

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



ORIGINAL

_____)
In the Matter of)
)
Tronox Limited)
a corporation,)
)
National Industrialization Company)
(TASNEE))
a corporation,)
)
National Titanium Dioxide Company)
Limited (Cristal))
a corporation, and)
)
Cristal USA Inc.)
a corporation,)
)
Respondents.)
_____)

DOCKET NO. 9377

**ORDER ON NON-PARTY PPG INDUSTRIES'
SECOND MOTION FOR *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, non-party PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG") filed a motion seeking *in camera* treatment for one PPG document, offered for admission into evidence by Respondents at the administrative hearing in this matter. PPG seeks *in camera* treatment for RX2003 for a period of ten years. Neither Complaint Counsel nor Respondents have filed an opposition to this motion. The legal standards governing PPG's motion are set forth in the May 15, 2018 Order on Non-Parties' Motions for *In Camera* Treatment.

PPG supports its motion with a declaration from its director of raw material purchasing. The declaration describes in detail the confidential nature of the document, the competitive harm that PPG would suffer if this document was made publicly available, and the measures that PPG takes to ensure that the information contained therein remains confidential. The declaration explains that the document for which it seeks *in camera* treatment contains competitively sensitive information about PPG's purchase and use of

TiO₂ including its pricing, volume, and a draft memorandum of understanding with a TiO₂ supplier.

PPG has met its burden of demonstrating that this document is entitled to *in camera* treatment. *In camera* treatment for ten years, to expire on June 1, 2028, is GRANTED for RX2003.

ORDERED:

Dm Chappell

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: June 4, 2018

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on June 04, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Order on Non-Party PPG Industries' Second Motion for In Camera Treatment, with:

D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 110
Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Suite 172
Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on June 04, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Order on Non-Party PPG Industries' Second Motion for In Camera Treatment, upon:

Seth Wiener
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
seth.wiener@apks.com
Respondent

Matthew Shultz
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
matthew.shultz@apks.com
Respondent

Albert Teng
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
albert.teng@apks.com
Respondent

Michael Williams
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.williams@kirkland.com
Respondent

David Zott
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
dzott@kirkland.com
Respondent

Matt Reilly
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
matt.reilly@kirkland.com
Respondent

Andrew Pruitt
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
andrew.pruitt@kirkland.com
Respondent

Susan Davies
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
susan.davies@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael Becker
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
mbecker@kirkland.com
Respondent

Karen McCartan DeSantis
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
kdesantis@kirkland.com
Respondent

Megan Wold
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
megan.wold@kirkland.com
Respondent

Michael DeRita
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
michael.derita@kirkland.com
Respondent

Charles Loughlin
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cloughlin@ftc.gov
Complaint

Cem Akleman
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
cakleman@ftc.gov
Complaint

Thomas Brock
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
TBrock@ftc.gov
Complaint

Krishna Cerilli
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
kcerilli@ftc.gov
Complaint

Steven Dahm
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
sdahm@ftc.gov
Complaint

E. Eric Elmore
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eelmore@ftc.gov
Complaint

Sean Hughto
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission

shughto@ftc.gov
Complaint

Joonsuk Lee
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jlee4@ftc.gov
Complaint

Meredith Levert
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
mlevert@ftc.gov
Complaint

Jon Nathan
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jnathan@ftc.gov
Complaint

James Rhilinger
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
jrhilinger@ftc.gov
Complaint

Blake Risenmay
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
brisenmay@ftc.gov
Complaint

Kristian Rogers
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
krogers@ftc.gov
Complaint

Z. Lily Rudy
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
zrudy@ftc.gov
Complaint

Robert Tovsky
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
rtovsky@ftc.gov
Complaint

Dominic Vote
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
dvote@ftc.gov
Complaint

Cecelia Waldeck
Attorney

Federal Trade Commission
cwaldeck@ftc.gov
Complaint

Katherine Clemons
Associate
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
katherine.clemons@arnoldporter.com
Respondent

Eric D. Edmondson
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
eedmondson@ftc.gov
Complaint

David Morris
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
DMORRIS1@ftc.gov
Complaint

Zachary Avallone
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
zachary.avallone@kirkland.com
Respondent

Rohan Pai
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
rpai@ftc.gov
Complaint

Rachel Hansen
Associate
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
rachel.hansen@kirkland.com
Respondent

Peggy D. Bayer Femenella
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
pbayer@ftc.gov
Complaint

Grace Brier
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
grace.brier@kirkland.com
Respondent

Alicia Burns-Wright
Attorney
Federal Trade Commission
aburnswright@ftc.gov
Complaint

Lynnette Pelzer
Attorney