IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

Petitioner,

v.

Misc. No. 3:14-mc-00005-REP

RECKITT BENCKISER PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Respondent.

ORDER IN AID OF SECOND INTERIM REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIAL MASTER

The Special Master has filed the Second Interim Report and Recommendations of the Special Master ("Second Interim Report") and supporting materials, which were submitted under seal as authorized by the Court's order entered February 8, 2016. Certain matters require clarification by the parties before all recommendations in the Second Interim Report become final for purposes of objection under Fed. R. Civ. P. 53 (f) (2). Therefore, pursuant to Rule 53 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order of Appointment (Doc. No. 48) previously entered in this matter, and to clarify matters specified in the Second Interim Report, it is hereby ORDERED that:

(1) Respondent shall review the documents listed on Appendices 1, 2 and 3 of the Second Interim Report for the purpose of identifying clerical errors. As used in this order, the term "clerical error" means a material variance in the Special Master's treatment of a single communication that appears in more than one document. No later than twenty-one (21) days from the entry of this order, Respondent shall deliver to the

Special Master a list of all documents that contain such a variance. The list shall identify

with particularity each communication that is treated inconsistently and identify each

document in which the communication appears.

(2) Petitioner and Respondent shall review Appendix 3, which lists by

identification number and general subject matter certain documents found not to be

privileged by the Special Master. With the exception of documents listed on Appendix 3

that have been recommended for production in the Second Interim Report, the parties are

to determine whether any of the documents listed on Appendix 3 are responsive to any

paragraph of the Civil Investigative Demand. The parties shall meet and confer in an

effort to reach agreement on the responsiveness of the Appendix 3 documents to the Civil

Investigative Demand. No later than twenty-one (21) days from the entry of this order,

counsel shall submit a joint report to the Special Master on the status of the Appendix 3

documents. To the extent the parties cannot agree whether a particular document is

responsive to the Civil Investigative Demand, they shall prepare a chart listing all

contested documents, in the order presented on Appendix 3, and as to each document

shall present a side-by-side summary of their respective positions.

It is so ORDERED.

Craig T. Merritt

Special Master

Richmond, Virginia

Date: February 9, 2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 9th day of February 2016, I will electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing (NEF) to counsel of record including the following:

Burke W. Kappler, Esquire W. Ashley Gum, Esquire Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20580

Robert P. McIntosh, Esquire Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office 600 E. Main Street, 18th Floor Richmond, VA 23219

William V. O'Reilly, Esquire Mark R. Lentz, Esquire Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001

/s/ Craig T. Merritt
Craig T. Merritt (VSB #20281)
cmerritt@cblaw.com
CHRISTIAN & BARTON, L.L.P.
909 East Main Street, Suite 1200
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 697-4100
Facsimile: (804) 697-6112