

*The FTC's Privacy Program:  
Grounding Principles, Recent Initiatives*

**Jessica Rich, Federal Trade Commission  
NIST ITL Lecture Series on Usable Privacy  
December 1, 2014**

Good morning. I'm delighted to be here today to speak to you about the FTC's work on consumer privacy. Not surprisingly, I'll be addressing many of the same concepts discussed by my colleagues, but from the perspective of the lead U.S. enforcement agency in this area. First, I'll give some background on the FTC for those of you don't know our work. Then, I'll talk about how we approach privacy, and describe some of our recent initiatives.

I. Background on FTC's Jurisdiction and Authority

The FTC has broad jurisdiction under the FTC Act to address unfair and deceptive practices in the commercial marketplace. The basic rules are that companies cannot make deceptive claims about things that matter to consumers or cause substantial injury to consumers in ways that consumers cannot avoid and that do more harm than good. The FTC Act is flexible by design so it can address different practices as they emerge and evolve in the marketplace. Investment fraud, spyware, pyramid schemes, false advertising, mortgage fraud, debt relief – they're all subject to the FTC Act. Starting in the mid-1990s, with the emergence of the internet as a commercial medium, we began using this authority to address consumer privacy and data security issues. Since then, our privacy program has grown and grown, just as the many uses of consumer data, and the challenges to consumer privacy, have grown.

The Commission also enforces a number of sector-specific statutes. Notably, we enforce one of the first privacy laws in this country, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, which imposes privacy and accuracy requirements on companies that handle sensitive consumer report information. We also enforce the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which imposes privacy and security requirements on financial institutions; the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act, which protects kids' privacy online and in the mobile environment; and laws against spam, unwanted telemarketing, and disclosure of a person's debts to third parties. Under the various privacy laws that we enforce, the FTC has brought hundreds of cases addressing a wide variety of privacy violations across many industries.

To maximize our effectiveness as a consumer protection agency, we also conduct studies, testify before Congress, host public events, and write reports about the privacy and security implications of technologies and business practices that affect consumers. Over the years, our workshops and reports have addressed such issues as data brokers, privacy notices, mobile security, and identity theft, among many other topics.

In addition, we distribute and make available on our website consumer and business guidance on a wide range of topics, including kids' online safety, preventing and repairing identity theft, and computer security. This work is designed to prevent harm before it occurs, and is therefore an integral part of our mission.

## II. The Privacy Challenges Today

It should come as no surprise that, over the two decades we have worked on privacy, we have seen enormous changes in the marketplace. As the market has evolved, so has our privacy program. For example, our earliest initiatives were designed to get

online companies to simply post a privacy policy – very few had one! But today, we are dealing with many other challenges, including the fact that most companies now have privacy policies but use them to bury their privacy disclosures in fine print legalese that consumers don't read or understand.

Our current privacy program seeks to address today's many challenges. Today, data is collected from consumers wherever they go. Almost everyone carries a smartphone, uses social networks, and browses and shops through various devices. Consumers are tracked as they walk down the street, shop in stores, drive in their cars, fly in planes, and even as they monitor their health or exercise using health apps. Much of this data collection is invisible, happening without consumers' knowledge or consent. And many of the companies that access this data are invisible too – consumers have never dealt with them or even heard of them. We are in an era of invisible and ubiquitous data collection, and privacy policies just aren't up to the job.

So how are we addressing these challenges at the FTC? We're not reinventing the wheel and we don't have to. We're adapting the basic fair information practice principles – the FIPPS – to the current and evolving marketplace. In a report we developed a couple of years ago, we re-cast these principles into three related concepts:

Privacy-by-Design. First, with a salute to Dr. Cavoukian, is privacy-by-design. Companies should build-in privacy protections at every stage as they develop their products and business models. These protections include data security, reasonable data collection and retention limits, de-identification of data where feasible, sound disposal practices, and data accuracy. Privacy protections are most effective when they are part of

a company's fundamental business model and not overlooked or added later as an afterthought. They also are far more cost-efficient for companies.

Easy-to use-choice. Second, companies should offer consumers choices that they can actually exercise – for purposes of this event, I'll call it “usable” choice. This means choices that are prominent and focus on data practices consumers are likely to care about.

More specifically, it means that companies shouldn't bury choices in privacy policies. Instead, they should provide key information and choices at a relevant time and context, when consumers are providing their data or making other decisions about it. We call these “just-in-time” notices.

It also means that companies needn't provide choices about data practices that would be obvious to consumers – like shipping a package or monitoring the security of their websites. That's just a burden for everyone. Instead, companies should provide consumers with choices about data practices they're not likely to expect – like using data or sharing it with third parties for purposes unrelated to the original transaction.

Importantly, it also means companies that obtain consumers' data from other companies, and not directly from consumers, must still find a way to provide consumers with choices, whether through the company that collected the data in the first place or some other means. And before collecting, using, or sharing sensitive consumer data – that is, SSNs, precise geolocation data, and health, financial, or kids' data – companies need to be doubly sure consumers are ok with it by obtaining the consumers' affirmative express consent, or opt-in.

Greater Transparency. Third, and as an obvious corollary to providing choice, companies should provide greater transparency about how they collect, use, and share data. Specifically, companies should give consumers reasonable access to the data collected about them and move towards standardizing and streamlining privacy policies and disclosures so they are easier to compare. (We're not throwing privacy policies out – they're a good vehicle for creating accountability but just not a good way to provide consumers with timely and usable choices.)

We think these principles provide a solid but flexible framework for the continuously changing marketplace, and we have applied them in many of our recent initiatives. Let me turn to those initiatives now. I'll discuss them using three themes that reflect the privacy challenges we see today: Big Data, Mobile Technologies, and Safeguarding Sensitive Data.

#### **A. Big Data**

First is Big Data. By Big Data, I mean the ubiquitous, often invisible data collection that takes place all day long, as I just discussed. Big Data can of course drive valuable innovation across many fields – including medicine, education, transportation, and manufacturing. But it also raises the stakes for consumers, because it leads to the development of detailed consumer profiles, often without the consumer's knowledge or consent; the risk that this data will fall into the wrong hands, enabling identity theft and other harms; and, of course, the potential use of this information by employers, insurers, creditors, and others.

Our central message about Big Data is that the basic privacy principles still apply. Indeed, they are more important than ever as data practices become more complex and potentially confusing to consumers. We see market pressures to move in this direction too. Consumers are increasingly demanding privacy, as shown by, not just surveys, but by actions they are taking in the marketplace – for example, using phony user names and privacy protective tools online, and objecting to certain data practices by certain large companies and yes, the government. We see stories about privacy every day in the press, and that presumably reflects readership interest and demand. Many companies are also upping their game in privacy – hiring privacy experts left and right (sometimes from my staff!) and offering and advertising new privacy tools and features.

But many companies still haven't gotten the message, which is why we are still sounding the privacy drumbeat, through both enforcement and policy initiatives.

On the policy front, we've held public workshops on various Big Data developments to discuss how to adapt the basic privacy principles to emerging business models. For example, in November 2013, we held a public workshop to discuss "the Internet of Things." Among other things, participants discussed the challenges of giving consumers disclosures and choices in this context; what incentives exist for designing products with privacy and security in mind; and how to educate industry members – for example auto manufacturers, that are newer to privacy issues.

And, earlier this year, we held a series of seminars on the privacy implications of three other business models that involve Big Data: (1) mobile device tracking of consumers in retail stores (2) predictive score models, through which companies

determine consumers' likely response to product and service offers, and (3) devices that consumers increasingly use to monitor their health and exercise, many of which aren't covered by HIPAA. Finally, on September 15th, we hosted a workshop entitled "Big Data: A Tool for Inclusion or Exclusion?" The workshop explored how the categorization of consumers in the Big Data era may both create and limit opportunities for them, with a focus on low income and underserved consumers. In the coming year, the FTC will be issuing reports addressing the issues raised at all of these workshops.

In addition, in May of this year, we released a report on a Big Data phenomenon that isn't new but has significantly expanded in recent years – data brokers. The report detailed the findings of a study we conducted of nine brokers representing a cross-section of the industry. The study revealed their sources of data, their clients, and the detailed nature of the data they collect, store, and sell. It also discussed how data brokers develop inferences about people and put them into buckets – for example Urban Scramble and Mobile Mixers, which characterize low income, minority consumers; Thrifty Elders; Financially Challenged; Bible Lifestyle; Leans Left, and many other categories.

The concern here is that consumers have no idea this is happening, even as insurance companies, lenders, retailers, telecoms, and marketers all purchase this data to make decisions about consumers. Our report highlighted the need for much greater transparency and consumer choice, and called for Congress to enact new consumer protections in this area.

But we aren't waiting for Congress to act. We are also using our existing authority to address harmful practices by data brokers and other concerns related to Big

Data. Interestingly, one of the best tools we have in this area is one of our oldest – the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Passed in the 1970s to address the treasure trove of data being collected, invisibly and without accountability, by the credit reporting industry, the FCRA governs the use of Big Data to make some of the most important decisions about consumers there are – whether to give them credit, jobs, or insurance.

Recently, for example, we announced settlements with two companies that advise retailers on whether to accept consumers' checks based on their financial history. Our complaints alleged that TeleCheck and Certegy failed to have appropriate procedures to maintain the accuracy of this data. The companies each paid a \$3.5 million penalty to settle the charges. We've also obtained settlements with substantial penalties against data brokers Spokeo, Instant Checkmate, InfoTrack, and Filiquarian for selling data to landlords without complying with the FCRA's accuracy and privacy requirements.

Another important case addressing Big Data is our first Internet of Things case – against home video monitoring company TRENDnet. In that case, we alleged that the company failed to provide reasonable security for IP cameras used for home security and baby monitoring, resulting in hackers being able to post private video feeds of people's bedrooms and children's rooms on the Internet. It's great that consumers can keep an eye on their homes from work or monitor their babies from a downstairs monitor, but not when criminals can watch too.

## **B. Mobile Technologies**

A second area of focus for our privacy program is mobile technologies. In the past few years, this area has become one of the main priorities at the FTC, in privacy and

more generally. Clearly, the marketplace is moving to mobile, and consumer protections need to move with it. Mobile technologies also raise special challenges due to the always-with-you, always-on nature of mobile devices; the ability of these devices to track your location and connect to each other; and, of course, the small screen, or sometimes no screen, that makes disclosures to consumers ever more challenging.

On the policy front, we've issued several recent reports on mobile privacy and mobile payments. Our two reports on kids' app privacy showed that most of the apps surveyed collected personal information from kids' devices – including unique device ID, precise geo-location, and phone number – and shared it with third parties without telling parents. These findings fell short of all three of the basic principles we have emphasized – privacy-by-design, consumer choice, and transparency.

Our report on mobile privacy disclosures, *Building Trust through Transparency*, followed up on this problem. It recommended that the app platforms, app developers, and third parties collecting data through apps all take responsibility for providing transparency and choices in this marketplace, and provided specific recommendations for each. It's a great report, and we think it's helped spur improvements. However, there are still many challenges in this industry, and much work to be done.

On the enforcement front, we've challenged a range of violations occurring in the mobile space. For example, we recently announced a case against mobile messaging app Snapchat for misrepresenting – as its main selling point – that photo and video messages sent through the app would disappear. In fact, the messages remained accessible to recipients of the messages who simply connected their mobile devices to a computer. We

also took action against Goldenshores Technology, the maker of a popular flashlight app, for its privacy misstatements. We alleged that the app promised it would collect data from users' devices for certain internal housekeeping purposes, but failed to disclose that it transmitted the device's location and device ID to third parties, including ad networks.

Finally, we've brought a number of cases involving the security of mobile devices. For example, we took action against mobile device manufacturer HTC, alleging that it failed to secure the software it developed for smartphones and tablet computers. These failures left the devices vulnerable to malware, which could send text messages, record audio, and even install additional malware on the devices without the user's knowing or agreeing to it. We also challenged the data security practices of two mobile apps – Credit Karma and Fandango – alleging that the companies failed to use proper encryption techniques to transmit data, putting consumers' sensitive financial data at risk.

### **C. Safeguarding Sensitive Data**

And that's a good transition to our third area of focus – safeguarding sensitive consumer data. By sensitive data, I mean kids', health, and financial data, as well as precise geolocation information.

Protecting sensitive data isn't really a new priority – it's a basic privacy concept that goes back to the early days in privacy and will be with us for years to come. But in today's marketplace, the stakes are even higher for sensitive data as it's captured all day long and then used and shared in ways consumers would never expect.

Our work to protect sensitive data includes over 50 enforcement actions against companies that failed to implement reasonable security protections – including such

companies as Microsoft, ChoicePoint, TJX, Lifelock, CVS, RiteAid, and Wyndham. Many of these cases involved, not just consumers' financial data, but health information, account IDs and passwords, and other sensitive data. Although we've brought these cases under several different laws that we enforce, they all follow a similar approach, which is to examine whether the company implemented *reasonable* protections for the data it collected and stored. In determining whether a company's data security practices are reasonable, we look at a number of factors, including the sensitivity and volume of the consumer information it holds; the size and complexity of the company's data operations; and the cost of available tools to improve security and reduce vulnerabilities.

It's clear that, even as the threats to data are increasing, many companies still haven't implemented basic security protections. We read about new data breaches in the news every day. And we see the same problems again and again in our investigations – failure to address well-known vulnerabilities revealed by prior breaches; collection and storage of sensitive data well beyond what's needed for business purposes; poor training and oversight of employees and service providers; and failure to test protocols before going live. In other words, no privacy (or security)-by-design.

Given the risks to consumer data and the serious consequences, data security enforcement remains a critical FTC priority. The Commission also unanimously supports new federal legislation to enhance our authority in this area. The legislation would give us additional enforcement tools, such as the ability to seek penalties for violations.

Finally, the Commission has a special interest in protecting the privacy of our kids, who may not have the judgment to avoid dangers online and may share information

about themselves or their families. Much of our work in the mobile area, which I already discussed, protects kids and teens, since they are particularly high users of mobile technologies. We also enforce the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act, which requires notice and consent to parents before information is collected from kids under 13.

To date, we’ve brought 25 cases to protect kids’ privacy, including two recently announced against the mobile app for Yelp and the gaming app TinyCo. In the Yelp case, we alleged that the company allowed kids to register and provide information on their site without parental consent, even when the kids put in date of birth information showing they were kids. In the TinyCo case, we alleged that the company operated numerous apps directed to kids but failed to obtain parental consent before collecting kids’ email addresses. In some cases, the email addresses allowed kids to incur charges within the games. The settlements imposed civil penalties of \$450,000 against Yelp and \$300,000 against TinyCo. Protecting kids’ privacy remains a Commission priority.

### III. Conclusion

I hope my remarks have been helpful in providing an overview of the FTC’s privacy work. As you can see, we are quite focused on making privacy “usable” for consumers – and for businesses too. I look forward to our panel discussion.