Dear Dr. Tooks:

The staffs of the Federal Trade Commission Office of Policy Planning, Bureau of Economics, and Bureau of Competition (collectively, “FTC staff”) appreciate the opportunity to respond to a request for public comments on the Commission on Dental Accreditation’s (“CODA”) proposed ACCREDITATION STANDARDS FOR DENTAL THERAPY EDUCATION PROGRAMS. The current version of the draft standards includes modifications based on comments from communities of interest, including FTC staff, submitted in response to the version circulated by CODA in 2013.

As the December 2013 FTC Staff Comment explained, FTC staff support CODA’s efforts to facilitate the creation of new dental therapy education programs and to expand the supply of dental therapists because these initiatives are likely to increase the output of basic dental services, enhance competition, reduce costs, and expand access to dental care. The FTC Staff Comment commended CODA’s proposed accreditation standards as an important first step in encouraging the development of a nationwide dental therapy profession, but also recommended several revisions to address portions of the standards that could have been interpreted to impede competition. With many of those concerns now addressed, we write to urge CODA to finalize and adopt proposed standards without unnecessary delay, so that the development of this emerging service model can proceed, and consumers may reap the likely benefits of increased competition.

As the FTC Staff Comment explained, categorical statements regarding a supervising dentist’s responsibility for diagnosis and treatment planning could limit the competitive benefits of an expansion of the supply of providers of basic dental services. In particular, these statements might discourage state-level legislation allowing dental therapists to conduct evaluations and formulate treatment plans in the absence of an on-site dentist, and also might hinder the development of education programs that would train dental therapists to perform those functions. The 2014 ACCREDITATION STANDARDS appear to have addressed this issue by deleting statements about supervision of dental therapists by dentists and a dentist’s
responsibility for diagnosis and treatment planning,\(^6\) and by acknowledging that a “dental therapist provides care with supervision at a level specified by the state dental practice act.”\(^7\)

The FTC Staff Comment also recommended that CODA consider developing accreditation standards for master’s or graduate level programs that train dental therapists to conduct oral evaluations and develop treatment plans with supervision consistent with state requirements.\(^8\) The proposed 2014 ACCREDITATION STANDARDS have the potential to support such graduate programs because they no longer specify that “graduates [will be] awarded a baccalaureate degree,”\(^9\) and because they require that an “educational program curriculum must include content at the level, depth, and scope required by the state[‘s]” dental board.\(^10\) In addition, by encouraging institutions to provide advanced standing and career laddering for students licensed in other dental professions such as dental hygiene or dental assisting,\(^11\) the proposed standards could enhance competition by increasing the number of dental therapists entering the field.

By moving forward expeditiously to adopt its proposed ACCREDITATION STANDARDS, CODA would be taking an important step to address the growing interest in training and licensing dental therapists to meet dental care needs. In addition to Minnesota’s legislation creating a licensure scheme for dental therapists and advanced dental therapists, Maine recently authorized the licensing of dental hygiene therapists.\(^12\) Like Minnesota’s legislation, Maine’s legislation anticipates that licensure of dental hygiene therapists will be based on an applicant’s completion of a CODA-accredited program, but provides for approval of education programs by the state’s Board of Dental Examiners in the interim.\(^13\) It is likely that more states will pass similar legislation; recently introduced bills in Kansas, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Vermont, and Washington would provide for licensing dental therapists.\(^14\)

The timely adoption of accreditation standards by CODA has the potential to enhance competition by supporting state legislation for the licensure of dental therapists, and also to encourage the development of dental therapy education programs consistent with a nationwide standard, which would facilitate the mobility of dental therapists from state to state to meet consumer demand for dental services. Conversely, any further delay in the adoption of accreditation standards could discourage and delay the development of education programs, reduce the availability of these new professionals, and hinder their ability to practice in different states. For these reasons, FTC staff urges CODA to move forward expeditiously to finalize and adopt ACCREDITATION STANDARDS for dental therapy education programs.

We appreciate your consideration of these issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew I. Gavil, Director
Office of Policy Planning
This letter expresses the views of the Federal Trade Commission’s Office of Policy Planning, Bureau of Economics, and Bureau of Competition. The letter does not necessarily represent the views of the Federal Trade Commission (“Commission” or “FTC”) or of any individual Commissioner. The Commission has, however, voted to authorize us to submit these comments.
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