




**Women
with
Dreams**
The new evolution of
working from home.

June 21, 2006

As a former Beverly Hills financial analyst, numbers talk to me. And this bill, should it be enacted, will impact my financial numbers in a big way.

I was introduced to the network marketing industry more than 25 years ago. It was a powerful concept. And while I admittedly did not have a profitable business for the first 14 years—it was not because of either of the two companies I chose to partner with—it was my own lack of consistent effort. Both companies were ethical in their presentation.

Corporate Partners:



NEW VISION®
Premium Health and Wellness Products

VOMMA



Our Family

After working full-time as a financial analyst for 10+ years I became temporarily disabled—that's what led me to New Vision. The products were effective and so I chose to build a business. After just 2 1/2 years I replaced a 6 figure income and employ people to help me run my business. I get to be the cookie mom—the craft mom—and the room mom and my kids private school. The income that my business generates provides a lot of extras for our family.

Because of being exposed to the industry for 25 years, I have had the opportunity to grow—and for the past 11 years teach others to do the same. I have helped several hundred people build significant part and full time incomes using the direct selling/MLM business model. I am well known in my community and am a popular speaker for many groups. I even have a program that is certified for continuing education units for massage therapists based on New Vision's product line. I hold this seminar 3 times a year and it is always sold out—almost every attendees chooses to become a business partner because they see the value.

If I had had to wait 7 days to move forward with my decision to join New Vision, I would wonder what on earth the company had to hide and was it such a good idea. If my students had to wait 7 days—they wouldn't think it was worth the trouble. Many of my customers see the value in our products before they choose to build a business—but they want to buy them at the wholesale price, so they get the membership to be a distributor.

If we had to provide a list of geographical references, this would be a logistical nightmare—not to mention a privacy concern. I wouldn't want my prospective distributor or customer calling someone else's distributor...that referral might take them. And not everyone would want their name disclosed. Think HIPPA.

Regarding earnings claims, most companies are legitimate—and I think that's what you need to keep in mind. Those that aren't are going to lie anyways. They're will always be bad guys. Your rule is penalizing the honest players. We have very strict rules. We don't want to mislead someone—because then they will be unhappy and quit anyways—nobody wins then.



Women
with
Dreams

The new evolution of
working from home.

Having seen several companies involved in litigation—many times resolved in their favor—your proposed disclosure rule would unfairly color a prospect's opinion of a company. There should be a difference between winning and losing lawsuits and a place for an 'opine.'

I understand your protection concerns—but for legitimate companies—you are essentially asking us to jump through so many hoops that the average prospective business partner (or even consumer) would say this is too much—let me just go to the store. If our company was a bad company, we would just lie anyways. A \$500 threshold is reasonable because it eliminates many distributors from 'front loading' new members, which is a bad thing—no one deserves a garage full of product.

Please consider this proposed bill very carefully. The changes would have a dramatic impact to this multi-billion dollar industry that has such a positive effect on the American economy.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Deborah Wysocki