

June 30, 2006

Federal Trade Commission/Office of the Secretary,

Room H-135 (Annex W)

Re: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993 600 Pennsylvania Avenue,

NW Washington, DC 20580

RE: Business Opportunity Rule, R511993

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing this letter because I am concerned about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. I believe that in its presented form, it could prevent me from continuing as a Distributor with Ecoquest International of Greeneville, TN and destroy my small business.

I have been an independent Distributor for Ecoquest for more more than 9 years and the product changed my life. I had been seeing doctors the 6 months prior to buying a unit from one of my clients and within 3 days, my debilitating cough, which I had seen 6 different doctors for and several thousand dollars worth of tests during that 6 month period prior to buying the unit, went away. My voice got stronger and stronger – not raspy anymore. I had 3 different churches praying for me because I felt something was very badly wrong with me. Anyway I started selling these machines because indoor air pollution can cause many different ailments besides headaches, running noses, lethargy, etc and I wanted to help people live better lives.

I was able to quit my job in 9 months to do this on a full time basis and I'm not a crook. I feel that some of the sections in the proposed rule would make it hard or almost impossible for me to sell my Ecoquest products.

This waiting period will give the public the idea that there's something wrong with me or our product and reflect badly on me. I also think this seven-day waiting period is unnecessary, because **Ecoquest** already has a 90% buyback policy for all products including sales kits purchased by a salesperson.

One of the most difficult sections of the proposed rule is the seven day waiting period to enroll a new Business Owner of Ecoquest International.

Ecoquest International's Business Owner's kit only costs \$49. People buy TVs, cars, and other items that cost much more than that and they don't have to wait seven-days. Under this waiting period requirement, I will need to keep very detailed records when I first speak to someone a prospect and will then have to send in reports to my company. I am a small home business and this burden will hurt or destroy my business. This proposed rule seems bad to me and very discriminating against network marketing companies.

Finally, the proposed rule requires the disclosure of a minimum of 10 prior purchasers nearest to the prospective purchaser. I am glad to provide references, but in this day of identity theft, I am very uncomfortable giving out the personal information of individuals (without their approval) to strangers. Women in my organization may be subject to sexual or racial harassment so this part can't go in at all, unless the FTC passes an addition to this rule prohibiting sexual or racial attacks related to this disclosure. In the end the rule must bind the FTC to take direct enforcement action on sexual and racial attacks with a special unit assigned to monitor actions related to the disclosure forms.

I have seen many scams on the Internet and been approached by many crooks because of my success. This rule will do nothing to stop them. They hurt my business! This rule will not stop Crooks – they violate the current rule all the time. But I am a good American citizen and it will hurt me. Thank you and please help me.

Sincerely,

Barbara J. Harmon

Independent Distributor