UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of

LabMD, Inc., a corporation, Respondent. DOCKET NO. 9357

08 03 2015

578561

SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR IN CAMERA TREATMENT

Pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Federal Trade Commission's ("FTC") Rules of Practice, FTC Complaint Counsel, on July 29, 2015, filed a Motion for *In Camera* Treatment of RX 505 ("Motion"). Complaint Counsel represents that Respondent does not oppose the Motion.

Complaint Counsel states that RX 505 is the transcript of David Lapides' deposition, offered into evidence by Respondent. Complaint Counsel further states that RX 505 is identical to CX 0723. By Order dated May 6, 2014, the parties' joint motions for *in camera* treatment of certain exhibits containing sensitive personal information was granted and *in camera* treatment was granted for CX 0723 ("May 6, 2014 Order"). Because RX 505 and CX 0723 are identical, the standards applied in the May 6, 2014 Order to CX 0723 apply equally to RX 505. Accordingly, permanent *in camera* treatment will be accorded for the sensitive personal information contained in RX 505.

Pursuant to the order issued July 15, 2015, the parties' joint motion to accept redacted public versions of *in camera* exhibits into the record was granted and the parties were directed to create and submit public versions of the exhibits that were the subject of that Order by redacting the sensitive personal information therein, so that the exhibits could be entered into the public record. ("July 15, 2015 Order"). Complaint Counsel states that the parties inadvertently included RX 505 in their joint motion to accept redacted public versions, mistakenly believing that RX 505 had previously been granted *in camera* treatment. Through the July 15, 2015 Order, the parties were ordered to submit a public version of RX 505, labeled as RX 505-A, that redacts the sensitive personal information therein. Therefore, no further action for submitting a public version of RX 505 is required of the parties.

For the reasons set forth above, Complaint Counsel's Motion is GRANTED. Permanent *in camera* treatment is accorded for RX 505.

.

ORDERED:

.

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: August 3, 2015