Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 1 of 21 Page ID #:1

ORIG	NAL		
1	JONATHAN E. NUECHTERLEIN General Counsel	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
3	DOTAN WEINMAN NY Bar No. 4398657, dweinman@ftc.go RHONDA PERKINS	V CENTRAL OF THE OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY	
4 5	VA Bar No. 75300, rperkins @ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, CC-8528		
6 7	NY Bar No. 4398657, dweinman@ftc.go RHONDA PERKINS VA Bar No. 75300, rperkins @ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, CC-8528 Washington, DC 20580 202-326-3049 (Weinman) 202-326-3222 (Perkins) 202-326-3395 (Fax)		
8	STACY R. PROCTER (Local Counsel) CA Bar No. 221078, sprocter@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700		
10 11	Los Angeles, CA 90024 310-824-4343 310-824-4380 (Fax)		
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION		
14		DISTRICT COURT	
15 16		CT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	VC755-01921-DDPATA	"/~)
18 19	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT	
20		INJUNCTION AND OTHER	
21	V.	EQUITABLE RELIEF	
22	FIRST TIME CREDIT SOLUTION, CORP., a California Corporation, also	LODGED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
23	doing business as FTC CREDIT	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
24	SOLUTIONS, 1st CONSUMER CREDIT USA, and DOCTOR de	MAR 1 6 2015	
25	CRÉDITO;	CENTRAL DATRICT OF CALLPORNIA	
26	GUILLERMO LEYES, individually		
27	and as an officer or manager of First		*
28	There are the Control of the Control		
20	Time Credit Solution, Corp.;		

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 2 of 21 Page ID #:2

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JONATHAN E. NUECHTERLEIN General Counsel DOTAN WEINMAN NY Bar No. 4398657, dweinman@ftc.gov RHONDA PERKINS VA Bar No. 75300, rperkins @ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, CC-8528 Washington, DC 20580 202-326-3049 (Weinman) 202-326-3222 (Perkins) 202-326-3395 (Fax) STACY R. PROCTER (Local Counsel) CA Bar No. 221078, sprocter@ftc.gov Federal Trade Commission 10877 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700
10 11	Los Angeles, CA 90024 310-824-4343 310-824-4380 (Fax)
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
14 15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	
17 18	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION, CVast 50 01921 - DDP PTWX)
19	Plaintiff, ) COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT
20	v. ) INJUNCTION AND OTHER ) EQUITABLE RELIEF
21 22	FIRST TIME CREDIT SOLUTION,
23 24	doing business as FTC CREDIT SOLUTIONS, 1st CONSUMER CREDIT USA, and DOCTOR de
25	CRÉDITO;
26	GUILLERMO LEYES, individually
27 28	and as an officer or manager of First Time Credit Solution, Corp.;

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP=PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 3 of 21 Page ID #:3

JIMENA PEREZ, individually and as an officer or manager of First Time Credit Solution, Corp.;

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MARIA BERNAL, individually and as an officer or manager of First Time Credit Solution, Corp.; and

FERMIN CAMPOS, individually and 7 as an officer or manager of First Time 8 Credit Solution, Corp.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission"), for its Complaint alleges:

13 1. The Commission brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b and 14 Section 410(b) of the Credit Repair Organizations Act ("CROA"), 15 U.S.C. § 15 16 1679h(b), to obtain temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, 17 18 disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other equitable relief for Defendants' acts 19 or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and 20 Section 404 of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of credit repair services.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), 1345, and under 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, and 1679h(b).

Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), and 3. under 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

#### SUMMARY OF THE CASE

Defendants prey on Spanish-speaking consumers who seek to modify 4.

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 4 of 21 Page ID #:4

burdensome debts or to improve low credit scores. They falsely pose as affiliates 1 or licensees of the federal government, namely the Federal Trade Commission, 2 claiming that these credentials enable them to remove negative information from 3 consumers' credit reports, eliminate debts, and guarantee consumers a credit score 4 5 of 700 or higher within six months or less. Defendants are bilking consumers on a daily basis, charging each victim approximately \$2,000 for their fraudulent 6 services. 7

#### **PLAINTIFF**

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

5. The Commission is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The Commission enforces 10 Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive 11 acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission also enforces Section 12 13 404(a) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(a), which prohibits the use of untrue or 14 misleading statements to induce the purchase of credit repair services, and Section 404(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(b), which prohibits credit service 15 organizations from charging or receiving money or other valuable consideration 16 for the performance of credit repair services before such services are fully 17 performed. 18

6. The Commission is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings by its own attorneys to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and CROA, and to secure such other equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A), 56(a)(2)(B), 57b and 1679h(b).

#### **DEFENDANTS**

7. Defendant First Time Credit Solution, Corp. ("FTC Credit") does 26 business as FTC Credit Solutions, 1st Consumer Credit USA, and Doctor De Credito. It is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 4255 28

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 5 of 21 Page ID #:5

E. Florence Avenue, Bell, California 90201. On its websites, including ftccreditsolutions.org, FTC Credit refers to the Florence Avenue office as the "main office," and also claims to have offices in San Francisco, New York, Dallas, Miami, and Chicago. FTC Credit transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

8. Defendant Guillermo Leyes is the Marketing Director of FTC Credit. He is or was, during the period relevant to this Complaint, a signatory for the company's bank account. Defendant Leyes has personally promoted the services of FTC Credit on the radio and on videos posted on the Internet, and his image is displayed prominently on company websites and in printed advertisements. At all 10 times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, Defendant 11 Leves has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or 12 participated in the acts and practices of FTC Credit, including the acts and 13 practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Leyes resides in this district and, 14 in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business 15 16 in this district and throughout the United States.

9. It appears that, on February 17, 2015, Defendant Leyes filed a 17 petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq., 18 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Case 19 No. 1:15-bk-10497-AA. 20

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(b)(4), the Commission's commencement 10. 21 and prosecution of this action against Defendant Leyes is excepted from the 22 automatic stay arising under 11 U.S.C. § 362(a), because it is an action brought by 23 the Commission to enforce its governmental police or regulatory power. 24

Defendant Jimena Perez is the Chief Executive Officer and Secretary 11. 25 of FTC Credit, and a Director of the company. She is or was, during the period 26 relevant to this Complaint, a signatory for the company's bank account. At all 27 times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has 28

formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the 1 acts and practices of FTC Credit, including the acts and practices set forth in this 2 Complaint. She resides in this district and, in connection with the matters alleged 3 herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the 4 United States. 5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. Defendant Maria Bernal is a General Manager and VP Sales Accountant at FTC Credit and personally markets the company's services to consumers. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, she has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of FTC Credit, including the acts and 10 practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Bernal resides in this district and, 11 in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business 12 in this district and throughout the United States. 13

Defendant Fermin Campos is the Chief Financial Officer of FTC 13. 14 Credit. He is or was, during the period relevant to this Complaint, a signatory for 15 the company's bank account. Defendant Campos is also the registrant for the 16 company's websites. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in 17 concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to 18 control, or participated in the acts and practices of FTC Credit, including the acts 19 and practices set forth in this Complaint. Defendant Campos resides in this district 20 and, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted 21 business in this district and throughout the United States. 22

#### **COMMERCE**

At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a 14. substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

**DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES** 

15. Since at least January 2013, Defendants have deceptively marketed,

advertised, promoted, offered to sell, and sold credit repair services to consumers,
 preying primarily on Spanish-speaking consumers with burdensome debts and
 troubled credit histories.

4 16. Defendants market their services through Internet websites –
5 including <u>ftccreditsolutions.org</u>, <u>ftccreditsolutions.com</u>, <u>drdecredito.com</u>, and
6 <u>doctordecredito.org</u>, printed advertisements, social media, and on the radio.

7 17. True and correct printouts from FTC Credit's websites,
8 <u>ftccreditsolutions.org</u> and <u>ftccreditsolutions.com</u>, are attached herein as
9 Attachment A.

18. A true and correct printout from FTC Credit's Facebook page is
attached herein as Attachment B.

12 19. In their advertising and in verbal communications with consumers,
13 Defendants represent that they are affiliated or licensed with the Commission,
14 while using the Commission's name and a seal that is substantially similar to the
15 Commission's official seal.

20. Defendants, however, are neither affiliated with nor licensed by the
Commission.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. Defendants offer credit repair services to consumers, representing that their purported affiliation with the Commission, among other false credentials, allows them to lawfully remove negative information – such as late payments, defaults, foreclosures and bankruptcies – from consumers' credit reports, even when such information is accurate and non-obsolete.

22. A credit repair service, however, cannot lawfully remove accurate and non-obsolete negative information from a consumer's credit report.

23. In addition to promising the lawful removal of negative information from credit reports, Defendants also "guarantee" consumers a credit score of 700 or more within six months or less, regardless of the consumer's current credit score or credit history.

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 8 of 21 Page ID #:8

24. For example, on February 12, 2015, Defendant Leyes made the following representations while advertising the company's services on the radio station KBLA 1580 am (translated from Spanish):

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fourteen years working in banking tells you that I can help you. I was the first to come here on the radio, bringing you what is called credit restructuring. And what many ask, how are we going to remove a bankruptcy? This is impossible. How are you going to remove it? They have had to hold their tongues and say, well, we don't know how he does it. And I am not going to tell them either. Because to do it I have not rested my brain, to do it I studied and to do it I have a license direct[ly] from the FTC, the Federal Trade Commission.

We will directly ask you, when you sit with Maria Bernal, or Maricarmen Caballero, or Jimena [Lopez] my daughter, to- directly ask the FTC to immediately send us your complete credit history, from the moment you had Social Security, Okay? Like that we use the good and the bad. In this way we will completely restructure your credit and in ... no more, sorry, than 90, maximum 120 days, you will come out with a score of 700, guaranteed in writing.

25. Likewise, on February 23, 2015, Defendant Bernal made the following representations during an undercover call with a Commission investigator posing as a consumer seeking to improve her credit (translated from Spanish):

DEFENDANT BERNAL: For those people who have gone bankrupt, like you, the bankruptcy has to be deleted and each of the accounts has to be put into a positive state, so that they don't keep on affecting you badly and so that you can reestablish your credit ...

INVESTIGATOR: Okay. And how, and how -- how do I get -- I mean,

how, how are they deleted? Sorry, that -- How do you delet--? DEFENDANT BERNAL: We work under -- No, no, no, no, no. Don't worry, this is one of the questions that I need ... to explain it to you. INVESTIGATOR: That's right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT BERNAL: Okay, look. We work under the Federal Trade Commission, which is a law that was signed by the President in 2010, so that all the negative, all the stains can be deleted. Last year around August, he signed a law to delete student loans ... and the hospital accounts, people always have them. We apply and use all of this. You won't have to do absolutely anything ... Look, let me explain something to you. We have --we have more than 7000 customers. You can check us out on our website. You can see all of this, all the peo[ple], there you can see the people to whom we have deleted, that we have deleted the bankruptcies for too... INVESTIGATOR: Okay, and how long more or less, more or less does this take to -- I mean, to, to, so that I can start to get?

BERNAL: It's a maximum of six months. That's the maximum, but there are people that have it completed in 60 to 90 days.

26. Defendants typically perform their credit repair services by drafting letters to creditors and the major consumer reporting agencies, Equifax, Experian and TransUnion ("dispute letters").

27. The dispute letters challenge the accuracy of negative information appearing on the credit reports of Defendants' customers.

28. The dispute letters often do not mention Defendants or indicate that Defendants drafted them. Instead, Defendants draft the letters in English to appear as if they were drafted by their customers.

26 29. The dispute letters often contain untruthful information, including
27 fabricated disputes of negative information on the credit reports of Defendants'
28 customers that is accurate or non-obsolete.

### **VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT**

Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or 31. deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."

Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute 32. deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

### **COUNT I**

### **Misrepresentations Regarding Affiliation with the Federal Trade Commission**

In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, 33. promotion, offering for sale, or sale of credit repair services, Defendants have 12 represented, expressly or by implication, that they are affiliated or licensed with 13 the Commission. 14

34. In truth and in fact, Defendants have never been affiliated or licensed 15 with the Commission. 16

35. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 33 of this Complaint are false and misleading and constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

#### **COUNT II**

## **Misrepresentations Regarding Removal of**

## **Negative Information from Consumers' Credit Reports**

In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, 36. promotion, offering for sale, or sale of credit repair services, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that they can lawfully remove negative information, including accurate and non-obsolete information, from consumers' credit reports.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In truth and in fact, in many of these instances, Defendants cannot 37.

lawfully remove negative information, including accurate and non-obsolete information, from consumers' credit reports.

38. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 36 of this Complaint are false and misleading and constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

## COUNT III

## **Misrepresentations Regarding Guaranteed Credit** Score of 700 or Higher within Six Months or Less

In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, 39. promotion, offering for sale, or sale of credit repair services, Defendants have represented, expressly or by implication, that they can guarantee consumers a credit score of 700 or higher within six months or less.

40. In truth and in fact, in many of these instances, Defendants cannot guarantee consumers a credit score of 700 or higher within six months or less.

41. Therefore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 39 of this Complaint are false and misleading and constitute a deceptive act or practice in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## VIOLATIONS OF THE CREDIT REPAIR ORGANIZATIONS ACT

Section 402(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679(b), explains that the 42. purposes of the CROA are:

(1) to ensure that prospective buyers of the services of credit repair organizations are provided with the information necessary to make an informed decision regarding the purchase of such services; and (2) to protect the public from unfair or deceptive advertising and business practices by credit repair organizations.

Section 404(a)(3) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(a)(3), provides that 43. 26 "[n]o person may ... make or use any untrue or misleading representation of the 27 services of the credit repair organization." 28

44. Section of 404(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(b), prohibits credit repair organizations from charging or receiving any money or other valuable consideration for the performance of any service which the credit repair organization has agreed to perform before such service is fully performed.

45. Defendants fall under the definition of "credit repair organization," as the term is defined in Section 403(3) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679a(3):

[A]ny person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails to sell, provide, or perform (or represent that such person can or will sell, provide, or perform) any service, in return for the payment of money or other valuable consideration, for the express or implied purpose of . . . improving any consumer's credit record, credit history, or credit rating.

46. Pursuant to Section 410(b)(1) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679h(b)(1), any violation of any requirement or prohibition of CROA constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice in commerce in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

47. Pursuant to Section 410(b)(2) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679h(b)(2), all functions and powers of the Commission under the FTC Act are available to the Commission to enforce compliance with CROA in the same manner as if the violation had been a violation of any Commission trade regulation rule.

#### COUNT IV

## Misrepresentations to Induce Consumers to Purchase Defendants' Credit Repair Services

48. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of services to consumers by a credit repair organization, as that term is defined in Section 403(3) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679a(3), Defendants have made untrue or misleading representations to consumers, including that Defendants:

a. are affiliated or licensed with the Commission;

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 13 of 21 Page ID #:13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

b. can lawfully remove negative information, including accurate and non-obsolete information, from consumers' credit reports; and

c. can guarantee consumers a credit score of 700 or above within six months or less.

49. Defendants' acts or practices described in Paragraph 48 of this Complaint violate Section 404(a)(3) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(a)(3).

## **COUNT V**

## **Charging and Collecting Fees for Credit**

**Repair Services before Such Services are Fully Performed** 

50. In numerous instances, in connection with the advertising, marketing, promotion, offering for sale, or sale of services to consumers by a credit repair organization, as that term is defined in Section 403(3) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679a(3), Defendants have charged or received money or other valuable consideration for the performance of credit repair services that Defendants have agreed to perform before such services were fully performed.

Defendants acts or practices described in Paragraph 50 of this 51. Complaint violate Section 404(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679b(b).

**CONSUMER INJURY** 

Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury 52. as a result of Defendants' violations of Sections 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a) and Sections 404(a) and 404(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1679b(a) & (b). In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

## THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, 53. 26 and Section 410(b) of CROA, 15. U.S.C. § 1679h(b), empower this Court to grant 27 injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

redress violations of the FTC Act and CROA. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the Commission.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the Commission, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, Section 410(b) of CROA, 15 U.S.C. § 1679h(b), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

Award the Commission such preliminary injunctive and ancillary 10 Α. relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the 11 pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, 12 13 including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions, an order freezing assets, immediate access, limited expedited discovery, and the 14 appointment of a receiver; 15

B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC 16 Act and CROA by Defendants; 17

Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to C. consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and CROA, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten gains by the Defendants: and

Award the Commission the costs of bringing this action, as well as D. such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

1	March 16, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
2		
3		JONATHAN E. NUECHTERLEIN General Counsel
4		General Counser
5		nd A
6		DOTAX WEINMAN
7 8		RHONDA PERKINS STACY R. PROCTER (Local Counsel)
o 9		Attorneys for Plaintiff
10		Federal Trade Commission
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28		
		14

Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 16 of 21 Page ID #:16

# **Attachment A**

#### Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1, Filed 03/16/15 Page 17 of 21 Page ID #:17 Credit Repair Consultant | Fi Improve Your Bad Credit Score LA Page 1 of 2



Attachment A 16

## Eredit Repair Consultant PF PJMpr Decyment at CFiller S23/16/A5 Page 18 of 21 Page P # 28





Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1

#### Case 2:15-cv-01921-DDP-PJW Document 1 Filed 03/16/15 Page 20 of 21 Page ID #:20

## **Attachment B**

