be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certification office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) For service information that contains steps that are labeled as Required for Compliance (RC), the provisions of paragraphs (i)(4)(i) and (i)(4)(ii) of this AD apply.

(i) The steps labeled as RC, including substeps under an RC step and any figures identified in an RC step, must be done to comply with the AD. If a step or sub-step is labeled “RC Exempt,” then the RC requirement must be removed from that step or sub-step. An AMOC is required for any deviations to RC steps, including substeps and identified figures.

(ii) Steps not labeled as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the RC steps, including substeps and identified figures, can still be done as specified, and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition.

(j) Related Information


Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 28, 2016.

Michael Kaszycki,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2016–29064 Filed 12–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 306

Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”).

ACTION: Grant of partial exemption from the Commission’s automotive fuel ratings, certification, and posting rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission grants the petition of gasoline dispenser manufacturer Gilbarco, Inc. (“Gilbarco”) requesting permission for ethanol flex fuel retailers to post ethanol flex fuel rating labels that differ from size and shape specifications in the Commission’s Rule for Automotive Fuel Ratings, Certification and Posting (“Rule”). The Commission grants the partial exemption without a notice and comment period because “for good cause” the Commission finds that notice and comment is unnecessary in this case. The Commission previously granted similar requests from Gilbarco and other dispenser manufacturers without notice and comment procedures.

DATES: This partial exemption is effective December 2, 2016.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. The Fuel Rating Rule

The Rule provides procedures for determining, certifying, and posting, through fuel dispenser labels, a rating for automotive fuels intended for consumer sale. As originally published, the Rule required only an octane rating for automotive gasoline.1 Pursuant to section 1501 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, 106 Stat. 2776, the Commission then amended the Rule in 1993 to require a rating disclosure for liquid alternative fuels, including gasoline–ethanol blends above 10 percent ethanol (“Ethanol Flex Fuels”).2 On January 14, 2016, the Commission established a new Ethanol Flex Fuel rating and label, effective July 14, 2016.3

Section 306.10 of the Rule requires that retailers post on automotive fuel dispensers a fuel rating label for each kind of automotive fuel sold from the dispenser. Retailers must post labels conspicuously on the dispenser in consumers’ full view and as near as reasonably practical to the fuel price. Section 306.12 of the Rule details label color scheme, shape, size, textual content, and font type and point size. Ethanol Flex Fuel labels must be orange, rectangular, and 3 inches (7.62 cm) wide x 2 ½ inches (6.35 cm) long. In addition, the percentage of ethanol content must be printed in orange font within a 1 inch (2.54 cm) deep black band across the top of the label. Below the band, the label must state “Use Only in Flex Fuel Vehicles/May Harm Other Engines.”

II. Gilbarco’s Prior Petitions

In 1988 and 1995, the Commission granted Gilbarco partial exemptions to allow retailers to post octane labels smaller than required by the Rule. As here, Gilbarco requested the exemption to allow retailers to display the labels on the buttons consumers press to select a particular automotive fuel on multi-blend fuel dispensers (“button labels”).4 In those instances, the Commission exempted button labels that measured 3 inches (7.62 cm) wide x 2.3 inches (5.84 cm) long and 2.74 inches (6.96 cm) wide x 1.80 inches (4.57 cm) long. Furthermore, the font point size differed from Rule’s requirements, and the exempted labels added the word “Press.”

III. Gilbarco’s Current Petition

Gilbarco now requests an exemption for smaller label dimensions for Ethanol Flex Fuel button labels and to include the word “Press” in the label’s black band. In addition, Gilbarco requests permission to post dome-shaped button labels in lieu of rectangular labels for certain dispenser designs. The proposed rectangular labels are 2.38 inches (6.05

---

1 See Octane Posting and Certification Rule, 44 FR 19160 (Mar. 30, 1979).
3 81 FR 2054 (Jan. 14, 2016).
4 See 60 FR 57584 (Nov. 16, 1995); 53 FR 29277 (Aug. 3, 1988).
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respects.

Gilbarco and retailers comply with the Fuel dispenser buttons, provided that shaped button labels on Ethanol Flex V. Conclusion exemption effective immediately.

Commission also finds good cause for making this consistent with those prior requirements, and this exemption is has previously granted similar

in this case because the exemption Rule's labeling requirements by providing clear and conspicuous disclosure of all the required information and maintaining the Rule's color scheme, font type and point size requirements. Moreover, the Commission's experience with similar exemptions does not indicate that button labels confuse consumers or otherwise impede comprehension of the fuel rating. To the contrary, these labels may increase the likelihood that consumers see the fuel rating because they must choose and press the button before fueling.

Furthermore, pursuant to Rule 1.26, the Commission for good cause finds that notice and comment is unnecessary in this case because the exemption involves a technical and minor deviation from the Rule's labeling requirements and does not impose any new legal obligations on parties subject to the Rule. Moreover, the Commission has previously granted similar exemptions from the Rule's labeling requirements, and this exemption is consistent with those prior determinations.

V. Conclusion Therefore, the Commission grants Gilbarco and retailers permission to use the proposed rectangular and dome-shaped labels on Ethanol Flex Fuel dispenser buttons, provided that Gilbarco and retailers comply with the Rule's specifications in all other respects.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-29006 Filed 12-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-P

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION**

**20 CFR Part 404**

[Docket No. SSA–2007–0082]

RIN 0960–AG71

Revised Medical Criteria for Evaluating Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection and for Evaluating Functional Limitations in Immune System Disorders

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are revising the criteria in the Listing of Impairments (listings) that we use to evaluate claims involving human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in adults and children under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act (Act). We also are revising the introductory text of the listings that we use to evaluate functional limitations resulting from immune system disorders. The revisions reflect our program experience, advances in medical knowledge, our adjudicative experience, recommendations from a commissioned report, and comments from medical experts and the public.

**DATES:** These rules are effective January 17, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cheryl Williams, Office of Disability Policy, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401, (410) 965–1020. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–1213, or TTY 1–800–305–0775, or visit our Internet site, Social Security Online, at http://www.socialsecurity.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

We are revising and making final the rule for evaluating HIV infection we proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal Register on February 26, 2014 (79 FR 10730), and a correction to the proposed rule on March 25, 2014 (79 FR 16250). Even though this rule will not go into effect until January 17, 2017, for clarity, we refer to it in this preamble as the “final” rule. We are making several changes in this final rule from the NPRM based upon some of the public comments we received. We are also making minor editorial changes throughout this final rule. We explain these changes below in the “Summary of Public Comments on the NPRM” section of this preamble.

The preamble to the NPRM provided an explanation of the changes from the current rules and our reasons for proposing those changes. To the extent that we are adopting the proposed rule as published, we are not repeating that information here. You can view the NPRM by visiting http://www.regulations.gov and searching for document SSA–2007–0082.

**Why are we revising the listings for evaluating HIV infection?**

We are revising the listings for evaluating HIV infection to reflect our program experience and advances in medical knowledge since we last revised the listings related to HIV infection, recommendations from a commissioned report,1 and a number of public comments. We received comments from medical experts and the public at an outreach policy conference, in response to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM),2 and in response to the NPRM. Although we published final rules for immune system disorders on March 18, 2008, that included changes to listings 14.08 and 114.08,3 the criteria in the current HIV infection listings are not substantively different from the criteria in the final rules we published on July 2, 1993.4 We indicated in the preamble to those rules that we would carefully monitor these listings to ensure that they continue to meet program purposes, and that we would update them if warranted.

**Other Information**

In the NPRM, we proposed to remove listing 114.08HF for evaluating growth disturbance with an involuntary weight loss (or failure to gain weight at an appropriate rate for age) that meets specified criteria. We proposed instead to evaluate this impairment under a growth impairment listing in 100.00 or a digestive system listing in 105.00. On April 13, 2015, we published a final rule for growth disorders and weight loss in children in 100.00 that retained a listing in 114.00 for growth failure due to HIV immune suppression.5 We are repeating that listing here for clarity. We have redesignated the listing as 114.11I and the related introductory text as 114.00F7.

**Summary of Public Comments on the NPRM**

In the NPRM, we provided the public with a 60-day comment period, and we subsequently extended the comment

---


2 73 FR 14409.

3 73 FR 14570.

4 58 FR 36851.

5 80 FR 19522.