"PUBLIC"

592294

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

In the Matter of

BENCO DENTAL SUPPLY CO., a corporation,

HENRY SCHEIN, INC., a corporation, and

PATTERSON COMPANIES, INC., a corporation

Docket No. 937 ORIGINAL **PUBLIC** 

### NON-PARTY MICHIGAN DENTAL ASSOCIATION'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO SEEK IN CAMERA TREATMENT

Pursuant to Rule 3.21 of the Federal Trade Commission's Rules of Practice ("Rules of Practice"), 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b), non-party Michigan Dental Association (the "MDA") respectfully moves this Court for an Extension of Time to File a Motion for In Camera Treatment. On September 17, 2018, the Federal Trade Commission ("Complaint Counsel") notified the MDA that it intends to introduce materials produced by the MDA in a civil matter that were previously given confidential status ("Confidential Materials") into evidence during the trial of this matter. See Letter from the FTC dated September 17, 2018, attached as Exhibit A. Pursuant to the current scheduling order, motions for *in camera* treatment are due on September 26, 2018.

The MDA intends to file a Motion for *In Camera* Treatment for portions of the Confidential Materials, but is unable to do so before September 26, 2018. Counsel for the MDA experienced technical difficulties accessing the Confidential Materials, requiring the FTC to overnight it hard copies of the Confidential Materials. The MDA was only able to view the materials on September 20, 2018. See Letter from the FTC dated September 20, 2018, attached as Exhibit B. Further, the

"PUBLIC"

President of the MDA Insurance and Financial Group, Craig Start, has been travelling and unable

to review these materials to determine the extent of *in camera* treatment required.

Pursuant to 16 C.F.R. § 3.45, a Motion for *In Camera* Treatment must include a copy of

the documents for which in camera treatment is sought and an affidavit by a person qualified to

explain the confidential nature of the material. In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc., 2017 WL 1345290

(F.T.C.), Docket No. 9372 (Apr. 4, 2017). The MDA cannot comply with these requirements by

September 26 because it needs additional time to review over 200 pages of material.

For the reasons set forth above, the MDA respectfully requests an extension of seven (7)

days to file a Motion for In Camera Treatment.

Respectfully Submitted,

KERR, RUSSELL AND WEBER, PLC

By: /s/ Daniel Schulte

Daniel Schulte (P46929)

Katherine F. Cser (P79815)

Attorneys for non-party Michigan Dental

Association

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226

PH: (313) 961-0200

FAX: (313) 961-0388

dschulte@kerr-russell.com

kcser@kerr-russell.com

Dated: September 25, 2018

2

### STATEMENT REGARDING MEET AND CONFER

The undersigned certifies that counsel for non-party Michigan Dental Association ("MDA") notified counsel for the parties via telephone on September 24-25, 2018 that it would be filing a motion to extend the deadline for seeking *in camera* treatment of confidential documents. Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission, Benco Dental Supply Co., Henry Schein, Inc. and Patterson Companies, Inc. indicated that they would not object to MDA's motion.

### KERR, RUSSELL AND WEBER, PLC

By: /s/ Katherine F. Cser

Daniel Schulte (P46929)
Katherine F. Cser (P79815)
Attorneys for non-party Michigan Dental
Association

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2500

Detroit, MI 48226 PH: (313) 961-0200

FAX: (313) 961-0388

dschulte@kerr-russell.com kcser@kerr-russell.com

Dated: September 25, 2018

## EXHIBIT A



### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

September 17, 2018

### VIA EMAIL TRANSMISSION

MDA Insurance and Financial Group c/o Daniel J. Schulte, Esq. Kerr Russell 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 dschulte@kerr-russell.com

RE: In the Matter of Benco Dental Inc., et al., Docket No. 9379

Dear Mr. Schulte:

By this letter we are providing formal notice, pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 3.45(b), that Complaint Counsel intends to offer the documents and testimony referenced in the enclosed Attachment A into evidence in the administrative trial in the above-captioned matter. For your convenience, a copy of the documents and testimony will be sent to you in a separate email with an FTP link.

The administrative trial is scheduled to begin on October 16, 2018. All exhibits admitted into evidence become part of the public record unless Administrative Law Judge D. Michael Chappell grants *in camera* (*i.e.*, non-public/confidential) status.

For documents or testimony that include sensitive or confidential information that you do not want on the public record, you must file a motion seeking *in camera* status or other confidentiality protections pursuant to 16 C.F.R §§ 3.45 and 4.10(g). Judge Chappell may order that materials, whether admitted or rejected as evidence, be placed *in camera* only after finding that their public disclosure will likely result in a clearly-defined, serious injury to the person, partnership, or corporation requesting *in camera* treatment.

Motions for *in camera* treatment for evidence to be introduced at trial must meet the strict standards set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 3.45 and explained in *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re Jerk, LLC*, 2015 FTC LEXIS 39 (Feb. 23, 2015) and *In re Basic Research, Inc.*, 2006 FTC LEXIS 14 (Jan. 25, 2006). Motions also must be supported by a declaration or affidavit by a person qualified to explain the confidential nature of the material. *In re 1-800 Contacts, Inc.*, 2017 FTC LEXIS 55 (April 4, 2017); *In re North Texas Specialty Physicians*, 2004 FTC LEXIS 66 (Apr. 23, 2004). For your convenience, we included, as links in the cover email, an example of a third-party motion (and the accompanying declaration or affidavit) for *in camera* treatment that was filed and granted in an FTC administrative proceeding. If you choose to move for *in camera* treatment, you must provide a copy of the

document(s) for which you seek such treatment to the Administrative Law Judge. Also, you or your representative will need to file a Notice of Appearance in the administrative proceeding. For more information regarding filing documents in adjudicative proceedings, please see <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/faq/ftc-info/file-documents-adjudicative-proceedings">https://www.ftc.gov/faq/ftc-info/file-documents-adjudicative-proceedings</a>.

Please be aware that under the current Scheduling Order **the deadline for filing motions seeking** *in camera* **treatment is September 26, 2018**. A copy of the March 14, 2018 Scheduling Order can be found at <a href="https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases-proceedings/151-0190/bencoscheinpatterson-matter">https://www.ftc.gov/enforcement/cases-proceedings/151-0190/bencoscheinpatterson-matter</a>.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at 415-848-5190.

Sincerely,

Erika Wodinsky

Exitatiodinky

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Attachment



# Attachment A

Exhibit No.	Full Name	Date	BegBates	EndBates
	Deposition of Craig Start (Class Litig.) and the accompanying			
CX9069	exhibits	TBD	CX9069-001	CX9069-215

# EXHIBIT B



### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

September 20, 2018

### VIA EMAIL TRANSMISSION

Daniel J. Schulte, Esq. Kerr Russell 500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 dschulte@kerr-russell.com

RE: In the Matter of Benco Dental Inc., et al., Docket No. 9379

Dear Mr. Schulte:

Thank you for your email regarding the documents referenced in Attachment A to my letter of September 17 regarding MDA Insurance and Financial Group.

We will continue to try to find a satisfactory way to send the document to you electronically so that you will have a copy in electronic form. In the meantime, I am enclosing a paper copy of the document (Mr. Start's deposition with attachments).

Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions about the process of seeking *in camera* treatment, should you decide that such treatment is required. I can be reached at 415-848-5190.

Sincerely,

Erika Wodinsky

Epitalibdinky

Counsel Supporting the Complaint

Enclosure

### Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on September 25, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Non-Party Michigan Dental Association's Motion for Extention of Time, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on September 25, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Non-Party Michigan Dental Association's Motion for Extention of Time, upon:

Lin Kahn Attorney Federal Trade Commission lkahn@ftc.gov Complaint

Ronnie Solomon Attorney Federal Trade Commission rsolomon@ftc.gov Complaint

Matthew D. Gold Attorney Federal Trade Commission mgold@ftc.gov Complaint

John Wiegand Attorney Federal Trade Commission jwiegand@ftc.gov Complaint

Erika Wodinsky Attorney Federal Trade Commission Complaint

Boris Yankilovich Attorney Federal Trade Commission byankilovich@ftc.gov Complaint

Jeanine K. Balbach Attorney Federal Trade Commission jbalbach@ftc.gov Complaint Thomas H. Brock Attorney Federal Trade Commission TBrock@ftc.gov Complaint

Jasmine Rosner Attorney Federal Trade Commission jrosner@ftc.gov Complaint

Howard Scher Attorney Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC howard.scher@bipc.com Respondent

Kenneth Racowski Attorney Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC kenneth.racowski@bipc.com Respondent

Carrie Amezcua Attorney Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC carrie.amezcua@bipc.com Respondent

John McDonald Locke Lord LLP jpmcdonald@lockelord.com Respondent

Lauren Fincher Locke Lord LLP lfincher@lockelord.com Respondent

Colin Kass Proskauer Rose LLP ckass@proskauer.com Respondent

Adrian Fontecilla Associate Proskauer Rose LLP afontecilla@proskauer.com Respondent

Timothy Muris Sidley Austin LLP tmuris@sidley.com Respondent

Geoffrey D. Oliver Jones Day gdoliver@jonesday.com

### Respondent

Craig A. Waldman Partner Jones Day cwaldman@jonesday.com Respondent

Benjamin M. Craven Jones Day bcraven@jonesday.com Respondent

Ausra O. Deluard Jones Day adeluard@jonesday.com Respondent

Joseph Ostoyich Partner Baker Botts L.L.P. joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com Respondent

William Lavery Senior Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. william.lavery@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Andrew George Baker Botts L.L.P. andrew.george@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Jana Seidl Baker Botts L.L.P. jana.seidl@bakerbotts.com Respondent

Kristen Lloyd Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. Kristen.Lloyd@bakerbotts.com Respondent

James Long Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. jlong@briggs.com Respondent

Jay Schlosser Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. jschlosser@briggs.com Respondent

Scott Flaherty Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. sflaherty@briggs.com Respondent

Ruvin Jayasuriya Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. rjayasuriya@briggs.com Respondent

William Fitzsimmons Attorney Briggs and Morgan, P.A. wfitzsimmons@briggs.com Respondent

Hyun Yoon Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC eric.yoon@bipc.com Respondent

David Owyang Attorney Federal Trade Commission dowyang@ftc.gov Complaint

Karen Goff Attorney Federal Trade Commission kgoff@ftc.gov Complaint

Emily Burton Attorney Federal Trade Commission eburton@ftc.gov Complaint

Jessica Drake Attorney Federal Trade Commission jdrake@ftc.gov Complaint

Ashley Masters Attorney Federal Trade Commission amasters@ftc.gov Complaint

Terry Thomas Attorney Federal Trade Commission tthomas 1 @ ftc.gov Complaint

Danica Nobel Attorney Federal Trade Commission dnoble@ftc.gov Complaint

Mary Casale Attorney Federal Trade Commission mcasale@ftc.gov Complaint

Thomas Manning Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC Thomas.Manning@bipc.com Respondent

Sarah Lancaster Locke Lord LLP slancaster@lockelord.com Respondent

Owen Masters Associate Proskauer Rose LLP omasters@proskauer.com Respondent

Stephen Chuk Proskauer Rose LLP schuk@proskauer.com Respondent

Rucha Desai Associate Proskauer Rose LLP rdesai@proskauer.com Respondent

Jessica Moy Federal Trade Commission jmoy@ftc.gov Complaint

Thomas Dilickrath Federal Trade Commission tdilickrath@ftc.gov Complaint

Caroline L. Jones Associate Baker Botts L.L.P. caroline.jones@bakerbotts.com Respondent

David Munkittrick Proskauer Rose LLP dmunkittrick@proskauer.com Respondent

David Heck Proskauer Rose LLP dheck@proskauer.com

 $\frac{Katherine\ Cser}{Attorney}$