

**6,8IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 vs.)
)
 CLEAR SOURCE RESEARCH, LLC,)
)
 Respondent.)

Case No. 11-9004-MC-SW-RED

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Pursuant to the authority conferred by 15 U.S.C. §§ 49, 56, 57b-1 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, Petitioner, the Federal Trade Commission, has invoked the aid of this Court, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(5), for an order requiring Respondent Clear Source Research, LLC to comply in full with the February 28, 2011 Civil Investigative Demand issued to it in aid of a law enforcement investigation being conducted by the Commission (FTC File No. 1023122).

The Court has considered the Commission's Petition for an Order to Enforce A Civil Investigative Demand and the papers filed in support thereof and it appears to the Court that Petitioner has shown good cause for the entry of this Order. It is by this Court hereby

ORDERED that Respondent Clear Source Research, LLC appear at **10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, August 23, 2011**, in Courtroom No. 1 of the United States Courthouse for the Western District of Missouri, 222 North John Q. Hammons Parkway Springfield, Missouri 65806, in Springfield, Missouri, and show cause, if any there be, why this Court should not grant said Petition and enter an Order enforcing the Civil Investigative Demand issued to the Respondent and directing it to produce, within ten days of the date of the Order, all responsive documents and information in compliance with the Civil Investigative Demand. Unless the Court determines otherwise,

notwithstanding the filing or pendency of any procedural or other motions, all issues raised by the Petition and supporting papers, and any opposition to the Petition, will be considered at the hearing on the Petition, and the allegations of said Petition shall be deemed admitted unless controverted by a specific factual showing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Respondent believes it necessary for the Court to hear live testimony, it must file an affidavit reflecting such testimony (or if a proposed witness is not available to provide such an affidavit, a specific description of the witness's proposed testimony) and explain why Respondent believes live testimony is required.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Respondent intends to file pleadings, affidavits, exhibits, motions or other papers in opposition to said Petition or to the entry of the Order requested therein, such papers must be filed with the Court and received by Petitioner's counsel on or before **Friday, August 12, 2011**. Such submission shall include, in the case of any affidavits or exhibits not previously submitted, or objections not previously made to the Federal Trade Commission, an explanation as to why such objections were not made or such papers or information not submitted to the Commission. Any reply by Petitioner shall be filed with the Court and received by Respondent on or before **Wednesday, August 17, 2011**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B)(v) and 81(a)(5), that this is a summary proceeding and that no party shall be entitled to discovery without further order of the Court upon a specific showing of need; and that the dates for a hearing and the filing of papers established by this Order shall not be altered without prior order of the Court upon good cause shown; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(5), that a certified copy of

this Order and copies of said Petition and Memorandum in support thereof filed herein, be served forthwith by Petitioner upon Respondent or its counsel by personal service, or by certified or registered mail with return receipt requested, or by overnight express delivery service.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 29, 2011

_____ /s/ *Richard E. Dorr*
RICHARD E. DORR, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT