The Hon. Paul J. Donato  
Massachusetts State Representative  
House of Representatives  
24 Beacon Street, Room 481  
Boston, Massachusetts 02133

Re: House Bill 1869 / Senate Bill 1329

Dear Representative Donato:

The staffs of the Federal Trade Commission’s Office of Policy Planning, Bureau of Competition, and Bureau of Economics appreciate this opportunity to respond to your invitation for comments on the likely competitive impact on House Bill 1869 (HB1869) and Senate Bill 1329 (SB1329) (collectively “the legislation”). The legislation would update current Massachusetts statutory language to allow podiatrists to treat not just the foot, but also the ankle, or lower leg.

For reasons explained below, FTC staff support the procompetitive goals of the legislative proposal. Allowing health care professionals to provide additional services that are within the scope of their training should yield procompetitive benefits for Massachusetts’s health care consumers, which may include lower costs, shorter wait times for appointments, and increased access to lower leg health care across the state. As the General Court of Massachusetts considers the legislation, we urge legislators to avoid restrictions on podiatrists that are not narrowly tailored to address well-founded patient safety concerns.

I. Interest and Experience of the FTC

The FTC is charged with enforcing Section 5 of the FTC Act, which prohibits unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. Competition is at the core of America’s economy and vigorous competition among sellers in an open marketplace provides consumers the benefits of lower prices, higher quality products and services, more choices, and greater innovation. Competition is also essential to ensuring workers a competitive marketplace for their labor.
Because of the importance of health care competition to the economy and consumer welfare, competition in health care markets has long been a focus of FTC's law enforcement, research, and advocacy activities. FTC staff have not previously submitted comments specifically addressing podiatrists and their scope of practice; however, based on our extensive study of competitive dynamics in health care services markets, we know that regulatory schemes that permit health care professionals to practice to the full extent of their training can lead to substantial benefits to competition and consumers.

II. Background

Podiatrists (DPMs) and allopathic physicians (MDs) follow similar, but slightly different educational paths. A podiatrist’s education and training typically requires four years of college followed by four years of podiatric medical college. At an accredited podiatric medical college, a student will first need to show competency and demonstrated knowledge of pre-clinical sciences including the human anatomy, physiology, molecular biology, and the biochemical structure and function of the human body and its organ systems. Knowledge of diseases, pharmacological principles and interventions, microbes, and the immune system is also required. During clinical training, the podiatry curriculum increasingly specializes and focuses on the lower-extremity anatomy. This specialization is unlike the clinical training of an MD candidate who continues to study the entire body. Upon graduation from an accredited podiatric medical college, the graduate receives a Doctor of Podiatric Medicine degree.

After receiving a DPM degree, podiatrists enter a postgraduate residency program. Residencies take place in hospitals and provide both medical and surgical experience with a focus on the lower extremities—in particular, the foot, ankle, and their governing and related structures. During their three-year residency training, residents must log a minimum amount of patient care and procedural activities. After residency podiatrists may complete additional training in specific fellowship areas and become board certified.

From 2018 to 2028, the number of practicing podiatrists in the United States is projected to grow six percent. Predictions for growth in demand for medical and surgical foot and ankle care stem, at least in part, from the United States’ aging population and increases in chronic conditions, such as diabetes and obesity that typically lead to mobility and foot-related problems. Diabetes is a chronic medical condition that affects 30.3 million people in America. One prevalent complication of diabetes is diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs), which have been shown to increase a patient’s risk of hospitalization, amputation, and death by up to 85 percent. Studies indicate that treatment by a podiatrist of DFUs can significantly reduce lower extremity amputations and health care costs.

The majority of states treat the ankle as part of a podiatry practice. Forty-seven states and the District of Columbia include both the foot and ankle (or lower leg) in their scope of practice for podiatric physicians. In addition, the Department of Veterans Affairs
recently updated responsibilities for the provision of foot and ankle care in ways that reinforce a broader scope of practice for podiatrists. Veterans Health Administration (VHA) facilities now include podiatric medical and surgical services in the medical benefits package. This change permits licensed podiatrists to provide health care services (including surgery) involving the lower leg and foot to all veterans who are enrolled in VHA health care, so long as the provision of such services is consistent with state law. The recently enacted VA MISSION Act of 2018 added podiatrists to the physician pay band. This legislation ensures that within the VA system DPMs are paid on the same scale as MD and osteopathic practitioners.

III. Current Massachusetts Law and Regulation Regarding Podiatrists and Proposed Changes

Massachusetts House Bill 1869 and Senate Bill 1329, both titled “An Act Relative to Podiatry,” propose to amend the statutory definition of podiatry. Massachusetts General Law Chapter 112, Section 13(a) currently employs the following definition: “Podiatry as used in this chapter shall mean the diagnosis and the treatment of the structures of the human foot by medical, mechanical, surgical, manipulative and electrical means ….” The legislation would amend the definition of “podiatry” to “mean the diagnosis and treatment, by medical, mechanical, electrical or surgical means, of ailments of the human foot and lower leg.”

Massachusetts Board of Registration in Podiatry (the Board) regulations already define the practice of podiatry to include treatment of the foot and ankle. Thus, the definitions in the statute and regulation are presently inconsistent. Confusion about the permissible scope of practice of podiatry due to such inconsistency may potentially impede podiatrists from practicing to the full extent of their training.

With the proposed legislation, Massachusetts’s statutes and regulations relating to podiatry would more closely mirror each other. This should remove confusion caused by the inconsistency between the statute and regulations, and it should give greater clarity to hospitals, third party and private party payers about the appropriate scope of practice for podiatrists. It should also help patients in Massachusetts better understand what treatments and care are available to them and may avert unnecessary duplicate visits to different professionals and associated fees.

IV. Competitive Considerations Regarding House Bill 1869 and Senate Bill 1329

FTC staff recognize that certain professional scope-of-practice restrictions may be necessary to protect patients. Consistent with patient safety, however, we have urged legislators and regulators to consider whether removing unnecessary practice restrictions may promote competition and benefit health care consumers including patients. We respectfully suggest that the Massachusetts legislature consider doing so with respect to the podiatry legislation.
The proposed legislation would allow podiatrists to practice to the full extent of their education, training, and abilities. This change should promote health care competition by expanding the supply of licensed and qualified providers of needed services for treating the lower leg, to the likely benefit of Massachusetts’s health care consumers. By allowing podiatrists to practice within the full scope of their training, patients will enjoy a greater supply of qualified health care providers, which likely will lead to more accessible, affordable, safe and effective health care. By expanding the supply of licensed and qualified practitioners, the legislation may help lower prices for Massachusetts health care consumers, including patients themselves as well as both public and private third-party payers. The supply expansion should also increase access to lower-leg health care services, particularly among those in rural and other medically underserved areas that are disproportionately affected by provider shortages. The legislation would also create more consistency between the statute and related Board regulations.

Legislators should carefully consider any purported safety justifications for restricting podiatrists from working within their scope of training. In doing so, staff respectfully encourages the legislature to evaluate:

- Whether pertinent evidence exists to maintain the scope-of-practice restriction;
- Whether purposed health and safety justifications are well founded; and
- If there are legitimate safety concerns, whether less restrictive alternatives would protect patients without imposing undue burdens on competition and undue limits on patients’ access to health care services.

Any restrictions on competition that are implemented should be no broader than necessary to address legitimate subjects of regulation, such as patient safety, and narrowly crafted to minimize any potential anticompetitive impacts.

V. Conclusion

Absent evidence of demonstrable health or safety risks associated with a clarification of podiatrists’ scope of practice in Massachusetts to include the ankle and
lower leg, FTC staff supports the procompetitive goals of the proposed legislation and recommends that the Massachusetts General Court consider the potential competitive advantages for Massachusetts health care consumers.

Respectfully submitted,

Bilal Sayyed, Director
Office of Policy Planning

Ian Conner, Deputy Director
Bureau of Competition

Bruce H. Kobayashi, Director
Bureau of Economics

---
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