

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room CC-5610 (Annex N)
Washington, DC 20580

May 6, 2014

RE: 16 CFR Part 306; Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting; Project No. R811005

To Whom It May Concern,

If the manufacturer and the EPA both state that E15 fuel can damage the engine in my motorcycle, what make Congress think that simply posting a sign on the pump will be of any help. This is just as dumb of an idea as requiring motorcycle owners to buy at least 4 gallons of fuel if dispensed from a multi fuel pump. It's a bit difficult to put 4 gallons of fuel in a 3 gallon tank.

The idea of posting a sign seems predicated on the thought that there will be multiple choices of fuel. This might work well if you are purchasing fuel in a town/city with more than one fuel station. Many times when traveling in the more remote areas that I find interesting there is only a single fuel station and believing that they will carry both E10 and E15 fuel is a dream. They simply won't always have the resources to accomplish it. If their supplier only carries E15 that is what they will have to sell. I won't be able to have a choice and would be forced to purchase a fuel that may damage my bike.

Again, mandating E15 is a bad idea.

Sincerely,
Bryan Widholm, CA