	FILED	
SAMOEL JACOBSON (<i>pro nac vice</i> application sjacobson@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Stop: CC-10232 Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2870 JOHN D. JACOBS, Cal. Bar No. 134154 <i>Local Counsel</i> jjacobs@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 10990 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824-4380 (fax) <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> UNITED STATES DIST	Con pending) CLERH U.S. DIGINCI COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. SANTA ANA SY:	
소리에서 그 이렇게 여러져 있는 것이 이렇게 잘 했는 것이 이렇게 이렇게 잘 했다. 귀엽 것은 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 없는 것 않이		
талу изтак съобрания за КАК раборан 		
	SAMUEL JACOBSON (<i>pro hac vice</i> applications) sjacobson@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Stop: CC-10232 Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2870 JOHN D. JACOBS, Cal. Bar No. 134154 <i>Local Counsel</i> jjacobs@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 10990 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824-4300 (310) 824-4300 (310) 824-4380 (fax) <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> UNITED STATES DIST CENTRAL DISTRICT O FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, v. SL FINANCE LLC, a California limited liability company; MICHAEL CASTILLO, individually and as an officer of SL FINANCE LLC; and CHRISTIAN CASTILLO, individually and as an officer of SL FINANCE LLC;	KATHERINE M. AIZPURU (pro hac vice application pending) kaizpuru@ftc.gov SAMUEL JACOBSON (pro hac vice application pending) sjacobson@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Mail Stop: CC-10232 Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2870 JOHN D. JACOBS, Cal. Bar No. 134154 <i>Local Counsel</i> ijiacobs@ftc.gov FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION 10990 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90024 (310) 824-4380 (fax) <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, Plaintiff, v. SL FINANCE LLC, a California limited liability company; MICHAEL CASTILLO, individually and as an officer of SL FINANCE LLC; and CHRISTIAN CASTILLO, individually and as an officer of SL FINANCE LLC;

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC"), for its Complaint alleges: The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal 1. Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("Telemarketing Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108, Section 1401(c) of the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act of the 2021 Consolidated Appropriations Act, Pub. L. No. 116-260, 134 Stat. 1182, Div. FF, Title XIV, § 1401(c), (Prohibiting Deceptive Acts or Practices in Connection With the Novel Coronavirus) ("COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act"), and Section 522(a) of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLB Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 6822(a), which authorize the FTC to seek, and the Court to order, temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, monetary relief, and other relief, including an asset freeze, appointment of a receiver, and immediate access to Defendants' business premises, for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of (1) Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), (2) the FTC's Telemarketing Sales Rule ("TSR"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310, (3) the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act, and (4) the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6821. Defendants' violations are in connection with their deceptive marketing and sale of student loan debt relief services.

SUMMARY OF CASE

2. Defendants lure consumers, many of whom are low-income borrowers saddled with tens of thousands of dollars of student debt, into paying hundreds of dollars in exchange for false promises of loan forgiveness.

3. Defendants falsely tell borrowers that Defendants are affiliated with the federal government (or, specifically, the Department of Education); are administering government programs, including a made-up COVID-19-related student debt relief initiative; and will purchase borrowers' debt from federal loan servicers in order to secure debt relief on their behalf. Defendants then collect

-2-

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

hundreds of dollars in illegal up-front payments.

But Defendants' promises are false. Defendants do not seek or deliver 4. loan forgiveness, loan repayment plans, or even a reduced loan balance. Consumers have paid significant sums to Defendants only to find that Defendants have not applied their payments to consumers' loan balances, sought or obtained forgiveness of their loans, or taken over servicing their loans. When consumers realize they were duped and ask for a refund, Defendants often refuse to make them whole.

5. Through this action, the FTC seeks to put an end to Defendants' scheme and secure redress for the consumers whom Defendants have harmed.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 12 6. 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), (b)(2), (c)(1), (c)(2), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

PLAINTIFF

The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government 8. created by the FTC Act, which authorizes the FTC to commence this district court civil action by its own attorneys. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. Pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, the FTC promulgated and enforces the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive and abusive telemarketing acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act, which prohibits deceptive acts or practices under the FTC Act associated with a government benefit related to COVID-19, COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act § 1401(b)(2). The FTC also

enforces the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6821-27, which prohibits any person from
obtaining or attempting to obtain customer information of a financial institution
relating to another person by making a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or
representation to a customer of a financial institution.

DEFENDANTS

9. Defendant SL Finance LLC ("SL Finance") is a California limited liability company that has a principal office listed at 12900B Garden Grove Boulevard, Suite 170, Garden Grove, CA 92843. SL Finance transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States. At all times relevant to the Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, SL Finance has advertised, marketed, offered to provide, sold, or provided student loan debt relief services to consumers throughout the United States.

10. **Defendant Michael Castillo** is an owner and officer of SL Finance. He has been a signatory on the business bank accounts maintained by SL Finance, and served as a point of contact for SL Finance customer communications. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Michael Castillo is a former employee of BCO Consulting Services Inc., also d/b/a Students Loan Services LLC, a student loan debt relief company named as a defendant in the concurrently filed *Federal Trade Commission v. BCO Consulting Services, Inc.* Defendant Michael Castillo resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

11. Defendant Christian Castillo is an owner and officer of SL Finance.
He has been a signatory on the business bank accounts maintained by SL Finance
and served as the customer contact for SL Finance's telecommunications

agreements. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Christian Castillo is a former employee of BCO Consulting Services Inc., also d/b/a Students Loan Services LLC, a student loan debt relief company named as a defendant in the concurrently filed *Federal Trade Commission v. BCO Consulting Services, Inc.* Defendant Christian Castillo resides in this District and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this District and throughout the United States.

COMMERCE

12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

BACKGROUND ON STUDENT LOAN FORGIVENESS AND REPAYMENT PROGRAMS

13. Student loan debt is the second largest class of consumer debt, with over 45 million borrowers owing approximately \$1.75 trillion. Student loan debt is also one of the most distressed classes of debt: approximately \$110.5 billion of student loans are in default.

14. The federal government administers several student loan forgiveness and discharge programs. These include income-driven repayment ("IDR") programs, which allow eligible borrowers to limit their monthly payments based on a percentage of their discretionary monthly income and offer forgiveness after a borrower has made payments for 20 or 25 years; and public service loan forgiveness ("PSLF"), which provides loan forgiveness to borrowers who make payments for ten years while employed at qualifying government or nonprofit organizations. ED also administers other loan forgiveness programs for qualifying borrowers, including those who can establish a permanent and total disability; borrowers whose school closed while they were enrolled; and borrowers whose school violated certain state or federal laws, among others.

15. Consumers can apply for these and other programs through ED or their student loan servicers at no cost. These programs do not require the assistance of a third-party company or payment of application fees.

16. In addition to federal loan repayment and forgiveness programs, the original coronavirus relief bill, the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), signed into law on March 27, 2020, temporarily paused payments and involuntary collections on federally held student loans through September 30, 2020. President Trump extended the pause until December 31, 2020, and President Biden has extended the pause into 2023. During the pause, payments are not due, collection activities (like wage garnishment and reduction of tax refunds) have been prohibited, and interest does not accrue on loan balances.

17. Months during the pause count toward the 120 payments required byPSLF (if the borrower works for a qualifying employer during the suspension plan)and also toward payments required to receive forgiveness under IDR plans.

18. In 2022, in addition to the above ongoing programs and COVID-19 payment pause, President Biden and ED created a one-time debt relief program for borrowers of federal student loans.

19. Several individuals and organizations filed legal challenges to the one-time debt relief program. As of this filing, the program is subject to injunctions blocking its implementation.

20. The student loan repayment pause is extended until ED is permitted to implement the program or until the litigation is resolved. If the program has not been implemented and the litigation is not resolved by June 30, 2023, then payments will resume 60 days after that date.

-6-

DEFENDANTS' STUDENT LOAN DEBT RELIEF SCAM

21. Defendants own and operate a student loan debt relief scam that preys on consumers burdened with student loan debt by making false promises of loan forgiveness. Since at least May 14, 2019, Defendants have collected hundreds of dollars per consumer from thousands of consumers—for a total of approximately \$6 million.

22. Defendants' scheme relies heavily on false and misleading representations made by Defendants' representatives to consumers, often made during an initial call between a telemarketer and a consumer.

23. In many instances, Defendants use telemarketers to make outbound telemarketing calls to consumers to offer their services and convince student loan borrowers to sign up with the company. In some instances, Defendants send to consumers unsolicited emails containing a number that consumers may call to obtain more information. When consumers call the number, they speak with Defendants' telemarketers.

24. Defendants' telemarketers entice consumers to stay on the line with them by promising to alleviate the burdens of their student loans. Consumers have reported that Defendants' telemarketers sound official, and lead them to believe Defendants will deliver on their promises.

Defendants' Misrepresentations to Consumers

25. To persuade consumers into signing up and paying for Defendants' purported student loan debt relief services, Defendants, often acting through their telemarketers, make at least five types of claims:

a) Consumers who pay for Defendants' program will be enrolled in a loan repayment program and have their loan balances forgiven in whole or in part;

b) Most or all of consumers' monthly payments to Defendants will

be applied to their loan balances;

c) Defendants are contracted by, or otherwise affiliated with, ED;

d) Defendants will assume responsibility for the servicing of consumers' student loans; and

e) Defendants' program is part of the CARES Act or some other COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.

26. *First*, Defendants have represented to numerous consumers that if consumers sign up for Defendants' debt relief program, Defendants will enroll them in a loan repayment program and secure forgiveness of their student loans.

27. Defendants frequently tell consumers that the repayment program will include a schedule of three-to-six monthly payments of approximately \$200, sometimes followed by monthly payments of approximately \$39 for a period of months or years. All of these payments are to be made to Defendants.

28. Defendants in many instances tell consumers that their loans will be forgiven either directly upon payment of the initial installments of approximately \$200, or after several months or years of making payments of approximately \$39. Often, the quoted repayment program is substantially shorter than the ten- or twenty-year programs offered by the federal government—sometimes only a few months.

29. These representations are false. In many instances, Defendants do not even apply for—much less obtain—legitimate federal repayment plans, such as income-driven repayment plans, or student loan forgiveness on behalf of the consumers who pay for Defendants' services.

30. Numerous consumers have reported that Defendants did not apply for income-driven repayment programs, public service loan forgiveness, or other forms of loan forgiveness and repayment plans on their behalf, even though they provided information about their income and employment and made payments to

-8-

1 Defendants.

31. *Second*, Defendants often tell consumers the payments will be applied to reduce their loan balance.

32. In fact, Defendants in numerous instances take the money for themselves and do not make payments to consumers' student loans on their behalf. Many consumers have reported that Defendants did not apply any of their payments to their student loans and that their balances did not decrease after making payments to Defendants.

33. Because borrowers of federal student loans have not been required to make payments on their student loans since March 2020, federal student loan servicers are not expecting to receive monthly payments and are not likely to contact consumers if payments are not received. Defendants have taken advantage of the lull in borrower-servicer communications to deceive borrowers into paying them instead of making payments on their student loans or saving that money for other purposes.

34. *Third*, Defendants frequently tell consumers that they are "affiliated" with the federal government (or, specifically, ED), that they are "contracted" by the government, or even that they *are* the federal government.

35. But Defendants are not affiliated with ED and do not hold contracts with ED.

36. *Fourth*, Defendants have represented to numerous consumers that they will be purchasing, taking over, or handling servicing of consumers' loans.Defendants have also told consumers that the up-front payments reflect the fee to "buy" consumers' loans from their federal servicer.

37. Defendants are not federal loan servicers and despite their representations to consumers, have not taken over or purchased consumers' student loans.

38. Defendants have instructed consumers to ignore their current servicers while participating in SL Finance's program and have characterized servicers as "a scam" that cannot or will not help borrowers seek favorable repayment plans and loan forgiveness.

39. *Fifth*, Defendants in many instances falsely represent that the program they are marketing is related to a COVID-19 relief program such as the CARES Act.

40. Defendants have sent emails to consumers falsely stating that "It looks like your student loan may be eligible for the recent stimulus forgiveness and relief legislation (Cares Act), however your application does need to be completed by [date], as that is when the Cares act [sic] is set to end."

41. Defendants' telemarketers have told consumers, falsely, that Defendants' program is a part of the CARES Act or other COVID-19 relief created by the government.

42. Defendants' telemarketers have also told consumers, falsely, that SL Finance works with ED to help students prepare for the end of the COVID-19 repayment pause.

43. In fact, the purported services that Defendants offer are not a government program created by the CARES Act or other government relief associated with the COVID-19 pandemic.

Defendants' Use of False, Fictitious, and Fraudulent Statements to Obtain Consumers' Customer Information of a Financial Institution

44. Defendants use the statements set forth in Paragraph 25 to deceive consumers into signing up for Defendants' services and handing over their sensitive and personal financial information.

45. Defendants have used the statements listed in Paragraph 25 to cause consumers to provide Defendants with their bank account numbers, debit card

1

2

3

numbers, and credit card numbers.

Defendants' Collection of Illegal Advance Fees

46. Once in possession of consumers' private and sensitive financial information, Defendants typically collect approximately five "initial" monthly payments of approximately \$200, sometimes followed by monthly payments of approximately \$39.

47. Defendants have collected or attempted to collect hundreds of dollars for their "services" per consumer. Defendants mislead consumers into believing the majority of these payments are going towards paying off their student loan debt or otherwise securing loan forgiveness.

48. In fact, Defendants are in numerous instances simply taking the money without delivering promised services. Many consumers have reported that Defendants have not sought or obtained repayment plans or student loan forgiveness for consumers who pay for Defendants' services. Thus, in many instances, Defendants continued to receive fees from consumers despite never renegotiating, settling, reducing, or otherwise altering the terms of the consumers' debt.

49. During the federal COVID-19 student loan repayment pause,consumers have not been required to make payments on their federal loans at all.Consumers have paid more to Defendants during the pause than they would havebeen required to pay toward their student loan balances.

50. When consumers have contacted Defendants to cancel their enrollment in Defendants' program, Defendants threaten consumers with default or other adverse consequences.

51. In many instances, Defendants have refused or ignored requests by consumers for refunds.

52. Not only have Defendants refused or ignore refund requests, but many

consumers have reported that after they advised Defendants they did not want to participate in Defendants' program, Defendants continued to charge or attempt to charge them anyway.

53. When consumers change their bank account or debit card information or take other steps to block payments to Defendants, Defendants in many instances send threatening emails warning that consumers who do not pay Defendants could "risk falling into DEFAULT, and out of compliance with your approved program directly with the Department of Education."

54. In fact, Defendants often have not enrolled consumers in any program "directly with the Department of Education"; consumers are not at risk of falling "into DEFAULT" on their student loans because student loan payments are not due during the federal COVID-19 repayment pause; and even if payments were due, since Defendants do not, in many instances, apply payments to consumers' loan balances, paying Defendants would not avoid missed payments.

Defendants' Violations of the National Do Not Call Registry

55. Since November 26, 2022, Defendants initiated or caused the initiation of over 1.5 million outbound telephone calls to consumers.

56. Defendants have made over one hundred thousand calls to telephone numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry ("Registry").

57. Defendants have also called telephone numbers in various area codes without first paying the annual fee for access to the telephone numbers within such area codes that are included in the Registry.

Ongoing Conduct

58. Based on the facts and violations of law alleged in this Complaint, the FTC has reason to believe that Defendants are violating or are about to violate laws enforced by the Commission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1		VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT		
2	59.	Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or		
3	deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."			
4	60.	Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute		
5	deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.			
6	Count I Deceptive Representations			
7	61. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing,			
8	promotion,	offering for sale, or sale of student loan debt relief services, Defendants		
9	represent, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:			
10		a) Consumers who pay for Defendants' program will be enrolled		
11	In a loan repayment program and will have their loan balances			
12	lorgiven in whole of in part;			
13		b) Most or all of consumers' monthly payments to Defendants will		
14	be applied to their loan balances;			
15		c) Defendants are affiliated with or contracted by the federal		
16	government or, specifically, ED;			
17		d) Defendants will assume responsibility for the servicing of		
18	consumers' student loans; and			
19 20		e) Defendants' program is part of the CARES Act or some other		
20		COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.		
22	62. In truth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have			
23	made the representations set forth in Paragraph 61, such representations were faise			
24	or unsubstantiated at the time Defendants made them.			
25	65. Therefore, Defendants representations as set forth in Paragraph 61			
26	are faise of misleading and constitute deceptive acts of practices in violation of			
27	Section 5(a	a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).		

THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

64. In 1994, Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101–6108. The FTC adopted the original TSR in 1995, extensively amended it in 2003, and amended certain sections thereafter.

65. Defendants are "seller[s]" or "telemarketer[s]" engaged in "telemarketing" as defined by the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(dd), (ff), and (gg). A "seller" means any person who, in connection with a telemarketing transaction, provides, offers to provide, or arranges for others to provide goods or services to a customer in exchange for consideration. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(dd). A "telemarketer" means any person who, in connection with telemarketing, initiates or receives telephone calls to or from a customer or donor. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(ff). "Telemarketing" means a plan, program, or campaign which is conducted to induce the purchase of goods or services or a charitable contribution, by use of one or more telephones and which involves more than one interstate telephone call. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(gg).

66. Defendants are sellers or telemarketers of "debt relief services" as defined by the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(o). Under the TSR, a "debt relief service" means any program or service represented, directly or by implication, to renegotiate, settle, or in any way alter the terms of payment or other terms of the debt between a person and one or more unsecured creditors, including, but not limited to, a reduction in the balance, interest rate, or fees owed by a person to an unsecured creditor or debt collector. 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(o).

24 67. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from requesting or
25 receiving payment of any fees or consideration for any debt relief service unless
26 and until:

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a) The seller or telemarketer has renegotiated, settled, reduced, or

1	otherwise altered the terms of at least one debt pursuant to a	
2	settlement agreement, debt management plan, or other such valid	
3	contractual agreement executed by the customer; and	
4	b) The customer has made at least one payment pursuant to that	
5	settlement agreement, debt management plan, or other valid	
6	contractual agreement between the customer and creditor; and	
7	c) To the extent that debts enrolled in a service are renegotiated,	
8	settled, reduced, or otherwise altered individually, the fee or	
9	consideration either:	
10	(1) Bears the same proportional relationship to the total fee for	
11	renegotiating, settling, reducing, or altering the terms of the	
12	entire debt balance as the individual debt amount bears to the	
13	entire debt amount. The individual debt amount and entire debt	
14	amount are those owed at the time the debt was enrolled in the	
15	service; or	
16	(2) Is a percentage of the amount saved as a result of the	
17	renegotiation, settlement, reduction, or alteration. The	
18	percentage charged cannot change from one individual debt to	
19	another. The amount saved is the difference between the	
20	amount owed at the time the debt was enrolled in the service	
21	and the amount actually paid to satisfy the debt. 16 C.F.R. \S	
22	310.4(a)(5)(i).	
23	68. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from misrepresenting	
24	directly or by implication any material aspect of any debt relief service, including,	
25	but not limited to, the amount of money or the percentage of the debt amount that a	
26	customer may save by using the service. 16 C.F.R. § $310.3(a)(2)(x)$.	
27	69. The TSR also establishes a "do not call" registry (the "National Do	
1		

-15-

Not Call Registry" or "Registry"), maintained by the FTC, of consumers who do not wish to receive certain types of telemarketing calls. Consumers can register their telephone numbers on the Registry without charge either through a toll-free telephone call or online at <u>www.donotcall.gov</u>.

70. Consumers who receive telemarketing calls to their registered numbers can complain of Registry violations through a toll-free telephone call or online at <u>www.donotcall.gov</u>, or otherwise contacting law enforcement authorities.

71. The FTC allows sellers, telemarketers, and other permitted organizations to access the Registry online, pay any required fees, and download numbers not to call.

72. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from calling any telephone number within a given area code unless the seller on whose behalf the call is made has paid the annual fee for access to the telephone numbers within that area code that are included in the Registry. 16 C.F.R. § 310.8.

73. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from initiating an outbound telephone call to telephone numbers on the registry. 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

74. Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C.
§ 6102(c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), a violation of the TSR constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE Count II Advance Fee for Debt Relief Services

75. In numerous instances, Defendants have, in connection with the telemarketing of student loan debt relief services, requested or received payment of a fee or consideration for debt relief services before:

1	a) Defendants have renegotiated, settled, reduced, or otherwise			
2	altered the terms of at least one debt pursuant to a settlement			
3	agreement, debt management plan, or other such valid contractual			
4	agreement executed by the customer; and			
5	b) The customer has made at least one payment pursuant to that			
6	settlement agreement, debt management plan, or other valid			
7	contractual agreement between the customer and the creditor.			
8	76. Therefore, Defendants' acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 75			
9	violate the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(a)(5)(i).			
10	Count III			
11	Material Debt Relief Misrepresentation			
12	//. In numerous instances, Defendants have, in connection with the			
13	telemarketing of student loan debt relief services, misrepresented, directly or			
	indirectly, expressly or by implication, material aspects of their debt relief services,			
14	including, but not limited to, that:			
15	a) Consumers who pay for Defendants' program will be enrolled			
16	in a loan repayment program and will have their loan balances			
17	forgiven in whole or in part;			
18	b) Most or all of consumers' monthly payments to Defendants will			
19	be applied to their loan balances;			
20	c) Defendants are affiliated with or contracted by the federal			
21	government or, specifically, ED;			
22	d) Defendants will assume responsibility for the servicing of			
23	consumers' student loans; and			
24	e) Defendants' program is part of the CARES Act or some other			
25				
26	COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.			
27	78. Therefore, Defendants' acts or practices as set forth in Paragraph 77			

violate the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(2)(x).

Count IV Violating the National Do Not Call Registry

79. In numerous instances, Defendants have, in connection with the telemarketing of student loan debt relief services, engaged, or caused a telemarketer to engage, in initiating an outbound telephone call to a person's telephone number on the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

Count V Failing to Pay National Registry Fees

80. In numerous instances, Defendants have, in connection with the telemarketing of student loan debt relief services, initiated, or caused others to initiate, an outbound telephone call to a telephone number within a given area code when Defendants had not, either directly or through another person, paid the required annual fee for access to telephone numbers within that area code that are included in the National Do Not Call Registry, in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.8.

THE COVID-19 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

81. The COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act makes it unlawful under Section 5 of the FTC Act for any person, partnership, or corporation to engage in a deceptive act or practice in or affecting commerce associated with the treatment, cure, prevention, mitigation, or diagnosis of COVID-19 or a government benefit related to COVID-19. COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act § 1401(b)(2). The Act provides that such a violation shall be treated as a violation of a rule defining an unfair or deceptive act or practice prescribed under Section 18(a)(1)(A) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(a)(1)(A). COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act § 1401(c)(1). **VIOLATIONS OF THE COVID-19 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT Count VI**

Misrepresentations Associated with a Government Benefit Related to COVID-19

82. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of student loan debt relief services, Defendants have falsely represented to consumers that their debt relief services are part of the CARES Act or some other COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.

83. In fact, the services that Defendants offer are not part of the CARES Act or any COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.

84. Therefore, Defendants' representations set forth in Paragraph 82 are false and misleading, and therefore constitute a deceptive act or practice associated with a government benefit related to COVID-19.

THE GRAMM-LEACH-BLILEY ACT

85. Section 521 of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6821, became effective on November 12, 1999, and remains in full force and effect. Section 521(a)(2) of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6821(a)(2), prohibits any person from "obtain[ing] or attempt[ing] to obtain . . . customer information of a financial institution relating to another person . . . by making a false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation to a customer of a financial institution."

86. The GLB Act defines "customer" to mean "with respect to a financial institution, any person (or authorized representative of a person) to whom the financial institution provides a product or service, including that of acting as a fiduciary." 15 U.S.C. § 6827(1). The GLB Act defines "customer information of a financial institution" as "any information maintained by or for a financial institution which is derived from the relationship between the financial institution and a customer of a financial institution and is identified with the customer." 15 U.S.C. § 6827(2).

87. Section 522(a) of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6822(a), empowers the FTC to enforce Section 521 of the GLB Act "in the same manner and with the same power and authority as the [FTC] has under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act [FDCPA] . . . to enforce compliance with such Act."

88. Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, in turn, makes a violation of the FDCPA an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of the FTC Act. 15 U.S.C. § 1692l(a). Section 814(a) of the FDCPA further provides that all of the functions and powers of the FTC under the FTC Act are available to the FTC to enforce compliance by any person with the FDCPA, including the power to enforce provisions of the FDCPA in the same manner as if the violation had been a violation of an FTC trade regulation rule.

89. Thus, pursuant to Section 522(a) of the GLB Act, the FTC may enforce Section 521 of the GLB Act in the same manner as if a violation of the GLB Act were a violation of an FTC trade regulation rule.

90. Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, authorizes this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from violations of FTC trade regulation rules. Accordingly, Section 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57b, also authorizes this Court to grant such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from violations of the GLB Act. This relief may include, and is not limited to, recission or reformation of contracts, and the refund of money or return of property.

Count VII

Use of False, Fictitious, or Fraudulent Statements to Obtain or Attempt to Obtain Customers' Customer Information of a Financial Institution

91. In numerous instances in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of student loan debt relief services, Defendants have made false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or representations to customers

of financial institutions to obtain or attempt to obtain those customers' customer information of a financial institution. The customer information of a financial institution that Defendants obtain or attempt to obtain includes bank account numbers, debit card numbers, and credit card numbers.

92. Defendants obtain or attempt to obtain the the customer information of a financial institution by representing to customers of financial institutions, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that consumers who pay for Defendants' program will be enrolled in a loan repayment program and have their loan balances forgiven in whole or in part; most or all of their payments will be applied to their loan balances; Defendants are affiliated with or contracted by the federal government or, specifically, ED; Defendants will assume responsibility for the servicing of consumers' student loans; and Defendants' program is part of the CARES Act or some other COVID-19 relief program created by the federal government.

93. Defendants' representations set forth in Paragraph 92 above are false, fictitious, or fraudulent within the meaning of Section 521 of the GLB Act.

94. Therefore, Defendants' acts and practices set forth in Paragraphs 91 to93 above violate Section 521 of the GLB Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6821.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CONSUMER INJURY

95. Consumers are suffering, have suffered, and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act, the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act, the TSR, and the GLB Act. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers and harm the public interest.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests that the Court:

-21-

A. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act, the COVID-19 Consumer Protection Act, the TSR, and the GLB Act;

B. Grant preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including temporary and preliminary injunctions, an order freezing assets, immediate access to Defendants' premises, and appointment of a receiver;

C. Award monetary and other relief within the Court's power to grant, including the rescission or reformation of contracts, the refund of money, public notification, or other relief necessary to redress injury to consumers; and

D. Award any additional relief as the Court determines to be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

4		
	Dated:	<u>/s/ Katherine M. Aizpuru</u>
5		KATHERINE M. AIZPURU
6		(pro hac vice application pending)
		kaizpuru@ftc.gov
7		202-876-5673
8		SAMUEL JACOBSON
		(pro hac vice application pending)
9		sjacobson@ftc.gov
0		202-876-5590
		FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
1		600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
2		Mail Stop: CC-6316
2		Washington, D.C. 20580
3		
4		
5		JOHN D. JACOBS, Cal. Bar No. 134154
6		Local Counsel
		jjacobs@ftc.gov
7		
		-22-

