

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**



In the Matter of

Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.
a corporation;

and

Pallottine Health Services, Inc.
a corporation;

and

St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.
a corporation

Docket No. 9366

**RESPONDENT CABELL HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL, INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
FOR ISSUANCE OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO THE WEST VIRGINIA
HEALTH CARE AUTHORITY AND DEPOSITION SUBPOENA TO ITS CHAIRMAN
MR. JAMES PITROLO UNDER RULE OF PRACTICE 3.36**

Respondent Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. (“Respondent”) brings this motion pursuant to Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) Rule of Practice 3.36, 16 C.F.R. § 3.36, to request the issuance of (1) a subpoena duces tecum for the production of documents to the West Virginia Health Care Authority (“WVHCA”), a West Virginia public agency, and (2) a deposition subpoena directed towards Mr. James Pitrolo (“Mr. Pitrolo”), Chairman of the WVHCA. On December 17, 2015, the Court granted Complaint Counsel’s motion requesting the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum to WVHCA. In addition, Complaint Counsel has listed Mr. Pitrolo on its preliminary witness list, and he provided a detailed declaration to Complaint Counsel in September 2015. The instant motion is intended to ensure that Respondent timely receives all discovery WVHCA provides to Complaint Counsel, and that Respondent is able to

properly prepare for Mr. Pitrolo's anticipated testimony. An unsigned version of the requested subpoenas are attached at **Exhibits A-B**. Complaint Counsel does not oppose Respondent's request to issue the subpoenas, but takes no position on the contents of this motion or the scope of the subpoenas.

ARGUMENT

By this motion, Respondent requests that the Court issue (1) a subpoena duces tecum for the production of documents to the WVHCA, and (2) a deposition subpoena to WVHCA Chairman Mr. Pitrolo. The Court recently granted Complaint Counsel's motion to issue a subpoena duces tecum to the WVHCA, and by the requested subpoena Respondent seeks all documents and information that the WVHCA provides to Complaint Counsel, as well as communications between the WVHCA and Complaint Counsel. Further, Respondent is entitled to take Mr. Pitrolo's deposition because Complaint Counsel listed Mr. Pitrolo on its preliminary witness list. In short, the subpoenas Respondent requests are necessary to ensure that Respondent has equal access to any discovery the WVHCA makes available to Complaint Counsel, and to enable Respondent to properly prepare for Mr. Pitrolo's testimony.

Pursuant to Rule 3.36(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, a party seeking the issuance of a subpoena to a governmental agency or employees of governmental agencies must show that:

- (1) the requested material sought is reasonable in scope;
- (2) if for an adjudicative hearing, the material is reasonably relevant;
- (3) the material cannot reasonably be obtained by other means;
- (4) the material meets the requirements of Rule of Practice § 3.37.

16 C.F.R. § 3.36(b). All four requirements are met here.

First, the scope of the material sought in the subpoenas is reasonable. Respondent is entitled to access any discovery materials the WVHCA provides to Complaint Counsel, and the requested subpoena duces tecum is limited to those documents and communications. *See Mauricio v. Duckworth*, 840 F.2d 454, 460 (7th Cir. 1988) (noting that “discovery [is] . . . a level playing field where rules are in place to ensure equal access to material information”). As Chairman of the WVHCA, and an anticipated witness at the upcoming adjudicative hearing, Mr. Pitrolo likely possesses relevant documents and information. Moreover, Mr. Pitrolo provided a detailed declaration to Complaint Counsel in September 2015, rendering it even more reasonable for Respondent to seek his deposition. Therefore, the scope of each requested subpoena is reasonable.

Second, the material sought is relevant to the allegations in the Complaint. The WVHCA administers the state’s Certificate of Need program, under which the agency reviews certain capital expenditures by health care service providers. It also collects, analyzes, and disseminates financial and clinical data to assess hospital utilization within West Virginia, and reviews acute care hospital rates and contracts between health plans and health care service providers. Complaint Counsel sought the production of existing agency records regarding these and other relevant topics in its subpoena, and Respondent is entitled to have access to those same materials. Moreover, Complaint Counsel identified Mr. Pitrolo on its preliminary witness list, and therefore Respondent is entitled to depose him. *See Sellers v. Mineta*, 350 F.3d 706, 712 (8th Cir. 2003) (noting that once a witness is included on a preliminary witness list, “steps to prepare for [his] testimony, include[e], for example, taking [his] deposition”). In sum, the requested documents and testimony are highly relevant to the adjudication of this matter.

Third, the requested documents and testimony cannot be reasonably obtained by other means. The WVHCA is the sole repository of its own records, and Respondent is entitled to receive directly from the WVHCA any materials it might provide to Complaint Counsel and to examine Mr. Pitrolo regarding those materials, as well as the topics identified in his declaration. While the Scheduling Order requires a party to provide to the opposing party copies of documents received from non-parties in response to a subpoena, Complaint Counsel may wait three business days before doing so, and Respondent would be prejudiced by that delay in this expedited proceeding.

Fourth, the requested documents meets the requirements of Rule of Practice 3.37(a), which requires requests for electronically stored information to be specified with reasonable particularity. The subpoena duces tecum requests documents and communications provided to Complaint Counsel by the WVHCA, as well as any communications with Complaint Counsel about this matter, and therefore seeks a clearly defined universe of materials.

In sum, the requested subpoenas meet all four requirements of Rule 3.36(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, therefore, Respondent respectfully requests that the Court issue the attached subpoenas.

Dated: January 5, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Geoffrey S. Irwin

Geoffrey S. Irwin
Kerri L. Ruttenberg
Kenneth W. Field
Michael S. Fried

Louis K. Fisher
Tara Lynn R. Zurawski
Douglas E. Litvack
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-2113
Email: gsirwin@jonesday.com
Email: kruttenberg@jonesday.com
Email: kfield@jonesday.com
Email: msfried@jonesday.com
Email: lksfisher@jonesday.com
Email: tzurawski@jonesday.com
Email: dlitvack@jonesday.com
Telephone: (202) 879-3939
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

Aaron M. Healey
JONES DAY
325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43215-2673
Email: ahealey@jonesday.com
Telephone: (614) 469-3939
Facsimile: (614) 461-4198

Lindsey Lonergan
Jessica C. Casey
Mary Beth Robinson
JONES DAY
1420 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3053
Email: lloerngan@jonesday.com
Email: jcasey@jonesday.com
Email: merobinson@jonesday.com
Telephone: (404) 521.3939
Facsimile: (404) 581-8330

Thomas L. Craig
James R. Bailes
BAILES, CRAIG & YON, PLLC
Post Office Box 1926
Huntington, WV 25720-1926
Email: tlc@bcyon.com
Email: jrb@bcyon.com
Telephone: (304) 697-4700
Facsimile: (304) 697-4714

*Counsel for Respondent
Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.*

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

In the Matter of

Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.
a corporation;

and

Pallottine Health Services, Inc.
a corporation;

and

St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.
a corporation

Docket No. 9366

RESPONDENT'S MEET AND CONFER STATEMENT

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order issued on December 4, 2015, Respondent submits this statement in support of its motion brought under Rule of Practice 3.36 requesting the issuance of subpoenas directed towards the WVHCA and Mr. Pitrolo. On January 5, 2016, Respondent's counsel provided Complaint Counsel via electronic mail notice of its intent to file the instant motion. That same day, Complaint Counsel advised Respondent's counsel that it does not oppose this motion.

Dated: January 5, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Geoffrey S. Irwin

Geoffrey S. Irwin
Kerri L. Ruttenberg
Kenneth W. Field
Michael S. Fried
Louis K. Fisher
Tara Lynn R. Zurawski

Douglas E. Litvack
JONES DAY
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-2113
Email: gsirwin@jonesday.com
Email: kruttenberg@jonesday.com
Email: kfield@jonesday.com
Email: msfried@jonesday.com
Email: lkfisher@jonesday.com
Email: tzurawski@jonesday.com
Email: dlitvack@jonesday.com
Telephone: (202) 879-3939
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

Aaron M. Healey
JONES DAY
325 John H. McConnell Blvd., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43215-2673
Email: ahealey@jonesday.com
Telephone: (614) 469-3939
Facsimile: (614) 461-4198

Lindsey Lonergan
Jessica C. Casey
Mary Beth Robinson
JONES DAY
1420 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3053
Email: llonergan@jonesday.com
Email: jcasey@jonesday.com
Email: merobinson@jonesday.com
Telephone: (404) 521.3939
Facsimile: (404) 581-8330

Thomas L. Craig
James R. Bailes
BAILES, CRAIG & YON, PLLC
Post Office Box 1926
Huntington, WV 25720-1926
Email: tlc@bcyon.com
Email: jrb@bcyon.com
Telephone: (304) 697-4700
Facsimile: (304) 697-4714

Counsel for Respondent Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES**

In the Matter of

Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.
a corporation;

and

Pallottine Health Services, Inc.
a corporation;

and

St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.
a corporation

Docket No. 9366

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ON RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF A
SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM TO THE WEST VIRGINIA HEALTH CARE
AUTHORITY AND TO ITS CHAIRMAN MR. JAMES PITROLO**

On January 5, 2016, pursuant to Rule 3.36 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Respondent filed a motion for the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum for the production of documents to the WVHCA and a deposition subpoena to WVHCA Chairman Mr. James Pitrolo. Complaint Counsel does not oppose Respondent's motion.

The requirements of Rule of Practice § 3.36(b) are met. Accordingly, Respondent's motion is **GRANTED**.

ORDERED:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date:

EXHIBIT A



SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

PUBLIC

Provided by the Secretary of the Federal Trade Commission, and
Issued Pursuant to Commission Rule 3.34(b), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(b)(2010)

1. TO	2. FROM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
-------	---

This subpoena requires you to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, documents (as defined in Rule 3.34(b)), or tangible things, at the date and time specified in Item 5, and at the request of Counsel listed in Item 9, in the proceeding described in Item 6.

3. PLACE OF PRODUCTION	4. MATERIAL WILL BE PRODUCED TO 5. DATE AND TIME OF PRODUCTION
------------------------	---

6. SUBJECT OF PROCEEDING

7. MATERIAL TO BE PRODUCED

8. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE Federal Trade Commission Washington, D.C. 20580	9. COUNSEL AND PARTY ISSUING SUBPOENA
---	---------------------------------------

DATE SIGNED	SIGNATURE OF COUNSEL ISSUING SUBPOENA
-------------	---------------------------------------

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

APPEARANCE

The delivery of this subpoena to you by any method prescribed by the Commission's Rules of Practice is legal service and may subject you to a penalty imposed by law for failure to comply.

MOTION TO LIMIT OR QUASH

The Commission's Rules of Practice require that any motion to limit or quash this subpoena must comply with Commission Rule 3.34(c), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c), and in particular must be filed within the earlier of 10 days after service or the time for compliance. The original and ten copies of the petition must be filed before the Administrative Law Judge and with the Secretary of the Commission, accompanied by an affidavit of service of the document upon counsel listed in Item 9, and upon all other parties prescribed by the Rules of Practice.

TRAVEL EXPENSES

The Commission's Rules of Practice require that fees and mileage be paid by the party that requested your appearance. You should present your claim to counsel listed in Item 9 for payment. If you are permanently or temporarily living somewhere other than the address on this subpoena and it would require excessive travel for you to appear, you must get prior approval from counsel listed in Item 9.

A copy of the Commission's Rules of Practice is available online at <http://bit.ly/FTCRulesofPractice>. Paper copies are available upon request.

This subpoena does not require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of

Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.
a corporation;

and

Pallottine Health Services, Inc.
a corporation;

and

St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.
a corporation

Docket No. 9366

**RESPONDENT CABELL HUNTINGTON HOSPITAL, INC.'S
SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM ATTACHMENT**

In accordance with the Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Practice (16 C.F.R. 3.1 *et seq.*), Respondent Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc. requests the following documents and things be produced in accordance with the Definitions and Instructions below, on the date and location indicated in the accompanying *Subpoena Duces Tecum*, or at such other time and place as you and counsel for Respondents Cabell Huntington Hospital shall mutually agree.

I. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. All documents responsive to the Federal Trade Commission's Subpoena *Duces Tecum* to the West Virginia Health Care Authority dated December 18, 2015.

2. All documents relating to any internal communications or communications between you, or anyone acting on your behalf, and anyone employed by or acting on behalf of the Federal Trade Commission, relating to the proposed acquisition of St. Mary's Medical Center by Cabell Huntington Hospital., the Federal Trade Commission's investigation of the proposed

acquisition, or *In the Matter of Cabell Huntington Hospital, Pallottine Health Services, Inc. , and St. Mary's Medical Center*, No. 9366 currently pending before the Federal Trade Commission, Office of Administrative Law Judges.

I. DEFINITIONS

These requests incorporate the following definitions and instructions:

1. "You," or "your" shall each mean and refer to the West Virginia Health Care Authority, its domestic and foreign parents, predecessors, divisions, subsidiaries, affiliates, partnerships and joint ventures, and all directors, officers, employees, agents and representatives of the foregoing. The terms "subsidiary," "affiliate" and "joint venture" refer to any person in which there is partial (25 percent or more) or total ownership or control between the West Virginia Health Care Authority and any other person.
2. The singular form of a word should be interpreted in the plural, and the plural form of a word shall be interpreted as singular, whenever appropriate, in order to bring within the scope of the request any information that might otherwise be considered beyond its scope. Any pronoun shall be construed to refer to the masculine, feminine, or neutral gender as in each case is most appropriate.
3. The use of the present tense shall be construed to include the past tense, and vice versa, so as to make the request inclusive rather than exclusive.
4. As used herein, "and" and "or" shall be construed conjunctively and disjunctively so as to acquire the broadest meaning possible.
5. As used herein, "any" and "all" shall each be construed to mean "each and every," so as to acquire the broadest meaning possible.
6. The term "communication" means any transmittal of information in the form of facts, ideas, inquiries, or otherwise, including without limitation any transmission of information by one or more persons and/or between two or more persons by any means such as, but not limited to, telephone conversations, voicemails, letters, telegrams, teletypes, telexes, telecopies,

electronic mail, other computer linkups, metadata, written memoranda, and face-to-face conversations, including without limitation, a person(s) seeing or hearing any information by any means and any document memorializing or referring to the contact or communication.

7. “Relate to,” “related to,” and “relating to” shall mean in whole or in part concerning, reflecting, alluding to, mentioning, regarding, discussing, bearing upon, commenting on, constituting, pertaining to, demonstrating, describing, depicting, directly or indirectly relating to, summarizing, containing, embodying, showing, comprising, evidencing, refuting, contradicting, analyzing, identifying, stating, dealing with, and/or supporting.

8. The term “document(s)” is defined broadly and includes all tangible things, all originals (or, if originals are not available, identical copies thereof), all non-identical copies of a document, all drafts of final documents, all other written, printed, or recorded matter of any kind, and all other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated if necessary, that are or have been in your actual or constructive possession, custody or control, regardless of the medium on which they are produced, reproduced, or stored (including without limitation computer programs and files containing any requested information), and any recording or writing. Any document bearing marks, including without limitation, initials, stamped initials, comments, or notations not a part of the original text or photographic reproduction thereof, is a separate document. The term “document” shall include, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, all electronic mail, letters, voicemails, text messages, correspondence, contracts, agreements, notes to the files, notebooks, reports, memoranda, mechanical and electronic sound records or transcripts thereof, blueprints, flow sheets, formal or informal drawings or diagrams, calendar or diary entries, memoranda of telephone or personal conversations or of meetings or conferences, studies, reports, interoffice communications, price lists, bulletins, circulars, statements, manuals, summaries of compilations, minutes of meetings, maps, charts, graphs, order papers, articles, announcements, books, catalogs, records, tables, books of account, ledgers, vouchers, cancelled checks, invoices, bills, marketing materials, white papers, and data sheets. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

9. The terms “identify” and “identification,” when used with respect to any natural person, means that the following information shall be provided: The person’s full name; last known home address and telephone number; last known business address and telephone number; last known title or occupation; and last known employer.

10. The terms “identify” and “identification,” when used with respect to any entity (including without limitation corporation, company, firm, partnership, joint venture, association, governmental body or agency or persons other than a natural person), means that the following information shall be provided: The full legal name of the entity; the place of incorporation or organization; the principal place of business; and the nature of the business conducted by that legal entity.

11. The terms “identify” and “identification,” when used with respect to a document, subject to the option to produce records under 16 C.F.R. § 3.35(c), means to provide information sufficient to locate that document, including but not limited to the following: the Bates range, the date appearing on such document or, if no date appears thereon, the approximate date the document was prepared; the identifying code number, file number, title, or label of such document; a general description of such document (e.g., letter, memorandum, drawing); the title or heading; the number of pages of which such document consists; the name of each person who signed or authorized the document; the name of each addressee; the name of each person having possession, custody, or control of such document; if the document existed at one time but does not presently exist, the reason(s) why it no longer exists and the identity of the last person having custody of it; and, if the document is in a foreign language, whether an English translation of the document exists, whether partial or complete.

12. The terms “identify” and “identification” when used with reference to any communication, means that the following information shall be provided: (a) a summary of the communication; (b) the date and place of the communication; (c) each person who was present at, involved in, connected with or who participated in the communication; (d) the form of

communication (e.g., telephone call, meeting, letter, etc.); and (e) each document memorializing or referring to the communication.

13. The terms “identify” and “identification” when used with reference to an event means to provide a description of the event, the date of the event, the location of the event, and the individual and organizational entities participating in the event.

14. The terms “identify” and “identification” when used with reference to factual or legal basis, means to state in detail each and every fact, and each and every legal proposition or interpretation, upon which a belief, claim, assertion, allegation, or contention is based, and identify all documents, persons, and events that support that belief, claim, assertion, allegation, or contention.

15. Where an instruction or request below names a corporation or other legal entity, the instruction or request includes within its scope any parent, predecessors-in-interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents, and representatives thereof, including attorneys, consultants, and accountants.

II. INSTRUCTIONS

1. These requests shall apply to all documents in your possession, custody or control at the present time or coming into your possession, custody, or control prior to the date of the production. If you know of the existence, past or present, of any documents or things requested below, but are unable to produce such documents or things because they are not presently in your possession, custody or control, you shall so state and shall identify such documents or things, and the person who has possession, custody or control of the documents or things. “Documents within your control” shall apply to documents or things in the possession, custody or control of a third party over which you have control or who has agreed to cooperate or provide assistance relating to the subject matter of This Litigation.

2. If no documents are responsive to a particular request, you are to state that no responsive documents exist.

3. For any responsive documents or tangible things that have been lost or destroyed, you shall provide a written statement setting forth:

- a. the date of the document;
- b. the identity of the document;
- c. the nature of the document (*e.g.*, letter, memorandum, chart);
- d. the identity of each and every person who received the document;
- e. a brief description of the subject matter of the document; and
- f. the circumstances of the loss or destruction of the document.

4. In the event a document is withheld or redacted on a claim of attorney/client or work product privilege, you shall provide a detailed privilege log which describes the nature and basis for your claim and the information withheld, in a manner sufficient to disclose facts upon which you rely in asserting your claim, and to permit the grounds and reasons for withholding the information to be identified. Such description shall, at a minimum:

- a. state the type of document (*e.g.* letter, memorandum, contract, etc.);
- b. state the title of the document;
- c. state the date of the creation of the document;
- d. state the last date the document was modified or revised;
- e. identify each and every author of the document;
- f. identify each and every person who prepared or participated in the preparation of the document;
- g. identify each and every person who received the document, or a copy thereof;
- h. identify each and every person from whom the document was received;
- i. state the present location of the document and all copies thereof;
- j. identify each and every person having custody or control of the document and all copies thereof;
- k. describe the subject and purpose of the document; and

1. provide sufficient further information concerning the document and circumstances thereof to explain the claim of privilege or immunity and permit the adjudication of the propriety of that claim.

5. If an attorney work product claim is asserted, in addition to the information specified in Instruction 4 above, identify each person who received copies, when the work product was prepared, and the litigation for which the work product was prepared.

6. If you object or otherwise decline to produce any of the documents requested herein for grounds other than attorney/client or work product privilege, specify the precise grounds upon which you rely in sufficient detail to permit the Respondents and the Court to determine the legal sufficiency of your objection or position, and provide any and all documents you are willing to provide without a court order.

7. When a document contains both privileged and non-privileged material, the non-privileged material must be disclosed to the fullest extent possible without thereby disclosing the privileged material. If a privilege is asserted with regard to part of the material contained in a document, the party claiming the privilege must clearly indicate the portions as to which the privilege is claimed. When a document has been redacted or altered in any fashion, identify as to each document the reason for the redaction or alteration, the date of the redaction or alteration, and the person performing the redaction or alteration. Any redaction notation must be clearly visible on the redacted document.

8. In the event that you object to any request on the ground that it is overbroad and/or unduly burdensome for any reason, respond to that request as narrowed to the least extent necessary to render it not overbroad/unduly burdensome and state specifically the extent to which you have narrowed that request for purposes of your response.

9. In the event that you object to any request on the ground that it is vague and/or ambiguous, identify the particular words, terms or phrases that are asserted to make such request vague and/or ambiguous and specify the meaning actually attributed by you to such words for purposes of your response thereto.

10. No information shall be withheld on the asserted grounds that such information therein is not relevant unless (i) the burden of responding is fully described and (ii) persons familiar with the information requested are identified.

11. These requests are submitted for the purpose of discovery and are not to be taken as waiving any objections which may be made at trial or any hearing to the introduction of evidence on subjects covered by these requests or as an admission of the relevance or materiality at trial of any of the matters covered by these requests.

12. If, after responding, you obtain or become aware of any further information responsive to these Requests for Production, you are required to supplement the responses and provide the Respondents with such additional information as required under 16 C.F.R. § 3.31.

13. Where identified documents are not in your possession, custody or control, state the names of the persons who have possession, custody or control of such documents. If such documents were in your possession, custody or control in the past but are no longer in your possession, custody, or control, state what disposition was made of them, the reasons for such disposition, persons having any knowledge of such disposition, and the persons responsible for such disposition.

14. These requests seek all responsive documents in their original language and, if such original language is not English, these requests also seek English-language translations that may exist for all or part of any given document.

15. Each document is to be produced along with all drafts, without abbreviation or redaction, in their entirety including attachments, enclosures, cover letters, memoranda, exhibits, and appendices.

16. If any portion of a document or tangible thing is responsive to a request, the entire document or tangible thing shall be produced, redacting only privileged material, if any.

17. You are to produce the original and each non-identical copy of each document or tangible thing requested herein which is in your possession, custody or control.

18. No request for production shall be construed with reference to any other request for production for purposes of limitation.

19. Documents that are in paper form or that constitute other physical objects from which recorded information may be visually read, as well as audio or video tapes and similar recordings, shall be produced in their original form or in copies that are exact duplicates of the originals.

20. All documents shall be produced with Bates numbers that shall be unique for each page. Bates numbers shall contain a prefix that can be readily attributed to you. Bates numbering shall be sequential and consist of not less than seven (7) digits with zero fill as necessary to maintain the seven-digit sequence. If a Bates number is skipped, you must provide information identifying and explaining the missing Bates numbers.

21. All documents are to be produced in accordance with any agreements between you and Respondent regarding the production of electronically stored information and any protective order entered by the Court. In the absence of any agreement, all documents shall be produced in the following manner:

- a. All electronically stored documents shall be produced as single-page TIFF Group IV images with an OPT load file; images for individual documents must be contained in a single folder; and extracted or OCR text shall be provided as document level files, in the same folder as the images for the document.
- b. Parent-child relationships (association between an attachment and its parent document) shall be preserved. The attachment(s) shall be produced immediately after the parent document.
- c. Metadata, if available, shall be produced with a DAT load file as follows:
 - (1) For email, the metadata fields will include: Beginning Bates Number, Ending Bates Number, Attachment Beginning Bates, Page Count, Custodian, To, From, CC, BCC, Subject, Date and Time Sent

(MMDDYYYY HH:MM), Date and Time Received (MMDDYYYY HH:MM), and MD5 Hash.

(2) For email attachments, the metadata fields will include: Beginning Bates Number, Ending Bates Number, Title, Author, Page Count, Parent Beginning Bates, Custodian, Creation Date and Time (MMDDYYYY HH:MM), Last Modified Date and Time (MMDDYYYY HH:MM), Size, Location or Path, Original File Name, File Extension, Native DocLink, and MD5 Hash.

(3) For loose files other than email, the metadata fields will include: Beginning Bates Number, Ending Bates Number, Title, Author, Page Count, Custodian, Creation Date and Time (MMDDYYYY HH:MM), Last Modified Date and Time (MMDDYYYY HH:MM), Size, Location or Path, Original File Name, File Extension, Native DocLink, and MD5 Hash.

- d. Excel, Access, and PowerPoint files shall be produced in native format and with a corresponding TIFF Group IV image placeholder and document level extracted text files.
- e. All documents originating in hard copy form shall be produced as TIFF Group IV images with an OPT load file; images for individual documents must be contained in a single folder; and OCR text shall be provided as document level files, in the same folder as the images for the document. To the extent that metadata is available, it shall be produced as set forth in Instruction 21(c)(3) above.

EXHIBIT B



SUBPOENA AD TESTIFICANDUM DEPOSITION

PUBLIC

Provided by the Secretary of the Federal Trade Commission, and
Issued Pursuant to Rule 3.34(a), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(a) (2010)

1. TO

2. FROM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

This subpoena requires you to appear and give testimony at the taking of a deposition, at the date and time specified in Item 5, and at the request of Counsel listed in Item 8, in the proceeding described in Item 6.

3. PLACE OF DEPOSITION

4. YOUR APPEARANCE WILL BE BEFORE

5. DATE AND TIME OF DEPOSITION

6. SUBJECT OF PROCEEDING

7. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

8. COUNSEL AND PARTY ISSUING SUBPOENA

Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580

DATE SIGNED

SIGNATURE OF COUNSEL ISSUING SUBPOENA

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

APPEARANCE

The delivery of this subpoena to you by any method prescribed by the Commission's Rules of Practice is legal service and may subject you to a penalty imposed by law for failure to comply.

MOTION TO LIMIT OR QUASH

The Commission's Rules of Practice require that any motion to limit or quash this subpoena must comply with Commission Rule 3.34(c), 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c), and in particular must be filed within the earlier of 10 days after service or the time for compliance. The original and ten copies of the petition must be filed before the Administrative Law Judge and with the Secretary of the Commission, accompanied by an affidavit of service of the document upon counsel listed in Item 8, and upon all other parties prescribed by the Rules of Practice.

TRAVEL EXPENSES

The Commission's Rules of Practice require that fees and mileage be paid by the party that requested your appearance. You should present your claim to Counsel listed in Item 8 for payment. If you are permanently or temporarily living somewhere other than the address on this subpoena and it would require excessive travel for you to appear, you must get prior approval from Counsel listed in Item 8.

A copy of the Commission's Rules of Practice is available online at <http://bit.ly/FTCRulesofPractice>. Paper copies are available upon request.

This subpoena does not require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 5, 2016, I filed the foregoing document electronically using the FTC's E-Filing System, which will send notification of such filing to:

Donald S. Clark
Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-113
Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that I delivered via electronic mail a copy of the foregoing document to:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Rm. H-110
Washington, DC 20580

Thomas H. Brock
Alexis Gilman
Tara Reinhart
Mark D. Seidman
Michelle Yost
Elizabeth C. Arens
Jeanine Balbach
Stephanie R. Cummings
Melissa Davenport
Svetlana S. Gans
Elisa Kantor
Michael Perry
Marc Schneider
Samuel I. Sheinberg
David J. Laing
Nathaniel Hopkin
Steve Vieux
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Phone: 202-326-2638
Email: tbrock@ftc.gov
Email: agilman@ftc.gov
Email: treinhart@ftc.gov
Email: mseidman@ftc.gov
Email: myost@ftc.gov
Email: earens@ftc.gov
Email: jbalbach@ftc.gov
Email: srcummings@ftc.gov
Email: mdavenport@ftc.gov
Email: sgans@ftc.gov
Email: ekantor@ftc.gov
Email: mperry@ftc.gov
Email: mschneider@ftc.gov
Email: ssheinberg@ftc.gov
Email: dlaing@ftc.gov
Email: nhopkin@ftc.gov
Email: svieux@ftc.gov

Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission

Benjamin R. Dryden
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20007-5109
Phone: 202-945-6128
Facsimile: 202-672-5399
Email: bdryden@foley.com

David W. Simon
H. Holden Brooks
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
777 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306
Phone: 414-271-2400
Facsimile: 414-297-4900
Email: dsimon@foley.com
Email: hbrooks@foley.com

*Counsel for Respondents
Pallottine Health Services, Inc.
and St. Mary's Medical Center*

Marianne Kapino
General Counsel
West Virginia Health Care Authority
100 Dee Drive
Charleston, WV 25311
304-558-7000 (Ext. 213)
Email: mkapino@hcawv.org
Counsel for Third-Party WVHCA

/s/ Geoffrey S. Irwin

*Counsel for Respondent
Cabell Huntington Hospital, Inc.*

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on January 05, 2016, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing CHHI's Unopposed Motion for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum to the WVHCA and Deposition Subpoena to the WVHCA Chairman, with:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 110
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 172
Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on January 05, 2016, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing CHHI's Unopposed Motion for Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum to the WVHCA and Deposition Subpoena to the WVHCA Chairman, upon:

Thomas H. Brock
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
TBrock@ftc.gov
Complaint

Alexis Gilman
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
agilman@ftc.gov
Complaint

Tara Reinhart
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
treinhart@ftc.gov
Complaint

Mark D. Seidman
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mseidman@ftc.gov
Complaint

Michelle Yost
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
myost@ftc.gov
Complaint

Kenneth Field
Jones Day
kfield@jonesday.com
Respondent

Geoffrey Irwin
Jones Day
gsirwin@jonesday.com

Respondent

Kerri Ruttenberg
Jones Day
kruttenberg@jonesday.com
Respondent

Michael Fried
Jones Day
msfried@jonesday.com
Respondent

Louis Fisher
Jones Day
lkfisher@jonesday.com
Respondent

Tara Zurawski
Jones Day
tzurawski@jonesday.com
Respondent

Douglas Litvack
Jones Day
dlitvack@jonesday.com
Respondent

Aaron Healey
Jones Day
ahealey@jonesday.com
Respondent

Thomas Craig
Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC
tlc@bcyon.com
Respondent

James Bailes
Bailes, Craig & Yon, PLLC
jrb@bcyon.com
Respondent

David Simon
Foley & Lardner LLP
dsimon@foley.com
Respondent

H. Holden Brooks
Foley & Lardner LLP
hbrooks@foley.com
Respondent

Benjamin Dryden
Foley & Lardner LLP
bdryden@foley.com
Respondent

Elizabeth C. Arens
Attorney

Federal Trade Commission
earens@ftc.gov
Complaint

Jeanine Balbach
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jbalbach@ftc.gov
Complaint

Stephanie R. Cummings
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
srcummings@ftc.gov
Complaint

Melissa Davenport
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mdavenport@ftc.gov
Complaint

Svetlana S. Gans
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
sgans@ftc.gov
Complaint

Elisa Kantor
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
ekantor@ftc.gov
Complaint

Michael Perry
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mperry@ftc.gov
Complaint

Marc Schneider
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mschneider@ftc.gov
Complaint

Samuel I. Sheinberg
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
ssheinberg@ftc.gov
Complaint

David J. Laing
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
dlaing@ftc.gov
Complaint

Nathaniel Hopkin

Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
nhopkin@ftc.gov
Complaint

Steve Vieux
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
svieux@ftc.gov
Complaint

Lindsey Lonergan
Jones Day
llonergan@jonesday.com
Respondent

Jessica Casey
Jones Day
jcasey@jonesday.com
Respondent

Geoffrey Irwin
Attorney