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Examining the Privacy Practices of Six Major Internet Service Providers

Executive Summary

The importance of the internet in the daily lives of consumers cannot be overstated. In its relatively brief existence, it has become a vital tool for communication, information, commerce, and entertainment. Approximately 93% of adults in the United States use the internet, and the average consumer spends six hours and fifty-six minutes online each day. As the direct gateways to this essential and ubiquitous tool, internet service providers (“ISPs”) can monitor and record their customers’ every online move, giving them the ability to surveil consumers and amass large amounts of information on them as they go about their daily lives. In addition to providing internet, voice, and cable access, these gatekeepers have also become major players in content creation and ad monetization.

Over the past few decades, the telecommunications industry has evolved into vertically-integrated platforms that provide internet, cable, content, distribution, advertising, and analytics—all of which has increased the volume of information available about consumers, improved the industry’s insights into consumers’ behaviors, and strengthened the persistence of identifiers capable of tracking users across platforms and assets. For example, in 2011, Comcast acquired NBC Universal, marking the first time a cable company controlled a major broadcast network. Verizon purchased AOL in 2015, combining one of the biggest mobile network providers with a leading content producer, and Yahoo in 2017, creating a diverse house of more than fifty media and technology brands. And in 2020, Amazon received approval to deploy and operate 3,236 satellites, allowing it to deliver satellite-based broadband services in the United States. This rapid consolidation has allowed ISPs to access and control a much larger and broader cache of consumer data than ever before, without having to explain fully their purposes for such collection and use, much less whether such collection and use is good for consumers.


In August 2019, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) issued identical Orders to File Special Reports (“Orders”) under Section 6(b) of the FTC Act to the country’s six largest ISPs (AT&T Mobility LLC, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Charter Communications Operating LLC, Comcast Cable Communications d/b/a Xfinity, T-Mobile US Inc., and Google Fiber Inc.)—comprising approximately 98.8% of the mobile internet market— and three advertising entities affiliated with these ISPs (AT&T’s Appnexus Inc.—rebranded as Xandr—and Verizon’s Verizon Online LLC and Oath Americas Inc.—rebranded as Verizon Media). Appendix A is a copy of the text of the Orders that the Commission issued to the ISPs and their affiliated entities. The Orders sought information from these ISPs as to their data collection and use practices, as well as any tools provided to consumers to control these practices.

This report summarizes the information provided in response to the Commission’s Orders, including information gathered through follow-up questions and meetings. The companies’ narrative responses and several detailed data sets provide remarkable insight into how many of the ISPs in our study surveil consumers, use and disseminate consumer data, and the privacy implications of such use and dissemination. Based on this data, publicly-available materials, and the Commission’s long experience with ISPs, this report highlights the ISP industry’s data surveillance and privacy practices.

Key Findings

1. Collection and Use

In general, many of the ISPs in the study collect and use information for four primary reasons: (1) to provide core communications services to consumers (internet, voice, video); (2) to provide other services to consumers (e.g., Internet of Things, and video or website content); (3) advertising; and (4) to provide other services to businesses. The following findings relating to collection and use are notable:

- **Some ISPs in Our Study Combine Data Across Product Lines.** Three of the ISPs in our study revealed that they combine information they receive from consumers across their core services and at least some of their other services (e.g., TV and video streaming services, home automation and security products, connected wearables, etc.).

- **Some ISPs in Our Study Collect Data Unnecessary for the Provision of Internet Services.** Some of the ISPs in our study collect additional data from their customers that...
is not necessary to provide ISP services in order to enhance their ability to advertise (e.g., app usage history).

- **A Few ISPs in Our Study Use Web Browsing Data to Target Ads.** Two of the ISPs in our study stated that they use web browsing information to target ads to consumers, and another reserves the right to use such information for advertising purposes.

- **Many ISPs in Our Study Group Consumers Using Sensitive Characteristics to Target Ads.** Many of the ISPs in our study serve targeted ads across the internet on behalf of third parties. In doing so, they place consumers into segments that often reveal sensitive information about consumers, allowing advertisers to target consumers by their race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, economic status, political affiliations, or religious beliefs.

- **Some ISPs in Our Study Combine Personal, App Usage, and Web Browsing Data.** At least three ISPs in our study report combining consumers’ personal information, app usage information, and/or browsing information for advertising purposes.

- **A Significant Number of ISPs in Our Study Share Real-Time Location Data With Third-Parties.** There is a trend in the ISP industry to offer real-time location data about specific subscribers to the ISPs’ third-party customers.

### 2. Privacy Practices

In response to the Orders, the ISPs in our study detailed their notice and disclosure; consent and choice; and access, correction, and deletion practices. The ISP industry’s privacy practices raise concerns in four key areas:

- **Opacity.** While several ISPs in our study tell consumers they will not sell their data, they fail to reveal to consumers the myriad of ways that their data can be used, transferred, or monetized outside of selling it, often burying such disclosures in the fine print of their privacy policies. In addition, three of the ISPs in our study reserved the right to share their subscribers’ personal information with their parent companies and affiliates, which seems to undercut the promises not to sell personal information.

- **Illusory Choices.** There is a trend in the ISP industry to purport to offer consumers some choices with respect to the use of their data. However, problematic interfaces can result in consumer confusion as to how to exercise these choices, potentially leading to low opt-out rates.

- **Lack of Meaningful Access.** Although many of the ISPs in our study purported to offer consumers access to their information, the information was often either indecipherable or nonsensical without context, potentially leading to low access requests.
• **Data Retention and Deletion.** While several of the ISPs in our study provided time frames for deleting information, many asserted that they keep information as long as it is needed for a business reason. However, many ISPs in our study have the ability to define (or leave undefined) what constitutes a business reason, giving them virtually unfettered discretion.

**Observations**

As a result of the findings detailed above, we make the following four observations:

• **Many ISPs in Our Study Amass Large Pools of Sensitive Consumer Data.** Several ISPs in our study and their affiliates collect significant amounts of consumer information from the range of products and services that they offer. The vertical integration of ISP services with other services like home security and automation, video streaming, content creation, advertising, email, search, wearables, and connected cars permits not only the collection of large volumes of data, but also the collection of highly-granular data about individual subscribers. Moreover, there is a trend in the ISP industry to combine the subscriber data with additional information from third-party data brokers, resulting in extremely granular insights and inferences into not just ISP subscribers but also their families and households.

• **Several ISPs in Our Study Gather and Use Data in Ways Consumers Do Not Expect and Could Cause Them Harm.** While consumers certainly expect ISPs to collect certain information about the websites they visit as part of the provision of internet services, they would likely be surprised at the extent of data that is collected and combined for purposes unrelated to providing the service they request—in particular, browsing data, television viewing history, contents of email and search, data from connected devices, location information, and race and ethnicity data. More concerning, this data could be used in a way that’s harmful to consumers, including by property managers, bail bondsmen, bounty hunters, or those who would use it for discriminatory purposes.

• **Although Many ISPs in Our Study Purport to Offer Consumers Choices, These Choices are Often Illusory.** Although many of the ISPs in our study purported to offer consumers choices, some of these choices were not offered clearly and indeed, nudged consumers toward more data sharing.

• **Many ISPs in Our Study Can be At Least As Privacy-Intrusive as Large Advertising Platforms.** Despite ISPs’ relative size in a market dominated by Google, Facebook, and Amazon, the privacy challenges that permeate the advertising ecosystem may be amplified by ISPs because: (1) many ISPs have access to 100% of consumers’ unencrypted internet traffic; (2) several ISPs are able to verify and know the identity of their subscribers; (3) many ISPs can track consumers persistently across websites and geographic locations; and (4) a significant number of ISPs have the capability to combine...
the browsing and viewing history that they obtain from their subscribers with the large amounts of information they obtain from the broad range of vertically integrated products, services, and features that they offer.
I. Introduction

We are in the midst of a global pandemic that has fundamentally changed our way of life. As businesses, schools, governments, and communities have struggled to find new models for staying open, providing critical services, and keeping in touch, the importance of reliable internet has grown. Consumers are increasingly dependent on internet service providers (“ISPs”) to access essential services and communicate with others. Indeed, as the global pandemic forced cities and states into mandatory lockdowns, ISPs increased their broadband subscriptions by nearly 8 million consumers during the last two years, with one ISP reporting historic broadband subscription numbers in the third quarter of 2020. Online shopping and e-commerce with U.S. retailers in 2020 increased by 44% from the previous year, or over $263 billion, as consumers stayed home. Video conferencing platforms saw their subscriptions dramatically increase. Telehealth services soared upwards of 154% during the last week of March 2020 as compared to the same period as the previous year. As of August 2020, nearly 93% of households with school-age children reported engaging in some form of distance learning from

---

1 As described in greater detail in Section III of this report, many ISPs in our study often provide services other than internet access to consumers. They provide bundles of services that might include internet, video, and voice. Additionally, many ISPs in our study might provide other services, such as connected cars, home security, or mobile money. This study examines how information about internet subscribers is collected, used, combined, and shared across products and services offered by the ISPs in our study. As such, for purposes of this report, the term “ISP” refers to the entities that provide this panoply of services, rather than focusing purely on the provision of internet access.


home. Even when the pandemic subsides, studies predict that as many as a third of all U.S. companies anticipate having half or more of their staff working from home or operating from remote locations.

As the internet assumes an increasingly pervasive role in the most personal aspects of our lives, including telehealth and distance learning, the aggregation of data—along with the privacy of consumer data in general—requires increased attention, especially for minority and low-income communities. According to Pew Research, as of February 2019, 79% of white U.S. adults are home broadband users, as compared to 66% of Black U.S. adults and 61% of Hispanic U.S. adults. According to more recent research from UCLA, Black and Hispanic households are 1.3 to 1.4 times as likely as white households to experience limited connectivity. Low-income households are most impacted by digital unavailability, with more than two in five having only limited access to a computer or the internet. We did not study this issue, but observers report that these consumers may have fewer internet options and sometimes those options include free or low-price services with fewer privacy protections.

These concerns bring to the forefront privacy and competition issues associated with internet access. This report is based on materials provided by the country’s six largest ISPs comprising

---


10 Id.

11 Kaleigh Rogers, Internet Service Providers Systematically Favor White Communities Over Communities of Color, VICE (Feb. 23, 2018), https://www.vice.com/en/article/8xdd7b/internet-service-providers-systematically-favor-white-communities-over-communities-of-color (citing studies to support the point that “[i]nternet infrastructure is often built first in more affluent and more white communities, leaving lower income neighborhoods and neighborhoods with higher percentages of people of color with fewer options”).

12 See, e.g., Benjamin Dean, The Heavy Price We Pay for “Free” Wi-Fi, THE CONVERSATION (Jan. 25, 2016), https://theconversation.com/the-heavy-price-we-pay-for-free-wi-fi-52412 (noting that there’s a “longstanding trend in which companies offer ostensibly free Internet-related products and services” but “[u]se is free on the condition that companies providing the service collect, store, and analyze users’ valuable personal, locational, and behavioral data”). See also Harold Li, The Pandemic Has Unmasked The Digital Privacy Divide, FORBES (May 5, 2021), https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2021/05/05/the-pandemic-has-unmasked-the-digital-privacy-divide/ (citing a study that found that 32% of Americans “have had to seek free internet access outside of their homes since the pandemic” and noting that “[s]uch free public networks are notoriously lacking in security and privacy—with traffic at risk of monitoring by the hotspot operator or even those sharing the network, if proper encryption isn’t used”); Nicole A. Ozer, No Such Thing As a “Free” Internet: Safeguarding Privacy and Free Speech in Municipal Wireless Systems, 11 N.Y.U. LEGISLATION & PUB. POLICY 519 (2012).
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approximately 98.8% of the mobile internet market as of Q1 202113 (AT&T Mobility LLC, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Charter Communications Operating LLC, Comcast Cable Communications d/b/a Xfinity, T-Mobile US Inc., and Google Fiber Inc.) (“ISP Order Recipients”) and three advertising entities affiliated with these ISPs (AT&T’s Appnexus Inc.—rebranded as Xandr—and Verizon’s Verizon Online LLC and Oath Americas Inc.—rebranded as Verizon Media)14 (collectively, “Order Recipients”) pursuant to Special Orders issued by the Federal Trade Commission (collectively, “Commission’s Orders”).15 Appendix A is a copy of the text of the Orders that the Commission issued to the Order Recipients. In response to the Commission’s Orders, the Order Recipients produced information and documents related to the types of information they collected, the purposes for which information about consumers is used, the types of information shared with affiliated and unaffiliated entities, the notices and privacy choices provided to consumers, and consumers’ access and deletion rights.

While staff of the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) conducted a comprehensive examination of the privacy practices of the Order Recipients, this report contains a number of limitations. First, it is a snapshot in time, comprised of information obtained from Order Recipients between July 2019 and July 2020,16 as well as publicly available information. Second, there are thousands of local and regional ISPs throughout the country.17 As such, while we tried to capture a variety of models for providing internet service, our ISP Order Recipients are primarily limited to the country’s largest ISPs comprising approximately 81.6% of the fixed residential internet market in 2020.18 Third, our report is limited to the privacy practices of ISPs. While this report does not discuss


14 In May 2021, Verizon announced that it is selling Verizon Media, which includes AOL and Yahoo, to private equity firm Apollo Global Management; Verizon Media Group’s name will be changed to Yahoo, and Verizon will retain a 10% stake in the new entity. Jordan Valinsky, Verizon Offloads Yahoo and AOL in $5 Billion Deal, CNN BUS. (May 3, 2021), https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/03/media/verizon-yahoo-sold-apollo/index.html.


16 One Order Recipient discontinued an advertising program shortly before it received our Order, but reinstituted the program as we were drafting this report. The report includes references to that program, even though it is outside this time frame.


competition issues between ISPs or their vertically-integrated entities, the intersection of the two is relevant to this discussion.\textsuperscript{19} For example, market power may enable violations of consumer protection laws and exacerbate the effects of those violations. Consumer protection violations, in turn, often have detrimental effects on competition. Companies may gain market share through deceptive reassurances on privacy. As such, competition issues will continue to inform the Commission’s approach to privacy in this space. Finally, this report does not discuss other products and services provided by ISPs or their related entities (e.g., video, voice, content and websites, Internet of Things, connected cars, home security), unless such products or services use or share information obtained from internet subscribers.

Section II of this report starts with a general overview of the legal framework applicable to ISPs. Section III discusses how our ISP Order Recipients collect, use, and share consumers’ personal information. Section IV then discusses the privacy practices of our ISP Order Recipients, including the transparency, control, access rights, and deletion rights that they provide to consumers. Finally, Section V offers several key observations on the internet landscape, such as the large-scale aggregation of data and use of dark patterns.

**II. Legal Framework Applicable to ISP Privacy**

**A. Historical Developments**

As noted above, this report addresses ISPs as entities that offer a multitude of services, one of which is the provision of internet access. But historically, the different services ISPs offer have been treated differently under applicable regulatory frameworks. The Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, distinguishes between so-called “information services” and “telecommunications services.” An entity is treated as a common carrier, and subject to Title II of the Communications Act, when providing telecommunications services but not when providing information services.\textsuperscript{20} Much legal significance attaches to the classification of a service, although the distinctions are not always easy to draw. Whether a service offered by an ISP is classified as an “information service” or a Title II “telecommunications service” has two main implications for privacy.

\textsuperscript{19} See, e.g., David Meyer, The Privacy and Antitrust Worlds are Starting to Cross Over, IAPP (April 23, 2019), https://iapp.org/news/a/the-privacy-and-antitrust-worlds-are-starting-to-cross-over/ (noting that large platforms “‘may be accumulating data—in some cases against consumers’ wishes—on account of a lack of choice and immense imbalances in market power between service providers and consumers’” (quoting several U.S. attorneys general). See generally MARIA WASASTJERNA, COMPETITION, DATA AND PRIVACY IN THE DIGITAL ECONOMY: TOWARDS A PRIVACY DIMENSION IN COMPETITION POLICY? (2020) (citing the German case Bundeskartellamt vs. Facebook as an example of a dominant player in the market for social networks that exploited such a position by adopting terms of service on the use of user data in violation of data protection provisions).

First, Title II includes specific provisions to protect the privacy of customers of telecommunications services. Section 222 imposes a duty on telecommunications service providers to protect the confidentiality of their customers’ “proprietary information,” and places restrictions on the use and sharing of customer proprietary network information (“CPNI”) without customer approval, subject to certain exceptions. Additionally, Section 201 prohibits “unjust or unreasonable” charges, practices, classifications, or regulations in connection with telecommunications service. Designating a service as a Title I service, however, excludes such service from the ambit of Title II of the Act, including Section 222 and the Federal Communication Commission’s (“FCC”) CPNI rules.

Second, the FTC Act exempts “common carrier activities subject to the Acts to regulate commerce” from the FTC’s jurisdiction. Therefore, if a service is classified as a common carrier service under Title II of the Communications Act, the FTC loses its jurisdiction over the service. However, the FTC has repeatedly argued, and the Ninth Circuit has agreed, that this exception is “activities based,” rather than “status based.” In other words, the FTC does not have jurisdiction over an entity for its common carrier activities, but to the extent the entity engages in non-common carrier activities, the FTC would have jurisdiction over these activities.

During the 2000s, the FCC classified broadband internet access service as an information service rather than as a telecommunications service. But in 2015, the FCC adopted its Open Internet Order, classifying Broadband Internet Access Services (“BIAS”)—a core service offered by the ISPs in our study—as a telecommunications service. This reclassification made ISPs subject to statutory privacy duties under Title II of the Communications Act and allowed the FCC to promulgate privacy rules for BIAS providers. The FCC finalized, but did not implement, privacy rules governing the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by


23 In October 2014, the FTC filed an action against AT&T Mobility alleging the company had misled millions of consumers with “unlimited” data promises. AT&T Mobility challenged the FTC’s action, arguing that its mobile data service was exempt from FTC jurisdiction as a common carrier. In 2018, in an en banc decision, the Ninth Circuit held that the common carrier exception under the FTC Act applies only to common carrier activities and therefore “by extension, the interpretation means that the FTC may regulate common carriers’ non-common-carriage activities.” Fed. Trade Comm’n v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 883 F.3d 848 (9th Cir. 2018), https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases-proceedings/122-3253/att-mobility-llc-mobile-data-service.


25 While the Open Internet Order granted broadband internet access service forbearance from many Title II provisions, the FCC concluded that application and enforcement of privacy protections in Section 222 to broadband internet access service was in the public interest and necessary for the protection of consumers. However, the FCC forbore from the application of its existing rules implementing Section 222 pending the adoption of rules to govern broadband internet access service.
BIAS providers. These rules provided requirements for how BIAS providers may use and disclose their customers’ personal information. However, prior to their effective date, Congress repealed the FCC’s privacy rules pursuant to the Congressional Review Act. The FCC later reversed its prior classification of BIAS as a common carriage telecommunications service in January 4, 2018 in its Restoring Internet Freedom Order, and reclassified BIAS as an information service.

B. Legal Framework Applicable to ISPs Today

Although the FTC does not have jurisdiction over voice services because of the common carrier exception in the FTC Act, it does have authority to oversee ISPs’ internet privacy practices, since internet and data services are not a common carrier activity. The FTC enforces a number of laws that apply to the data practices of ISPs and their affiliated entities. First, Section 5 of the FTC Act prohibits ISPs and their affiliated entities from unfair or deceptive practices. A misrepresentation or omission is deceptive if it is material and is likely to mislead consumers acting reasonably under the circumstances. An act or practice is unfair if it causes, or is likely to cause, substantial injury that is not reasonably avoidable by consumers, and not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or


27 Protecting the Privacy of Customers of Broadband and Other Telecommunication Services, 81 Fed. Reg. 87274.


30 See AT&T Mobility LLC, 883 F.3d 848.


competition. Thus, for example, ISPs cannot make misleading statements about their privacy practices; nor can they act in ways that are likely to harm consumers—for example, by collecting and sharing sensitive information, without notifying consumers, with third parties that misuse that information to the detriment of those consumers—unless those harms are outweighed by countervailing benefits. The FTC has used its authority to address unfair or deceptive practices by ISPs, including actions to protect consumers from deceptive ISP marketing and advertising offers; malicious ISPs hosting malware, child pornography, and botnets; insecure on-premises equipment such as ISP-provided routers; mobile cramming charges; illegal billing of videotext services; and deceptive throttling practices. Most recently, in 2019, AT&T Mobility, an Order Recipient, agreed to a $60 million settlement with the Commission, resolving allegations that the company had deceptively throttled consumers’ mobile internet speeds.

Second, the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act (“COPPA”) prohibits operators of child-directed websites and other online services from collecting the personal information of children under


36 Letter from Maneesha Mithal, Assoc. Dir. of the Div. of Privacy & Identity Prot., Fed. Trade Comm’n, to Dana Rosenfeld, Partner, Kelley Drye (Nov. 12, 2014), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/closing_letters/verizon-communications-inc/141112verizonclosingletter.pdf (finding that, while Verizon took steps to mitigate the risk to consumer information, the use of Wired Equivalent Privacy (“WEP”) as an encryption standard could leave consumers vulnerable to hackers).


the age of 13 without parental consent. For ad networks in particular, COPPA applies if the network has actual knowledge that it is serving advertisements on a child-directed site or service. Thus, for example, if an ISP-affiliated ad network were to knowingly serve behaviorally-targeted ads on a child-directed app or website, it would be required to obtain consent from the parents of those app users.

Third, the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") applies to ISPs that act as "furnishers"—companies that provide information to consumer reporting agencies—and "users"—companies that use consumer reports. Furnishers have an obligation to ensure that the information they provide to consumer reporting agencies is accurate. Users of consumer reports must certify that they have a "permissible purpose" for obtaining such reports. When an ISP provides information to a consumer reporting agency on the timeliness of consumers’ payments, it is acting as a furnisher and subject to certain requirements regarding the accuracy of the information and the correction of errors. Moreover, when an ISP takes an adverse action against a subscriber, such as denying service or offering less favorable terms, based on the subscriber’s credit report, the ISP must provide notice to that subscriber, explaining how that subscriber can dispute any inaccurate information in the report. The FTC has brought cases under the FCRA alleging that ISPs failed to provide required notices to consumers who were given less favorable terms because of their credit scores.

In addition to laws enforced by the FTC, ISPs are also subject to laws enforced by the FCC. As described above, some of these laws only apply to telecommunications services offered by ISPs. For example, Section 201 of the Communications Act of 1934 prohibits unjust and unreasonable practices by providers of telecommunications services. Section 222 and its implementing rules protect customer information, including information that relates to the quantity, technical configuration, type, destination, location, and amount of use of consumers’ telecommunications services as well as certain information

contained in consumers’ billing statements—defined as Customer Propriety Network Information (“CPNI”). 50 It imposes restrictions on how telecommunications carriers can use, disclose, or permit access to CPNI. Additionally, some ISPs in our study may also act as cable operators subject to the Cable Communications Policy Act (the “Cable Act”), which requires these operators to provide their subscribers with notices about their privacy practices, choices as to collection of personal information, and the right to access their own personal information. It also requires that cable operators implement data retention limits. 51 In addition, the Cable Act restricts operators’ unauthorized disclosure of subscriber personally identifiable information, including a subscriber’s viewing and use of a cable service, and requires cable operators to take action to prevent unauthorized access to such information. 52 Finally, the FCC’s “Transparency Rule” 53 requires ISPs to disclose information about their network management practices, performance characteristics, and commercial terms, including privacy policies. This last rule applies most broadly to ISPs.

Using the currently-available tools at their disposal, the FTC and FCC work together to enforce their respective laws as they relate to the privacy practices of ISPs. In 2005 and 2017, both agencies agreed to coordinate and consult on investigations and actions that implicate the jurisdiction of the other agency, including that the FTC would exercise its Section 5 authority to “investigate and take enforcement action as appropriate against internet service providers for unfair, deceptive, or otherwise unlawful acts or practices…,” and that the FCC would investigate failures of ISPs to comply with its Transparency Rule. 54 The two agencies also agreed to support continued and ongoing coordination and cooperation, including through consultation on investigations, sharing of relevant investigative techniques, and collaboration on consumer and industry outreach. 55 Consistent with this collaborative approach, in 2020, the FTC and FCC sent joint warning letters to Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”) providers and service providers warning them that “routing and transmitting” or “assisting and facilitating” illegal Covid-related robocalls is against the law. 56

---

51 47 U.S.C. § 551 (2018) (requiring cable and satellite TV operators to “destroy personally identifiable information if the information is no longer necessary for the purpose for which it was collected”); see also 47 U.S.C. § 338(i) (2018) (applying the same protections to providers of satellite television services).
55 Id.
Finally, state and local laws and regulations address privacy practices of ISPs. As with Section 5 of the FTC Act, states have their own consumer protection laws that similarly prohibit unfair and deceptive acts or practices. A number of states have also enacted specific privacy laws. For example, Maine recently enacted an internet privacy law that, among other things, prohibits ISPs from using, disclosing, selling, or permitting access to certain personal information, such as browsing history, certain persistent identifiers, and location information from consumers without their express affirmative consent.\(^57\) Over the past several years, California’s legislative and ballot initiative processes have enacted general broad-based technology-neutral privacy legislation, namely the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018 (“CCPA”),\(^58\) and the California Privacy Rights Act of 2020 (“CPRA”).\(^59\) In part, both the CCPA and CPRA govern access and deletion rights, and the notice and choices that companies, including ISPs, must provide to California residents.\(^60\) Nevada and Virginia have similarly enacted their own privacy laws,\(^61\) with other states likely following suit.

III. Background Information About Order Recipients

As noted above, the Commission issued 6(b) Orders to six ISPs and three ISP-affiliated ad networks. The ISP Order Recipients represent a broad swath of the internet services offered in this country, including fixed residential internet and mobile internet providers. Together they represent

---


60 See CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1798.100 – 17998.199.100.

approximately $130.4 billion in revenue from mobile internet\(^{62}\) and $54.8 billion dollars in revenue from fixed residential internet, annually.\(^{63}\)

The ISPs in our study exemplify the evolution of the industry, from a series of conduits that route internet traffic into vertically-integrated platforms that not only provide internet, voice, and cable access, but also create the content transmitted across pipes and cables and monetize the ads served on our websites, monitors, and screens. For example:

- AT&T provides both residential broadband internet and mobile internet, with 19 million residential household subscribers and 77 million mobile subscribers, respectively.\(^{64}\) In June 2018, AT&T acquired Time Warner.\(^{65}\) This merger created the country’s third largest residential internet provider,\(^{66}\) third largest mobile provider,\(^{67}\) a popular content provider—which offers cable streaming services, a satellite and streaming TV service, and an advertising network.\(^{68}\) AT&T’s advertising unit, Xandr (also an Order Recipient) reports reaching over 135 million

---


\(^{64}\) AT&T INC., *ANNUAL REPORT FORM 10-K* 4–5 (Feb. 25, 2021), [https://investors.att.com/financial-reports/sec-filings](https://investors.att.com/financial-reports/sec-filings) (AT&T reports 77 million postpaid, 18 million prepaid, 7 million reseller subscribers, and an additional 81 million connected devices. Additionally, the company reports 17 million premium TV/OTT subscribers and 19 million residential internet connections.).


\(^{66}\) Press Release, Leichtman Research Grp., *supra* note 18 (reporting the following: Comcast (30.6 million subscribers); Charter (28.8 million subscribers); AT&T (15.3 million subscribers); Verizon (7.1 million subscribers)).


\(^{68}\) In May 2021, AT&T announced that it would spin off WarnerMedia and combine it with Discovery as a new standalone media company that would include HBO Max, discovery+, and CNN. See, e.g., Brian Stelter, *AT&T to Spin Off and Combine WarnerMedia with Discovery in Deal that Would Create Streaming Giant*, CNN BUS. (May 17, 2021), [https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/17/media/warnermedia-discovery-deal/index.html](https://www.cnn.com/2021/05/17/media/warnermedia-discovery-deal/index.html); see also Press Release, AT&T, *supra* note 65.

unique consumers a month.\textsuperscript{69} AT&T also provides a range of internet of things ("IoT") products and services, such as connected car and connected home automation and security solutions.\textsuperscript{70}

- Verizon is not only the nation’s largest mobile internet provider\textsuperscript{71} but is also the country’s fourth largest residential ISP, with 94 million and 7 million subscribers, respectively.\textsuperscript{72} Through its affiliates and subsidiaries, Verizon can access consumers’ information from a variety of sources beyond its mobile and residential internet services, including from its advertising affiliates, content-creating affiliates (e.g., Verizon Media,\textsuperscript{73} Yahoo!, TechCrunch) and its IoT affiliates (e.g., Hum by Verizon and Verizon Connect, which provide connected car diagnostic services).

- Comcast is the nation’s largest fixed residential ISP with approximately 30.7 million subscribers.\textsuperscript{74} The company also owns a digital advertising platform that focuses on multiscreen advertising across TV, laptop, and mobile devices, which reaches more than 35 million households across the United States.\textsuperscript{75} Comcast also offers a broad range of connected home services, such as home automation and security under the Xfinity brand.\textsuperscript{76} Comcast Corporation further owns website content, TV networks, and even amusement parks under the NBCUniversal


\textsuperscript{71} Dano, supra note 67.


\textsuperscript{73} Valinsky, supra note 14 (noting that Verizon announced that it is selling Verizon Media (including both Yahoo and AOL) to private equity firm Apollo Global Management).

\textsuperscript{74} COMCAST CORP., ANNUAL REPORT FORM 10-K 2 (10-K) (2020), \url{https://www.cmcsa.com/static-files/0f6a41f-c1ff-4c25-b07e-4ec8424907cf} (reporting 30.7 million residential customer relationships); see also Jon Brodkin, Comcast, Charter Expand Broadband Domination as Cable Hits 67\% Market Share, ARS TECHNICA (Mar. 9, 2020), \url{https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2020/03/comcast-charter-expand-broadband-domination-as-cable-hits-67-market-share/}.


\textsuperscript{76} COMCAST HOME SECURITY, \url{https://www.xfinity.com/learn/home-security} (last visited Sept. 30, 2021).
Finally, in 2017, Comcast launched Xfinity Mobile, which offers unlimited mobile data plans.78

- Charter is the nation’s second largest cable provider, offering video, voice, and residential and mobile internet to its approximately 29 million subscribers through its Spectrum brand.79 The company also runs regional sports and news networks,80 as well as an advertising platform, Spectrum Reach, offering multi-channel and cross device solutions for advertisers attempting to reach its video and internet subscribers.81

- T-Mobile is the second largest mobile internet provider in the United States, with 81.35 million subscribers.82 The company continues to offer products and services beyond the provision of internet, such as a financial services product,83 a live streaming TV service,84 wearable device solutions,85 and an advertising platform.86

- Google Fiber is an ISP with relatively low subscribership and small geographic presence in comparison to other ISP Order Recipients, offering high-speed gigabit internet and TV streaming services in just nineteen cities.87 However, Google Fiber is also a subsidiary of Alphabet, Inc.—

77 COMCAST CORP., supra note 74, at 2–10 (describing lines of business, such as communications, cable networks, broadcast television, filmed entertainment, and theme parks).
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primarily an online advertising company—which accounted for 28.9% of all digital advertisement revenue in the United States in 2020. In contrast to traditional ISP “pipes” entering the content and advertising space, this is an example of an internet advertiser entering the ISP space.

As this list demonstrates, many of the ISPs in this study are not simply providers of internet connectivity; they are technology giants. To put the size of these technology giants in perspective, in 2021, Google Fiber’s parent company, Alphabet ranked 21st on the Fortune 500, generating $182 billion in revenues; AT&T ranked 26th on the Fortune 500, generating over $171 billion in revenue; Verizon ranked 45th generating $128 billion; Comcast ranked 64th with $103 billion; and Charter ranked 230th with $48 billion. T-Mobile is partly owned by German company Deutsche Telekom and is therefore not on the Fortune 500 list, but it generated $116 billion in annual revenue. The consolidation of internet, cable, voice, content, distribution, smart devices, advertising, and analytics exemplified by these large vertically-integrated ISPs—which represent approximately 98.8% of the mobile internet market—has increased the volume of information they are capable of collecting about consumers, improved their insight into consumers’ behaviors, and strengthened the persistence of identifiers capable of tracking users across platforms and assets, as described further below.

IV. Information Obtained From Our Study

The following chart demonstrates the range of products and services offered by many of the ISPs in our study:

---


89 Other examples of technology platforms entering the internet-access market include Amazon’s Project Kuiper, Facebook’s internet.org, and Facebook Connectivity.


This section discusses how many of the ISPs in our study collect and use information to provide (1) core ISP services to consumers (internet, voice, video), (2) other services to consumers (e.g., IoT, content), (3) advertising, and (4) other services to businesses.

A. Core Services

In order to provide core internet, TV, and voice services to consumers, many of the ISPs in our study must collect a variety of information about their subscribers. For example, there is a trend in the ISP industry to collect personal information during registration, including name, contact information, and billing information, in order to provide the requested services. They also collect dates of birth and government-issued identification information to verify identities and conduct credit checks. Subscribers are able to subsequently edit or update their information through online dashboards or applications ("apps") that many of the ISPs in our study offer to consumers.

In addition to collecting information that their customers affirmatively provide, several of the ISPs in our study collect information passively about their customers as they browse and engage with apps online, in order to provide internet service, connectivity and customer support. The categories of information include the following:

- **Device specifications** (e.g., media access control ("MAC") addresses; device serial numbers, device type, operating system): Many ISPs in our study collect information about consumers’ devices to authenticate, authorize, and connect devices to their networks.

- **Service usage information** (e.g., session detail records, volume of traffic sent/received, speeds): A significant number of ISPs in our study collect broadband usage information,
such as volume of traffic sent/received and session detail records for metering and billing purposes, and in order to manage data caps associated with the customer’s plan.

- **Browsing information** (e.g., domain-level and sub-domain level URLs): Several ISPs in our study collect and use internet protocol (“IP”) address and the URLs consumers enter in their browsers to send and deliver internet traffic to consumers’ devices.

- **Location data**: Some ISPs collect location data in connection with providing telecommunication services and emergency 911 support. One Order Recipient stated that it uses device location information to determine whether network signal strength is low in specific geographic locations or whether it has sufficient bandwidth to serve all consumers in an area.

Some of the information many of the ISPs in our study collect from consumers—whether actively or passively—may be shared with service providers to provide core services. For example, an ISP may share a subscriber’s name and address with a service provider in order to install home internet or assist with customer service calls. There is a trend in the ISP industry to include contractual provisions which limit the use of such information solely for the purpose of providing the service. Many ISPs in our study further prohibit these vendors from using such information for other purposes or further disclosing the information they receive to other parties.

Also, there is a trend in the ISP industry to use the information ISPs collect from consumers for ancillary purposes, such as:

- To comply with legal obligations, law enforcement requests, and court orders. For example, in response to search warrants, several ISPs in our study might be required to provide law enforcement with personal information about consumers, such as real-time or historical location information.

- For fraud detection and security purposes. Many of the ISPs in our study use consumers’ names, telephone numbers, location information, device information, persistent identifiers, and mobile broadband usage information to prevent fraud and ensure the security of customer devices connected to the ISP’s network. For example, several ISPs in our study use location information or information about the number of devices on a consumer’s network to detect and prevent botnets or other malicious activities. Likewise, if an ISP receives a report or detects that someone is engaging in a distributed denial of service (“DDoS”) attack via a customer’s IP address, the ISP may review the customer’s usage information, principally with respect to the volume of traffic produced by that customer, to determine whether the volume suggests a DDoS attack is occurring. If so, the ISP may notify the customer or block these transmissions where warranted.

- For product development and testing. For this purpose, a significant number of ISPs in our study might collect personal information about how consumers use certain features or new equipment.
B. Other Services Offered to Consumers

In addition to providing internet services, many ISPs in our study—either directly or through their parents or affiliates—offer a range of other products and services to consumers through which they collect consumers’ personal information. This range of products includes the following:

- TV and video streaming services (many of the recipients);
- Email services, either owned or white-labeled (many of the recipients);
- Home automation and security products (a significant number of the recipients);
- TV, video, and film content and production (a significant number of the recipients);
- Additional digital content (a few of the recipients);
- Connected car services, such as in-car entertainment or safety services (at least three recipients);
- Connected wearables (at least three recipients);
- Map apps (at least three recipients);
- Search engines (at least two recipients);
- Conferencing services (at least two recipients);
- Theme parks and resorts (at least two recipients); and
- Autonomous vehicles, virtual reality products, cloud services, and voice assistants (at least one recipient).

In offering these services to consumers, these entities collect much of the same personal information that many of the ISPs in our study collect to provide their core services: contact, billing, device usage, location, and performance information. But these entities also collect additional information from consumers to provide these other products and services. For example, companies that offer TV and video streaming services collect information such as title of the program or movie viewed, type of streaming content, duration of viewing, and viewing start and stop times. Companies that offer home security and automation collect information such as dwelling type, security activity and events, lighting type and energy usage, temperature readings, and alarm start and end times. Companies in the connected car space can collect location information and driver behavior information (e.g., vehicle speed, hard braking, and fuel efficiency). In addition to using this information to provide services that consumers request, the companies can use the information to offer roadside assistance and communicate with critical infrastructure and other vehicles on the road for safety purposes.

Although one ISP specifically disclaimed combining consumer data collected through its ISP services with these other services, three of the ISPs in our study revealed that they combine information they receive from consumers across their core services and at least some of their other services. Because of study limitations, we could not ascertain how much data was pooled or for what specific purposes, but ISPs have the capability to combine personal information gained from their status as ISPs with personal information gained from their or their parents’ or affiliates’ status as email providers, search engines, ecommerce marketplaces, and distributors of connected products. This can include

---

92 Several of the ISPs in our study described access restrictions they placed on the use of data across products.
information such as search queries, call details, email communications, purchase information, and location.

### C. Advertising Services

Advertising-driven surveillance of consumers’ online activity presents serious risks to the privacy of consumer data. A previous FTC staff report addressed the practices of traditional ad networks and edge providers—that is, entities that provide content, applications, services, and devices accessed over the internet—in collecting, using, and deriving data from and about consumers for advertising purposes.\(^93\) Our study of the ISP’s practices demonstrates that many of the ISPs in our study, like traditional ad networks and edge providers, also actively surveil consumers for advertising purposes. Below we discuss the ways that many of the ISPs in our study collect and use consumers’ personal information for advertising services, and how such practices may impact negatively the privacy of consumer data.

ISPs generally obtain consumer information from a variety of sources. First, they obtain personal information from the consumers themselves. As described above, many ISPs in our study collect a host of information from their customers to provide the services they request, and they generally use some of this data for advertising purposes. Some ISPs even collect additional data from their customers that is not necessary to provide ISP services, in order to enhance their ability to advertise. For example, several ISPs collect information about consumers’ app usage history for advertising purposes. Some of these ISPs do not need this information to provide their ISP services. Other ISPs might need to collect certain data to perform ISP functions, but may also keep this data for longer than is strictly necessary, so that they can use it for advertising purposes. An example of this is web-browsing data. Two of the ISPs in our study stated that they use web-browsing information to target ads to consumers, and another reserves the right to use such information for advertising purposes. In contrast, two of the ISPs in our study specifically stated that they do not employ app usage history or web-browsing data for advertising purposes.

Second, there is a trend in the ISP industry to buy consumer information from third party data brokers, which many ISPs in our study use for advertising purposes. One reported using data from data brokers to market their own products to new customers only. For example, they might get lists of new homeowners in a particular geographic area. A sizable number of the ISPs in our study also buy data from data brokers about their existing customers. For example, an ISP might send the data broker subscriber names and addresses, which the data broker would then append with demographic information (e.g., gender, age range, race and ethnicity information, marital status, parental status) and

interest data (e.g., hiking, biking, gardening, bodybuilding, high-end spirits) for those subscribers. Or, for those ISPs that do not want to share their customers’ names and contact information with third-party data brokers, the ISP might send persistent identifiers (e.g., cookies, advertising identifiers, or hashed or encrypted account numbers or telephone numbers) associated with their subscribers to third party “matching services.” These matching services then sync these identifiers with similar identifiers they receive from other sources and provide the list of identifiers to the ISP. Once the ISP has the synced list of identifiers, the ISP can then check with data brokers to request demographic and interest data associated with all of those identifiers, without sharing consumers’ name and contact information.\(^94\)

The graphic on the following page represents how multiple ISPs in our study exchange information with third parties for advertising purposes. Through this system, not only are many of the ISPs in our study able to learn extremely specific demographic and interest categories about their subscribers, but the third parties are able to build complex profiles of consumers based on information they get from various sources and, in turn, sell the information to a variety of business customers.

\(^94\) Although many of the ISPs in our study represent that they deidentify or anonymize this data, there is a growing debate about the efficacy of these tools and methods by which such data may be reidentified. See, e.g., Luc Rocher et al., *Estimating the Success of Re-Identification in Incomplete Datasets Using Generative Models*, 10 NATURE COMM’NS 3069 (2019); Arvind Narayanan & Edward W. Felten, *No Silver Bullet: De-identification Still Doesn’t Work*, PRINCETON UNIV. (July 9, 2014), [https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~arvindn/publications/no-silver-bullet-de-identification.pdf](https://www.cs.princeton.edu/~arvindn/publications/no-silver-bullet-de-identification.pdf); M.A. Rothstein, *Is Deidentification Sufficient to Protect Health Privacy in Research?*, 10 AM. J. BIOETHICS 3–11 (2010); Paul Ohm, *Broken Promises of Privacy: Responding to the Surprising Failure of Anonymization*, 57 UCLA L. REV. 1701 (2010).
The third source of consumer information that some ISPs in our study use for advertising is property managers. At least one ISP reported obtaining the names and address of potential subscribers who have moved into or within its service area directly from these property managers.

There is a trend in the ISP industry to use the information ISPs collect directly from consumers and through third parties to market the ISPs’ own products and services. A sizable number of ISPs in our study market third-party products and services, as explained below.

1. **Marketing Their Own Products and Services**

Several of the ISPs in our study use the information they collect to market products and services to both new and existing customers. As to new customers, ISPs may have information about these customers that they have themselves provided to the ISP. For example, a prospective customer may go to the ISP’s website, share their contact information in the course of seeking to subscribe to the ISP’s
services, but then not complete the subscription process. In that instance, the ISP may still retain their contact information, and later use that information to advertise to them. Many of the ISPs in our study also use data from data brokers to target ads to new customers, for example, by asking for information about new homeowners in a particular zip code. In addition, an ISP may use a former customer’s contact information and other information—such as the products and services they subscribed to previously—in combination with information from data brokers to send general and targeted promotional communications for their products and services.

A significant number of the ISPs in our study also report marketing their own products and services to existing customers. This could include advertising upgrades for products that a consumer already has. For example, an ISPs can send an ad promoting an upgraded plan to customers who have exceeded their allotment of minutes or data use. It could also include advertising for different product lines. An ISP can also combine contact (e.g., name, address, and email), device (e.g., IP address), and internet usage information (e.g., total amount of monthly gigabytes consumed) with demographic information (e.g., likelihood that customer will move in the future) received from data brokers to develop propensity models that determine the likelihood that that the ISP’s subscriber would be interested in additional ISP-branded products or services, such as an internet-connected home security service.

Several of the ISPs in our study market their own products in a variety of ways, including the following:

- Traditional advertising in local publications and on signs (e.g., pole, car, and flyers) posted or distributed to households within the ISP’s footprint;
- Direct mail marketing to current residents of homes and small businesses located within their service area, or to existing subscribers;
- Door-to-door sales;
- Operation of retail locations in their service areas;
- Sales events. For example, one ISP hosts events at community centers or homeowner association meetings in neighborhoods within its existing or prospective service footprint;
- Online advertising on third-party sites like Facebook, Zillow, and Nextdoor, which permit audience targeting at the zip code level;
- Ads on their own websites;
- Email marketing, targeting consumers who have signed up to receive additional information on their websites, in a store, at one of their events, or through other avenues; and
- Partnerships with potential referrers. For example, one ISP gives apartment managers promotional materials when its services are available in their buildings.
2. Advertising Third-Party Products and Services

Over the years, traditional advertising networks and edge providers have touted the benefits of targeted advertising, including free content and personalized ads of value. However, as we have seen more recently, targeted advertising can also lead to pernicious bias, resulting in large segments of the population being stereotyped and denied access to key opportunities based on protected characteristics.95 Like traditional advertising networks and edge providers, many of the ISPs in our study engage significantly in targeted advertising and their practices raise bias and equity concerns.

Several of the ISPs in our study serve targeted ads across the internet on behalf of third parties. Either directly or through affiliates, they use cookies, beacons, pixels, and tags on a consumer’s browser, or they use device identifiers, mobile software development kits (“SDKs”), or similar technologies on a consumer’s mobile device.96 They buy demographic and interest information from data brokers and then combine this information with additional information about ISP subscribers to place these subscribers into segments. These segments often reveal sensitive information about consumers.

Examples of such segments include “viewership-gay,” “pro-choice,” “African American,” “Assimilation or Origin Score,” “Jewish,” “Asian Achievers,” “Gospel and Grits,” “Hispanic Harmony,” “working class,” “unlikely voter,” “last income decile,” “tough times,” “investor high-value,” “FEC-avg donation,” “seeking medical care,” and “Political Views – Democrat and Republican.” Appendix B provides an illustrative list of segments provided by the ISP Order Recipients. These categories allow advertisers to target consumers by their race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, economic status, political affiliations, or religious beliefs, raising questions about how such advertising might (1) affect communities of color, historically marginalized groups, and economically vulnerable populations, or (2) reveal sensitive details about consumers’ browsing habits.

---


96 Staff found that several of the ISPs in our study have acquired advertising platforms to improve their affiliate and in-house digital advertising capabilities. While some ISPs in our study consolidated advertising services internally, others engaged in digital advertising through wholly-owned advertising affiliates.
FIGURE 3: HOW ISPS CREATE IBA PROFILES

Several of the ISPs in our study have the ability to target consumers on a granular basis, because unlike many other entities, these ISPs have access to each of the websites a consumer visits, and they can target based on subscriber information. Indeed, at least three ISPs report combining consumers’ personal information, app usage information, and/or browsing information for advertising purposes. This enables them or their affiliated ad networks to display highly personalized and targeted advertisements through extremely detailed and granular segments. Notably, unlike traditional ad networks whose tracking consumers can block through browser or mobile device settings, consumers cannot use these tools to stop tracking by these ISPs, which use “supercookie” technology to persistently track users.97

97 A supercookie is a type of tracking cookie that the user cannot delete. Craig Timberg, Verizon, AT&T Tracking Their Users with ‘Supercookies’, WASH. POST (Nov. 3, 2014), https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/technology/verizon-atandt-tracking-their-users-with-super-cookies/2014/11/03/7bbf382-6395-11e4-bb14-4cfea1e742d5_story.html (explaining that consumers cannot erase these supercookies or evade them by using browser settings).
A sizable number of ISPs in the study sell and/or facilitate the sale of third-party advertising on video services, such as cable or satellite television and streaming services. The two primary types of video advertising services are:

- **Data Driven Linear:** The ISP or affiliate uses viewing data to identify the programming in which the advertisement should be shown to reach the advertiser’s desired audience. Under this model, everyone who watches the program at the designated time will see the same advertisement.

- **Targeted or Dynamic Advertising:** The ISP or affiliate facilitates the delivery of “addressable” video advertising—i.e., advertising tailored to the inferred interests of the households or individual viewers. Under this model, different viewers of the same program may see different advertisements.

For targeted or dynamic advertising, advertisers can pick out audience segments based on demographic factors. They can also specify segments based on real-time viewing information; for example, a segment can be as simple as subscribers who live in a specific zip code and are watching a particular program at a particular time. Other custom segments are based on historical viewing patterns, such as subscribers within a particular zip code who the ISP or its affiliate is able to identify as repeat viewers of a particular program. At least one ISP noted that in very few instances, advertisers have provided it with specific addresses, email addresses, or names that the advertiser would like to reach.

Finally, several of the ISPs and affiliated entities in our study report providing “cross-screen” services that help advertisers reach consumers across their various devices (e.g., smartphones and television sets). These services essentially combine the ISP’s online ad delivery and video advertising services within a single campaign. These types of campaigns rely on the ISP’s ability to link advertising identifiers across devices. Indeed, the ISP can determine, through its own customer data or that of data brokers, that certain advertising identifiers for multiple browsers and mobile devices are part of the same household.

### 3. Other Services Offered to Businesses

Several of the ISPs and affiliates in our study also provided reports to business customers based on aggregated and/or de-identified information about their customers unrelated to their digital advertising business. Notably, these ISPs—particularly those that offer mobile services—are uniquely able to leverage location information in connection with their aggregated business insight reports. For example, using location information, one ISP reports that it might tell a retailer that 35% of visitors to a particular store are Hispanic-Latino with household incomes between $40K–74.5K.
While the above is an example of an aggregated report, the trend among our mobile ISP Order Recipients was to offer real-time location data about specific subscribers to their third-party customers. The purposes for this sharing included emergency roadside assistance, emergency medical assistance, bank fraud prevention, workforce/employee/fleet management, law enforcement and house arrest monitoring, and proximity marketing (e.g., sending a Starbucks ad to a person standing in front of Starbucks). But in 2018 and 2019, news outlets reported that in addition to these purposes, subscribers’ real-time location data was also being accessed by car salesmen, property managers, bail bondsmen, bounty hunters, and others without reasonable protections or consumers’ knowledge and consent. In 2019, prior to receipt of our Order, each of the mobile internet Order Recipients had terminated access to these third-party location based services. In 2020, the FCC found these entities to be apparently liable for violating the Communications Act and proposed over $200 million in fines.


99 The FCC’s enforcement action was based on the companies’ wireless phone services, which are subject to Section 222 of the Communications Act. See Press Release, Fed. Commc’ns. Comm’n, FCC Proposes over $200M in Fines for Wireless
4. Contractual Limitations on Use and Sharing

The contracts between many of the ISPs in our study and non-affiliated third parties impose contractual limitations on the use of consumers’ personal information.100 For example, when sharing personal information for fraud prevention purposes or to check an applicant’s credit, an ISP might limit the use of the data for these purposes and prohibit downstream sharing of such data. When sharing consumers’ personal information with data brokers, the contracts generally appear to prohibit further disclosure of such information. Finally, many of the ISPs in our study must share limited types of data with other participants in the ad-tech ecosystem. For example, an ISP must bill advertiser customers based in part on the number of ads delivered to particular audiences. In doing so, it must send those customers itemized cookie and advertising identifiers regarding the ads served. In these cases, several of the ISPs in our study typically prohibit their advertiser customers from re-identifying such data or using such data for any purpose other than analytics regarding the advertising campaigns. Conversely, a significant number of these ISPs also receive cookie IDs and similar identifiers from unaffiliated third parties. The contracts between many of the ISPs in our study and these advertisers usually provide that the ISPs may only use the consumer data it receives from the advertiser for the purpose of delivering ads on the advertiser’s behalf.

Many of the ISPs in our study do not appear to have contracts in place with these ad networks as to how they can or cannot use the data. Rather, several ISPs in our study simply state that any sharing between them and their advertising affiliates is governed by the ISP’s privacy policy, which permits the combination and exchange of information between similarly-branded affiliate entities, subject to consumers’ opting out.

D. Privacy Practices

This section discusses the privacy practices of many of the ISPs in our study. It covers the following categories: (1) Opacity; (2) Illusory Choices; (3) Lack of Meaningful Access; (4) Data Retention and Deletion; and (5) Accountability.

1. Opacity

Several of the ISPs in our study promise consumers that they “will not sell your personal information,” providing an impression that their information will not be used or transferred for unanticipated purposes. Many of these ISPs give insufficient information to consumers regarding the myriad of ways that their data can be used, transferred, or monetized outside of selling it, often burying such disclosures in the fine print of their privacy policies. The privacy policies for several of the ISPs in our study reserve extremely broad rights as to how they will use consumer data, essentially permitting

---

100 The information obtained in the study is limited to the contractual provisions themselves. Because we do not have information about how many of these ISPs enforce of these provisions, we cannot independently verify how or whether they are enforced.
these ISPs to use consumer data for virtually any purpose. Although many of the ISPs in our study make promises not to sell consumers’ personal information, consumers may not understand the process through which these ISPs buy consumer information from data brokers, use it to infer additional information about them, categorize them into segments, and serve targeted ads to them on behalf of third-parties. In addition, three of the ISPs in our study reserved the right to share their subscribers’ personal information with their parents and affiliates, which seems to undercut the promises not to sell personal information.

To the extent that some ISPs in our study make promises to consumers about the collection, use, and disclosure of data and their privacy choices, those promises appear on dedicated “Privacy Center” pages or privacy policies. Visits to the privacy policies average between 0.55% to 6.7% of total subscribers, depending on the ISP. The following table, for example, represents the percentage of total subscribers that visit the privacy policies of many of the ISPs in our study.\textsuperscript{101}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{privacy_policy_views.png}
\caption{Privacy Policy Views by Consumers}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c|c}
\hline
Privacy Policy Viewers as \% of Subscribers, 2018, & 100\% & 90\% & 80\% & 70\% & 60\% \\
by ISP & & & & & \\
\hline
Lowest ISP & 10\% & 20\% & 30\% & 40\% & 50\% \\
2nd & 60\% & 70\% & 80\% & 90\% & 100\% \\
3rd & & & & & \\
4th & & & & & \\
Highest ISP & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\section{Illusory Choices}

There is a trend in the ISP industry to purport to offer consumers some choices with respect to the use of their data, but in reality these choices can be illusory. These choices may include the right to

\textsuperscript{101} One ISP experienced substantial privacy policy views due to automated collection and bots and, as such, was omitted from the table at Figure 5.
opt out of communications from the ISP (such as through voice, text message, email, and physical mail); analytics; affiliate sharing; interest-based advertising; cross-channel advertising; and, for telecommunications services, the use of Customer Proprietary Network Information for marketing of the ISP’s own communications. However, problematic interfaces can result in consumer confusion as to how to exercise these choices, potentially leading to the low opt-out rates we observed from many of the ISPs in our study.

First, the intersection of these choices with the CCPA requirement that companies include a “Do Not Sell” button on their home page is confusing. One of the ISPs in our study stated that it does not sell consumers’ personal information; thus, it does not offer an opt out of sale under California law. Conversely, other ISPs state that they do not sell consumers’ personal information, but still offer a “do not sell” option, which, if clicked, provides consumers with different privacy options. Given the disclosure that these ISPs do not sell their personal information, or the fact that consumers do not fully understand what it means for an ISP to “sell” their personal information, it is unclear why a consumer would click on the “do not sell” option to get to their privacy choices.

Second, in many instances, a few of the ISPs in our study made the process of selecting privacy choices complicated. For example, in order to exercise choices, one ISP Order Recipient requires users to:

1. sign in;
2. select their account dashboard;
3. select one of the “ad preferences” at the bottom of the page;
4. select an additional “advertising preferences” tab, which directs them to the privacy page;
5. select a “control your data” tab at the bottom of the page; and
6. select “manage your privacy and communication settings” which directs them to the “your personal information, in your hands” page.

Other ISP Order Recipients spread out multiple choices across multiple tabs and sections. For example, one ISP Order Recipient required consumers to make as many as nine selections to fully protect the privacy of their personal information. These settings, each residing in a separate tab, included:

- “Audience Measurement,”
- “Digital Advertising,”
- “Video Advertising,”
- “Affiliate Sharing,”
- “Interested-Based Advertising,”
- “Social Media,”
- “Mobile and Website Analytics,”

Also pursuant to FCC Rules, in their provision of telecommunication services, all ISPs are required to obtain opt-in approval to use CPNI for purposes other than marketing the ISP’s own communications. 47 C.F.R. § 64.2001–2011 (2021). CPNI means information that relates to the quality, technical configuration, type, destination, location, and amount of use of a telecommunication service; and billing information pertaining to telephone service. See also 47 U.S.C. § 222 (2018).
• “Calls, Mail Advertising, and In-Person Solicitation,” and
• “Marketing and Special Other Emails.”

To fully exercise their privacy intention, a consumer would have to adjust their settings in each and every section. And in many cases, the disclosures next to consumers’ opt-out choices often contained lengthy descriptions.

Third, it can be cumbersome to exercise choices. Rather than allowing consumers to opt out of the use of their contact information, two of the ISP Order Recipients required consumers to manually enter each phone number, email address, and physical address that they wish to opt out. Relatedly, some ISPs require consumers to make privacy selections on a per device basis.

Finally, changing options can make it difficult for consumers to exercise their privacy intentions. One ISP Order Recipient required consumers who wanted to sign up for its reward program to opt in to the use of their sensitive browsing history and location information for targeted advertising. This ISP has since stopped this practice and consumers may now sign up for its rewards without signing up for targeted advertising or giving up their sensitive location and browsing information. However, the ISP never told consumers they could participate in the rewards program without sharing their sensitive data. As a result, many consumers that had previously signed up for this ISP’s rewards program likely continue to have their browsing history and location information used for targeted advertising purposes.

Given the confusing nature of the choices, it is not surprising that opt-out rates generally are low, typically less than 2% of total subscribers. Interestingly, however, one ISP in our study had an opt-out rate for targeted video advertising of approximately 20%. Notably, the opt out was presented in a more
simple, clear, and prominent manner than the opt outs presented by other ISPs, with no extraneous or distracting text.

**FIGURE 6: TARGETED ADVERTISING OPT-OUTS**

![Percent of Subscribers Opting Out of 3rd Party Targeted Advertising by ISP, 2019](chart)

### 3. Lack of Meaningful Access

Although many of the ISPs in our study purported to offer consumers access to their information, this offer is largely illusory, given that the information is either indecipherable or nonsensical without context. As such, it is unsurprising that the number of access requests remains low: generally, the ISPs in our study reported monthly access requests between zero and 380.

Many of the ISPs in our study provide consumers with access to some of their own personal information through three primary means: (1) online account dashboards, where consumers log in to manage their accounts; (2) monthly billing statements; and (3) in response to online access requests, which vary widely from ISP to ISP.

Through online account dashboards, consumers are able to review information about their accounts and billing history. The types of information available to consumers is limited and does not provide them a complete picture of all the types of personal information that an ISP might have collected about them or their households. Through an online account dashboard, a consumer might see that the ISP has some basic information on them, such as their name, email and physical addresses, and phone number. The consumer may also be able to find some information on their plan and past billing history.
Similarly, consumers might receive monthly billing statements from their ISP via email or mail. In addition to monthly fees and balances, these statements might also provide information to consumers about their plans, products, services, internet usage activity, and the ISP’s privacy policy. Similar to online account dashboards, the information provided to consumers is limited.

Finally, some of the ISPs in our study provide some consumers access to their personal information through online access requests. The CCPA requires that companies, upon request, provide consumers with access to their information, such as the categories and specific pieces of personal information they have collected. Most of the ISPs in our study solely limit access rights to California consumers. Only two of the ISPs in our study have expanded access rights to all U.S. consumers. Even for companies that allow all U.S. consumers to request access to their personal information, the number of access requests is generally low.

The types of information available to consumers through an access request varied widely. Some ISPs in our study provide consumers highly granular information including contact information, billing information, demographic information, inferences made about consumers and their households, and information obtained from other products and services. One ISP not only lists specific background and demographic information, but provides concrete examples of inferences the ISP makes (e.g., “Low Likelihood to Add internet” but “High Likelihood to Add TV”). Similarly, one ISP allows consumers to see the segments associated with the cookies on their browser. However, some fields are quite opaque and do not provide any information about what the ISP knows. For example, an ISP might label “Religion Code” as “54,” “Race Code” as “W,” “Heritage Code” as “23,” and “Zip+4 US consumer Segment” as “N.”

Other ISPs in our study provide consumers less granularity. For example, one ISP notes simply that it collects and/or uses personal information from websites, apps, or other public sources, without detailing the personal information or the types of websites, apps, or public sources that have access to such information.

4. Data Retention and Deletion

Several of the ISP Order Recipients hold data pursuant to record retention schedules, asserting that they only keep the information as long as it is needed for a business reason. An ISP Order Recipient has the ability to define (or leave undefined) what constitutes a business reason, giving them virtually unfettered discretion. For those that provide time frames, those frames seem to vary widely.

- One ISP in our study deleted logs of the websites consumers visited every twenty-four hours, another kept the same logs for thirty-five days, and yet another kept the logs for one year.

- Some ISPs in our study retain consumers’ demographic information for up to two years, while others in our study retain that same data for three years. This means that many ISPs in our study

---

may retain demographic information about their customers for years after consumers have terminated the relationship with their ISP and no longer use their services.

- Some ISPs in our study pass on consumer information to their affiliated ad networks, which do not delete the data at the end of the specified retention period. Instead, they deidentify or anonymize that data,\(^\text{104}\) and then keep that data for an additional period of time, ranging from two years to indefinitely.

Following enactment of CCPA, California residents have the right to delete their personal information.\(^\text{105}\) As with access requests, some of the ISPs in our study purport to extend this right to all U.S. consumers, while others restrict the deletion right to California residents.

Generally, we found that deletion requests are low given the total number of the ISPs’ subscribers. In the numbers provided by many of the ISPs in our study, the range of reported deletion requests by U.S. consumers in any month for any ISP ranged from 0 to 322.

### 5. Accountability

It is common practice in the ISP industry to have designated privacy officers or similar staff to be responsible for administering company-wide privacy programs, or at the very least, for reviewing, validating, and approving decisions relating to the use of personal data. In addition, at least one ISP in our study conducts risk assessments associated with any third-party relationships. Many of the ISPs and their affiliates in our study appear to rely on staff to conduct privacy assessments prior to launching new products and services or modifying existing programs.\(^\text{106}\)

---

\(^\text{104}\) Typically, many of the ISPs in our study deidentify data by removing internal and external identifiers, such as location information, telephone numbers, device identifiers, advertising identifiers, IP address information, usage information, demographic data, cookies, and mobile browsing information. They generally aggregate the data into large groups (hundreds of thousands of users); use generalization techniques (e.g., using age bands like 30-40, generalizing dates to a year (1982) or a time range (the 1990s)). They may also remove outliers. For example, if there is an outlier on ages of individuals over 90, then all ages from 80 and higher could be combined into the 80+ range while still leaving the other ages intact. They also typically hash, salt, and encrypt the data.

\(^\text{105}\) CCPA, CAL. CIV. CODE § 1798.105.

\(^\text{106}\) While staff received generalized responses about ISPs’ privacy assessment programs, staff does not opine on the thoroughness or adequacy of any specific program.
V. Observations

A. Many ISPs in our Study Amass Large Pools of Sensitive Consumer Data

Many of the ISPs in our study and their affiliates collect significant amounts of consumer information across the range of products and services that they offer.107 In terms of the sheer volume of information, one recipient reported that it has over 370 million direct consumer relationships, across its mobile, pay TV, broadband, and digital properties.108 Another reported serving one trillion ad requests monthly.109 A third ISP reported offering home security and home automation services to 15 million of its subscribers.110

The vertical integration of ISP services with other services like home security and automation, video streaming, content creation, advertising, email, search, wearables, and connected cars permits not only the collection of large volumes of data, but also the collection of highly-granular data about individual subscribers.111 As noted above, a sizable number of the ISPs in our study combine their

107 The FCC has previously found that BIAS providers are gatekeepers to the internet, and as such collect an unprecedented breadth of personal information about consumers. See Rules to Protect Broadband Consumer Privacy, 81 Fed. Reg. 87274, 87333 (Nov. 2, 2016) (“Based on our review of the record, we reaffirm our earlier finding that a broadband provider ‘sits at a privileged place in the network, the bottleneck between the customer and the rest of the internet’—a position that we have referred to as a gatekeeper. As such, BIAS providers can collect ‘an unprecedented breadth’ of electronic personal information.”).


110 COMCAST CORP., supra note 74, at 4; see also Dean Takahashi, Comcast is Bringing Home Automation to 15 Million Xfinity Customers, VENTURE BEAT (Jan. 10, 2018), https://venturebeat.com/2018/01/10/comcast-is-bringing-free-home-automation-to-15-million-xfinity-customers (noting that Comcast brings internet or cable TV services to 29 million customers, and provides home automation services to 15 million of its customers).

111 See e.g., Geoff Colvin, AT&T Has Become a New Kind of Media Giant, FORTUNE (May 21, 2019), https://fortune.com/longform/att-media-company/ (“Adding strength to the whole proposition is AT&T’s unique aggregate customer data trove and its value in addressable advertising over DirecTV and AT&T’s direct-to-consumer streaming services; ads can also be directed less precisely through the former Turner networks. ‘Say you and your neighbor are both DirecTV customers and you’re watching the same live program at the same time,’ says Brian Lesser, who oversees the vast data-crunching operation that supports this kind of advertising at AT&T. ‘We can now dynamically change the advertising. Maybe your neighbor’s in the market for a vacation, so they get a vacation ad. You’re in the market for a car, you get a car ad. If you’re watching on your phone, and you’re not at home, we can customize that and maybe you get an ad specific to a car retailer in that location.’”); Jeff Chester, AT&T, Comcast & Verizon Expand ‘Big Data’ Tracking & Targeting Consumers, CTR. FOR DIG. DEMOCRACY (Mar. 8, 2018), https://www.democraticmedia.org/blog/att-comcast-verizon-expand-
customers’ information across product lines. This means a single ISP has the ability to track the websites their subscribers visit, the shows they watch, the apps they use, their energy habits, their real-time whereabouts and historical location, the search queries they make, and the contents of their email communications. Moreover, several of the ISPs in our study combine the data from their subscribers with additional information from third-party data brokers, resulting in extremely granular insights and inferences into not just their subscribers but their subscribers’ families and households. They use this data to create advertising segments, including segments that reveal sensitive data such as race, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, financial status, health status, and political beliefs.

**B. Several ISPs in Our Study Gather and Use Data In Ways Consumers Do Not Expect and Could Cause Them Harm**

Many of the ISPs in our study claim that consumers derive many benefits from the ISPs’ collection of consumers’ information. However, while consumers certainly expect ISPs to use information about the websites they wish to visit in providing the internet services itself, they would likely be surprised at the extent of data that is collected, retained, and combined for purposes unrelated to providing the service, particularly in ways that could cause them harm. Indeed, the collection,

---

**big-data-tracking-targetingconsumers** (“Internet service provider (ISP) giants, which dominant how Americans gain access to broadband internet, cable TV, streaming video, and other telecommunications services, are aggressively expanding their capabilities to gather and use personal data. Leading ISPs AT&T, Comcast and Verizon are taking full advantage of all information flowing from PC’s, mobile phones, set-top boxes, and other devices. ISP giants are using ‘Big Data’ analytics, artificial intelligence, and an array of cutting-edge technologies to identify who we are, what we do and how best to target us with marketing and advertising. They are also working closely with data brokers to gain access to even more personal information.”). But see supra note 68 and accompanying text (noting that in May 2021, AT&T announced that it would spin off WarnerMedia and combine it with Discovery as a new standalone media company).

---

112 These benefits include: (1) fraud prevention and security; (2) monitoring for child pornography; (3) convenience of single sign in for multiple services (e.g., home security dashboard and email); (4) location-based services such as roadside assistance, medical emergency alerts, targeting of public resources (e.g., COVID prevention resources) and bank fraud prevention, which is made possible when ISPs share location data with government and business partners; and (5) targeted advertising that allows consumers to be alerted to interesting products and receive coupons, discounts, and upgrades.

113 See GROUPM, CONSUMER TRUST IN DIGITAL MARKETING 15–16 (Mar. 30, 2020), https://www.groupm.com/new-groupm-research-examines-consumer-trust-digital-marketing/ (finding that six in ten consumers globally indicated they would be less willing to buy or use a product or service if their data were used, for example, to deliver personalized ads or suggest personalized content). See also Bree Fowler, Americans Want More Say in the Privacy of Personal Data, CONSUMER REPORTS (May 18, 2017), https://www.consumerreports.org/privacy/americans-want-more-say-in-privacy-of-personal-data-a5880786028/ (citing a study that found that 92% of respondents said that “internet service providers, such as Comcast and Verizon, should be required to secure permission from users before selling or sharing their data”).

114 See FED. TRADE COMM’N, BIG DATA: A TOOL FOR INCLUSION OR EXCLUSION? UNDERSTANDING THE ISSUES 9–12 (Jan. 2016) [hereinafter Big Data], https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/big-data-tool-inclusion-or-exclusion-understanding-issues/160106big-data-rpt.pdf (noting that the collection and combination of such information can be used to categorize consumers in ways that can result in exclusion of certain populations, leading to, among other things, the denial of
combination, and use practices of many of the ISPs in our study could run counter to many consumers’ preferences. Some noteworthy examples follow.

First, as noted above, at least two of the ISPs in our study combine their customers’ personal information with their browsing history for advertising purposes. Although consumers likely understand that their ISP requires certain information in order to connect their browser with the websites they visit, they might not expect their ISP to log this data in order to build behavioral profiles for advertising purposes.115 In a recent survey, consumers ranked browsing history as among the top five most important pieces of personal information.116 Past survey data similarly finds that 57% of consumers are not comfortable with advertisers using their browsing histories to serve relevant ads.117

Second, a significant number of ISPs in our study use television viewing history for advertising purposes. Television viewing history reveals sensitive information related to religion, sexual orientation, health, politics, and prurient interests. Congress has recognized the sensitivity of this information by enacting laws to protect the privacy of consumers’ television viewing activities within their homes. For example, the Cable Privacy Act requires that cable companies seek affirmative express consent to collect non-aggregate or identifiable information about television watching.118 The Video Privacy Protection Act requires consent prior to the disclosure of video watching information along with personally-identifiable information.119 Analogously, forty-eight states and the District of Columbia have laws protecting the confidentiality of library records; the remaining two states have attorneys general’s opinions protecting library users’ privacy.120

opportunities, the creation or reinforcement of existing disparities, the exposure of sensitive information, the targeting of vulnerable consumers for fraud, and the weakening of consumer choices).

115 See Rules to Protect Broadband Consumer Privacy, 81 Fed. Reg. 87274, 87299 (Nov. 2, 2016) (citing FED. TRADE COMM’N, PROTECTING CONSUMER PRIVACY IN AN ERA OF RAPID CHANGE: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR BUSINESSES AND POLICYMAKERS 56 (2012), http://ftc.gov/os/2012/03/120326privacyreport.pdf ("While a customer may expect that the people and businesses she interacts with will know some things about her—her bookstore will know what she’s bought by virtue of having sold it to her—this is distinct from having her voice or broadband provider extract that information from her communications paths and therefore knowing every store she has visited and everything she has purchased.").


Third, although it was beyond the scope of our study, we note that several of the ISP Order Recipients or their affiliates offer additional services through which consumers share the contents of their communications, including email and search. The content of email communications and search queries can reveal communications meant to be private. These communications often include sensitive financial, health, and children’s information. Email messages are also often used to view and access private photos, videos, audio files, and information about financial transactions, prescriptions, and medical appointments. Numerous courts have acknowledged the sensitivity of the contents of communications and found that citizens have a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to these communications. If ISPs or their affiliates were to combine multiple channels of sensitive information about content of communications, as they are capable of doing, consumers would have little digital privacy.

Fourth, at least three ISPs in our study engage in cross-device tracking. Because the practice of cross-device tracking is often not obvious, consumers may be surprised to find that their browsing behavior on one device will inform the ads they see on another. For example, a person who downloads an app to lose weight may later be surprised after being targeted by ads for dietary supplements on other devices. A teen who does not want her parents to know she is gay may be surprised to learn that her browsing behavior on her mobile device informs ads that appear on the household computer. A consumer could get an ad on her work computer related to an intimate or sensitive video she watched on her personal laptop, habits revealed by her wearable device, or retail purchases, a possibility enhanced by the current global pandemic as billions of people continue to learn and work from home.

Fifth, there is a trend in the ISP industry to use location information for advertising purposes and sell this data to third parties. As demonstrated in other contexts, the persistent collection and sharing of real-time location information can reveal sensitive details about where individuals live, work, worship,
and attend school.\textsuperscript{124} For example, in 2018, a Global Heat Map, published by a fitness data company, revealed sensitive information about the location and movements of servicemen and women in various conflict zones.\textsuperscript{125} Real-time location information also reveals other sensitive information and associations, such as childcare locations, visits to drug treatment or mental health clinics, and private meetings.\textsuperscript{126} Consumers find their location information to be sensitive. A 2016 report by Pew Research found that 82\% of Americans view location history to be sensitive/very sensitive.\textsuperscript{127} Other studies support this finding.\textsuperscript{128} Significantly, several ISPs in our study also had in place location aggregator programs where they sold the real-time location data of their subscribers—derived from the provision of the wireless service itself—to third-parties. Public reports found that this information ultimately ended up in the hands of car salesmen, property managers, bail bondsmen, bounty hunters, and others without reasonable protections,\textsuperscript{129} generating enforcement actions by the FCC, which proposed fines totaling over $200 million.\textsuperscript{130}

\begin{thebibliography}{99}
\bibitem{note126} See generally Betsie Estes, Geolocation – the Risk and Benefits of a Trending Technology, 5 ISACA J. at 1 (2016).
\bibitem{note127} The State of Privacy in Post-Snowden America, PEW RESEARCH CTR. (Sept. 21, 2016), http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/09/21/the-state-of-privacy-in-america/. The combination of email address and location history would enable a hacker who has an individual’s email address to find that individual’s location. While some locks may be used in a setting where the lock is not moved—say, a tool shed—and would only provide a static address such as a home address, a lock used for a gym locker, or a bike lock that is used for running errands or daily commuting may provide more extensive information about an individual’s location over time.
\bibitem{note128} See, e.g., Kostas Drakonakis et al., Please Forget Where I Was Last Summer: The Privacy Risks of Public Location (Meta)Data, NETWORK AND DISTRIBUTED SYS. SEC. (NDSS) SYMPOSIUM 2019 (Feb. 2019), https://www.ndss-symposium.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/ndss2019_01A-6_Drakonakis_paper.pdf (Analysis of precise geolocation information in tweets found that after Twitter changed an “invasive privacy policy” to give users the ability not to share precise geolocation information, users were 18.5 times less likely to include GPS coordinates in their tweets, which resulted in a 94.6\% reduction in tweets with GPS coordinates.); State of Data Privacy Survey, TREASURE DATA (July 2019), https://blog.treasuredata.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/ATD_StateOfPrivacy_Survey18.pdf (Online survey of 600 adults found that respondents were least comfortable handing over their locations and phone numbers to companies with whom they were doing business.); KPMG, CROSSING THE LINE: STAYING ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF CONSUMER PRIVACY (Nov. 2016), https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/ch/pdf/crossing-the-line-en.pdf (finding that less than 20\% of consumers are happy to disclose information such as their search history, income, location, address or medical records).
\bibitem{note129} See supra note 98 and accompanying text.
\bibitem{note130} See Press Release, Fed. Commc’n. Comm’n, supra note 99. Unlike the FTC, the FCC has the authority to obtain civil penalties for first-time violations under Section 503 of the Communications Act.
\end{thebibliography}
Finally, the use by several of the ISPs in our study of race and ethnicity data (or proxies for such data such as location data) for advertising purposes and the sale of such data to unrelated businesses raises concerns, particularly around the practices of “digital redlining,” in the same way that such use by edge providers does. As early as 2013, one study showed how Google searches of Black-sounding names yielded ads related to arrest records more often than searches of less ethnically-sounding names, regardless of whether there was an arrest record associated with that name. Similarly, reports indicate that certain minority populations are targeted for fast food and alcohol advertisements. More recently, the Department of Housing and Urban Development charged Facebook, Inc. with violating the Fair Housing Act of 1968 by allowing advertisers to restrict housing ads based on characteristics like race, religion, and national origin. On the financial side, there are concerns that minority populations may be shown ads for less desirable financial products than their white counterparts. As these examples demonstrate, the use of consumers’ personal information for advertising not only raises privacy concerns but also civil rights concerns. Indeed, this form of “digital redlining” could reverse any progress on civil rights issues if a business is able to discriminate in its advertising buys based on, for example, a person’s color or religion, or based on a proxy that effectively discriminates against certain races or religions. Even where businesses do not intend to discriminate, certain uses of consumers’ personal information could disparately impact certain groups.

131 Proxy discrimination occurs when “the predictive power of a facially neutral characteristic is at least partially attributable to its correlation with a suspect classifier.” See generally Anya E.R. Prince & Daniel B. Schwarcz, Proxy Discrimination in the Age of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data, 105 IOWA L. REV. 1257 (2020). The algorithms identify seemingly neutral characteristics to create groups that closely mirror a protected class, and these “proxies” are used for inclusion or exclusion.


133 See Karen Kramer et al., Targeted Marketing of Junk Food to Ethnic Minority Youth: Fighting Back with Legal Advocacy and Community Engagement, CHANGELAB SOLS. (2012), https://www.changelabolutions.org/sites/default/files/TargetedMarketingJunkFood_FINAL_20120912.pdf (published in ADVANCES IN COMMUNICATION RESEARCH TO REDUCE CHILDHOOD OBESITY (Jerome D. Williams et al. eds., 2012); Alcohol marketing in the digital age, DIGITAL ADS, http://digitalads.org/how-youre-targeted/publications/alcohol-marketing-digital-age-1 (last visited Sept. 30, 2021) (“An increasing number of online services now target Hispanics and African Americans. In fact, it has been shown that youth of color consume more media, including digital media, than white youth, giving alcohol marketers greater opportunity to target these groups.”).


C. Although Many ISPs in Our Study Purport to Offer Consumers Choices, These Choices are Often Illusory

Although many of the ISPs in our study purported to offer consumers choices, some of these choices were not offered clearly and indeed, nudged consumers toward more data sharing. Academics and experts commonly refer to these types of practices as “dark patterns,” which was the subject of an FTC workshop in April 2021. Examples of interfaces we found from several of the ISPs in our study include the following:

- **Interfaces with the preferred choice highlighted and the other choice greyed out.** Here the greyed-out choice may indicate to consumers they have no choice but to select “accept.” Or, given the difference in prominence, consumers might select “accept” out of expediency without considering or realizing their ability to “reject.”

![Figure 7: Interface with Highlighted Preferred Choice](image)

- **Interfaces that do not allow consumers to reject information collection or continuously prompt consumers if they select a disfavored setting.** Here, consumers are prompted into “accepting” the use of their sensitive browsing history and location information for online behavioral advertising, but are not given an option to reject such practices. Additionally, user experience (“UX”) designers have written about how options, such as the “remind me later”

---


button, can lead to consumer annoyance by repeatedly prompting consumers over and over until they acquiesce and hit “accept.”

• **Choices may be buried or hidden from consumers.** Here, consumers wanting to exercise their privacy preferences through their mobile device are required to search through a number of tabs and sub-tabs. This example reflects not only buried choices but also how consumers’ experiences can vary depending on the device they use. From the dashboard, a consumer that is searching for their privacy setting would have to click on “account,” “bill,” and “shop,” before clicking on the “more” button. Once they click on “more,” consumers would have to decide which button to click next: either “profile settings,” “coverage map,” “app settings,” “contact us,” “find a store,” or “about the app.” While the privacy settings were actually under the “profile setting,” it could just as well have been in the “app setting” or “about the app” tab. Requiring consumers to search through multiple tabs and settings and can frustrate consumers and discourage them from enabling privacy settings.

FIGURE 8: INTERFACE WITH NO ABILITY TO REJECT

---

• **Unclear toggle settings that can confuse consumers into selecting a privacy setting that they did not intend.** Here, the “Do Not Sell my personal information” with an off toggle creates a double negative. It is not clear whether the consumer needs to toggle the setting off to prohibit sale, or set it to on to turn on “Do Not Sell.” This can lead to confusion and even the possibility that consumers act against their privacy intention.
D. Many ISPs in Our Study Can Be At Least As Privacy-Intrusive as Large Advertising Platforms

In many respects, ISPs are small players in a nearly $455.30 billion global digital advertising industry\(^\text{140}\) and the $152.72 billion U.S. digital advertising industry.\(^\text{141}\) In 2018, Verizon received just 3.4% of the digital advertising spend in the United States.\(^\text{142}\) By contrast, in 2020, the three largest players, Google, Facebook, and Amazon, received almost two-thirds of all U.S. digital advertising spend, or approximately $98.34 billion.\(^\text{143}\) Despite ISPs’ relative size in a market dominated by Google, Facebook, and Amazon, the privacy challenges that permeate the advertising ecosystem may be amplified by many of the ISPs in our study in a few respects.

First, many of the ISPs in our study have access to 100% of consumers’ unencrypted internet traffic.\(^\text{144}\) In contrast, only Google has a presence on 75% of the top million websites, with the others having a presence on no more than 25% of the top million websites.\(^\text{145}\) Some have argued that, with the rising adoption of encryption by websites and prevalence of VPNs,\(^\text{146}\) ISPs do not have access to as

---


\(^{143}\) Bruell, *supra* note 141.

\(^{144}\) See, e.g., UPTURN, *WHAT ISPS CAN SEE: CLARIFYING THE TECHNICAL LANDSCAPE OF THE BROADBAND PRIVACY DEBATE* (March 2016), https://www.upturn.org/reports/2016/what-isps-can-see/ (finding that in 2016, more than 85% of the top 50 sites still failed to encrypt browsing by default, and that an ISP can see the full URL and the content for any web page requested by the user).

\(^{145}\) Gabriel Weinberg, *What Are The Biggest Tracker Networks and What Can I Do About Them?*, DUCKDUCKGO (May 26, 2019), https://spreadprivacy.com/biggest-tracker-networks/ (finding that Google has trackers installed on 75% of top million websites with all remaining advertising networks having less than a 25% presence on those websites).

much of consumers’ browsing behavior as large ad networks like Google, Facebook and Amazon. However, even with encryption, many ISPs in our study continue to store the IP addresses that their customers access and thereby collect the domain names of the websites they visit.

Further, one study showed that health-related websites, containing sensitive information about consumers and their health-related searches, remain among the least likely to be encrypted. Other smaller websites and apps, such as those that include information for LGBTQ+ communities and domestic violence information, may similarly not be encrypted.

Second, several of the ISPs in our study are able to verify and know the identity of their subscribers. At least two of the ISPs in our study associated consumers’ account information with information about their web browsing history, app usage, information about other ISP products and services, characteristics, behaviors, habits, and/or location. This gives some of the ISPs in our study and their affiliates certainty about their subscribers.

Third, a significant number of the ISPs in our study can track consumers persistently across websites and geographic locations. By virtue of their access to consumers’ internet traffic through the provision of internet services, these ISPs are capable of persistently tracking consumers by appending undeletable identifiers to consumers’ internet traffic, and at least two, in fact, do. Commonly used measures for protecting privacy, such as switching browsers and devices, enabling “private browsing mode,” or deleting cookies, did not prevent these two ISPs from continuing to persistently track their subscribers. Additionally, mobile internet providers can target consumers based on their real-time and historical location through the use of cellular tower data, even when location tracking on their phones is deactivated.

---

147 Ashish, How Can Your ISPs Track Your Online Activity?, SCIENCEABC (Apr. 12, 2019), https://www.scienceabc.com/innovation/how-can-your-isps-track-your-online-activity.html (“In a nutshell, your ISP might not be able to see what exactly you’re looking at on a website (say, Youtube) if it’s HTTPS-encrypted, but it can certainly see that you logged on to Youtube. Just as you cannot hide the recipient’s address from the mailman, you also cannot hide which websites you’re accessing from your ISP. However, there are certain alternatives to block ISP from tracking, like using a VPN (Virtual Private Network), which can protect your online privacy. Unfortunately, these often come at a premium price, and their effectiveness and reliability are often a cause of concern for users.”). See also Rules to Protect Broadband Consumer Privacy, 81 Fed. Reg. 87274, 87278 (Nov. 2, 2016) (describing the types of information that ISPs have access to over HTTPS).

148 At least one Order Recipient has partnered with internet browsers and committed to deploying Domain Name System over HTTP (“DoH”), which limits the ISP’s access even to the websites visited. However, since DoH is largely browser dependent, the breadth of its deployment is an open question and therefore, so is the impact that it will have on consumer internet privacy. See also UPTURN, supra note 144.


150 See discussion supra Part IV.C.

151 See discussion supra Part IV.C.
Finally, in terms of breadth and scope, as noted above, several ISPs in our study have the capability to combine the browsing and viewing history that they obtain from their subscribers with the large amounts of information they obtain from the broad range of vertically integrated products, services, and features that they offer.152

VI. Conclusion

The findings from our report show that many of the ISPs in our study amass large pools of sensitive data, and that their uses of such data could lead to significant harms, particularly when consumers are classified by demographic characteristics, such as race, ethnicity, gender, or sexuality. Although several of the ISPs in our study purported to offer consumers access to their data and choices as to their use and deletion, those choices were largely illusory, and sometimes even nudged consumers toward more data sharing. This further demonstrates the importance of restricting the collection and uses of data, rather than allowing ISPs to dictate how consumers’ information is used by obscuring how they will use their information. Unfortunately, these data practices and abuses mirror problems across other industries, including significantly, edge providers.

152 See discussion supra Part V.A.
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UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Joseph J. Simons, Chairman
Noah Joshua Phillips
Rohit Chopra
Rebecca Kelly Slaughter
Christine S. Wilson

FTC Matter No. P195402

ORDER TO FILE A SPECIAL REPORT

Pursuant to a resolution of the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “the Commission”) dated [DATE], entitled “Resolution Directing Use of Compulsory Process to Collect Information Regarding ISP Privacy,” a copy of which is enclosed, [COMPANY NAME], hereinafter referred to as the “Company,” is ordered to file with the Commission, no later than 45 days after date of service, a Special Report containing the information and Documents specified herein.

The Commission is seeking to compile data concerning the privacy policies, procedures, and practices of Internet Service Providers and related entities, including the method and manner by which they collect, retain, use, and disclose information about consumers and their Devices. The Special Report will assist the Commission in conducting a study of such policies, practices, and procedures.

The Special Report must restate Each item of this Order with which the corresponding answer is identified. Your report is required to be subscribed and sworn by an official of the Company who has prepared or supervised the preparation of the report from books, records, correspondence, and other data and material in Your possession. If any question cannot be answered fully, give the information that is available and explain in what respects and why the answer is incomplete. The Special Report and all accompanying documentary responses must be Bates-stamped.

Confidential or privileged commercial or financial information will be reported by the Commission on an aggregate or anonymous basis, consistent with Sections 6(f) and 21(d) of the FTC Act. Individual submissions responsive to this Order that are marked “confidential” will not be disclosed without first giving the Company ten (10) days notice of the Commission’s intention to do so, except as provided in Sections 6(f) and 21 of the FTC Act.

SPECIFICATIONS

Please produce the following information, Documents, and items, consistent with the definitions, instructions, and formatting requirements contained in Attachment A:
Identification of Report Author:

1. Identify the full name, business address, telephone number, and title of the person(s) who has prepared or supervised the preparation of the Company’s response to this Order and Describe in Detail the steps taken by the Company to respond to this Order. For Each specification, Identify the individual(s) who assisted in preparation of the response. Produce a list of the persons (Identified by name and corporate title or job description) whose files were searched and Identify the person who conducted the search.

Company Information:

2. State the Company’s complete legal name and all other names under which it has done business, its corporate mailing address, all addresses from which it does or has done business, and the dates and states of its incorporation.

3. Describe the Company’s corporate structure, and state the names of all parents, subsidiaries, divisions, branches, joint ventures, franchises, operations under assumed names, and websites over which it exercises supervision or control. For Each such entity, Describe in Detail the nature of its relationship to the Company and the date it was created, acquired, sold, or otherwise changed ownership or control. Produce organizational charts sufficient to detail the Company’s corporate structure.

4. Describe in Detail Each Company program or service that collects, transmits, receives, stores, maintains, uses, or discloses Personal Information about consumers.

5. For Each program or service Identified in Specification 4, Describe in Detail its Ad Services, if any, including whether such Ad Services rely on information about users and their Devices from Third Parties. If so, Identify those sources.

6. For Each program or service Identified in response to Specification 4, broken down by month, state the total number of: (1) subscribers; and (2) unique consumers targeted, tracked, or otherwise Identified by its Ad Services.

Data Collection, Retention, Use, and Disclosure:

7. List the categories of Personal Information collected about consumers or their Devices by Each program or service Identified in Specification 4. For Each category of Personal Information, Describe in Detail:
   a. the purpose(s) for which the information is collected or used;
   b. how such information is used or has been used;
   c. the techniques used to collect such information;
   d. the sources from which such information is obtained. If the information is obtained from a Third Party: (i) Identify Each Third Party that provided or disclosed such information; (ii) Describe in Detail the types of information obtained from Each Third Party; (iii) produce Documents sufficient to detail the types of information obtained and the manner it was or is obtained (e.g., table, spreadsheet, database); and (iv) 2
Describe in Detail any contractual or technical restrictions or limitations placed on the
collection, retention, use, or disclosure of such information;

e. how such information is combined with other types of information about consumers
and their Devices. Produce Documents sufficient to detail how the combined
information is maintained or stored (e.g., table, spreadsheet, database) by Each
program or service;

f. how long the information is retained and whether it is destroyed at the end of the
retention period. Produce Documents sufficient to detail any deletion or retention
policies, practices, or procedures;

g. whether such information is disclosed to any Third Party, including on a limited, trial,
or test basis. If so: (i) Identify Each Third Party that receives or has received such
information; (ii) Describe in Detail the types of information disclosed to Each Third
Party; (iii) provide Documents sufficient to detail the types of information received
and the manner it was or is received (e.g., table, spreadsheet, database); and (iv)
Describe in Detail any contractual or technical restrictions or limitations placed on the
collection, retention, use, or disclosure of such information;

h. any internal policies, practices, or procedures regarding access controls or use
restrictions to consumers’ Personal Information by employees or service providers;

i. any privacy assessments used to evaluate the risks associated with the collection,
retention, use, or disclosure of such information. Produce a copy of Each assessment.

8. To the extent any program or service Identified in Specification 4 uses “agg regated,”
“anonymized,” or “deidentified” information about consumers and their Devices,
Describe in Detail:

a. how the program or service defines aggregated, anonymized, or deidentified
information;

b. the processes and techniques Each program or service uses to aggregate, anonymize,
or deidentify such information;

c. the types of information about consumers and their Devices that Each program or
service aggregates, anonymizes, or deidentifies;

d. how Each program or service uses such information;

e. whether Each program or service discloses aggregated, anonymized, or deidentified
information to Third Parties. If so, Identify Each Third Party that receives such
information and the types of information it receives, including Documents sufficient
to detail the form or manner (e.g., table, spreadsheet, database) the information is
received by Each Third Party; and

f. any use, disclosure, or sales restrictions placed on any Third Parties that receive such
information.

9. To the extent available, produce any data maps, inventories, or other charts, schematics,
or graphic depictions sufficient to detail the types of information collected about
consumers or their Devices and the data stores where such information is located.
Notice and Disclosure:

10. Produce a copy of Each materially different statement (e.g., advertising, privacy policy, terms of service) You have publicly disseminated or caused to be disseminated relating to Your privacy practices.

11. State the total number of consumers, broken down by month, who have visited or otherwise viewed or interacted with the Company’s online privacy policy.

Consent and Choice:

12. For Each category of information described in Specification 7, Describe in Detail:
   a. when and how consumers are offered choices about the collection, retention, use, or disclosure of Personal Information, and any default choice enabled. Produce a copy of Each materially different communication to consumers about such choices; and
   b. the total number and percentage of users who have exercised such choices, broken down by (1) program or service; (2) type of choice described in subsection (a); and (3) year.

13. Irrespective of whether on a trial or test basis, regional level, or national level, has the Company ever offered different levels of service, quality of service, rates, pricing, rewards, or other incentives for consumers who opt-in to the collection of information about themselves, their Devices, their communications, their viewing history, or their online activities? If so, Describe in Detail such practices and produce Each materially different notice provided to consumers concerning the practice. Further, produce any internal studies, analyses, tests, marketing research, or experiments that the Company has conducted or caused to be conducted on the provision of different levels of service, quality of service, rates, pricing, rewards, or other incentives for consumers who opt-in to the collection of information about themselves, their Devices, their communications, their viewing history, or their online activities.

14. Irrespective of whether on a trial or test basis, regional level, or national level, has the Company ever denied service, or otherwise degraded the quality of service, for consumers who fail to opt-in to the collection of information about themselves, their Devices, their communications, their viewing history, or their online activities, beyond information that is necessary for the provision of Internet or cable services? If so, Describe in Detail such practices and produce Each materially different notice provided to consumers concerning the practice. Further, produce any internal studies, analyses, tests, marketing research, or experiments that the Company has conducted or caused to be conducted on denying service, or otherwise degrading the quality of service, for consumers who fail to opt-in to the collection of information about themselves, their Devices, their communications, their viewing history, or their online activities, beyond information that is necessary for the provision of Internet or cable services.
Access, Correction, and Deletion:

15. Describe in Detail the Company’s process for providing consumers with the ability to access, correct, or delete their Personal Information.

16. Describe in Detail the Company’s data deletion and retention policies, including any retention periods for Personal Information about consumers and their Devices. Produce any written data deletion and retention policies and procedures and public statements to consumers about retention or deletion policies and procedures.

Other Documents:

17. Produce all Documents Identified in Your response to this Order that were not otherwise specifically requested.
Attachment A

DEFINITIONS & ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS

A. “Ad Service” means any program or service that analyzes, tracks, or otherwise identifies consumers, their households, or their devices for purposes of advertising or improving advertisements to consumers, irrespective of platform (e.g., Internet, cable, or television).

B. “Company” means [company name], its divisions, branches, joint ventures, and operations under assumed names.

C. “Device” means (a) any computing device that operates using an operating system, including smartphone, tablet, wearable, sensor, television, set-top box, cable box, router, or any periphery of any portable computing device; and (b) the software used to access, operate, manage, or configure a device subject to part (a) of this definition, including, but not limited to, the firmware, web or mobile applications, and any related online services.

D. “Describe in Detail” means providing the information requested in narrative form, including an explanation of each material change, if any, made over the applicable time period relating to the practices described, as well as the effective date of the change(s) and the reason(s) for such change(s).

E. “Document” means the complete original and any non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of notations on the copy or otherwise), regardless of origin or location, of any written, typed, printed, transcribed, filmed, punched, or graphic matter of every type and description, however and by whomever prepared, produced, disseminated, or made, including but not limited to any advertisement, book, pamphlet, periodical, contract, correspondence, file, invoice, memorandum, note, telegram, report, record, handwritten note, working paper, routing slip, chart, graph, paper, index, map, tabulation, manual, guide, outline, script, abstract, history, calendar, diary, agenda, minute, code book, or label. “Document” shall also include all documents, materials, and information, including Electronically Stored Information, within the meaning of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

F. “Each” shall be construed to include “every,” and “every” shall be construed to include “each.”

G. “Electronically Stored Information” or “ESI” means the complete original and any non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of notations, different metadata, or otherwise), regardless of origin or location, of any writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, images, and other data or data compilations stored in any electronic medium from which information can be obtained either directly or, if necessary, after translation by You into a reasonably usable form. This includes, but is not limited to, electronic mail, instant messaging, videoconferencing, and other electronic correspondence (whether active, archived, or in a deleted items folder), word processing files, spreadsheets, databases, and video and sound recordings, whether stored on: cards, magnetic or electronic
H. “Identify” shall be construed to require identification of (a) natural persons by name, title, present business affiliation, present business address and telephone number, or if a present business affiliation or present business address is not known, the last known business and home addresses; and (b) businesses or other organizations by name, address, identities of natural persons who are officers, directors, or managers of the business or organization, and contact persons, where applicable.

I. “Order” means the Order, including the attached Resolution, Specifications, and Attachment.

J. “Parent” means any person or entity that owns or controls (directly or indirectly) the Company. For purposes of this paragraph, the term “own” means to own an equity interest (or the equivalent thereof) of more than 10 percent.

K. “Personal Information” means information about a specific consumer or device, including: (a) first and last name; (b) home or other physical address, including street name and name of city or town, or other information about the location of the individual, including but not limited to location from cellular tower information, fine or coarse location, or GPS coordinates; (c) email address or other online contact information, such as an instant messaging user identifier or screen name; (d) telephone number; (e) a persistent identifier, such as a customer number held in a “cookie,” a static Internet Protocol (“IP”) address, a device identifier, a device fingerprint, a hashed identifier, or a processor serial number; (f) nonpublic communications and content, including, but not limited to, e-mail, text messages, photos, videos, audio, or other digital images or audio content; (g) Internet browsing history, search history, or list of URLs visited; (h) video, audio, cable, or TV viewing history; (i) biometric data; or (j) health or medical information.

L. “Third Party” means any person or entity that is not exclusively operated or controlled by the Company, including a Parent, affiliate, or separately incorporated subsidiary of the Company.

M. “You” and “Your” means the person or entity to whom this CID is issued and includes the “Company.”

N. Meet and Confer: You are encouraged to contact Jah-Juin “Jared” Ho at (202) 326-3463, as soon as possible to schedule a meeting (telephonic or in person) in order to confer regarding Your response.

O. Modification of Specifications: If You believe that the scope of the required search or response for any specification can be narrowed consistent with the Commission’s need for Documents or information, You are encouraged to discuss such possible modifications,
including any modifications of definitions and instructions, with the Commission counsel named above.

P. **Electronic Submission of Documents:** See the attached “Federal Trade Commission, Bureau of Consumer Protection Production Requirements,” which details all requirements for submission of information, generally requiring that files be produced in native form and specifying the metadata to be produced. As noted in the attachment, some items require discussion with the FTC counsel prior to production, which can be part of the general “Meet and Confer” described above. If You would like to arrange a separate discussion involving persons specifically familiar with Your ESI systems and methods of retrieval, make those arrangements with FTC counsel when scheduling the general meet and confer discussion.

Q. **Applicable Time Period:** Unless otherwise directed in the specifications, the applicable time period for the request shall be from **July 1, 2017 until the date of full and complete compliance with this Order.**

R. **Document Production:** Because postal delivery to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security precautions, please use a courier service such as Federal Express or UPS.

S. **Production of Copies:** Copies of marketing materials and advertisements shall be produced in color, and copies of other materials shall be produced in color if necessary to interpret them or render them intelligible.

T. **Sensitive Personally Identifiable Information:** If any material called for by these requests contains sensitive Personally Identifiable information or sensitive health information of any individual, please contact us before sending those materials to discuss ways to protect such information during production. For purposes of these requests, sensitive Personally Identifiable information includes: an individual’s Social Security number alone; or an individual’s name or address or phone number in combination with one or more of the following: date of birth, Social Security number, driver’s license number or other state identification number, or a foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, credit card number, or debit card number. Sensitive health information includes medical records and other individually identifiable health information relating to the past, present, or future physical or mental health or conditions of an individual, the provision of health care to an individual, or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual.
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Illustrative List of Segments

Combined Segments

- Aspirational Fusion
- Autumn Years
- Birkenstocks and Beemers
- Blue Collar Comfort
- Blue Sky Boomers
- Bohemian Groove
- Boomers and Boomerangs
- Booming and Consuming
- Booming with Confidence
- Colleges and Cafes
- Cosmopolitan Achievers
- Countrified Pragmatics
- Couples with Clout
- Cul de Sac Diversity
- Cultural Connections
- Dare to Dream
- Destination Recreation
- Diapers and Debit Cards
- Digital Dependents
- Everyday Moderates
- Expanding Horizons
- Families Matter Most
- Family Fun-tastic
- Family in Motion
- Family Troopers
- Family Union
- Fast-Track Couples
- Flourishing Families
- Footloose and Family Free
- Full Steam Ahead
- Generational Soup
- Golf Carts and Gourmets
- Golden Year Guardians
- Gotham Blend
- Heritage Heights
- Homemade Happiness
- Hope for Tomorrow
- Humble Beginnings
- Jet Set Urbanites
- Kids and Cabernet
- Metro Fusion
- Middle-Class Melting Pot
- Mid-scale Medley
- Modest Metro Means
- Pastoral Pride
- Picture Perfect Families
- Power Elite
- Promising Families
- Rooted Flower Power
- Rural Escape
- Rural Southern Bliss
- Settled and Sensible
- Settled in Suburbia
- Significant Singles
- Singles and Starters
- Silver Sophisticates
- Small Town Shallow Pockets
- Sports Utility Families
- Status Seeking Singles
- Steadfast Conventionalists
- Stockcars and State Parks
- Striving Forward
- Striving Single Scene
- Suburban Attainment
- Suburban Style
- Tight Money
- Thriving Boomers
- Touch of Tradition
- Tough Times
- Town Elders
- True Grit Americans
- Unspoiled Splendor
- Urban Ambition
- Urban Edge
- Wired for Success
- Young City Solos
### Location Data
- Address
- City
- Country
- State
- Zip Code

### Language
- Arabic
- Chinese
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Finnish
- Greek
- Hebrew
- Hungarian
- Indonesian
- Korean
- Persian
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Russian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- Thai
- Turkish
- Ukrainian
- Vietnamese

### Demographic Data
- Age
  - General & Address Based
  - Generational Age (e.g., Boomer / Millennial, etc.)
- College Students
  - Living on Campus
- Community Profile

- Education Level
  - College Graduate
  - College Intender
  - General & Address Based
  - Grad School
  - High School
  - Some College
  - Trade School

- Empty Nester
- Estimated Current Home Value
- Ethnicity
  - African American
  - Asian American
  - Hispanic
  - Vietnamese / Asian

- Gender
- General & Address Based
- Grandparent
- Have a Brokerage Account
- Have a Retirement Plan
- Home Dwelling Type
  - Size + Land Size
- Home Owner
- Income / Household Income
  - General & Address Based
- Investor / Home Business / Business Owner
- Job Seekers
- Language
- Length of Residence
- Marital Status
- Military Status
  - (Active Member / Veteran)
- New / Expecting
- Number of Kids + Children Ages
  - (0-3, 4-6, 7-9, 10-12, 13,15, 16-18)
- Number of People in Household
- Occupation
- Parental Status
- Participate in Online Trading
- Race / Ethnicity
Political Data

- Absentee & Early Voters
- Activist
  - General & Address Based
- Likely Voter / Voter Propensity
  - General & Address Based
- Political Affiliation + Leanings
  - Dem / Rep & Lean Dem v. Solid Dem, etc.
  - General & Address Based
- Political Identity
  - Conservative
  - Fiscal Conservatives
  - Liberal
  - Social Conservatives
- Political Interests
  - Children / Social Causes
  - Conservative Political Social Causes
  - Health Causes
  - Liberal Political Social Causes
  - Other Social Causes
  - Veteran Causes
- Political Issue Support
  - Border Security
  - Campaign Finance
  - Choice / Life
  - Energy
  - Environmental Issues
  - Free Trade
  - Gun Control
  - Minimum Wage
  - Path to Citizenship / Immigration
  - Progressive Tax
  - Healthcare
  - Potential Political Donor / Contributions
    - General & Address Based
- Swing Voters
  - Heavy TV Swing Voters
- Turnout Levels
  - General & Address Based
- Trump Support / Resistance
  - General & Address Based
- Vote History
  - General & Address Based
- Voters by Congressional District
- Voter Registration

Phone Data

- Cellular Carrier
- Cell Phone Presence
- Device Age
- Device Performance
- Device Manufacturer
- Mobility Feed
- Operating OS

Browsing Data

- Browsing
  - Accounting and Tax Service
  - Airlines
  - Alcoholic Beverages
  - Amusement Parks and Seasonal Attractions
  - Apartments
  - Appliances
  - Arts and Crafts
  - Automotive
  - Auto Racing
  - Banking and Investment Management
  - Baseball
  - Basketball
  - Bicycling
  - Blogs
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- Bodybuilding
- Booking Sites
- Books
- Bowling
- Boxing
- Business and Financial News
- Car Dealerships
- Car Manufacturers
- Casinos
- College Sports
- Concerts and Events
- Consumer Electronics
- Consumer Packaged Goods
- Convenience Stores
- Cosmetics and Hygiene
- Coupons and Offers
- Credit and Lending Services
- Credit Reporting
- Cricket
- Cruises
- Dating
- Department Stores
- Dieting and Fitness
- E-Cards
- Education
- Email Marketing Services
- Email Services
- Employment
- Entertainment
- Figure Skating
- Finance
- Financial Services
- Fitness Centers and Spas
- Fitness Equipment
- Food
- Food and Cooking
- Football
- Gambling and Lotteries
- Games Music Movies and Books
- Gaming
- Golf
- Government
- Government and Politics
- Grocery
- Ground Transportation and Car Rental
- Guides and Classifieds
- Guides Classifieds and Directories
- Health and Wellness
- Health Beauty and Wellness
- Hobbies
- Home and Garden
- Home Buying and Selling
- Home DVD
- Home Improvement
- Horoscopes
- Hotels and Resorts
- Household Products
- Humor Comics and Novelties
- Ice Hockey
- Institutions
- Insurance
- Interior Decorating
- International News
- Internet Radio
- Jewelry
- Lifestyle and Interests
- Local and Regional News
- Luxury Cars
- Luxury Goods
- Maps and Navigation
- Martial Arts
- Media and Entertainment
- Messaging Services
- Motorcycle Manufacturers and Dealers
- NASCAR Racing
- News
- Non-Profit and Charities
- Office Supplies
- Olympics
- Online Audio
- Online Learning
- Online Payments
- Online Stores
- Online Video
- OTT Feed
- Outdoors
- Parenting and Family
- Parts Accessories and Services
- Pet Products
TV Data

- Episode
- Genre
- Network
- Series
- Show
- TV Feed
- Viewership
  - Big 5
  - Action
  - Action Sports
  - Adventure
  - Aerobics
  - Agriculture
  - All Networks besides Big 5
  - Alternative
  - American History
  - Ancient History
  - Animals
  - Animated
  - Anime
  - Anthology
  - Archery
  - Arm Wrestling
  - Art
  - Arts
  - Auction
  - Australian Rules Football
  - Auto
  - Auto Racing
  - Aviation
  - Awards
  - Badminton
  - Ballet
  - Baseball
  - Basketball
  - Beach Volleyball
  - Bicycle
  - Biography
  - Bluegrass
  - Blues
  - Boat
  - Boat Racing
  - Bodybuilding

- Pharmacies
- Photo and Video Services
- Photo and Video Sharing
- Planning Tools and Guides
- Podcasts
- Poetry
- Poets
- Portals and Search
- Premium Music Services
- Premium Video Services
- Real Estate
- Reference
- Regional Transit
- Rentals
- Restaurants
- Retail
- Running and Jogging
- Sailing
- Search Engines
- Services
- Shoes and Accessories
- Shopping Centers and Malls
- Soccer
- Social Media and Networking
- Social Networking
- Soft Drinks
- Spanish Language Media
- Sporting Goods
- Sports
- Sports Events
- Sports Media
- Streaming
- Taxi Services
- Technology News
- Tennis
- Theater and Ticketing Services
- Toys
- Trade Schools
- Travel and Local
- Trucks
- TV and Movies
- Universities
- Weather
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- Bowling
- Boxing
- Bullfighting
- Bull Riding
- Bus
- Canoe
- Card Games
- Cheerleading
- Children
- Classical
- Classic Sport Event
- Collectibles
- Comedy
- Comedy Drama
- Community
- Computers
- Concert
- Consumer
- Cooking
- Country
- Crafts
- Crime
- Crime Drama
- Cycling
- Dance
- Dark Comedy
- Debate
- Diving
- Documentary
- Dog Show
- Drag Racing
- Drama
- Easy Listening
- Educational
- Entertainment
- Environment
- Equestrian
- Esports
- Event
- Exercise
- Fantasy
- Fashion
- Fencing
- Field
- Field Hockey
- Figure Skating
- Financial
- Fishing
- Floorball
- Folk
- Football
- Footvolley
- Freestyle Skiing
- Fundraiser
- Futsal
- Game Show
- Gaming
- Garden
- Gay
- Golf
- Gospel
- Gymnastics
- Harness Racing
- Health
- Heavy Metal
- Hip Hop & Rap
- Historical Drama
- History
- Hockey
- Holiday
- Home Improvement
- Horror
- Horse
- Horse Racing
- House
- How To
- Hunting
- Interview
- Intl Soccer
- Jazz
- Karaoke
- Kayaking
- Lacrosse
- Latin
- Law
- Lesbian
- Marathon
- Martial Arts
- Medical
- Military
- Miniseries
- Mixed Martial Arts
Interests Data

- Affluent Lifestyle
• Air Warriors
• Apparel / Fashion / Clothing
• Audio Book Listener
• Auto Enthusiasts
• Auto Intenders (Existing and New)
• Avid Runner
• Base Packages
• Beach Goers
• Boat Interest
• Bolt-on Packages
• Book Reader / Reading Interest
• Brokerage account owner
• Business Owner
• Camp or Hike Enthusiast
• Canoe and Kayak Enthusiast
• Casino Gambling Enthusiast
• Casual Gamer
• Celebrity News Fans
• Child prod interest
• Coffee Connoisseurs
• Coffee connoisseur model
• Collectors
• Comedy movie
• Comedy romantic comedy movie
• Communication interest
• Concert Enthusiast
• Craft interest
• Cultural arts interest
• Customer match level
• CY profitability score
• Debit card user model
• Dental Health
• Digital mag news buyer model
• DIY
• Doc-Foreign language movie model
• Drama movie model
• E-book reader model
• Environmentally conscious
• E-tech group
• Family film buff - model
• Family restaurant model
• Farmer / Agriculture
• Financial Enthusiasts
• Financial Intender (New)
• Financial Whiz
• Fishing enthusiast model
• Fitness interest
• Frequent movie attendee
• Frequent restaurant goer
• Gamer model
• Garden interest
• Gardening
• Getting Married
• Gourmet cooking model
• Gourmet interest
• Health / Fitness Enthusiast
• Health Clubs / Gyms
• Health interest
• Healthy Living
• Healthy living Enthusiasts
• Hi tech owner
• High-end Spirit Drinkers
• Home / Auto Insurance
• Home / Garden Enthusiast
• Home decor interest
• Home Entertainment/TV/Video
• Home Hunters model
• Home Improvement Spenders
• Horror movie
• Hunting or fishing enthusiast
• Internet online subscriber
• Investing / Personal Banking Interest
• iPhone owner model
• Kitchen aids interest
• Kitchen Aids/Small Appliances
• Mattress model
• Mobile Gamers
• Motorcycle aficionados
• Mountaineers
• Movie Buffs
• Movie Goers
• Movie Theater Ticket/Showtime App Users
• Museums and Art Galleries
• Music Fan
  o By music genre / type
• New Home Intenders (Buying) / Moving / New Homeowner / New Renters / Home Searchers
• News Hounds / Enthusiasts
• News Reader
• Nurses
• Online Media Streaming Service Subscribers
• Organic Foods
• Outdoor interest
• Parents Planning for College
• Personal beauty interest
• Pet Owners / Enthusiasts
• Photography
• Premium credit
• Prestige Makeup User
• QSR Fast Foodies
• Reading interest
• Religion
• Road Trippers
• Road Warriors
• Sci-Fi movie model
• Seeking Medical Care
• Self-improvement interest
• Skiing interest
• Social Influencers
• Sports Interest & Sports Fans
  o By sport, league, and by type (professional / college)
• Streaming
• Super store model
• Sweep contest entry
• Sweeps gambling interest
• Tech / gadgets
• Thriller movie
• Travelers / Vacationers
  o Business Traveler
  o Cruise Enthusiast / Seeker
  o Domestic Travel Enthusiast / Seeker
  o Foreign Travel Enthusiast / Seeker
  o Hotel / Airline Loyalty Affiliation
  o Personal Traveler
  o Rental Cars
  o Travel / Vacation Enthusiast
  o Travel Frequency
  o Tourist by City
• Volunteer
• Weight conscious
• Weight Loss

**Shopper Data**

• Types of Shoppers
  o Accountants
  o American Goods
  o Apparel
  o Arts & Crafts
  o Auto Dealership Visitors
  o Bargain Conscious
  o Beauty & Fragrance
  o Big & Tall
  o Big Box / Mass Market Store
  o Boutique Store
  o Car [Brand Specific]
  o Children’s Apparel & Accessory
  o Computers
  o Convenience Store
  o Corporate Attire & Suits
  o Corporate Credit Card User
  o Coupon User
  o Credit Card
  o Credit Card User
  o Department Store
  o Department Store Makeup User
  o Drug Store
  o Electronics / Gadgets
  o Fashion Accessory
  o Financial Advisory & Services
  o Fine Jewelry
  o Footwear
  o Full-Service Restaurant
  o Furniture / Outdoor Living / Home Décor / Remodel
  o Furniture & Accessories
  o Holiday, Gifts, & Party
  o Home Appliance
App Data

- App Genre
- Business
- Entertainment
- Finance
- Games

Other Data

- Charitable Contributions
  - By type of charity (e.g., arts, health, humanities, etc.)
  - Computer Presence
  - Time of Day
  - Volunteer Worker