

June 24, 2006

Subject: **Business Opportunity Rule, Matter No. R511993**

I strongly oppose the Business Opportunity Rule #R511993.

I am a Pampered Chef Independent Sales Consultant and have been for 11 years. My family's lives (and many other consultant's families) are much better because of my Pampered Chef business.

Some of the reasons I oppose this new rule are:

The required disclosure and earnings statements and the required list of all distributors who have cancelled their distributorship in the previous last two years may cause the sponsoring process to become cumbersome and difficult. Reasons to start and stop The Pampered Chef business are and should remain private and confidential.

These requirements may create unnecessary alarm and concern about the legitimacy of the profession and the business opportunity to prospective distributors. The Pampered Chef business has nothing to hide. We are an ethical business.

The proposed seven day waiting period between receiving the disclosures and enrollment would likely cause a potential distributor to lose their enthusiasm for joining the company. I feel that most people starting this business have already checked The Pampered Chef credentials and do not need a mandated time frame to do so.

The costs of complying with the requirements would increase expenses to the company which may be passed on through the selling price of goods or services we offer. The Pampered Chef sales consultants pride our association with a company that has quality products offered for sale at very competitive prices.

The vast majority of distributors conduct business ethically and honestly every day and such a ruling threatens the livelihood of these individuals. Is it right that the more than 13 million independent distributors be wrongfully penalized because a very few people have caused the FTC to look negatively at our profession? No!

Thank you for your time in considering my comments.

June Winter
The Pampered Chef Independent Sales Consultant