principal office or place of business at 990 Villa St., Mountain View, CA 94041.

2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over the Respondent, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

Definitions

For purposes of this Order, the following definitions apply:

A. “Affirmative Express Consent” means any freely given, specific, informed, and unambiguous indication of an individual consumer’s wishes demonstrating agreement by the individual, such as by a clear affirmative action, following a Clear and Conspicuous disclosure to the individual of:

1. the categories of information that will be collected;

2. the specific purpose(s) for which the information is being collected, used, or disclosed;

3. the names or categories of Third Parties (e.g., “analytics partners” or “advertising partners”) collecting the information, or to whom the information is disclosed, provided that if Respondent discloses the categories of Third Parties, the disclosure shall include a hyperlink to a separate page listing the names of the Third Parties;

4. a simple, easily located means by which the consumer can withdraw consent; and

5. any limitations on the consumer’s ability to withdraw consent.

The Clear and Conspicuous disclosure must be separate from any “privacy policy,” “terms of service,” “terms of use,” or other similar document.

The following do not constitute Affirmative Express Consent:

1. Inferring consent from the hovering over, muting, pausing, or closing of a given piece of content by the consumer; or
2. Obtaining consent through a user interface that has the effect of subverting or impairing user autonomy, decision-making, or choice.

B. “Clear and Conspicuous” or “Clearly and Conspicuously” means that a required disclosure is difficult to miss (i.e., easily noticeable) and easily understandable by ordinary consumers, including in all of the following ways:

1. In any communication that is solely visual or solely audible, the disclosure must be made through the same means through which the communication is presented. In any communication made through both visual and audible means, such as a television advertisement, the disclosure must be presented simultaneously in both the visual and audible portions of the communication even if the representation requiring the disclosure (“triggering representation”) is made through only one means.

2. A visual disclosure, by its size, contrast, location, the length of time it appears, and other characteristics, must stand out from any accompanying text or other visual elements so that it is easily noticed, read, and understood.

3. An audible disclosure, including by telephone or streaming video, must be delivered in a volume, speed, and cadence sufficient for ordinary consumers to easily hear and understand it.

4. In any communication using an interactive electronic medium, such as the Internet or software, the disclosure must be unavoidable.

5. The disclosure must use diction and syntax understandable to ordinary consumers and must appear in each language in which the triggering representation appears.

6. The disclosure must comply with these requirements in each medium through which it is received, including all electronic devices and face-to-face communications.

7. The disclosure must not be contradicted or mitigated by, or inconsistent with, anything else in the communication.

8. When the representation or sales practice targets a specific audience, such as children, the elderly, or the terminally ill, “ordinary consumers” includes reasonable members of that group.

C. “Covered Business” means Respondent and any business that Respondent controls, directly or indirectly.

D. “Covered Incident” means any instance of a violation of Provision I, II, or III of this Order.
E. “Covered Information” means information from or about an individual consumer, including:

1. a first and last name;
2. a physical address, including street name and name of city or town;
3. geolocation information sufficient to identify street name and name of a city or town;
4. an email address or other online contact information, such as a user identifier or a screen name;
5. a telephone number;
6. a government-issued identification number, such as a driver’s license, military identification, passport, Social Security number, or other personal identification number;
7. financial institution account number;
8. credit or debit card information;
9. data that depicts or describes the physical or biological traits of an identified or identifiable person, including depictions, descriptions, recordings, or copies of an individual’s facial or other physical features, finger or handprints, voice, genetics, or characteristic movements or gestures;
10. a persistent identifier, such as a customer number held in a “cookie,” a static Internet Protocol (“IP”) address, a mobile device ID, processor serial number, or any other persistent identifier that can be used to recognize a user over time and/or across difference devices, websites, or online services;
11. Treatment Information; or
12. any individually identifiable information combined with any of (1) through (11) above.

F. “Covered User” means any individual consumer who created an account for the online properties, services, or mobile applications associated with BetterHelp before January 1, 2021, including those properties and mobile applications associated with BetterHelp; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; Regain; and Terappeuta.

G. “Customer” means any individual consumer who, between August 1, 2017, and December 31, 2020, signed up for and paid for the use of any online property, service, or
mobile application associated with BetterHelp, including those properties, services, and mobile applications associated with BetterHelp; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; Regain; and Terappeuta.

H. “Delete,” “Deleted,” or “Deletion,” means to remove Covered Information such that it is not maintained in retrievable form and cannot be retrieved through physical or technical means.

I. “Respondent” means BetterHelp, also doing business as Compile, Inc.; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; ReGain; and Terappeuta, and its successors and assigns.

J. “Third Party” means any individual or entity other than:

1. Respondent;

2. a service provider of Respondent that:
   a. processes, uses, or receives Covered Information collected by or on behalf of Respondent for and at the direction of the Respondent and no other individual or entity,
   b. does not disclose Covered Information, or any individually identifiable information derived from such Covered Information, to any individual or entity other than Respondent or a subcontractor to such service provider bound to data processing terms no less restrictive than terms to which the service provider is bound, and
   c. does not use Covered Information for any purpose other than performing the services specified in the service provider’s contract with Respondent;

3. a therapist or counselor employed by or contracted with Respondent;

4. an employee benefit program that contracts with Respondent for therapy services on behalf of the employee benefit program’s members, employees, and/or clients, provided that before Respondent may disclose any information about any of those members, employees, and/or clients to the employee benefit program, Respondent must require the employee benefit plan to obtain the authorization of the members, employees, and/or clients for such disclosure; or

5. any entity (including a service provider) that uses Covered Information only as reasonably necessary to:
   a. comply with applicable law, regulation, or legal process;
   b. detect, prevent, or mitigate fraud or security vulnerabilities;
c. debug to identify and repair errors that impair existing intended functionality provided that any such use is reasonably necessary and proportionate to achieve the purpose for which the Covered Information was collected or processed; or

d. undertake internal research for the technological development and demonstration of Respondent’s products or services provided that any such use is reasonably necessary and proportionate to achieve the purpose for which the Covered Information was collected or processed.

K. “Treatment Information” means individually identifiable information relating to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition(s) of a consumer, including:

1. drug, prescription, and pharmacy information;

2. information concerning the consumer’s diagnosis;

3. information concerning the consumer’s use of, creation of an account associated with, or response to a question or questionnaire related to, a service or product offered by Respondent or through one of any of Respondent’s online properties, services, or mobile applications;

4. information concerning medical- or health-related purchases;

5. information concerning the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to the consumer; or

6. information derived or extrapolated from any of (1)-(5) above (e.g., proxy, derivative, inferred, emergent, or algorithmic data).

Provisions

I. Prohibition Against the Disclosure of Treatment Information and Covered Information for Certain Advertising Purposes

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent and Respondent’s officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, are prohibited from disclosing to a Third Party for the purposes of advertising, marketing, promoting, offering, offering for sale, or selling any product or service: (1) Treatment Information; and (2) Covered Information for the purpose of targeting the consumer to which the disclosed information pertains.
II. Affirmative Express Consent

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, within 30 days of the effective date of this Order, Respondent and Respondent’s officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, in connection with any product or service, prior to disclosing any consumer’s Covered Information to any Third Party, must obtain the relevant consumer’s Affirmative Express Consent.

III. Prohibition Against Misrepresentations about Privacy of Covered Information

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent and Respondent’s officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with promoting or offering for sale any product or service must not misrepresent (or assist another in misrepresenting) in any manner, expressly or by implication:

A. the extent to which Respondent collects, maintains, uses, discloses, Deletes, or permits or denies access to any Covered Information, or the extent to which Respondent protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of any Covered Information;

B. the purpose(s) for which Respondent, or any entity to whom Respondent discloses or permits access to Covered Information, collects, maintains, uses, discloses, or permits access to any Covered Information;

C. the extent to which a consumer can maintain privacy and anonymity when visiting or using any online properties, services, or mobile applications associated with Respondent;

D. the extent to which consumers may exercise control over Respondent’s collection of, maintenance of, use of, Deletion of, disclosure of, or permission of access to, Covered Information, and the steps a consumer must take to implement such controls; and

E. the extent to which Respondent is a member of, adheres to, complies with, is certified by, is endorsed by, or otherwise participates in any privacy, security or any other compliance program sponsored by a government or any self-regulatory or standard-setting organization, including the Digital Advertising Alliance, the Digital Advertising Accountability Program, or any entity that certifies compliance with HIPAA; and

F. the extent to which Respondent is a HIPAA-covered entity, and the extent that Respondent’s privacy and information practices are in compliance with HIPAA requirements.

IV. Data Deletion

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent and Respondent’s officers, agents, employees, and attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, must:
A. within 60 days after the effective date of this Order:

1. identify all Third Parties that accessed, received, or acquired Covered Information from Respondent in any form, including hashed or encrypted Covered Information, without a consumer’s Affirmative Express Consent;

2. identify what Covered Information was disclosed to each Third Party identified in sub-Provision IV.A.1; and

3. submit a list of the information identified in sub-Provisions IV.A.1-2 and the methodologies used to identify the information in sub-Provisions IV.A.1-2 to: DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].”

B. within 90 days after the effective date of this Order, provide a copy of the Complaint and Order to all Third Parties identified in sub-Provision IV.A.1, notify all such Third Parties in writing that the Federal Trade Commission alleges that Respondent disclosed Covered Information of consumers to them in a manner that was unfair or deceptive and in violation of the FTC Act, and instruct those Third Parties to Delete all Covered Information accessed, received, or acquired from Respondent without a consumer’s Affirmative Express Consent. Respondent’s instruction to each such Third Party shall include a list of the Covered Information identified in sub-Provision IV.A.2 and shall demand written confirmation from each such Third Party that it has Deleted such Covered Information. Respondent must provide all instructions sent to the Third Parties to: DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number]”

C. as of the issuance of this Order:

1. Respondent shall not disclose any Covered Information in any form, including hashed or encrypted Covered Information, to any Third Party identified in sub-Provision IV.A.1 until Respondent confirms each Third Party’s receipt of the instructions required by sub-Provision IV.B. This sub-Provision is subject to the prohibitions set forth in Provision I. Respondent must provide all receipts of confirmation and any responses from Third Parties within five (5) days of receipt to: DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].”
2. Respondent shall not use any Third Party identified in sub-Provision IV.A.1 to advertise, market, promote, offer, offer for sale, or sell any product or service until Respondent confirms each Third Party’s receipt of the instructions required by sub-Provision IV.B.

V. Notice to Users

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on or before 14 days after the effective date of this Order, Respondent must email all Covered Users, using the last known verified email address in Respondent’s possession, custody, or control, an exact copy of the notice attached hereto as Exhibit A (“Notice”), provided however, that if Respondent does not have email information for any Covered User, Respondent must send the Notice to that Covered User through Respondent’s primary means of communicating with that user. Respondent shall not include with the Notice any other information, documents, or attachments.

VI. Mandated Privacy Program

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any Covered Business, in connection with the collection, maintenance, use, or disclosure of, or provision of access to, Covered Information, must, within 60 days of the effective date of this Order, establish and implement, and thereafter maintain, a comprehensive privacy program (“Privacy Program”) that protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of such Covered Information. To satisfy this requirement, Respondent must, for each Covered Business, at a minimum:

A. document in writing the content, implementation, and maintenance of the Privacy Program;

B. provide the written program and any evaluations thereof or updates thereto to the Covered Business’s board of directors or governing body or, if no such board or equivalent governing body exists, to a senior officer of the Covered Business responsible for the Covered Business’s Privacy Program at least once every 12 months and promptly (not to exceed 30 days) after a Covered Incident;

C. designate a qualified employee or employees, who report(s) directly to an executive, such as the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, or Chief Legal Officer, to coordinate and be responsible for the Privacy Program; and keep the executive and the Board of Directors informed of the Privacy Program, including all actions and procedures implemented to comply with the requirements of this Order, and any actions and procedures to be implemented to ensure continued compliance with this Order;

D. assess and document, at least once every 12 months and promptly (not to exceed 30 days) following a Covered Incident, internal and external risks in each area of the Covered Business’s operations to the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of Covered Information that could result in the unauthorized access, collection, use, destruction, or disclosure of, or provision of access to, Covered Information;
E. design, implement, maintain, and document safeguards that control for the internal and external risks to the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of Covered Information identified by the Covered Business in response to sub-Provision VI.D. Each safeguard must be based on the volume and sensitivity of the Covered Information that is at risk, and the likelihood that the risk could be realized and result in the unauthorized access, collection, use, Deletion, disclosure of, or provision of access to, the Covered Information. Such safeguards must also include:

1. policies, procedures, and technical measures to systematically inventory Covered Information in the Covered Business’s control and Delete Covered Information that is no longer reasonably necessary and in accordance with applicable retention laws and regulations;

2. policies, procedures, and technical measures to prevent the collection, maintenance, use, or disclosure of, or provision of access to, Covered Information inconsistent with the Covered Business’s representations to consumers;

3. audits, assessments, and reviews of the contracts, privacy policies, and terms of service associated with any Third Party to which the Covered Business discloses, or provides access to, Covered Information;

4. policies and technical measures that limit employee and contractor access to Covered Information to only those employees and contractors with a legitimate business need to access such Covered Information;

5. mandatory privacy training programs for all employees on at least an annual basis, updated to address the collection, use, and disclosure of Covered Information for advertising purposes; any internal or external risks identified by Respondent in sub-Provision VI.D; and safeguards implemented pursuant to sub-Provision VI.E, that include training on the requirements of this Order;

6. a data retention policy that, at a minimum, includes:

   a. a retention schedule that limits the retention of Covered Information for only as long as is necessary to fulfill the purpose for which the Covered Information was collected; provided, however, that such Covered Information need not be Deleted, and may be disclosed, to the extent requested by a government agency or required by law, regulation, or court order; and

   b. a requirement that Respondent documents, adheres to, and makes publicly available on its terms of service/use a retention schedule for Covered Information, setting forth: (1) the purposes for which the Covered Information is collected; (2) the specific business need for retaining each type of Covered Information; and (3) a set timeframe in accordance with applicable laws and regulations for Deletion of each type of Covered Information (absent any intervening Deletion requests from consumers) that precludes indefinite retention of any Covered Information;
7. for each product or service, policies and procedures to document internally the decision to collect, use, Delete, disclose, or provide access to, each type of Covered Information. Such documentation should include: (a) the name(s) of the person(s) who made the decision; (b) for what purpose the type of Covered Information is being collected; (c) the data segmentation controls in place to ensure that the Covered Information collected is only used and/or disclosed for the particular purpose(s) for which it was collected; (d) the data retention limit set and the technical means for achieving Deletion; (e) the safeguards in place to prevent unauthorized disclosure of each type of Covered Information; and (f) the access controls in place to ensure only authorized employees with a need-to-know have access to the Covered Information;

8. audits, assessments, reviews, or testing of each mechanism by which the Covered Business discloses Covered Information to a Third Party or provides a Third Party with access to Covered Information (including but not limited to web beacons, pixels, and Software Development Kits); and

9. for each product or service offered by any Covered Business, Clearly and Conspicuously disclose the categories of Covered Information collected from consumers, the purposes for the collection of each category of Covered Information, and any transfer of Covered Information to a Third Party. For each such transfer of Covered Information, the disclosure must, at a minimum, include: (a) the specific categories of Covered Information transferred; (b) the identity of each Third Party receiving the transfer; (c) the purposes for which the Covered Business transferred the Covered Information; (d) the purposes for which each Third Party receiving the Covered Information may use the Covered Information, including but not limited to the purposes for the Third Party reserves the right to use such Covered Information; and (e) whether each Third Party receiving the transfer of Covered Information reserves the right to transfer the Covered Information to other entities or individuals.

F. assess, at least once every 12 months, and promptly (not to exceed 30 days) following a Covered Incident, the sufficiency of any safeguards in place to address the internal and external risks to the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of Covered Information, and modify the Privacy Program based on the results;

G. test and monitor the effectiveness of the safeguards at least once every 12 months, and promptly (not to exceed 30 days) following a Covered Incident, and modify the Privacy Policy based on the results;

H. select and retain service providers capable of safeguarding Covered Information they receive from the Covered Business, and contractually require service providers to implement and maintain safeguards for Covered Information; and

I. evaluate and adjust the Privacy Program in light of any material changes to the Covered Business’s operations or business arrangements, the results of the testing and monitoring required by sub-Provision VI.G, a Covered Incident, and any other circumstances that the
Covered Business knows or has reason to believe may have a material impact on the effectiveness of the Privacy Program or any of its individual safeguards (including but not limited to new or more efficient technological or operational methods to control for the risks identified in sub-Provision VI.D). The Covered Business may make this evaluation and adjustment to the Privacy Program at any time, but must, at a minimum, evaluate the Privacy Program at least once every 12 months and modify the Privacy Program as necessary based on the results.

VII. Privacy Assessments by a Third-Party Assessor

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in connection with its compliance with Provision VI, for any Covered Business that collects, maintains, uses, discloses, or provides access to Covered Information, Respondent must obtain initial and biennial assessments (“Assessments”):

A. The Assessments must be obtained from a qualified, objective, independent third-party professional (“Assessor”), who: (1) uses procedures and standards generally accepted in the profession; (2) conducts an independent review of the Privacy Program; (3) retains all documents relevant to each Assessment for 5 years after completion of such Assessment; and (4) will provide such documents to the Commission within 10 days of receipt of a written request from a representative of the Commission. No documents may be withheld on the basis of a claim of confidentiality, proprietary or trade secrets, work product protection, attorney-client privilege, statutory exemption, or any similar claim. The Assessor must have a minimum of 3 years of experience in the field of privacy and data protection.

B. For each Assessment, Respondent must provide the Associate Director for Enforcement for the Bureau of Consumer Protection at the Federal Trade Commission with the name, affiliation, and qualifications of the proposed Assessor, whom the Associate Director shall have the authority to approve in his or her sole discretion.

C. The reporting period for the Assessments must cover: (1) the first 240 days after the issuance date of the Order for the initial Assessment; and (2) each 2-year period thereafter for 20 years after the issuance date of the Order for the biennial Assessments.

D. Each Assessment must, for the entire assessment period:

1. determine whether Respondent has implemented and maintained the Privacy Program required by Provision VI;

2. assess the effectiveness of Respondent’s implementation and maintenance of sub-Provisions VI.A-I;

3. identify any gaps or weaknesses in the Privacy Program, or instances of material noncompliance with, sub-Provisions VI.A-I;
4. address the status of gaps or weaknesses in the Privacy Program, as well as any instances of material non-compliance with sub-Provisions VI.A-I, that were identified in any prior Assessment required by this Order; and

5. identify specific evidence (including, but not limited to, documents reviewed, sampling and testing performed, and interviews conducted) examined to make such determinations, assessments, and identifications, and explain why the evidence that the Assessor examined is (a) appropriate for assessing an enterprise of Respondent’s size, complexity, and risk profile; and (b) sufficient to justify the Assessor’s findings. No finding of any Assessment shall rely solely on assertions or attestations by Respondent, Respondent’s management, or a Covered Business’s management. The Assessment must be signed by the Assessor, state that the Assessor conducted an independent review of the Privacy Program and did not rely solely on assertions or attestations by Respondent, Respondent’s management, or a Covered Business’s management, and state the number of hours that each member of the Assessor’s assessment team worked on the Assessment. To the extent a Covered Business revises, updates, or adds one or more safeguards required under sub-Provision VI.E in the middle of an Assessment period, the Assessment must assess the effectiveness of the revised, updated, or added safeguard(s) for the time period in which it was in effect, and provide a separate statement detailing the basis for each revised, updated, or additional safeguard.

E. Each Assessment must be completed within 60 days after the end of the reporting period to which the Assessment applies. Unless otherwise directed by a Commission representative in writing, Respondent must submit the initial Assessment to the Commission within 10 days after the Assessment has been completed via email to DEbrief@ftc.gov or by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin, “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].” All subsequent biennial Assessments must be retained by Respondent until the Order is terminated and provided to the Associate Director for Enforcement within 10 days of request.

**VIII. Cooperation with Assessor**

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Respondent, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the Assessments required by Provision VII, must:

A. provide or otherwise make available to the Assessor all information and material in its possession, custody, or control that is relevant to the Assessment for which there is no reasonable claim of privilege;

B. provide or otherwise make available to the Assessor information about all Covered Information in Respondent’s custody or control so that the Assessor can determine the scope of the Assessment; and
C. disclose all material facts to the Assessor, and not misrepresent in any manner, expressly or by implication, any fact material to the Assessor’s: (1) determination of whether Respondent has implemented and maintained the Privacy Program required by Provision VI; (2) assessment of the effectiveness of the implementation and maintenance of sub-Provisions VI.A-I; or (3) identification of any gaps or weaknesses in, or instances of material noncompliance with, the Privacy Program required by Provision VI.

IX. Annual Certification

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent must:

A. one year after the issuance date of this Order, and each year thereafter for 10 years, provide the Commission with a certification from a senior corporate manager, or, if no such senior corporate manager exists, a senior officer of each Covered Business that: (1) the Covered Business has established, implemented, and maintained the requirements of this Order; (2) the Covered Business is not aware of any material noncompliance that has not been (a) corrected or (b) disclosed to the Commission; and (3) includes a brief description of any Covered Incident. The certification must be based on the personal knowledge of the senior corporate manager, senior officer, or subject matter experts upon whom the senior corporate manager or senior officer reasonably relies in making the certification.

B. unless otherwise directed by a Commission representative in writing, submit all annual certifications to the Commission pursuant to this Order via email to DEbrief@ftc.gov or by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin, “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].”

X. Covered Incident Reports

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent, within 30 days after Respondent’s discovery of a Covered Incident, must submit a report to the Commission. The report must include, to the extent possible:

A. the date, estimated date, or estimated date range when the Covered Incident occurred;

B. a description of the facts relating to the Covered Incident, including the causes and scope of the Covered Incident, if known;

C. the number of consumers whose information was affected;

D. the acts that Respondent has taken to date to remediate the Covered Incident; protect Covered Information from further disclosure, exposure, or access; and protect affected individuals from identity theft or other harm that may result from the Covered Incident; and
E. a representative copy of any materially different notice sent by Respondent to consumers or to any U.S. federal, state, or local government entity.

Unless otherwise directed by a Commission representative in writing, all Covered Incident reports to the Commission pursuant to this Order must be emailed to DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].”

XI. Monetary Relief

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

A. Respondent must pay to the Commission $7,800,000, which Respondent stipulates its undersigned counsel holds in escrow for no purpose other than payment to the Commission.

B. Such payment must be made within 8 days of the effective date of this Order by electronic fund transfer in accordance with instructions provided by a representative of the Commission.

XII. Additional Monetary Provisions

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

A. Respondent relinquishes dominion and all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in all assets transferred pursuant to this Order and may not seek the return of any assets.

B. The facts alleged in the Complaint will be taken as true, without further proof, in any subsequent civil litigation by or on behalf of the Commission to enforce its rights to any payment pursuant to this Order, such as a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy case.

C. The facts alleged in the Complaint establish all elements necessary to sustain an action by or on behalf of the Commission pursuant to Section 523(a)(2)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(2)(A), and this Order will have collateral estoppel effect for such purposes.

D. All money paid to the Commission pursuant to this Order may be deposited into a fund administered by the Commission or its designee to be used for relief, including consumer redress and any attendant expenses for the administration of any redress fund. If a representative of the Commission decides that direct redress to consumers is wholly or partially impracticable or money remains after redress is completed, the Commission may apply any remaining money for such other relief (including consumer information remedies) as it determines to be reasonably related to Respondent’s practices alleged in
the Complaint. Any money not used is to be deposited to the U.S. Treasury. Respondent has no right to challenge any activities pursuant to this Provision.

E. All decisions regarding the administration and amount of redress provided shall be made by the Commission in its sole discretion; however, the names and identifying information of all consumers who receive redress shall be provided solely to the Redress Administrator pursuant to Provision XIII.

F. In the event of default on any obligation to make payment under this Order, interest, computed as if pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a), shall accrue from the date of default to the date of payment. In the event such default continues for 10 days beyond the date that payment is due, the entire amount will immediately become due and payable.

G. Each day of nonpayment is a violation through continuing failure to obey or neglect to obey a final order of the Commission and thus will be deemed a separate offense and violation for which a civil penalty shall accrue.

H. Respondent acknowledges that its Taxpayer Identification Numbers (Social Security or Employer Identification Numbers), which Respondent has previously submitted to the Commission, may be used for collecting and reporting on any delinquent amount arising out of this Order, in accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 7701.

XIII. Independent Redress Administrator

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that an independent redress administrator (“Administrator”) shall be appointed to assist with the efficient administration of consumer redress:

A. Commission staff, in their sole discretion, shall select the Administrator, who shall be an independent third party, not an employee of the Commission or Respondent.

B. Within 7 days of entry of this Order, Respondent must provide the Administrator with all information necessary to identify all Customers and all information necessary for the efficient administration of consumer redress to those Customers. Respondent stipulates it has provided such information to its undersigned counsel. If a representative of the Commission or the Administrator requests any additional information related to consumer redress, Respondent must provide it, in the form prescribed by the Commission or the Administrator, within 14 days of the request, provided that, any request for personally identifying Customer information shall be directed solely to the Administrator.

C. The Administrator shall be responsible for reviewing, assessing, and evaluating the Customer information for consumer redress, and for ensuring the efficient administration of consumer redress as follows:

1. The Administrator shall receive, review, and assess the Customer information provided by Respondent to ensure it is sufficient for the efficient administration of
consumer redress as determined by the Commission. If a representative of the Commission requests any additional information related to redress, the Administrator must provide it, in the form prescribed by the Commission, within 14 days, provided however, that the Administrator may not share personally identifying Customer information with the Commission.

2. Within 45 days of entry of this Order, the Administrator shall confirm in writing that it has a complete list of Customers, or that the Administrator does not and why not.

3. The Administrator is responsible for conducting supplemental address searches or other inquiries related to consumer redress if the Commission or the Administrator determines it necessary or advisable.

4. The Administrator is authorized to choose, engage, and employ service providers as the Administrator deems advisable or necessary in the performance of the Administrator’s duties and responsibilities under the authority granted by this Order. The Administrator may only employ service providers capable of safeguarding Customer information they receive from the Administrator, and the Administrator must contractually require service providers to implement and maintain safeguards for such Customer information.

5. The Administrator shall administer consumer redress as specified by the Commission. The Administrator must follow all instructions dictated by the Commission for the efficient administration of consumer redress, including but not limited to instructions pertaining to consumer communications and redress process and distributions.

6. The Administrator must cooperate with the Commission to request the transfer of funds necessary for consumer redress distribution.

7. No later than three months after the date on which the Administrator is retained, and every three months thereafter until such time the Commission determines the administration of consumer redress has concluded, the Administrator shall submit a report to the Commission concerning the status of consumer redress and detailing the progress of the administration of consumer redress, including but not limited to the amounts of funds distributed for redress payment, the consumer participation rate, the length of time for consumers to receive redress payment, and any complaints received regarding consumer redress.

D. Respondent shall fully cooperate with and assist the Administrator. That cooperation and assistance shall include, but not be limited to, providing information to the Administrator as the Administrator deems necessary to be fully informed and discharge the responsibilities of the Administrator under this Order. For matters concerning this Order, the Administrator is authorized to communicate directly with Respondent.
E. Respondent is responsible for all costs and fees invoiced by the Administrator for its services, and the provision of consumer redress. The FTC is not responsible for any such costs or fees. None of the funds used to satisfy Provision XI of this Order shall be used to pay for the Administrator or any of its associated costs or fees.

XIV. Acknowledgments of the Order

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent obtain acknowledgments of receipt of this Order:

A. Respondent, within 10 days after the effective date of this Order, must submit to the Commission an acknowledgment of receipt of this Order sworn under penalty of perjury.

B. For 10 years after the issuance date of this Order, Respondent must deliver a copy of this Order to: (1) all principals, officers, directors, and LLC managers and members; (2) all employees having managerial responsibilities for conduct related to the subject matter of the Order and all agents and representatives who participate in conduct related to the subject matter of the Order; and (3) any business entity resulting from any change in structure as set forth in Provision XV. Delivery must occur within 10 days after the effective date of this Order for current personnel. For all others, delivery must occur before they assume their responsibilities.

C. From each individual or entity to which Respondent delivered a copy of this Order, that Respondent must obtain, within 30 days, a signed and dated acknowledgment of receipt of this Order.

XV. Compliance Reports and Notices

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent makes timely submissions to the Commission:

A. One hundred and eighty days after the effective date of this Order, and annually thereafter for five more years, Respondent must submit a compliance report, sworn under penalty of perjury, in which Respondent must: (a) identify the primary physical, postal, and email address and telephone number, as designated points of contact, which representatives of the Commission, may use to communicate with Respondent; (b) identify all of that Respondent’s businesses by all of their names, telephone numbers, and physical, postal, email, and Internet addresses; (c) describe the activities of each business, including the services offered, the means of advertising and marketing, what Covered Information it collects, how Covered Information is used and disclosed to Third Parties; (d) describe in detail whether and how Respondent is in compliance with each Provision of this Order, including a discussion of all of the changes Respondent made to comply with the Order; and (e) provide a copy of each Acknowledgment of the Order obtained pursuant to this Order, unless previously submitted to the Commission.
B. Respondent must submit a compliance notice, sworn under penalty of perjury, within 14 days of any change in: (a) any designated point of contact; or (b) the structure of any Covered Business, including: creation, merger, sale, or dissolution of the entity or any subsidiary, parent, or affiliate that engages in any acts or practices subject to this Order.

C. Respondent must submit notice of the filing of any bankruptcy petition, insolvency proceeding, or similar proceeding by or against such Respondent within 14 days of its filing.

D. Any submission to the Commission required by this Order to be sworn under penalty of perjury must be true and accurate and comply with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, such as by concluding: “I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on: ____” and supplying the date, signatory’s full name, title (if applicable), and signature.

E. Unless otherwise directed by a Commission representative in writing, all submissions to the Commission pursuant to this Order must be emailed to DEbrief@ftc.gov or sent by overnight courier (not the U.S. Postal Service) to: Associate Director for Enforcement, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20580. The subject line must begin: “In re BetterHelp, Inc., [X-number].”

XVI. Recordkeeping

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent must create certain records for 20 years after the issuance date of the Order, and retain each such record for 5 years, unless otherwise specified below. Specifically, Respondent for each Covered Business, must create and retain the following records:

A. accounting records showing the revenues from all products or services sold, the costs incurred in generating those revenues, and resulting net profit or loss;

B. personnel records showing, for each person providing services in relation to any aspect of the Order, whether as an employee or otherwise, that person’s: name; addresses; telephone numbers; job title or position; dates of service; and (if applicable) the reason for termination;

C. copies or records of all consumer complaints and refund requests concerning the collection, use, maintenance, disclosure, deletion, or permission of access to Covered Information, whether received directly or indirectly, such as through a Third Party, and any response;

D. records of all disclosures of consumers’ Covered Information to Third Parties showing, for each Third Party that received Covered Information, the name and address of the Third Party, the date(s) of such disclosures, the purpose(s) for which the Covered
Information was transferred, and how and when Respondent obtained consumers’ Affirmative Express Consent for the disclosures in accordance with Provision II;

E. a copy of each unique advertisement or other marketing material making a representation subject to this Order;

F. a copy of each widely disseminated representation by Respondent that describes the extent to which Respondent maintains or protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of any Covered Information, including any representation concerning a change in any website or other service controlled by Respondent that relates to the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of Covered Information;

G. for 5 years after the date of preparation of each Assessment required by Provision VII, all materials relied upon to prepare the Assessment, whether prepared by or on behalf of Respondent, including all plans, reports, studies, reviews, audits, audit trails, policies, training materials, and assessments, and any other materials concerning Respondent’s compliance with related Provisions of this Order, for the compliance period covered by such Assessment;

H. for 5 years from the date received, copies of all subpoenas and other communications with law enforcement, if such communication relate to Respondent’s compliance with this Order;

I. for 5 years from the date created or received, all records, whether prepared by or on behalf of Respondent, that tend to show any lack of compliance by Respondent with this Order; and

J. all records necessary to demonstrate full compliance with each Provision of this Order, including all submissions to the Commission.

XVII. Compliance Monitoring

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the purpose of monitoring Respondent’s compliance with this Order:

A. Within 10 days of receipt of a written request from a representative of the Commission, Respondent must: submit additional compliance reports or other requested information, which must be sworn under penalty of perjury, and produce records for inspection and copying.

B. For matters concerning this Order, representatives of the Commission are authorized to communicate directly with Respondent. Respondent must permit representatives of the Commission to interview anyone affiliated with Respondent who has agreed to such an interview. The interviewee may have counsel present.
C. The Commission may use all other lawful means, including posing through its representatives as consumers, suppliers, or other individuals or entities, to Respondent or any individual or entity affiliated with Respondent, without the necessity of identification or prior notice. Nothing in this Order limits the Commission’s lawful use of compulsory process, pursuant to Sections 9 & 20 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 49, 57b-1.

XVIII. Order Effective Dates

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is final and effective upon the date of its publication on the Commission’s website (ftc.gov) as a final order. This Order will terminate 20 years from the date of its issuance (which date may be stated at the end of this Order, near the Commission’s seal), or 20 years from the most recent date that the United States or the Commission files a complaint (with or without an accompanying settlement) in federal court alleging any violation of this Order, whichever comes later; provided, however, that the filing of such a complaint will not affect the duration of:

A. any Provision in this Order that terminates in less than 20 years;

B. this Order’s application to Respondent that is not named as a defendant in such complaint; and

C. this Order if such complaint is filed after the Order has terminated pursuant to this Provision.

Provided, further, that if such complaint is dismissed or a federal court rules that Respondent did not violate any Provision of the Order, and the dismissal or ruling is either not appealed or upheld on appeal, then the Order will terminate according to this Provision as though the complaint had never been filed, except that the Order will not terminate between the date such complaint is filed and the later of the deadline for appealing such dismissal or ruling and the date such dismissal or ruling is upheld on appeal.

By the Commission.

April J. Tabor
Secretary
Exhibit A

Notice to Covered Users

[Subject: The Federal Trade Commission Alleges That We Shared Information About You Without Your Permission]

[To appear with the BetterHelp logo]

Hello,

We are contacting you because you used BetterHelp’s services (or its partner services Pride Counseling, Teen Counseling, Faithful Counseling, iCounseling, ReGain, or Terapeuta) or created an account for one of these services between January 2013 and December 2020. When you used our services, we promised to keep your personal health information private. The Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) alleges that we shared health information about you to other companies without your approval.

What happened?

The FTC alleges that we shared information about you, including information that could be used to identify you, with Facebook, Inc. (now “Meta”); Snapchat (Snap Inc.); Pinterest; and/or Criteo. The FTC alleges that this information may have included:

- Your hashed email address, which these companies used to identify you if you had an account with them
- The IP address that may identify your device when you access our service
- If you answered “yes” to the Intake Questionnaire question “Have you ever been in therapy before?”
- If you answered “good” or “fair” to the Intake Questionnaire question “How would you rate your current financial status?”

The FTC alleges that, in many cases, the companies we shared your information with linked it with your accounts on their platforms so we could show ads to you or people like you.

We didn’t share your messages, transcripts of conversations, sessions data, journal entries, worksheets, or any other type of communications between you and your therapist with these companies.
What are we doing in response?

We have entered into an agreement with the FTC relating to the sharing of this information. To resolve the case:

- We’ll tell the advertising companies that received your information to delete it.
- We aren’t sharing your health information with other companies for advertising anymore. And we aren’t sharing your personal information for advertising without your permission.
- We’ll enhance our privacy program to better protect your personal health information. An independent third party will audit our program to make sure we’re protecting your information. These audits will happen every two years for the next 20 years.

Learn more

If you have any questions, email us at [email address].

To learn more about the settlement, go to ftc.gov and search for “BetterHelp.”

For advice on protecting your health privacy, read the FTC’s Does your health app protect your sensitive info?
The Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”), having reason to believe that BetterHelp, Inc., a corporation, has violated the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and it appearing to the Commission that this proceeding is in the public interest, alleges:

1. Respondent BetterHelp, Inc. (“BetterHelp” or “Respondent”), also doing business as Compile, Inc.; MyTherapist; Teen Counseling; Faithful Counseling; Pride Counseling; iCounseling; ReGain; and Terappeuta, is a Delaware corporation with its principal office or place of business at 990 Villa Street, Mountain View, CA 94041.

2. Respondent has developed, advertised, and offered for sale an online counseling service (the “Service”)—including specialized versions of the Service for people of the Christian faith, members of the LGBTQ community, and teenagers—which matches users with Respondent’s therapists and then facilitates counseling via Respondent’s websites and apps.

3. Millions of consumers have signed up for the Service, entrusting Respondent with their email addresses, IP addresses, and certain information about their health status and histories—such as the fact that they are seeking or are in therapy, and whether they have previously been in therapy. Because Respondent collects certain types of personal information from consumers when they take affirmative steps to sign up for the Service, Respondent’s disclosure of that information to a third party would implicitly disclose the consumer’s interest in or use of the
Service and therefore constitute a disclosure of the consumer’s health information. For example, because Respondent obtained a consumer’s email address only when the consumer took affirmative steps to utilize the Service, Respondent’s disclosure of this information would identify the consumer as associated with seeking and/or receiving mental health treatment. Similarly, Respondent’s disclosure that a consumer took affirmative steps to sign up for the Service (such as by filling out Respondent’s intake questionnaire for the Service or becoming a paying user), along with an identifier (for example, an IP address), would disclose the consumer’s seeking of mental health treatment via the Service.

4. Recognizing the sensitivity of this health information, Respondent has repeatedly promised to keep it private and use it only for non-advertising purposes such as to facilitate consumers’ therapy.

5. From 2013 to December 2020, however, Respondent continually broke these privacy promises, monetizing consumers’ health information to target them and others with advertisements for the Service. For example, from 2018 to 2020, Respondent used these consumers’ email addresses and the fact that they had previously been in therapy to instruct Facebook to identify similar consumers and target them with advertisements for the Service, bringing in tens of thousands of new paying users, and millions of dollars in revenue, as a result.

6. To capitalize on these consumers’ health information, Respondent handed it over to numerous third-party advertising platforms, including Facebook, Pinterest, Snapchat, and Criteo, often permitting these companies to use the information for their own research and product development as well.

7. In addition, Respondent failed to employ reasonable measures to safeguard the health information it collected from consumers. In particular, Respondent did not properly train its employees on how to protect the information when using it for advertising, and Respondent did not properly supervise its staff in the use of the information. Respondent also failed to provide consumers with proper notice as to the collection, use, and disclosure of their health information. And Respondent failed to limit contractually how third parties could use consumers’ health information, instead merely agreeing to their stock contracts and terms.

8. It was only in December 2020, well after reporters brought these practices to light and the FTC began investigating the practices, that Respondent curtailed its unauthorized use and disclosure of consumers’ health information.

9. The acts and practices of Respondent alleged in this complaint have been in or affecting commerce, as “commerce” is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

I. Background

A. The Service

10. Respondent offers the Service under several names, each of which has its own website and app (collectively, the “Multi-Sites”). Its primary website and app, which is named “BetterHelp,” serves general audiences and has been in operation since 2013. Faithful Counseling, in operation since July 2017, is aimed at consumers of the Christian faith. Pride
Counseling, in operation since August 2017, caters to the LGBTQ community. Teen Counseling, in operation since January 2017, offers counseling to 13- to 18-year-olds with parental consent. And ReGain, in operation since May 2016, offers couples counseling. The Multi-Sites all function similarly and facilitate therapy via the Service, and they are all subject to Respondent’s policies, practices, and procedures.

11. Users pay $60 to $90 per week for counseling through the Service. To sign up for the Service and become a paying user (a “User”), an individual visiting one of the Multi-Sites (a “Visitor”) must fill out a questionnaire (the “Intake Questionnaire”), answering detailed questions about the Visitor’s mental health.

12. Upon completing the Intake Questionnaire, a Visitor is prompted to create an account for the Service by entering the Visitor’s name or nickname, email address, phone number, and emergency contact information. The Visitor is then asked to enter credit card information to become a paying User.

13. Respondent then utilizes the User’s responses to the Intake Questionnaire to match the User with one of Respondent’s more than 25,000 licensed therapists. Respondent’s therapists provide Users with mental health therapy via video conferencing, text messaging, live chat, and audio calls.

14. Respondent’s primary website and app, “BetterHelp,” has seen explosive growth over the last few years, adding over 118,000 U.S. Users in 2018, over 158,000 U.S. Users in 2019, and over 641,000 U.S. Users in 2020. Since its inception, BetterHelp has signed up over 2 million Users, and, today, it has over 374,000 active Users in the United States. As a result, Respondent earned over $345 million in revenue in 2020, and over $720 million in revenue in 2021.

B. Respondent’s Marketing History

15. Since its inception, Respondent has utilized numerous third parties to market the Service, including, at various times, Facebook, Snapchat, Pinterest, and Criteo. In addition, Respondent has advertised the Service on search engines, television, podcasts, and radio.

16. In 2017, Respondent delegated most decision-making authority over its use of Facebook’s advertising services to a Junior Marketing Analyst who was a recent college graduate, had never worked in marketing, and had no experience and little training in safeguarding consumers’ health information when using that information for advertising. In doing so, Respondent gave the Junior Marketing Analyst carte blanche to decide which Visitors’ and Users’ health information to upload to Facebook and how to use that information. This same individual, who now holds the title “Senior Marketing Analyst,” continues to oversee Respondent’s use of Facebook’s advertising tools.

17. Respondent provided this marketing analyst with little training on how to protect Visitors’ and Users’ health information in connection with advertising until 2021. In fact, while

---

1 Respondent also offered the Service through the iCounseling website and app from February 2017-November 2020, the Terappeuta website and app from March 2017-March 2019, and the MyTherapist website and app from June 2017-March 2019.
Respondent has purported to provide privacy training to its employees since 2015, it was not until 2021 that Respondent gave them any training specific to its business or advertising.

18. Respondent has spent tens of millions of dollars annually to market the Service. In 2020, for example, it spent $10-$20 million on Facebook advertising, and by 2021 Respondent’s advertising on Facebook was bringing in approximately 30,000 to 40,000 new Users per quarter.

II. **Respondent’s Deceptive Business Practices**

19. In connection with the advertisement and sale of the Service, Respondent has disseminated, or caused to be disseminated, false and deceptive statements about its use and disclosure of consumers’ health information. Respondent also disseminated, or caused to be disseminated, misleading and deceptive representations regarding its compliance with federal health privacy laws. Visitors and Users relied on these representations and were misled as a result.

   A. **Deceptive Statements About Privacy on Respondent’s Websites and Apps**

   Respondent’s deceptive statements concerning Intake Questionnaire responses

20. Upon arriving at any of the Multi-Sites, a Visitor is immediately prompted to begin the Intake Questionnaire. For example, on the BetterHelp website, a Visitor begins the Intake Questionnaire by selecting whether he or she is looking for “Individual,” “Couples,” or “Teen” therapy, as shown below:

![Intake Questionnaire Screenshot]

21. After making a selection, the Visitor is ushered through the Intake Questionnaire, which asks an array of questions. For many Visitors, these questions include whether the Visitor is “experiencing overwhelming sadness, grief, or depression”; whether the Visitor has been having thoughts that the Visitor “would be better off dead or hurting yourself in some way”; whether the Visitor is “currently taking any medication”; whether the Visitor has “problems or worries about intimacy”; and whether the Visitor has previously been in therapy.

22. The Intake Questionnaire also asks whether the Visitor identifies as a member of the Christian faith, shuttling such individuals to Faithful Counseling. Similarly, the Intake Questionnaire takes those who identify as members of the LGBTQ community to Pride
Counseling. And Respondent ushers teenagers to Teen Counseling, where the teenage Visitors provide their responses to the Intake Questionnaire before Respondent obtains parental consent.

23.  Respondent has included privacy assurances throughout the Intake Questionnaire. Until November 2021, each Multi-Site displayed a banner at the top of each question, explaining that Respondent is merely asking for “some general and anonymous background information about you and the issues you’d like to deal with in online therapy” (emphasis added) so that the Visitor can be matched “with the most suitable therapist for you.”

24.  As Visitors proceed through the Intake Questionnaire, Respondent includes additional periodic privacy assurances. From at least August 2017 to December 2020, when a Visitor reached the question as to whether the Visitor was taking medication, the Visitor was shown the statement: “Rest assured—any information provided in this questionnaire will stay private between you and your counselor.”

25.  In December 2020, Respondent changed the statement to read: “Rest assured—this information will stay private between you and your counselor” (emphasis on alteration added). And in January 2021, Respondent changed it again to state: “Rest assured—your health information will stay private between you and your counselor” (emphasis on alteration added). This version, which was in use until September 2021, is circled in red below:

![Red circled image of privacy assurance]

In October 2021, Respondent removed this representation altogether.

26.  After being presented with these repeated promises of privacy, millions of Visitors, including those that became Users, filled out the Intake Questionnaire and shared their health information with Respondent.

27.  Despite the aforementioned assurances of privacy, Respondent disclosed Visitors’ and Users’ Intake Questionnaire responses, as well as their email addresses and IP addresses, to Facebook for advertising purposes, as well as for Facebook’s own purposes, as discussed in Paragraphs 51-54 and 57 below.
Respondent falsely promised to keep Christian, LGBTQ, and teenage consumers’ email addresses “strictly private”

28. From at least August 2017 to as recently as December 2020, Respondent gave additional privacy assurances to Faithful Counseling, Pride Counseling, and Teen Counseling Visitors to induce them to sign up for the Service, stating that their email addresses would be “kept strictly private” and “never shared, sold or disclosed to anyone.” This representation, which Respondent displayed prominently and unavoidably during the sign-up process, is circled in red below:

29. Tens of thousands of Visitors provided Respondent with their email addresses and signed up for Faithful Counseling, Pride Counseling, and Teen Counseling after viewing this privacy assurance.

30. Respondent understood that its disclosure of Visitors’ email addresses in association with BetterHelp would reveal that the Visitors were seeking mental health treatment through the Service. And Respondent understood that consumers would want to keep this information private. In fact, a senior BetterHelp employee acknowledged at an investigational hearing conducted by FTC staff that individuals “want to keep . . . the fact that they’re in therapy private” and at times even “keep their identities . . . secret from their therapist[s].”

31. Nevertheless, Respondent disclosed the email addresses of thousands of these Visitors to various third parties for advertising purposes and the third parties’ own purposes, as discussed in further detail in Paragraphs 47-55 and 57, thereby revealing to the third parties that these Visitors were seeking and/or receiving mental health treatment via the Service.
32. In addition to making false representations, Respondent has pushed Visitors and Users into handing over their health information before they have ever had a chance to read any privacy disclosures.

33. Upon visiting any of the Multi-Sites, Visitors are urged to begin the Intake Questionnaire and hand over their health information. At the same time, Visitors are repeatedly presented with the aforementioned privacy assurances discussed in Paragraphs 23-25 and 28—displayed in large, high-contrast, unavoidable text.

34. By contrast, Respondent linked to the privacy policy in small, low-contrast writing that is barely visible at the bottom of the page.

35. The image below depicts the BetterHelp homepage (www.betterhelp.com), with the prompts to enter the Intake Questionnaire magnified at the top and the link to the privacy policy magnified at the bottom and circled in red:
36. In September 2020, Respondent added the below banner to the bottom of every page of its Multi-Sites (until a Visitor closed it), which stated: “We use cookies to help the site function properly, analyze usage, and measure the effectiveness of our ads. We never sell or rent any information you share with us. Read our Privacy Policy [(linked)] to learn more.”
37. Despite including a link to the privacy policy, the banner effectively dissuaded Visitors from reading the privacy policy by stating, until October 2020, that Respondent would “never sell or rent any information you share with us.”

38. In May 2021, Respondent revised the banner and added the following underlined language: “We use BetterHelp and third-party cookies and web beacons to help the site function properly, analyze usage, target and measure the effectiveness of our ads. Read our Privacy Policy [(linked)] to learn more and go to Cookie Preferences to manage your settings” (emphasis added). But this banner still did not inform Visitors that Respondent would use and disclose their health information for advertising or that third parties would be able to use Visitors’ information for their own purposes.

39. It was not until October 2021 that Respondent revised the banner to state that it discloses Visitors’ IP addresses and other personal identifiers for advertising and offered Visitors an opportunity to opt out of the disclosures via the banner.

   Respondent’s privacy policies claimed limited use and disclosure of consumers’ information

40. Those Visitors and Users that persevered and read Respondent’s privacy policy were presented with additional deceptive statements about Respondent’s use and disclosure of health information.

41. From August 2013 to November 2018, Respondent’s privacy policies represented that it would use and disclose Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses, IP addresses, enrollment in the Service, and Intake Questionnaire responses for certain purposes, including to connect them with therapists and operate the Service. Notably, these privacy policies made no mention of using or disclosing this information for advertising purposes, and they said nothing about permitting third parties to use this information for their own purposes.

42. In November 2018, Respondent updated the privacy policy to state affirmatively that it would use and disclose this information only for limited purposes, such as to operate and improve the Service. These limited purposes did not include using or disclosing the information for advertising or disclosing the information to third parties for their own purposes.

43. Respondent revised its privacy policy again in September 2019, stating that it might use this health information for advertising. But the policy continued to say that Respondent would only disclose this information to third parties for certain stated limited purposes, which did not include advertising or the third parties’ own purposes. In September 2020, Respondent revised the privacy policy yet again, finally stating that it may both use and disclose Visitors’ and Users’ information for advertising. But, even then, the privacy policy continued to claim that Respondent would disclose this information to third parties for only the stated limited purposes, which did not include third parties’ own purposes.

44. From August 2013 to June 2021, Respondent’s privacy policies stated that it would use web beacons (including pixels) and cookies for limited purposes. These limited purposes did not include the use or disclosure of Visitors’ or Users’ health information for advertising purposes, or the disclosure of this information for third parties’ own purposes. These tools allow
Respondent and third parties to collect Visitors’ and Users’ information when they use one of the Multi-Sites, including what pages a Visitor or User visits and what information a Visitor or User inputs into the website (which would include the Visitor’s or User’s email address, IP address, and certain Intake Questionnaire responses).

45. But, as discussed in Paragraphs 46-57 below, these privacy policy representations misled Visitors and Users. In fact, Respondent used and disclosed Visitors’ and Users’ health information for advertising purposes, and Respondent disclosed this information to third parties for their own purposes, from 2013 to December 2020. Respondent used and disclosed this information for advertising purposes through various means, including by uploading consumers’ email addresses to third-party advertising platforms and through web beacons (specifically pixels) Respondent had placed on various pages of the Multi-Sites.

B. Respondent Used and Disclosed Millions of Consumers’ Health Information for Advertising

46. Since 2013, Respondent has repeatedly broken each of its aforementioned privacy promises, using Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses, IP addresses, enrollment in the Service, and certain Intake Questionnaire responses for various advertising purposes, including (1) re-targeting Visitors with advertisements for the Service; (2) using Users’ health information to find and target potential new Users with advertisements—on the basis that these potential new Users were likely to sign up for the Service because they shared traits with current Users; and (3) optimizing Respondent’s advertisements, which involved targeting advertisements at individuals with attributes similar to those that had previously responded to Respondent’s ads, such as new Users. Using this health information for advertising, Respondent has brought in hundreds of thousands of new Users, resulting in millions of dollars in additional revenue.

47. Respondent utilized a number of third-party advertising platforms, including Facebook, Snapchat, Criteo, and Pinterest, to carry out this advertising. To do so, Respondent disclosed Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses, IP addresses, enrollment in the Service, and certain Intake Questionnaire responses to these third parties, as detailed below.

48. As noted above, each such disclosure of even a Visitor’s or User’s email address constituted a disclosure of the Visitor’s or User’s health information. Specifically, because Respondent collected email addresses only from Visitors and Users seeking mental health therapy via the Service (by filling out the Intake Questionnaire, signing up for the Service, and/or becoming a User), disclosure of a Visitor’s or User’s email address implicitly identified the Visitor or User as one seeking and/or receiving mental health treatment via the Service.

49. Although Respondent “hashed” Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses (i.e., converted the email addresses into a sequence of letters and numbers through a cryptographic tool) before disclosing them to third parties, the hashing was not meant to conceal the Visitors’ and Users’ identities from Facebook or the other recipient third parties. Rather, the hashing was done merely to hide the email addresses from a bad actor in the event of a security breach. In fact, Respondent knew that third parties such as Facebook were able to, and in fact would, effectively undo the hashing and reveal the email addresses of those Visitors and Users with accounts on the respective third parties’ platforms, which is how Facebook matched these email addresses with
Facebook user IDs. Indeed, Facebook’s standard terms of service, to which Respondent agreed, explained that Facebook would use hashed email addresses it received from Respondent to match Visitors and Users with their Facebook user IDs for advertising purposes, among other things. Thus, Respondent knew that by sending these lists of Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses to third parties, it was telling these third parties which of their users were seeking or in therapy through the Service.

50. In addition, Respondent disclosed the Visitor’s or User’s IP address in conjunction with other data about their enrollment in the Service and/or their Intake Questionnaire responses to third parties. Each such disclosure similarly constituted a disclosure of the Visitor’s or User’s health information because it both identified the individual (via the IP address) and conveyed to the recipient third party that the Visitor or User was seeking and/or receiving mental health treatment via the Service (via his or her enrollment in the Service or answering the Intake Questionnaire).

51. **Health information shared with Facebook:** Respondent disclosed Visitors’ and Users’ health information to Facebook in two ways.

52. First, Respondent compiled lists of Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses, which it then uploaded to Facebook to match these individuals to their Facebook user accounts in order to target them and others like them with advertisements. Between 2017 and 2018, Respondent uploaded lists of over 7 million Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses to Facebook. Facebook matched over 4 million of these Visitors and Users with their Facebook user IDs, linking their use of the Service for mental health treatment with their Facebook accounts. Several examples are listed below:

   a. **January 2017 – October 2018:** Respondent uploaded over 170,000 Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses to Facebook, re-targeting these individuals and targeting potential new Users with advertisements for the Service.

   b. **January 2018 – October 2018:** Respondent uploaded over 15,000 Users’ email addresses to Facebook to find and target new potential Users with advertisements for the Service.

   c. **October 2017:** Respondent uploaded the email addresses of all their current and former Users—nearly 2 million in total—to Facebook, targeting them all with advertisements to refer their Facebook friends to the Service.

53. Second, from 2013 to December 2020, Respondent shared Visitors’ and Users’ email addresses, IP addresses, and records known as “Events” with Facebook. These Events automatically tracked certain actions of each Visitor and User on the Multi-Sites, such as when they answered certain questions on the Intake Questionnaire in a certain way or when a Visitor enrolled in the Service to become a User. Respondent recorded and automatically disclosed these Events to Facebook through web beacons Respondent had placed on each of the Multi-Sites. Respondent disclosed Visitors’ and Users’ IP addresses, email addresses, and/or other persistent identifiers to Facebook alongside the Events so that Facebook could match the Events
information with the Visitors’ and Users’ Facebook accounts for advertising. Several examples are listed below:

a. **January 2018:** Respondent disclosed to Facebook that over 70,000 Visitors had signed up for accounts (but had not become paying Users)—through an Event denoting as much—in order to re-target them with advertisements for the Service.

b. **November 2018 – March 2020:** Respondent disclosed to Facebook over 1.5 million Visitors’ and Users’ previous therapy—gathered through their affirmative responses to the Intake Questionnaire question “Have you been in counseling or therapy before?”—to re-target the Visitors with advertisements and optimize Respondent’s advertisements.

c. **October 2018 – November 2020:** Respondent used and shared over 3.5 million Visitors’ and Users’ “good” or “fair” financial status—gathered through the Intake Questionnaire—with Facebook to optimize Respondent’s advertisements and to find potential new Users and target them with advertisements.

d. **January – December 2020:** Respondent shared with Facebook the fact that over 180,000 Visitors had become paying Users—through an Event denoting they had entered credit card information after completing the Intake Questionnaire—to optimize Respondent’s advertisements and to find potential new Users and target them with advertisements.

54. Respondent labeled the Intake Questionnaire responses concerning prior therapy and financial status with anonymous Event titles before giving them to Facebook; however, in July 2018, the previously mentioned inexperienced and insufficiently trained Junior Marketing Analyst whom Respondent had put in charge of Facebook advertising revealed certain Events’ true meaning to Facebook via the Facebook employee that serviced Respondent’s advertising account. For example, though an affirmative response to the question “Have you been in counseling or therapy before?” was coded as “AddToWishlist,” the analyst revealed to Facebook that this event meant that the “user completes questionnaire marking they have been in therapy before,” thereby disclosing millions of Visitors’ and Users’ prior therapy to Facebook.

55. **Health information shared with other third parties:** In January 2019, Respondent disclosed to Snapchat the IP addresses and email addresses of approximately 5.6 million Visitors to re-target them with advertisements for the Service. From July 2018 to January 2019, Respondent disclosed the email addresses of over 70,000 Visitors—including Pride Counseling and Faithful Counseling Visitors—to Criteo in order to re-target them with advertisements. And, from August 2019 to September 2020, Respondent disclosed Visitors’ email addresses to Pinterest for advertising.

56. **Additional use of health information for advertising:** From November 2017 to October 2020, Respondent used information concerning approximately 600,000 Pride Counseling Visitors’ or Users’ mental health statuses and their connection with the Visitors’ and Users’ LGBTQ identities to optimize future advertisements for the Service on Facebook. Respondent gathered this information through the Intake Questionnaire whenever a Pride
Counseling Visitor or User revealed that the Visitor’s or User’s “LGBTQ identity is contributing to your mental health concerns.” Respondent used Facebook to identify characteristics and interests common among these Visitors and Users and then to target future advertisements for the Service on Facebook to individuals with similar characteristics and interests.

57. **Failure to limit third parties’ use of health information:** In disclosing Visitors’ and Users’ health information to Facebook and other third parties, Respondent did not contractually limit how the third parties could use and disclose the data other than merely agreeing to these third parties’ general terms of service, which either placed no restrictions on the third parties’ use and disclosure of the information or specifically permitted the third parties to use the information for their own purposes. For example, Facebook’s Business Tools Terms, to which Respondent agreed, stated that it “may also use Event Data . . . for research and development purposes, and to . . . improve the Facebook Company Products.” Similarly, Pinterest’s Ad Data Terms provided: “We use Ad Data you give us for measuring ad effectiveness, ad delivery and reporting, improving safety and security on Pinterest, research and product development, and for other uses that you give us permission for.” And Facebook has in fact used the Visitor and User information it received from Respondent for its own purposes, including improving its advertising products, tracking suspicious activity on its platforms, and research and development.

58. Further, though Respondent has deleted some of the Visitor and User information it disclosed to third parties from those third parties’ advertising platforms, this deletion did not remove the information from those third parties’ underlying databases.

C. Respondent’s Deceptive Statements Were Material to Consumers

59. Respondent’s deceptive privacy assurances were material to consumers.

60. Visitors and Users want to keep their health information private. Indeed, a senior BetterHelp employee acknowledged at an investigational hearing conducted by FTC staff that consumers want “privacy in the context of therapy.”

61. And Respondent acknowledges that this information is sensitive. In fact, Respondent’s customer service representatives tell consumers that their “name, age, address, email, medical history, conversations between you and your counselor” are “PHI” or “Protected Health Information”\(^2\) (emphasis added).

62. Following the February 2020 publication of news reports that Respondent was sharing consumers’ health information with third parties, including Facebook, numerous Users contacted Respondent and voiced their anger about the disclosures. For example, one individual noted: “I learned that you sell yet more private information to Facebook. This is disgusting. This information makes clients easily identifiable and your platform takes 100% control of its dissemination. I have no ability to decide where that information is sent. Only you do.” Another stated: “I have not given ANY consent to share my information with ANYONE. ESPECIALLY ads targeting my mental health ‘weakness.’” And another called Respondent an “untrustworthy

---

\(^2\)**Protected Health Information** is information that is considered sensitive and is protected by federal health privacy laws in certain contexts, including the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”).
company.” Other Users demanded the truth as to Respondent’s data-sharing practices, asking for assurances as to the privacy of their health information.

63. Respondent scripted the following false responses, which customer service representatives provided to Respondent’s customers: (1) “At BetterHelp, we are fully committed to protecting data and will not pass any P[ersonally] I[dentifiable] I[nformation] and/or P[rotected] H[alth] I[nformation] to external entities including our third party partners;” and (2) “your P[rotected] H[alth] I[nformation] and P[ersonally] I[dentifiable] I[nformation] is protected and not exposed” to Facebook.

64. Similarly, several health insurance and patient-advocacy companies representing tens of thousands of Users contacted Respondent, looking for assurance that Users’ health information had not been shared with any third parties. Senior BetterHelp employees answered each such inquiry with a variation on the same falsehood, claiming again and again that Respondent did not share any health information with any third parties.

D. Respondent’s Deceptive HIPAA Seal

65. From September 2013 to December 2020, Respondent displayed seals—in proximity to seals provided by third parties to Respondent—implying Respondent’s purported compliance with HIPAA. These seals are circled in red below:

September 2013 – December 2015:

![HIPAA Seal Image]

January 2016 – December 2020:

![HIPAA Seal Image]

66. By displaying the HIPAA seals on every page of the Multi-Sites, Respondent signaled to consumers that a government agency or other third party had reviewed Respondent’s privacy and information security practices and determined that they met HIPAA’s requirements. In addition, Respondent represented to consumers that it was in fact “HIPAA certified,” with its customer service representatives informing consumers that “[y]ou will also be able to see our HIPAA certification at the bottom of” our webpages.
67. However, no government agency or other third party reviewed Respondent’s information practices for compliance with HIPAA, let alone determined that the practices met the requirements of HIPAA.

68. In addition, hundreds of Respondent’s therapists are not subject to HIPAA and the identifiable health information of Users who engage with those therapists is therefore not protected by HIPAA. Further, Respondent does not even know which of its therapists are, or are not, subject to HIPAA, and it does not know which data are, or are not, protected by that law.

69. In December 2020, after receiving a Civil Investigative Demand from the Commission, Respondent removed the “HIPAA” seals from the Multi-Sites.

III. **Respondent’s Unfair Business Practices**

A. **Respondent’s Unreasonable Privacy Practices**

70. From at least 2017 to at least 2021, Respondent has engaged in a number of practices that, individually or taken together, failed to safeguard Visitors’ and Users’ health information with respect to the collection, use, and disclosure of that information. Among other things, Respondent:

a. failed to develop, implement, or maintain written organizational standards, policies, procedures, or practices with respect to the collection, use, and disclosure of consumers’ health information, including ensuring that Respondent’s practices complied with its privacy representations to consumers;

b. failed to provide adequate guidance or training for employees or third-party contractors concerning properly safeguarding the privacy of consumers’ health information in connection with the collection, use, and disclosure of that information;

c. failed to properly supervise employees with respect to their collection, use, and disclosure of consumers’ health information;

d. failed to obtain Visitors’ and Users’ affirmative express consent to collect, use, and disclose their health information for Respondent’s advertising, as well as for third parties’ own purposes, such as research and improvement of their own products; and

e. failed to contractually limit third parties from using Visitors’ and Users’ health information for their own purposes, including but not limited to research and improvement of their own products, when Respondent did not provide Visitors and Users notice or obtain their consent for such uses.

71. As a result, Respondent repeatedly misrepresented its practices with respect to the collection, use, and disclosure of Visitors’ and Users’ health information (see Paragraphs 19-57, 62-64), and Respondent failed to provide consumers with sufficient notice or obtain their consent.
as to these practices. Respondent disclosed these Visitors’ and Users’ health information to numerous third parties without authorization.

72. These misrepresentations went on for years because, until no earlier than January 2021, Respondent did nothing to ensure that its collection, use, and disclosure practices complied with their privacy promises to Visitors and Users. Indeed, neither the head of Respondent’s marketing team, nor the analyst whom Respondent put in charge of advertising on Facebook reviewed the privacy policy on a regular basis, and there was no company requirement that anyone on the marketing team review the policy until no earlier than January 2021.

B. Injury to Consumers

73. Respondent’s collection, use, and disclosure of millions of Visitors’ and Users’ health information without reasonable privacy practices or safeguards has caused or is likely to cause them substantial injury. This health information—including whether Visitors and Users have previously been in therapy, the fact that they are seeking therapy or in therapy via the Service, and whether their LGBTQ status is affecting their mental health, together with identifying information such as their email addresses and IP addresses—is highly sensitive. Disclosure of this information without these Visitors’ and Users’ authorization is likely to cause them stigma, embarrassment, and/or emotional distress. Exposure of this information may also affect these Visitors’ and Users’ ability to obtain and/or retain employment, housing, health insurance, or disability insurance.

74. In addition, Users pay $60 to $90 per week for the Service, which provides mental health therapy and counseling and includes privacy as an integral component—a price that includes a “price premium” based on Respondent’s deceptive privacy assurances. Had Respondent not made these deceptive claims, consumers would not have been willing to purchase a subscription at the prevailing price because of consumers’ privacy concerns. Thus, Respondent’s deceptive privacy claims enabled it to inflate the price it charged to consumers, whose actual willingness to pay would have been lower had they known about the true privacy issues concerning Respondent’s services. Consumers have therefore been injured by having to pay this price premium.

75. These harms were not reasonably avoidable by consumers. It was effectively impossible for Visitors and Users to know that Respondent was using and disclosing their health information for advertising purposes because Respondent actively concealed the practices through repeated misrepresentations and a lack of notice. Indeed, as described in Paragraph 62, numerous Users expressed outrage about the disclosures upon learning of them.

76. These harms were not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition. Indeed, Respondent compromised consumers’ health information for Respondent’s own financial benefit through the growth of its user base, which only compounded these injuries by subjecting more Visitors and Users to Respondent’s deceptive and unfair practices.
Count I
Unfairness – Unfair Privacy Practices

77. As described in Paragraphs 16-17 and 70-72, Respondent failed to employ reasonable measures to protect consumers’ health information in connection with the collection, use, and disclosure of that information, resulting in the improper and unauthorized disclosure of that information to numerous third parties for advertising and other purposes.

78. Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 77 caused or are likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition and is not reasonably avoidable by consumers themselves, as described in Paragraphs 73-76.

79. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 77-78 constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (n).

Count II
Unfairness – Failure to Obtain Affirmative Express Consent Before Collecting, Using, and Disclosing Consumers’ Health Information

80. As described in Paragraphs 19-58, Respondent failed to obtain consumers’ affirmative express consent before collecting, using, and disclosing to third parties those consumers’ health information.

81. Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 80 caused or are likely to cause substantial injury to consumers that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition and is not reasonably avoidable by consumers themselves, as described in Paragraphs 73-76.

82. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 80-81 constitute unfair acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (n).

Count III
Failure to Disclose – Disclosure of Health Information for Advertising and Third Parties’ Own Uses

83. As described in Paragraphs 41 and 44, Respondent represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it would disclose consumers’ health information to third parties for limited purposes, and the listed purposes did not include advertising or third parties’ own uses.

84. In making the representations described in Paragraph 83, Respondent failed to disclose, or failed to disclose adequately to consumers, that it disclosed consumers’ health information to third parties, including Facebook, Pinterest, Snapchat, and Criteo, for advertising as well as third parties’ own uses, as alleged in Paragraphs 47-57. This additional information would have been material to consumers in their decisions to use Respondent’s services.
85. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 83-84 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Count IV
Failure to Disclose – Use of Health Information for Advertising

86. As described in Paragraphs 41 and 44, Respondent represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it would use consumers’ health information for limited purposes, and the listed purposes did not include advertising or advertising-related purposes.

87. In making the representations described in Paragraph 86, Respondent failed to disclose, or failed to disclose adequately to consumers, that it used consumers’ health information for advertising and advertising-related purposes, as alleged in Paragraphs 46, 53, and 56. This additional information would have been material to consumers in their decisions to use Respondent’s services.

88. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 86-87 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Count V
Privacy Misrepresentation – Disclosure of Health Information for Advertising and Third Parties’ Own Uses

89. As described in Paragraphs 28-31, 42-43, and 63-64, Respondent represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it would not disclose consumers’ health information to any third party for advertising or that third party’s own uses.

90. In fact, as set forth in Paragraphs 46-55 and 57, Respondent disclosed consumers’ health information to third parties, including Facebook, Pinterest, Snapchat, and Criteo, for advertising and those third parties’ own uses. Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 89 are false or misleading.

91. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 89-90 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Count VI
Privacy Misrepresentation – Use of Health Information for Advertising

92. As described in Paragraph 42, Respondent represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it would not use consumers’ health information for advertising or advertising-related purposes.

93. In fact, as set forth in Paragraphs 46, 53, and 56, Respondent did use consumers’ health information for advertising and advertising-related purposes. Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 92 are false or misleading.

94. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 92-93 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).
Count VII
Privacy Misrepresentation – Disclosure of Health Information

95. As described in Paragraphs 23-26, Respondent represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that it would not disclose consumers’ health information to anyone except each consumer’s licensed therapist.

96. In fact, as set forth in Paragraph 46-54, Respondent disclosed consumers’ health information to at least one entity other than each consumer’s licensed therapist—Facebook. Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 95 are false or misleading.

97. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 95-96 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

Count VIII
Privacy Misrepresentation – HIPAA Certification

98. As described in Paragraphs 65-66, Respondent represented, expressly or by implication, directly or indirectly, that a government agency or other third party had reviewed Respondent’s privacy and information practices and determined that they met HIPAA’s requirements.

99. In fact, as set forth in Paragraphs 67-68, no government agency or other third party had ever reviewed Respondent’s privacy or information security practices and determined that they met HIPAA’s requirements.

100. Therefore, Respondent’s acts or practices as set forth in Paragraphs 98-99 constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

THEREFORE, the Federal Trade Commission this ___ day of _______ 2022, has issued this complaint against Respondent.

By the Commission.

April J. Tabor
Secretary

SEAL:
Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment

In the Matter of BetterHelp, Inc.

File No. 2023169

The Federal Trade Commission (the “Commission”) has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from BetterHelp, Inc. (“Respondent” or “BetterHelp”).

The proposed consent order (“Proposed Order”) has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement, along with any comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the Proposed Order.

BetterHelp is an online mental-health counseling service that matches consumers with one of BetterHelp’s over 25,000 contracted licensed therapists. Through BetterHelp’s websites and apps, consumers can communicate with therapists via video conferencing, text messaging, live chat, and audio calls. BetterHelp has offered this service under several names, including BetterHelp Counseling, Faithful Counseling, Pride Counseling, ReGain, Terappeuta, iCounseling, and MyTherapist.

To sign up for BetterHelp’s counseling service, a consumer must complete an online intake questionnaire, answering detailed questions about the consumer’s mental health status and history (the “Intake Questionnaire”). Following completion of the Intake Questionnaire, the consumer can create an account by providing the consumer’s name or nickname, email address, phone number, and emergency contact information.

As consumers progressed through the Intake Questionnaire, BetterHelp represented that the consumers’ information “will stay private between you and your counselor.” Similarly, when a consumer completed the Intake Questionnaire and signed up for an account to use Faithful Counseling, Pride Counseling, or Teen Counseling, BetterHelp represented that the consumer’s email address would be “kept strictly private” and “never shared, sold or disclosed to anyone.” BetterHelp made additional privacy guarantees in its privacy policies—first implicitly and then explicitly—of limited use and limited disclosure of consumers’ email addresses, IP addresses, and health information. Despite representing to consumers that BetterHelp would keep consumers’ information private and only use their information for non-advertising purposes, BetterHelp used and disclosed information obtained from consumers through the Intake Questionnaire and sign-up process for advertising.

Additionally, BetterHelp prominently displayed a seal—in close proximity to several other seals provided by third parties—that attested to BetterHelp’s purported compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”), a statute that sets forth privacy and information security protections for health information. In addition, BetterHelp represented to consumers that it was in fact
“HIPAA certified,” with its customer service representatives informing consumers that “[y]ou will also be able to see our HIPAA certification at the bottom of” our webpages. However, no government agency or other third party had reviewed BetterHelp’s information practices for compliance with HIPAA, let alone determined that the practices met the requirements of HIPAA.

The Commission’s proposed eight-count complaint alleges that BetterHelp violated Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act by: (1) unfairly failing to employ reasonable measures to protect consumers’ health information in connection with the collection, use, and disclosure of that information (Count I); (2) unfairly failing to obtain consumers’ affirmative express consent prior to collecting, using, and disclosing consumers’ health information (Count II); (3) failing to disclose that it shared consumers’ health information with third parties for BetterHelp’s advertising purposes and the recipient third parties’ own business purposes, and failing to disclose that BetterHelp used consumers’ health information to target the consumers and others with advertisements (Counts III and IV); (4) misrepresenting that it would not disclose consumers’ health information to third parties for advertising and the recipient third parties’ own business purposes, that it would not use such information for advertising or advertising-related purposes, and that it would not share such information with anyone except each consumer’s licensed therapist (Counts V-VII); and (5) misrepresenting that a governmental agency or third party had reviewed BetterHelp’s practices and determined that such practices met the requirements of HIPAA (Count VIII).

Summary of Proposed Order with BetterHelp

The Proposed Order contains provisions designed to prevent BetterHelp from engaging in the same or similar acts or practices in the future.

Part I of the Proposed Order prohibits BetterHelp from sharing individually identifiable information relating to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition(s) of a consumer with any Third Party (i.e., any party other than BetterHelp, its service providers, therapists or counselors employed by or contracted with BetterHelp, certain employee benefit programs, and entities using consumers’ information for other very limited purposes) for advertising. Part I also prohibits BetterHelp from sharing consumers’ personal information more generally with Third Parties for the purpose of re-targeting (i.e., sharing personal information of consumers who have previously engaged with BetterHelp, such as by visiting one of its websites or using one of its apps, to send advertisements to those consumers).

Part II of the Proposed Order requires that, before it can share a consumers’ personal information with a Third Party for any purpose that is not prohibited under Part I, BetterHelp must obtain that consumer’s affirmative express consent, which includes informing the consumer of the information to be disclosed, the third parties that will receive the information, and how the information will be used.

Part III of the Proposed Order prohibits BetterHelp from misrepresenting: (1) the extent to which it collects, maintains, uses, discloses, deletes, or permits or denies access
to any Covered Information, or the extent to which it protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, or integrity of Covered Information; (2) the purposes for which BetterHelp or any entity to whom it discloses or permits access to Covered Information collects, maintains, uses, discloses, or permits access to such information; (3) the extent to which a consumer can maintain privacy and anonymity when visiting or using BetterHelp’s online properties; (4) the extent to which consumers may exercise control over BetterHelp’s collection of, maintenance of, use of, deletion of, disclosure of, or permission of access to Covered Information; (5) the extent to which BetterHelp is a member of, adheres to, complies with, is certified by, is endorsed by, or otherwise participates in any privacy, security or any other compliance program sponsored by a government or any self-regulatory or standard-setting organization; and (6) the extent to which BetterHelp is covered by HIPAA, and the extent that its privacy and information practices are in compliance with HIPAA requirements.

**Part IV** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to identify to the Commission which Third Parties received consumers’ personal information from BetterHelp without their consent and what personal information each such Third Party received. Part IV also requires that BetterHelp then ask those Third Parties to delete such personal information.

**Part V** of the Proposed Order requires that BetterHelp provide notice to consumers who created an account with BetterHelp prior to January 1, 2021, that BetterHelp may have used and disclosed their personal information for advertising.

**Part VI** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to establish and implement, and thereafter maintain, a comprehensive privacy program that protects the privacy, security, availability, confidentiality, and integrity of consumers’ Covered Information.

**Part VII** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to obtain initial and biennial privacy assessments by an independent, third-party professional (“Assessor”) for 20 years, and **Part VIII** requires BetterHelp to cooperate with the Assessor in connection with the assessments required by Part VII.

**Part IX** of the Proposed Order requires that a BetterHelp executive certify the company’s compliance with the Proposed Order.

**Part X** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to notify the Commission following the discovery of a violation of Parts I, II, or III of the Proposed Order.

**Part XI** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to pay $7,800,000 in monetary relief for consumer redress, and **Part XII** describes the procedures and legal rights related to that payment.

**Part XIII** of the Proposed Order requires BetterHelp to provide information to, and pay for, an independent redress administrator (“Administrator”) selected by the Commission, which will be responsible for administration of consumer redress.

**Parts XIV through XVII** of the Proposed Order are reporting and compliance
provisions, which include recordkeeping requirements and provisions requiring BetterHelp to provide information or documents necessary for the Commission to monitor compliance.

**Part XVIII** states that the Proposed Order will remain in effect for twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to aid public comment on the Proposed Order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or Proposed Order, or to modify in any way the Proposed Order’s terms.