UNITED STATES O FEDERAL TRADE O OFFICE OF ADMINISTRA	COMMISSION 590933
In the Matter of	
Tronox Limited a corporation,	
National Industrialization Company (TASNEE) a corporation,))) DOCKET NO. 9377
National Titanium Dioxide Company Limited (Cristal) a corporation, and	
Cristal USA Inc. a corporation,	
Respondents.)

AL TRADE COM

ORDER ON RESPONDENT TRONOX'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION FOR IN CAMERA TREATMENT

I.

Pursuant to Rule 3.45(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and the Scheduling Order entered in this matter, Respondent Tronox Limited ("Tronox") filed a second supplemental motion for in camera treatment for materials that the parties have listed on their exhibit lists as materials that might be introduced at trial in this matter ("Second Supplemental Motion"). Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") Complaint Counsel does not oppose Tronox's Motion.

II.

By Order issued May 15, 2018, Tronox's initial motion for in camera treatment was granted ("May 15 Order"). In its Second Supplemental Motion, Tronox explains that it seeks in camera treatment for documents falling into two groups: (1) seven exhibits that are expert reports or expert deposition transcripts designated as exhibits by Complaint Counsel after Tronox filed its prior motions for *in camera* treatment; and (2) one transcript of a third-party deposition, where the third-party is a customer of Tronox who has not sought *in camera* treatment on its own behalf. For this deposition, Respondent states it has a confidentiality interest in the passages of the transcript that relate to Tronox's prices and seeks *in camera* treatment for only those passages. Tronox explains that each of the documents fall under the categories of documents for which it sought and received *in camera* treatment through its initial motion. The legal standards governing the Tronox's Second Supplemental Motion for *in camera* treatment are stated in the May 15 Order.

For the reasons set forth in the May 15 Order, Tronox's Second Supplemental Motion is GRANTED.

Tronox is hereby instructed to prepare a proposed order listing the documents that have been granted *in camera* treatment by expiration date and exhibit number.

ORDERED:

D. Michael Chappell

Chief Administrative Law Judge

Date: May 30, 2018

Notice of Electronic Service

I hereby certify that on May 30, 2018, I filed an electronic copy of the foregoing Order on Respondent Tronox's Second Supplemental Motion for In Camera Treatment, with:

D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 110 Washington, DC, 20580

Donald Clark 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 172 Washington, DC, 20580

I hereby certify that on May 30, 2018, I served via E-Service an electronic copy of the foregoing Order on Respondent Tronox's Second Supplemental Motion for In Camera Treatment, upon:

Seth Wiener Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP seth.wiener@apks.com Respondent

Matthew Shultz Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP matthew.shultz@apks.com Respondent

Albert Teng Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP albert.teng@apks.com Respondent

Michael Williams Kirkland & Ellis LLP michael.williams@kirkland.com Respondent

David Zott Kirkland & Ellis LLP dzott@kirkland.com Respondent

Matt Reilly Kirkland & Ellis LLP matt.reilly@kirkland.com Respondent

Andrew Pruitt Kirkland & Ellis LLP andrew.pruitt@kirkland.com Respondent

Susan Davies Kirkland & Ellis LLP susan.davies@kirkland.com Respondent Michael Becker Kirkland & Ellis LLP mbecker@kirkland.com Respondent

Karen McCartan DeSantis Kirkland & Ellis LLP kdesantis@kirkland.com Respondent

Megan Wold Kirkland & Ellis LLP megan.wold@kirkland.com Respondent

Michael DeRita Kirkland & Ellis LLP michael.derita@kirkland.com Respondent

Charles Loughlin Attorney Federal Trade Commission cloughlin@ftc.gov Complaint

Cem Akleman Attorney Federal Trade Commission cakleman@ftc.gov Complaint

Thomas Brock Attorney Federal Trade Commission TBrock@ftc.gov Complaint

Krisha Cerilli Attorney Federal Trade Commission kcerilli@ftc.gov Complaint

Steven Dahm Attorney Federal Trade Commission sdahm@ftc.gov Complaint

E. Eric Elmore Attorney Federal Trade Commission eelmore@ftc.gov Complaint

Sean Hughto Attorney Federal Trade Commission shughto@ftc.gov Complaint

Joonsuk Lee Attorney Federal Trade Commission jlee4@ftc.gov Complaint

Meredith Levert Attorney Federal Trade Commission mlevert@ftc.gov Complaint

Jon Nathan Attorney Federal Trade Commission jnathan@ftc.gov Complaint

James Rhilinger Attorney Federal Trade Commission jrhilinger@ftc.gov Complaint

Blake Risenmay Attorney Federal Trade Commission brisenmay@ftc.gov Complaint

Kristian Rogers Attorney Federal Trade Commission krogers@ftc.gov Complaint

Z. Lily Rudy Attorney Federal Trade Commission zrudy@ftc.gov Complaint

Robert Tovsky Attorney Federal Trade Commission rtovsky@ftc.gov Complaint

Dominic Vote Attorney Federal Trade Commission dvote@ftc.gov Complaint

Cecelia Waldeck Attorney Federal Trade Commission cwaldeck@ftc.gov Complaint

Katherine Clemons Associate Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP katherine.clemons@arnoldporter.com Respondent

Eric D. Edmondson Attorney Federal Trade Commission eedmondson@ftc.gov Complaint

David Morris Attorney Federal Trade Commission DMORRIS1@ftc.gov Complaint

Zachary Avallone Kirkland & Ellis LLP zachary.avallone@kirkland.com Respondent

Rohan Pai Attorney Federal Trade Commission rpai@ftc.gov Complaint

Rachel Hansen Associate Kirkland & Ellis LLP rachel.hansen@kirkland.com Respondent

Peggy D. Bayer Femenella Attorney Federal Trade Commission pbayer@ftc.gov Complaint

Grace Brier Kirkland & Ellis LLP grace.brier@kirkland.com Respondent

Alicia Burns-Wright Attorney Federal Trade Commission aburnswright@ftc.gov Complaint

Lynnette Pelzer Attorney