Complaint Counsel’s Opposition to Respondent’s Motion to Disqualify Chairwoman Edith Ramirez from This Administrative Proceeding

Complaint Counsel submits this Opposition to Respondent LabMD, Inc.’s (“LabMD” or “Respondent”) Motion to Disqualify Chairwoman Edith Ramirez. Like other motions previously filed by Respondent in this matter, this filing makes baseless allegations in yet another attempt to distract from the central issues in this case by hurrying unsupported and unfounded allegations. Because Respondent utterly fails to meet the well-established test for disqualification, neither Chairwoman Ramirez nor the Commission should grant the relief sought.

To prevail on a disqualification motion brought under Rule 4.17, 16 C.F.R. § 4.17, Respondent must show that Chairwoman Ramirez has “adjudged the facts as well as the law” alleged in the Complaint. Cinderella Career & Finishing Schools, Inc. v. Fed. Trade Comm’n, 425 F.2d 583, 591 (D.C. Cir. 1970) (internal quotation omitted). Respondent must establish, with “affidavits and other information,” Rule 4.17(b)(1), 16 C.F.R. § 4.17(b)(1), that

Respondent fails to point to any evidence establishing that Chairwoman Ramirez has prejudged the law that the Commission will consider in this matter. Nor is there any evidence establishing that Chairwoman Ramirez’s mind is “irrevocably closed” as it relates to the facts alleged in the Complaint. By its own admission Respondent has failed to make such a showing, stating that it holds only a “reasonable suspicion” that Chairwoman Ramirez has prejudged the case. Resp’t Motion at 8 (“Ramirez Should Be Disqualified Because There Is A Reasonable Suspicion She Has Prejudged This Case”). Surely, Respondent’s bald assertion of a “reasonable suspicion” cannot support disqualification.

Further, there is no merit to Respondent’s baseless claim that the Commission’s decision-making process is “fatally tainted,” thereby warranting Chairwoman Ramirez’s disqualification. Resp’t Motion at 7 (“The Decisionmaking Process Is Fatally Tainted.”). All Respondent points to in support of this allegation are three letters addressed to Chairwoman Ramirez from the former Chairman of the House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform (OGR), as well as documents related to these letters. Far from showing that the agency decision-making process is “tainted,” the documents demonstrate the Commission’s cooperation with the OGR investigation, compliance with the Commission’s Rules of Practice governing administrative proceedings, including Rule 4.11(b), 16 C.F.R. § 4.11(b), and respect for the ongoing administrative litigation involving Respondent.
Accordingly, Chairwoman Ramírez and the Commission should deny Respondent’s Motion to Disqualify.
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