Panel: Learning about Substitution and Welfare from Data

Chris Conlon FTC Micro Conference 2019 What can we learn from experiments?

Substitution / Diversion Ratios:

$$MTE_{p} = \frac{\frac{\partial s_{k}}{\partial p_{j}}}{\left|\frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p_{j}}\right|}, \quad MTE_{q} = \frac{\frac{\partial s_{k}}{\partial \xi_{j}}}{\left|\frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial \xi_{j}}\right|}, \quad ATE = \frac{s_{k}(\mathcal{J} \setminus j) - s_{k}(\mathcal{J})}{\left|s_{j}(\mathcal{J} \setminus j) - s_{j}(\mathcal{J})\right|}, \quad Logit = \frac{s_{k}(\mathcal{J})}{1 - s_{j}(\mathcal{J})}$$

Consumer Welfare is largely about how outside good share responds

$$CS_i \propto \log \underbrace{\left(1 + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \exp[ilde{v}_{ij} - lpha_i p_j]
ight)}_{s_{i0}^{-1}} + C_i$$

$$\text{price } \Delta = \frac{\partial \log s_{i0}}{\partial p_j}, \quad \text{quality } \Delta = \frac{\partial \log s_{i0}}{\partial \xi_j}, \quad \text{variety } \Delta = \frac{s_{i0}(\mathcal{J} \setminus j) - s_{i0}(\mathcal{J})}{s_{i0}(\mathcal{J})}$$

Caveat: outside good s_{i0t} unfortunately mostly about assumptions.

How do these objects look?



- 1. Small price changes: "course of business" by firms, or by researchers
- 2. Second choice Surveys: "Where would you shop if we closed this Tesco?"
- 3. Product Removals: (easier online), stockouts as quasi-experiments

The hard part:

- Need to pay careful attention to which effect our experiments informs us about
 - Small price change? Change in assortment? Second choice data?
- Price effects of mergers (or UPP) are about small price changes
- WTP is concerned with second choice data or assortment.

Experiments: Complements or Substitutes?

Can we do antitrust with experiments only and without empirical models?

- Farrel Shapiro (2010) suggest maybe we can observe diversion in "course of business" or in discovery.
 - Is this measuring the right economic object?
 - Our experience suggests we need all substitutes (not merging parties) alone to measure diversion.
- Asking merging parties to submit to an experiment designed by a third party to measure substitution is unlikely to be feasible.
- Can use experiments as a source of exogenous variation to identify our parametric demand models
 - How to combine them?
 - How to balance experiments and observational data?

Notes on Best Practices

- Need (at minimum) heterogeneity in taste for constant and price.
- Instruments necessary for prices and random tastes:
 - 1. Start with differentiation IV of (Gandhi Houde, 2019)
 - 2. Construct Approximate IV (Chamberlain (1987), Raeynart Verboven (2014))
- Impose supply conditions when appropriate
- Add micro-moments (Covariance between price paid and income, Covariance between characteristics and demographics).

Shameless pyBLP plug

• Available on PyPI

pip install pyblp

- Extensive documentation: https://pyblp.readthedocs.io/en/stable/
- Long list of features

A Famous Example

Dimensions:

Ν	Т	K1	K2	КЗ	D	MD	MS					
2217	20	5	6	6	1	11	12					

Formulations:

Column Indices:	0	1	2	3	4	5					
X1: Linear Characteristics	1	hpwt	air	mpd	space						
X2: Nonlinear Characteristics	1	prices	hpwt	air	mpd	space					
X3: Cost Characteristics	1	log(hpwt)	air	log(mpg)	log(space)	trend					
d: Demographics	1/income										

9

blp_results=blp_problem.solve()

```
blp_results.compute_elasticities()
blp_results.compute_diversion_ratios()
blp_results.compute_consumer_surpluses()
```

```
blp_results.compute_costs()
blp_results.compute_prices(ownership=post_merger)
```

opt_results = blp_results.compute_optimal_instruments().to_problem().solve()

And much more ...