

Analysis of Proposed Consent Order to Aid Public Comment
In the Matter of TDARX, Inc.
File No. 1923084

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a consent order from TDARX, Inc. (“TDARX” or “Respondent”).

The proposed consent order (“proposed order”) has been placed on the public record for thirty (30) days for receipt of comments by interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty (30) days, the Commission will again review the agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the agreement and take appropriate action or make final the agreement’s proposed order.

This matter concerns alleged false or misleading representations that TDARX made concerning its participation in the Privacy Shield framework agreed upon by the U.S. and the European Union (“EU”). The Privacy Shield framework allows for the lawful transfer of personal data from the EU to participating companies in the U.S. The framework consists of a set of principles and related requirements that have been deemed by the European Commission as providing “adequate” privacy protection. The principles include notice; choice; accountability for onward transfer; security; data integrity and purpose limitation; access; and recourse, enforcement, and liability. The related requirements include, for example, securing an independent recourse mechanism to handle any disputes about how the company handles information about EU citizens.

To participate in the framework, a company must comply with the Privacy Shield principles and self-certify that compliance to the U.S. Department of Commerce (“Commerce”). Commerce reviews companies’ self-certification applications and maintains a public website, <https://www.privacyshield.gov/list>, where it posts the names of companies who have completed the requirements for certification. Companies are required to recertify every year in order to continue benefitting from Privacy Shield.

TDARX provides IT management and security services through the websites <https://www.tdarx.com> and <http://www.nocdoc.com>. According to the Commission’s complaint, TDARX published on its website, http://www.nocdoc.com/pdf/privacy_policy.pdf, privacy policies containing statements related to its participation in Privacy Shield. However, TDARX allowed its certification to lapse and continued to claim it participated in the Privacy Shield framework.

The Commission’s proposed three-count complaint alleges that Respondent violated Section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act. Specifically, the proposed complaint alleges that Respondent engaged in a deceptive act or practice by falsely representing that it was a certified participant in the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework. The proposed complaint further alleges that Respondent engaged in deceptive acts or

practices by representing that it complied with the framework when in fact it had failed to comply with certain Privacy Shield requirements.

Part I of the proposed order prohibits the company from making misrepresentations about its membership in any privacy or security program sponsored by the government or any other self-regulatory or standard-setting organization, including, but not limited to, the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield framework, the Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield framework, and the APEC Cross-Border Privacy Rules.

Part II of the proposed order requires that the company affirm to Commerce that it will either continue to apply the Privacy Shield framework principles to any data it received pursuant to frameworks or will delete or return such data.

Parts III through VI of the proposed order are reporting and compliance provisions. Part III requires acknowledgement of the order and dissemination of the order now and in the future to persons with responsibilities relating to the subject matter of the order. Part IV ensures notification to the FTC of changes in corporate status and mandates that the company submit an initial compliance report to the FTC. Part V requires the company to create certain documents relating to its compliance with the order for ten years and to retain those documents for a five-year period. Part VI mandates that the company make available to the FTC information or subsequent compliance reports, as requested.

Part VII is a provision “sun-setting” the order after twenty (20) years, with certain exceptions.

The purpose of this analysis is to aid public comment on the proposed order. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the complaint or proposed order, or to modify in any way the proposed order’s terms.