The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order ("Consent Agreement") from the National Association of Animal Breeders, Inc. ("NAAB"). NAAB is a trade association of cattle artificial insemination firms.

Dairy production in the United States is dependent on volume from more than 9.3 million cows, the market for which relies on services provided by NAAB member breeders. In 2008, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, with partial funding from the NAAB through a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement ("CRADA"), developed a new technology that is the best indicator of genetic merit of dairy bulls for use in artificial insemination in so far as yielding higher producing dairy cows. The Commission’s complaint ("Complaint") alleges that NAAB violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, by restraining competition among its regular members in the use of this new technology, which dampened competition in the market for dairy bulls used for semen production.

This matter reaffirms the longstanding rule that trade associations composed of members that compete among themselves, while typically serving important and procompetitive functions, must not adopt rules or regulations that unreasonably limit competition among their members. It also illustrates that industry groups that obtain valuable and unique technology from the government may not establish rules or regulations regarding that technology that unreasonably restrain competition.

The Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for 30 days for receipt of comments from interested members of the public. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will review the Consent Agreement and comments received, and decide whether it should withdraw, modify, or make the Consent Agreement final.

The Consent Agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by NAAB that it has violated the law as alleged in the Complaint or that the facts alleged in the Complaint, other than jurisdictional facts, are true.

The purpose of this Analysis to Aid Public Comment is to invite and facilitate public comment. It is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Agreement and the accompanying Proposed Order, or in any way modify their terms.

I. The Complaint

The Complaint makes the following allegations.

NAAB is a non-profit corporation with about 24 regular members that compete among themselves and with others in the business of collecting, processing, freezing, marketing or
selling dairy cattle semen for artificial insemination. NAAB’s members buy dairy bulls from
dairy farmers and breeders to produce semen for artificial insemination. NAAB members
together account for more than 90 percent of dairy cattle semen sales in the United States.

In September 2006, NAAB entered into a CRADA with the United States Department of
Agriculture (“USDA”) to cooperate with a USDA laboratory in a project for developing the
genomic testing technology described above. The CRADA granted NAAB exclusive access to
the results of the CRADA project until February 2013. The CRADA did not restrain in any way
the ability of NAAB or its members to use the new technology or to sell access to it, nor did it
authorize NAAB or its members to adopt rules that restrain in any way the ability of its members
to use the new technology or to sell access to it.

By April 2008, the USDA laboratory had developed the new technology, known as the
Genomic Predicted Transmitting Ability (“GPTA”), which analyzes the genetics of a dairy bull
to predict the ability of the bull to transmit commercially important traits, such as milk yield, to
its daughters. This new technology is superior to the traditional method of evaluating dairy bulls
for semen production, and it became the best indicator of a dairy bull’s commercial value for
transmitting genetic traits.

In October 2008, more than two years after entering into the CRADA, NAAB approved a
resolution that regulated its members’ access to the new technology during the exclusivity period
granted by the CRADA (through February 2013). NAAB acted as a combination of its members
when it approved the resolution.

The resolution required that for a NAAB member to obtain the GPTA of a dairy bull, the
Member had to have one of the following interests in the bull: (a) own the bull, (b) have an
agreement to purchase at least a 30 percent interest in the bull, (c) have a lease on the bull, or (d)
have an exclusive marketing agreement for the bull. The USDA laboratory was the only source
of GPTAs during the exclusivity period.

The Complaint alleges that NAAB’s resolution harmed competition by diminishing
competition for dairy bulls used for semen production. First, it impeded the development of a
market in which dairy farmers and breeders could pay NAAB members to obtain GPTAs for
their dairy bulls. Second, the resolution limited NAAB members from obtaining the GPTA of
bulls in which they did not already have a financial interest. Access to a bull’s GPTA prior to
buying or selling it would tend to increase competition and drive the price of the bull toward a
value that more accurately reflects its ability to yield higher producing dairy cows. After the
exclusivity period expired in February 2013, GPTAs became available for a fee through an
industry organization.

The Complaint alleges that the purpose, effect, tendency or capacity of the resolution was
to restrain competition unreasonably among NAAB’s Members, and that this conduct injured
dairy farmers and breeders by depriving them of the benefits of free and open competition.
Therefore, the resolution constitutes an unfair method of competition that violates Section 5 of
II. The Proposed Order

The Proposed Order has the following substantive provisions. Paragraph II requires NAAB to cease and desist from restraining the ability of its members to obtain, disclose, provide, use or sell any technology or information resulting from research projects conducted by, or pursuant to, an agreement to which NAAB is a party. The Proposed Order also prohibits NAAB from restraining price-related competition among its members relating to the sale or acquisition of bulls or bull semen.

A proviso to Paragraph II specifies that the Proposed Order does not prohibit NAAB from engaging in any conduct that is reasonably necessary to achieve procompetitive benefits or efficiencies relating to NAAB’s operation or to the operation of its members, provided that such benefits or efficiencies likely would offset the anticompetitive harms.

Paragraph III requires that, for five years, NAAB notify the Commission if it adopts or modifies any regulation that restrains the ability of its members to obtain disclose, provide, sell or use any technology or information resulting from any research project.

Paragraph V of the Proposed Order requires that NAAB implement an antitrust compliance program to ensure compliance with the Proposed Order and the antitrust laws.

Paragraphs IV and VI-VIII of the Proposed Order impose certain standard reporting and compliance requirements on NAAB.
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