

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of)
)
)

Impax Laboratories, Inc.,)
a corporation,)
)

Respondent.)

)

DOCKET NO. 9375

ORIGINAL

**ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
AND ORDER RESCHEDULING CLOSING ARGUMENTS**


Pursuant to Rule 3.41(b)(6) of the Federal Trade Commission’s (“FTC”) Rules of Practice and the Order issued in this case on January 10, 2018, closing arguments are scheduled for February 1, 2018. On January 11, 2018, Respondent Impax Laboratories, Inc. (“Respondent”) filed a Motion for Extension of Time in Which to Hold Closing Arguments (“Motion”). Respondent states that Complaint Counsel does not oppose the Motion.

Respondent states that its lead counsel has a conflicting hearing in another matter and will be unable to appear as scheduled. Respondent requests that the deadline be extended by one week, to February 8, 2018. Respondent further states that the parties have conferred about this scheduling issue and that Complaint Counsel is available on February 8, 2018.

FTC Rule 4.3(b)(2) provides that, except in circumstances not here applicable, the Administrative Law Judge may extend any deadline provided by the Rules, upon a showing of good cause. 16 C.F.R. § 4.3(b)(2). Based on Respondent’s representations in the Motion, good cause exists to grant the Motion.

Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED and it is hereby ORDERED that (1) the deadline for hearing closing arguments is hereby extended to February 8, 2018, and (2) the date for closing arguments is hereby rescheduled to February 8, 2018 at 12:30 p.m., in Courtroom 532, Federal Trade Commission Building, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

ORDERED:



D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: January 12, 2018

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on January 12, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Granting Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time and Order Rescheduling Closing Arguments, with:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 110
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 172
Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on January 12, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Order Granting Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time and Order Rescheduling Closing Arguments, upon:

Bradley Albert
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
balbert@ftc.gov
Complaint

Daniel Butrymowicz
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
dbutrymowicz@ftc.gov
Complaint

Nicholas Leefer
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
nleefer@ftc.gov
Complaint

Synda Mark
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
smark@ftc.gov
Complaint

Maren Schmidt
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mschmidt@ftc.gov
Complaint

Eric Sprague
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
esprague@ftc.gov
Complaint

Jamie Towey
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jtowey@ftc.gov

Complaint

Chuck Loughlin
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cloughlin@ftc.gov
Complaint

Alpa D. Davis
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
adavis6@ftc.gov
Complaint

Lauren Peay
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
lpeay@ftc.gov
Complaint

James H. Weingarten
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jweingarten@ftc.gov
Complaint

Edward D. Hassi
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
ehassi@omm.com
Respondent

Michael E. Antalics
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
mantalics@omm.com
Respondent

Benjamin J. Hendricks
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
bhendricks@omm.com
Respondent

Eileen M. Brogan
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
ebrogan@omm.com
Respondent

Anna Fabish
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
afabish@omm.com
Respondent

Stephen McIntyre
O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
smcintyre@omm.com
Respondent

Rebecca Weinstein
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission

rweinstein@ftc.gov
Complaint

Garth Huston
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
ghuston@ftc.gov
Complaint

Lynnette Pelzer
Attorney