

**July 13, 2006**

**To whom it may concern,**

I have been building a Quixtar powered business for several years now, and having had the experience that I have, I feel it responsible of me to briefly respond to some of the FTC's proposed rules regarding the sponsoring process, and certain disclosures.

The FTC proposes that one would have to make a disclosure for every income claim. This is not practical, because as a prospect listening to the presentation for the first time, I would not be interested in all of those kinds of details, time being of the essence, and that paper work would be meaningless to me anyway, because I couldn't verify it's authenticity. Literature already exist that discloses the monthly income of the average active IBO, so no matter what the claims might be, documentation exist that tempers that for the candidate sitting for a presentation.

The FTC proposes that one would be required to provide prospects with personal financial documents to back up any income claim. This means that an IBO would have to expose themselves to potential fraud by providing candidates with financial statements that reflect sensitive information such as bank account numbers, date of birth, account numbers, etc. Such disclosures should only be required in the event of an investigation, or litigation, and should be limited to those involved parties.

The FTC proposes that one would have to give every prospect a list of all lawsuits, arbitration's, and other legal claims for the past 10 years involving Quixtar and its IBOs where the plaintiff alleged fraud, misrepresentation, or unfair trade practices – regardless of whether or not the accusation was true. To ask that this I find most unfair, and borderline ridiculous, in that anyone whom one would be sharing the opportunity with who got that amount of negative would have their decision to get involved tainted by the claims, and accusations whether grounded in fact or not of those who may or may not be telling the truth, or giving the whole story, and this would have a devastating affect on one's ability to sponsor new IBO's. When one interviews at any major corporation, the interviewer is not required to provide a file on how many lawsuits it has faced in the past, and are currently facing. The proposal for this requirement needs to be taken completely off the table.

The FTC proposes that one would be required to give every prospect a list of "references" – the names, addresses, and phone numbers of 10 other IBOs in the area – seven days before the prospect registers. At the bare minimum, this is a violation of our governments basic Right To Privacy Act. This is a slippery slope, because if this is allowed to pass, what's next? This proposal also puts the registering IBO at a disadvantage, because this would open the door for the candidate to create competition if you will amongst that and the other IBO's, and could inadvertently encourage, and lead to some IBO's making less then credible claims to lure that candidate to register with them. This proposed requirement also needs to be taken totally off the table.

Finally, the FTC proposes that prospects would have to wait seven days after receiving disclosures before they could register. Practically speaking, in 10 days, interest about anything is lost, and this requirement, aimed I guess at protecting the prospect does not apply where Quixtar is concerned, because Quixtar offers a money back guarantee. The proposal of a waiting period, any waiting period, should not be a consideration.

While I understand the intent of the FTC, and what they are trying to accomplish, I believe that these proposals would not help, but greatly hinder the growth of Independent Business Owners like me, who have found great value, and benefit in building a business powered by Quixtar. Had any of these proposals been the rule when I registered in this business, I would not have gotten involved just based on the sheer difficulty that these rules would have presented. I firmly believe that the FTC, especially with the experienced, tenured, and valuable input of the Direct Selling Industry's leaders come up with a proposal that would both protect the prospect, the independent business owner, and the respective company.

**Sincerely,**

**Robert T. Robinson**  
**Quixtar IBO**