

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room CC-5610 (Annex N)
Washington, DC 20580

May 6, 2014

RE: 16 CFR Part 306; Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting; Project No. R811005

To Whom It May Concern,

I would prefer not to use the higher ethanol blends in my vehicles. The 10% ethanol blend is already too much as I have had a catalytic failure that cost me two thousand dollars to replace. I am a working class person and I struggle on a daily basis to pay bills.

Please keep try ethanol in drinking spirits and out of gasoline. These ethanol blends are another clever tax and a way to subsidize corporate agriculture at the expense of the struggling working class.

Thank you
R Bolick
California

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room CC-5610 (Annex N)
Washington, DC 20580

May 6, 2014

RE: 16 CFR Part 306; Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting; Project No. R811005

To Whom It May Concern,

Stop the insanity. Acknowledged ethanol negatives are well documented. These include engine overheating damage due to mixture changes (leanout), overheating and failure of emission equipment and alcohol deterioration of fuel components not designed for alcohol content (with possible leaks and fires). The vague labeling is also a disservice to informed citizens.

Sincerely,
Charles Frederick
Wisconsin