1	BRIAN M. WELKE, pro hac vice application pending		
2	bwelke@ftc.gov; Tel. (202) 326-2897; Fax (202) 326-3197 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		
3	600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., CC-9528		
4	Washington, DC 20580		
	DELILAH VINZON, Local Counsel, Cal. Bar. No. 222681		
5	dvinzon@ftc.gov; Tel. (310) 824-4328; Fax (310) 824-4380 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		
6	10990 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 400		
7	Los Angeles, CA 90024		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,		
		Case No. 2:16-cv-06819-DMG	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	v.	STIPULATION TO	
15	NUTRACLICK, LLC,	STIPULATED ORDER FOR COMPENSATORY	
16		CONTEMPT SANCTIONS	
17	Defendants.		
18		<u> </u>	
19	On September 20, 2016, this Court entered its Order for Permanent		
20	Injunction and Monetary Judgment (DE # 7) (the "Stipulated Order"), resolving		
21	the Federal Trade Commission's ("Commission" or "FTC") allegations that		
22	NutraClick, LLC ("NutraClick") violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade		
23	Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 45, and Section 4 of the Restore		
24	Online Shoppers' Confidence Act ("ROSCA"), 15 U.S.C. § 8403. The		
25	Commission and Contempt Defendants NutraClick, LLC, Daniel Wallace, and		
26	Patrick Carroll hereby stipulate to the entry of a Stipulated Order for		
27	Compensatory Sanctions (filed concurrently) to resolve all matters in dispute in		

1	this action between them. The terms of the accompanying Proposed Order follow	
2	below.	
3		
4	SO STIPULATED AND AGREED:	
5	FOR PLAINTIFF:	
6		
7	Date: 9/21/2020	
8 -	BRIAN M. WELKE	
9	DELILAH VINZON	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission	
11	FOR CONTEMPT DEFENDANTS:	
12	41.h Date: 9-16-20	
13	LEONARD L. GORDON, ESQ.	
14	ELLEN TRAUPMAN BERGE, ESQ.	
15	Venable LLP Attorneys for Defendant NutraClick, LLC	
16	•	
17	CONTEMPT DEFENDANTS DANIEL WALLACE AND	
18	NUTRACLICK, LLC	
19	Davis Willes Date: 9/16/2020	
20	DANIEL WALLACE, INDIVIDUALLY	
21	AND AS AN OFFICER OF NUTRACLICK, LLC	
22	CONTEMPT DEFENDANT PATRICK CARROLL:	
23	That do	
24	PATRICK CARROLL, INDIVIDUALLY Date: 9/16/2020	
25	AND AS AN OFFICER OF NUTRACLICK, LLC	
26		
27		
28		
	2	

TERMS OF THE PROPOSED ORDER

FINDINGS

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

27

28

2. The Stipulated Order entered by this Court on September 20, 2016, is valid and unambiguous. The Court entered the Stipulated Order enjoining NutraClick and its officers from, *inter alia*, (1) misrepresenting the length of consumers' trial periods before consumers are charged or billed and the timing of any charge or bill (Sections I.G, I.F); (2) failing to disclose clearly and conspicuously and in close proximity to consumers' provision of billing information the deadline by which consumers must act in order to stop all recurring charges (Section II.A.3); (3) failing to send consumers who purchased goods or services through a Negative Option Feature written confirmation including clear and conspicuous disclosures of the terms of the Negative Option Feature specifically including the deadline by which consumers must act to stop a recurring charge (Section II.B); and (4) violating ROSCA by failing to clearly and conspicuously disclose the deadline by which consumers must act to avoid a recurring charge, before obtaining consumers' billing information (Section V).

- 3. Contempt Defendants had notice of the Stipulated Order.
- 19 4. On September 21, 2020, the Commission filed with this Court a Complaint
- ²⁰ for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief in *FTC v. NutraClick*, *LLC*,
- 21 | et al. ("Complaint"), Case No. 2:20-cv-08612 (C.D. Cal.), alleging that
- 22 | NutraClick and its officers in connection with charging or attempting to charge
- 23 consumers for products sold through a negative option feature, did not clearly and
- 24 conspicuously disclose all material terms of the transaction, such as the billing
- 25 date that consumers would be charged, before obtaining consumers' billing
- ²⁶ information or consent to pay, in violation of ROSCA and the TSR.
 - 5. The Commission alleges the conduct described in the Complaint violates the Stipulated Order.

- 6. Contempt Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations identified in
 Paragraphs 2 through 5, above, or as otherwise stated in the Complaint, except as
 specifically stated in this Order and any Order of the Court resolving the
 allegations of the Complaint. Defendants admit the facts necessary to establish
- jurisdiction.
 - 7. The parties have agreed to settle the Commission's contempt allegations with entry of this Order.
 - 8. Contempt Defendants waive any claim that they may have under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, concerning the prosecution of this action through the date of this Order, and agree to bear their own costs and attorney fees.
 - 9. Contempt Defendants waive all rights to appeal or otherwise challenge or contest the validity of this Order.

DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this Order, the following definitions apply:

- A. "Corporate Defendant" means NutraClick, LLC and its successors and assigns.
- B. "Contempt Defendants" means Corporate Defendant and both Individual Defendants, individually, collectively, or in any combination.
- 19 C. "Individual Defendants" means Daniel Wallace and Patrick Carroll.

ORDER

I. MONETARY JUDGMENT

IT IS ORDERED that:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. Judgment in the amount of one million thirty-nine thousand eight hundred twenty-four dollars and twenty-four cents (\$1,039,824.24) is entered in favor of the Commission against Contempt Defendants, jointly and severally, as compensatory contempt relief.
- B. Contempt Defendants are ordered to pay to the Commission one million thirty-nine thousand eight hundred twenty-four dollars and twenty-four cents

- 1 (\$1,039,824.24), which, as Contempt Defendants stipulate, their undersigned
- 2 counsel holds in escrow for no purpose other than payment to the Commission.
- 3 Such payment must be made within 7 days of entry of this Contempt Order by
- 4 electronic fund transfer in accordance with instructions provided by a
- 5 representative of the Commission.

II. ADDITIONAL MONETARY PROVISIONS

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- A. Contempt Defendants relinquish dominion and all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in all assets transferred pursuant to this Contempt Order and may not seek the return of any assets.
- B. The factual findings above will be taken as true, without further proof, in any subsequent civil litigation by or on behalf of the Commission, including in a proceeding to enforce its rights to any payment or monetary judgment pursuant to this Contempt Order, such as a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy case.
- C. The factual findings above and the facts alleged in the FTC's Complaint establish all elements necessary to sustain an action by the Commission pursuant to Section 523(a)(2)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(2)(A), and this Order will have collateral estoppel effect for such purposes.
- D. Contempt Defendants acknowledge that their Taxpayer Identification Numbers (Social Security Numbers or Employer Identification Numbers) may be used for collecting and reporting on any delinquent amount arising out of this Order, in accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 7701.
- E. All money paid to the Commission pursuant to this Order may be deposited into a fund administered by the Commission or its designee to be used for equitable relief, including consumer redress and any attendant expenses for the administration of any redress fund. If a representative of the Commission decides that direct redress to consumers is wholly or partially impracticable or money

remains after redress is completed, the Commission may apply any remaining money for such other equitable relief (including consumer information remedies) as it determines to be reasonably related to Contempt Defendants' practices described in the Findings section above. Any money not used for such equitable relief is to be deposited to the U.S. Treasury as disgorgement. Defendants have no right to challenge any actions the Commission or its representatives may take pursuant to this Subsection.

III. CUSTOMER INFORMATION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Contempt Defendants, Contempt Defendants' officers, agents, and employees, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of any good or service with a negative option feature must provide sufficient customer information to enable the Commission to efficiently administer consumer redress. If a representative of the Commission requests in writing any information related to redress, Contempt Defendants must provide it, in the form prescribed by the Commission, within 14 days.

IV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of construction, modification, and enforcement of this Order.

Certificate of Service I hereby certify that on September 21, 2020, I caused a true and correct copy of this STIPULATION TO STIPULATED ORDER FOR COMPENSATORY CONTEMPT SANCTIONS and the proposed order to be served by the following means on the following persons: By email, consented to in writing, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(e): Leonard L. Gordon **VENABLE LLP** 1270 Avenue of the Americas, 24th Floor New York, NY 10020 Phone: (212) 370-6252 Fax: (212) 307-5598 Email: lgordon@Venable.com Attorney for Contempt Defendants NutraClick, LLC; Daniel Wallace; and Patrick Carroll Brian M. Welke