UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS: Edith Ramirez, Chairwoman Maureen K. Ohlhausen

Terrell McSweeny

In the Matter of

BEYOND COASTAL, a limited liability company.

DOCKET NO.

COMPLAINT

The Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Beyond Coastal, a limited liability company, has violated the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and it appearing to the Commission that this proceeding is in the public interest, alleges:

- 1. Respondent Beyond Coastal is a Utah limited liability company with its principal office or place of business at 2424 South 2570 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84119.
- 2. Respondent has advertised, labeled, offered for sale, sold, and distributed products to consumers, including Natural Sunscreen SPF 30. This sunscreen product is a "drug" within the meaning of Sections 12 and 15 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.
- 3. The acts and practices of Respondent alleged in this complaint have been in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.
- 4. Respondent advertises Natural Sunscreen SPF 30 on the Internet. This product retails for \$6.99.
- 5. Respondent has disseminated or has caused to be disseminated advertisements for Natural Sunscreen SPF 30, including but not necessarily limited to the attached Exhibit A.
 - A. Beyond Coastal's "Natural Sunscreen SPF 30" webpage states the product is a:

100% natural sunscreen

Exhibit A, Internet webpage www.beyondcoastal.com/category/natural-formulas/natural-sunscreen-spf-30 (September 2015).

Count I False Claim

- In connection with the advertising, labeling, promotion, offering for sale, or sale 6. of Natural Sunscreen SPF 30, Respondent has represented, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that the product is a "100% natural" sunscreen.
- 7. In fact, Sunscreen SPF 30 is not "100% natural" because it contains or contained the synthetic ingredients Dimethicone and Caprylyl Glycol. Therefore, the "100% natural" representation set forth in Paragraph 6 is false or misleading.

Violations of Sections 5(a) and 12	
8. The acts and practices of Respondent as alleged in this complaint constitute unfa or deceptive acts or practices, and the making of false advertisements, in or affecting commerce in violation of Sections 5(a) and 12 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.	
THEREFORE, the Federal Trade Commission this day of, 2016, ha issued this Complaint against Respondent.	ıs
By the Commission.	
Donald S. Clark Secretary	
SEAL:	