

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ROBOCALLS: ALL THE RAGE  
AN FTC SUMMIT

Thursday, October 18, 2012

9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

United States Federal Trade Commission  
Conference Center  
600 New Jersey Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, D.C. 20001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 Welcome:

3 Jon Leibowitz, Chairman.....4

4

5 The Network:

6 Steven Bellovin.....12

7 Henning Schulzrinne.....17

8

9 The Industry:

10 Roberto Anguizola, Moderator.....43

11

12 The Law:

13 Lois Greisman, Moderator.....75

14

15 Caller ID Spoofing And Call Authentication Technology:

16 Kati Daffan, Moderator.....119

17

18 Data Mining and Anomaly Detection:

19 David Belanger.....181

20

21 Call-Blocking Technology:

22 Bikram Bandy, Moderator .....199

23

24 Announcement:

25 David Vladeck.....249

## 1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 - - - - -

## 3 WELCOME

4 MS. DAFFAN: We can get started now. Thank  
5 you all for your patience. I am thrilled to be kicking  
6 off this meeting today. Sorry it took us a little  
7 while to get going, but we are all very excited that  
8 you're here and that you're listening on the Webcast,  
9 if that's where you are.

10 I have to start off, unfortunately, with a  
11 few administrative things. For those of you who are  
12 here in person, you got a nametag when you came in.  
13 You should keep that on you at all times because that's  
14 what indicates to security that you're authorized to be  
15 here.

16 If you leave the building, when you come back  
17 in you'll have to go through security again, just so  
18 you know. And the other thing that we always have to  
19 say is that if there's some issue and the building is  
20 evacuated, we all go across New Jersey Avenue together  
21 to the Georgetown Law School campus and we stand there.

22 Okay. So the other thing is questions.  
23 Everyone who is here in the room with us, if you picked  
24 up a folder when you came in, there are little cards in  
25 there where you can write your questions. When you

1 have a question for a particular panel member -- and  
2 all of our panels will be open to questions afterwards  
3 -- then you just hold up the card and someone will come  
4 and pick it from you and bring it up to the moderator.

5 You should know that this whole event is  
6 being live-Tweeted, and you can submit your questions  
7 by Tweet or by Facebook, or by email. And all the  
8 instructions for that are on the Webcast page.

9 So finally, without further ado, I am very  
10 excited to be introducing the chairman of the Federal  
11 Trade Commission, Jon Leibowitz. The bios for all of  
12 our speakers are in your materials. So we're not going  
13 to spend a lot of time on introductions. But suffice  
14 it to say, the Chairman is an absolutely tireless  
15 advocate for the rights of consumers, including all of  
16 us who have received illegal robocalls. Thank you  
17 very much for being here.

18 CHAIRMAN LEIBOWITZ: Thank you for doing the  
19 housekeeping this morning, Kati. Let me just thank all  
20 of you for being here. It is a terrific crowd. This  
21 is the first annual FTC Summit Meeting on Robocalls.  
22 We're exceedingly glad that all of you are here,  
23 whether in person or via the web or via phone dial-in  
24 now, right? Yes.

25 At the FTC, we pride ourselves on the fact

1       that we take a multi-faceted approach to consumer  
2       protection issues that includes enforcement, education,  
3       policy, and advocacy. Today's summit is a living  
4       example of what we mean. Here you are, distinguished  
5       technologists, telecommunications experts, and law  
6       enforcers, all sitting together in one room to help  
7       brainstorm on ways to stop the onslaught, and it is an  
8       onslaught, of the wave of robocalls.

9               Now, everyone here knows that robocalls are  
10       intrusive and disruptive because probably all of us in  
11       this room have experienced it. That's bad enough. But  
12       by deceptively pitching phony products and services  
13       such as debt reduction programs and mortgage  
14       modification scams, these bottom feeders are not only  
15       disturbing our peace, our homes and violating what  
16       Justice Louis Brandeis called our right to be let alone  
17       -- Louis Brandeis, by the way, along with Woodrow  
18       Wilson, were to be the architects of the creation of  
19       the Commission -- but they are also stealing our money.

20               (Whereupon, a phone rings.)

21               CHAIRMAN LEIBOWITZ: Who's calling?

22               (Whereupon, an audio was played.)

23               CHAIRMAN LEIBOWITZ: Does that voice sound  
24       familiar to any of you in the audience?

25               Raise your hands, actually, if you've got the

1 call from Rachel. Yeah, I have too.

2 Well, let me tell you this Rachel, as the  
3 subject of more than 200,000 complaints to the FTC  
4 every month, it is a major source of anger and  
5 irritation across the country. You are now Public  
6 Enemy Number 1. We can't see her face, but we know  
7 she's a bad human being.

8 And just look at some of these tweets. Can  
9 we scroll some of the tweets? You'll understand why  
10 this summit is called Robocalls: All the Rage. I'll  
11 just read a few of them.

12 "There is a special place in hell for Rachel  
13 from Cardholder Services." Would I really go to jail  
14 if I found and murdered Rachel from Cardholder  
15 Services?" I'm not so sure about this because in the  
16 United States we have something called laws.

17 We even get old school U.S. Postal mail  
18 complaining about robocalls, and we get a lot of it. I  
19 got a letter from a man in Michigan who called  
20 robocalls, and I quote, "Malevolent predators" that are  
21 "clearly prowling among the unsuspecting for  
22 opportunities to trick them out of money." He closed  
23 his letter by asking us to, "please put your best  
24 investigators on this and protect the American people  
25 from such evil-doers." And that's exactly what we have

1       been trying to do here at the FTC.

2               We sue Rachel multiple times, as well as her  
3       chipper co-workers, like Heather from Cardholder  
4       Services, Stacey from Cardholder Services. In fact, we  
5       have brought more than a dozen cases targeting either  
6       robocalls, taking action against 42 companies and 24  
7       individuals. And we have stopped billions, literally  
8       billions of illegal robocalls.

9               Spoiler alert: We have more cases in the  
10       pipeline, just stay tuned for the next couple of weeks.  
11       You can look forward to continued aggressive law  
12       enforcement from the FTC, as well as from our state and  
13       federal agencies that are here today.

14              With that said, we know law enforcement alone  
15       can't stop the robocalls. And that's why all of us are  
16       here today to take a deeper look. We'll start with  
17       some history. What is it about the infrastructure of  
18       the telecommunications system that has enabled the  
19       growth of illegal robocalls in such a short time?

20              With the experts as our guides, we'll see the  
21       technological changes that have boosted the bandwidth  
22       for VoIP, exponentially, bringing, of course,  
23       tremendous benefits to consumers. At the same time,  
24       they've been able to have voice blasting technology to  
25       flourish at bargain basement prices.

1           We'll talk about the dramatically growing  
2     problem of back office violations from India. You  
3     know, it has been nearly 10 years since the FTC  
4     spearheaded and implemented the National Do Not Call  
5     Registry. Today, there are more than 217 million --  
6     217 million phone numbers that are on the registry  
7     today. And there is no question that our efforts have  
8     significantly reduced the number of unwanted  
9     telemarketing calls people are getting from legitimate  
10    marketers who honor the system and recognize the  
11    importance of respecting consumer choice.

12           We also know how much American consumers  
13    value the Do Not Call system, as well as how much is  
14    valued by Dave Barry, the American humorist who called  
15    Do Not Call the most effective government program since  
16    the Elvis stamp. I'm not going to laugh at my jokes.

17           But let's be honest, the telecommunications  
18    infrastructure, like so many other core ecosystems, was  
19    not developed with an eye towards fighting crime.  
20    Alexander Graham Bell did not especially focus on  
21    telemarketing fraud, let alone caller ID spoofing, when  
22    he invented the phone. Still, we are sure the  
23    technology, used creatively and thoughtfully, can help  
24    us stem the tide of telemarketing abuse and misuse.

25           Today's agenda is ambitious. It is engaging



1 and it is provocative. Robocallers are becoming  
2 increasingly creative in perpetuating their scams and  
3 we need your help; that is, the help of everyone here  
4 in the room today, to develop creative solutions to  
5 catch and outwit the perpetrators.

6           Nothing, nothing is off the table.  
7 Technological approaches to locate and shut down boiler  
8 rooms, tougher penalties and jail time, creative ideas  
9 from the public at large, and there will be more on  
10 that with a special announcement later today. Really,  
11 anything that will help us retain our peace and quiet  
12 in our homes.

13           So thank you all for attending. Now I have  
14 the honor of introducing our first two panelists, who  
15 are both equally distinguished, yet eerily similar.  
16 Why don't you guys come on up. I'll explain it.

17           First, let me introduce the FTC's new Chief  
18 Technologist, Steve Bellovin. He joins us on leave  
19 from Columbia University, where he is a sought-after  
20 professor of computer science. Steve has spent many  
21 years at AT&T Bell Laboratories doing his graduate  
22 research for both an M.S. and Ph.D. in computer science  
23 from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

24           Steve helped create Netnews. And if that  
25 isn't enough, Steve holds a number of patents on

1 cryptographic and network protocols. We are incredibly  
2 grateful that you are on our side, not theirs. For  
3 these and many more reasons it has just been great to  
4 have you as our first -- as our second chief  
5 technologist for FTC.

6 Next, I'd like to introduce Henning  
7 Schulzrinne. I hope I pronounced it properly. The  
8 Levi Professor of Computer Science at yes, Columbia  
9 University, and the FTC's chief technologist.

10 Henning also worked at AT&T Bell Laboratories  
11 before joining the computer science and electrical  
12 engineering departments at Columbia University. So I  
13 think you can sense the common theme here, Columbia  
14 University and AT&T Bell Labs have really developed  
15 wonderful technologists who also are committed to  
16 public service. Branching out on his own, Henning co-  
17 developed the internet standards that are used in  
18 internet and multimedia applications, including RTP,  
19 RTSP, and SIP.

20 So we have here two of the foremost thinkers  
21 in public policy and government about technology. The  
22 FTC and the FCC's chief technologists working together  
23 on behalf of consumers, thinking creatively about ways  
24 to stop illegal robocalls and to track down the  
25 perpetrators.

1                   Please join me in welcoming the first two  
2 panelists. Thank you.

3                   (Applause.)

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 1 THE NETWORK

2 MR. BELLOVIN: Thanks, John. I'm going to  
3 talk about the history of the telephone system. If you  
4 go way back, you couldn't really make very many calls  
5 or make them very quickly since every call involved  
6 interacting. Do you remember Lily Tomlin's Ernestine  
7 character? Someone was sitting there with a switch,  
8 were pulling out wires and plugging them in. You knew  
9 who was calling.

10 If nothing else, you traced the wire and you  
11 could probably go ask the operator, "Who was that who  
12 just called me?" You didn't have to go through  
13 elaborate mechanisms to trace back who's doing things.

14 You know, we even had little iPhones, at  
15 least phones in shapes of "I." But if you look  
16 closely, you notice that this is actually a pay phone,  
17 this little box off to the right where you deposited  
18 nickels when the operator told you to. It wasn't  
19 exactly automated, but it made a sound that the  
20 operator would recognize.

21 Why a sound? Because the phone network  
22 carried sound, not data. So we didn't really have  
23 sophisticated end systems and we didn't have  
24 sophisticated computing devices. This mechanical  
25 calculator was probably state-of-the-art around 1950 or

1 so and persisted into the mid-'60s. I actually played  
2 with a very similar one when I was in high school. No  
3 electronics in there. Period. Wasn't going to make  
4 any phone calls. But even way back when there was  
5 science involved.

6           What you see in front of you is a picture of  
7 a so-called central office. An early central office  
8 phone -- which this particular one was built in 1923 --  
9 if you look very carefully, down at the bottom, you'll  
10 see there really was still a few probe wires making  
11 old-fashioned manual switchboard calls, but you'll also  
12 see that even the candlestick phone there has a dial on  
13 it. We moved ahead to the dial era.

14           Now, the dial era goes back, actually, 25  
15 years before the panel switch was invented and was  
16 called the Strowger switch. Rumor or legend has it  
17 that Strowger, who, as we know, was an undertaker,  
18 invented the automatic phone switch for reasons of  
19 competition. His competitors wanted the local phone  
20 operator, when someone very aggrieved called and picked  
21 up the phone, asked the operator to connect me to the  
22 undertaker. Guess who got all the business? So he  
23 sort of invented his way out of the problem,  
24 competition problem.

25           But also, the volume of phone calls was

1 getting too high for purely manual call processing. It  
2 just wasn't going to stand. So we started getting  
3 abuse even very early on. This is a pen register.  
4 Reel paper tape was an associated gadgetry, going back  
5 to the 1920s. A pen register is a device for recording  
6 what phones are calling, what phone numbers a  
7 particular phone is dialing.

8           Again, this is a time of dial age, back when  
9 you were dealing with manual operators. You would ask  
10 the operator, "Who just called me?" But by the 1920s  
11 when most calls were dialed, you already needed a  
12 mechanical gadget to keep track of who was calling  
13 whom. Why do you need it? Because there was already  
14 abuse going on by the 1920s.

15           We also saw the start of data communications.  
16 Here is a picture of a telephone. This one is vintage  
17 1963, but the practical goes back to about the 1920s or  
18 even earlier. Keyboard apprentices started to send  
19 data bits over wires. There was also a paper tape  
20 reader that you could prepare your message offline on  
21 paper tape that loaded in and sent it much faster than  
22 any person could type.

23           We already see increase of speed to amplify  
24 human capabilities there. Of course, it was still  
25 sending sounds, again, because that was what the phone

1 network could handle.

2           So when we look at the phone network what we  
3 see is telephone handsets, whether it's modern ones or  
4 old fashioned-candlestick phones, and a variety of  
5 different phone switches, ranging from manual  
6 switchboards to very modern electronic switching  
7 systems to complete the calls. But initially, it was a  
8 wire from every phone to the central office: one  
9 phone, one wire pair.

10           The central offices became automatic. We  
11 have trunks between the central offices to connect  
12 them. When you make a call, your central office  
13 contacts the receiving central office, possibly routing  
14 through intermediate switches along the way that  
15 connects you to the number you wish to call,  
16 fundamentally, though, copper wire paths between each  
17 pair of phones that's talking. Even way back when, it  
18 was more complicated than that.

19           Think of that, even that very manual  
20 switchboard, it could be used within an office, and,  
21 yes, it was a pair of wires from every phone in that  
22 office to the switchboard, but many fewer pairs of  
23 wires out to the phone network as a whole. So you  
24 already have lost the end-to-end relationship between  
25 one physical wire from a phone, going out to the phone

1 network. Today we call it PBXs.

2 We also find evolution the way calls are set  
3 up. Way back when -- well, we have several data  
4 signaling paths and the voice path. The call setup is  
5 I want to call this number and it went along the same  
6 pairs of wires that were going to be used to handle the  
7 actual voice call.

8 By late 1960s, fraud was afflicting that  
9 technique and there was desire for more capabilities.  
10 So they moved the signaling path away from the voice  
11 path. A separate data network was used to set up the  
12 calls, even contacts to help board service for things  
13 like 800 number look-ups and all the other modern  
14 features that we love. You know all those lovely voice  
15 menus? Those were the phone networks of the phone  
16 company. But you're going to see a lot more complexity  
17 in there.

18 We also have seen tremendous change in the  
19 economics of phone system. Underwater phone cables had  
20 very limited capacity and that was true until the late  
21 '80s when the first underwater fiber was laid down.  
22 When I worked for IBM in the late '60s, to place a  
23 transatlantic phone call you had to book it in advance  
24 with the operator.

25 Calls were very, very expensive,



1 internationally. You couldn't make them cheaply, even  
2 international. Even domestic long distance was very  
3 expensive. Many of you in the room still remember:  
4 call in the evening. The farther you call, the more  
5 expensive it is. Gee, what a great thing.

6 But the phone network has changed a lot. It  
7 is no longer one phone, one wire pair. We don't have  
8 just simple paths. We have complex data flows from  
9 both the voice path and the signaling. Signaling is  
10 not the same as the voice path anymore. It's with data  
11 path, not just a voice path. Distance and location are  
12 no longer particularly important.

13 There's a whole separate problem of mobile  
14 phones that I haven't even gotten into. Endpoints are  
15 no longer just phones. It's a much more -- you know,  
16 this is not only not my grandfather's phone network;  
17 it's not even the phone network that I grew up with.  
18 It's very different. We've moved over to the Voice  
19 over IP age, which Henning will talk about.

20 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Are we taking questions  
21 now?

22 MS. DAFFAN: We'll wait.

23 MR. SCHULZRINNE: We'll wait. Okay. So  
24 adding on to Steve's introduction to how we got here,  
25 let me try to discuss a little bit as to what makes the

1 problem so challenging.

2 As was mentioned in the introduction, there  
3 has been this tremendous decrease in cost and increase  
4 in capability in the past, I would say, 10 years. But  
5 we have seen nothing yet. Much of the telephone system  
6 that we have in our homes, if we still have landlines,  
7 are indeed, haven't really changed all that much, but  
8 there is now movement for fundamentally, dramatically  
9 replacing the whole infrastructure to the kind of  
10 technology that Steve was alluding to.

11 Thus, we are at a cusp of an even more  
12 dramatic transition that we've seen. We have the  
13 technology which is now available primarily in the  
14 corporate environment and will also become the  
15 technology of choice in the consumer role.

16 What I want to do in the next few minutes is  
17 to go through some of the challenges that we are  
18 facing, going forward. And why some of the solutions  
19 that we might think about as obvious solutions to solve  
20 the robocalling problem are unlikely to work and we  
21 have to be far more creative.

22 But as I will also try to point out, because  
23 of our transition, this is a unique opportunity before  
24 the telephone system has made that transition to build  
25 in security and consumer protection into the network,

1 going forward. So this is very opportune time to think  
2 about these issues before we have, again, a new legacy  
3 problem, except with new technology.

4 So briefly, I want to look at the telephone  
5 world with the eyes of a robocaller, what has really  
6 made this opportunity so enticing. Steve already  
7 alluded to some of those aspects. I will try to go  
8 into a little bit more detail.

9 A reaction when I talk to people about  
10 robocalling and a slightly related problem, SMS spam,  
11 as well, various companies provide email services have  
12 at least made email spam more available. It's still a  
13 nuisance, but we can deal with it and it has decreased,  
14 if anything, in volume. Why can't we just use the same  
15 technologies to deal with robocalls?

16 I'll try to address what could consumers, as  
17 individuals, do. I'll give a punch line, but  
18 unfortunately, not a whole lot. Given that, is what  
19 can we collectively, as industry, as policymakers, as  
20 technology developers do so that consumers have a  
21 fighting chance to deal with robocalls or law  
22 enforcement does as well.

23 Let us walk through in a little bit more  
24 detail into the ecosystem that now enables, as a  
25 combination of technologies, the modern robocall. We

1 have now, essentially, three actors that may well be  
2 one company or one organization, or in many cases, for  
3 both technical, let's just say law enforcement reasons.  
4 There are different entities that have created a whole  
5 economic environment to enable robocalls, selling  
6 services to each other.

7           So there clearly is the telemarketer  
8 themselves that actually wants to sell goods or  
9 services, however worthless they may be. Then there is  
10 an entity on the left, the qualifier, that actually  
11 picks out the marks for that particular service or  
12 advise customers to make sure that there actually are  
13 real people as opposed to machines of various sorts.

14           They, in turn, are fed by auto dialers that  
15 simply obtain lists of numbers, maybe just randomly  
16 dialed, or lists of particular qualifications, say  
17 seniors or others that may list people that have  
18 financial difficulties, whatever the case may be, that  
19 are then passed on to be qualified.

20           In particular, that allows to minimize the  
21 cost, the labor cost to the telemarketer because by the  
22 time the call reaches a live human agent, with some  
23 approximation named Rachel and you already have  
24 somebody who is not an answering machine or somebody  
25 who has already been qualified, to some extent, that

1 they're willing to at least listen to the pitch.

2 Those entities then leverage the ability to  
3 access Voice over IP services. The two advantages that  
4 they offer are distance and insensitivity. You can be  
5 anywhere in particular outside the jurisdiction where  
6 you might not face prosecution and you can do that at a  
7 very low cost.

8 So even if the success rate of calls is very  
9 low, you still have a viable business model, which is  
10 indeed very similar to the spam model. Even if only  
11 one in a million spam messages yields a supplement  
12 sale, you still can make some money out of that. The  
13 same is not true for telephone services.

14 As Steve pointed out, that business model  
15 just didn't work if you had to pay a few dollars, even  
16 for the initial few seconds of the call. And in  
17 particular, as I will try to explain in more detail  
18 shortly, VoIP makes it much easier to hide the true  
19 identity of the call and insert caller identity  
20 information of somebody else, either to obscure your  
21 origin with no particular intent to hide behind  
22 somebody else simply for all calls to appear to come  
23 from different numbers so that you cannot block those  
24 easily.

25 Or even more nefariously, pretend to be an

1 organization that you trust, such as a bank, a  
2 government agency, Social Security Administration, a  
3 doctor's office, or other entity where the call person  
4 is more likely to both pick up the phone and believe,  
5 at least initially, the sales pitch.

6           Then these variety of telephone carriers that  
7 often have a very tenuous relationship with each other  
8 in the sense that the first one may not know who the  
9 last one is through various schemes, such as leased  
10 call routing. That is currently used where there is a  
11 much more complicated business relationship between  
12 entities, compared to what it used to be 10 or 20 years  
13 ago when you had a local exchange carrier, a long  
14 distance carrier, and another local exchange carrier  
15 and all of those carriers were Fortune 100 companies  
16 and were well known. Now you have thousands of small  
17 companies all over the world.

18           Indeed, the ability to distribute the  
19 infrastructure now allows these entities to be  
20 virtually anywhere. There are no special language  
21 skills necessary to do that. The technology is  
22 universal and uniform and standardized. So  
23 essentially, anywhere you can have internet  
24 connectivity, you can, indeed, build up a viable  
25 business, providing services to other parts of the

1       robocall infrastructure.

2                Again, this is not surprisingly similar to  
3       what we've seen in email where we all know that certain  
4       countries seem to have a major export item in lost  
5       inheritances and bank accounts.

6                Let's look at the transition. Let's look at  
7       the call flow that we have in more detail. So we have  
8       a generated lead list that provides information, as  
9       well as there is money flow shown here on this graphic.  
10       So we have a number of suppliers and components: the  
11       lead list and the sale voice recording services so that  
12       they can be used to record responses.

13               You don't know that you need until very late  
14       in the marketing game that you need a live person, so  
15       you get somebody who sounds traditionally similar to  
16       one. You need a provider of spoofed caller IDs. That  
17       is, has access to numbers and the ability to identify  
18       numbers that are not likely to be blocked.

19               You also have an interesting component here  
20       that most of us are not familiar with, namely, the  
21       entity or number of database providers that map  
22       telephone numbers to names which is called CNAM  
23       providers. That is, a number of database providers  
24       that at some point take a 10-digit telephone number in  
25       the U.S. and provide the name, typically provided by

1 the customer to other entities in the chain.

2 They also have a money relationship in the  
3 sense that they get paid for that service. This, by  
4 the way, has all kinds of other fraud potential. For  
5 example, that database can be used to uncloak numbers  
6 who do not wish to reveal his or her identity.

7 And finally, the consumer's phone carrier  
8 receives the call, often unwittingly, but they do have  
9 somewhat of a financial incentive because they are  
10 often paid for terminating those calls.

11 In summary, we have three key components that  
12 make robocalling particularly attractive now and  
13 increasingly so; normally with cheap transport in  
14 switching, the ability to spoof numbers, and because of  
15 the ability to move internationally, to use cheap labor  
16 where labor is necessary. Much of it, obviously, can  
17 be automated. Those three things are what make  
18 robocalling much more scalable than the old boiler room  
19 ever was.

20 There is also a law enforcement problem. I'm  
21 not quite sure this is the best analogy, but you can  
22 think of a relative distribution of capability between  
23 the bad guys and the sheriff in town as one between the  
24 one who has a printing press and stamp out illegal  
25 materials and the sheriff who has to issue and fax



1 individual subpoenas one carrier at a time, laboriously  
2 and manually tracing back the call to some origin in a  
3 place that they may not reach.

4           Here, currently, this is not just a consumer  
5 problem, but it is also a law enforcement problem in  
6 the sense that the automation has been all on the side  
7 of the bad guys. And law enforcement, because of  
8 necessity and history and lack of coordination, in some  
9 cases, operate in the analog world, often literally.  
10 That also makes it much more difficult to put a stop to  
11 it.

12           An important facet that has changed that  
13 makes the problem much harder, both from the consumer  
14 perspective and a law enforcement perspective, is that  
15 in the old world, as Steve pointed out, you had one  
16 device, one number and there was just no way that the  
17 customer could even change what that number was. There  
18 was no setting at the bottom of that black telephone  
19 where you could set your own number.

20           There was a small number of physically  
21 present local exchange carriers that had facilities  
22 that you could identify. In the Voice over IP world,  
23 you have programmable devices that could set their own  
24 number. You have a number of entities that essentially  
25 blurred the distinction between customer equipment, as

1 Steve mentioned, Private Branch Exchange, PBXs, and  
2 public switches. They are now essentially the same  
3 software. So that a carrier can no longer know whether  
4 somebody is a customer who is only entitled to use a  
5 small number of assigned telephone numbers or is a  
6 wholesaler that actually serves a number of other  
7 providers and can obviously transport any number.

8 So you only need one bad apple or one company  
9 that is less than interested in resolving these issues  
10 and you have a problem that nobody down the chain can  
11 know whether this is a legitimate call number or not.

12 Let's look at email for a moment. We've had,  
13 and still to some extent, a spam problem and, indeed,  
14 the vast majority of email that you never see, indeed,  
15 still spam. But we have at least used a number of  
16 techniques to greatly reduce the amount of spam that  
17 reaches consumers.

18 We have, unfortunately, many of these  
19 techniques are currently not applicable to robocalls.  
20 While some of those provides lessons, others,  
21 unfortunately, not quite as extensible to that space.

22 The name space that we have for email is  
23 essentially infinite. You can have any name, any  
24 combination. So guessing email addresses is much  
25 harder, compared to phone numbers where there is a

1 fairly small supply. You can, indeed, dial every  
2 single phone number in the U.S. You can't dial every  
3 possible email address; you generally have to find it  
4 somewhere that it is public. That protects a fair  
5 number of people that don't have publically available  
6 email addresses.

7           Particularly important is that an email, most  
8 of the spam filters inspect content and look for  
9 telltale signs, maybe combinations of inheritance,  
10 money, account number, and who knows what else, and  
11 various body parts that one might want to extend. That  
12 is less possible in phone calls.

13           We don't want somebody to monitor our calls  
14 and, indeed, it would not really be possible because by  
15 the time the call has reached you, most of the damage -  
16 - in terms of my dinner being interrupted -- has  
17 already been done, so content inspection is not a  
18 viable option.

19           We have an email, two addresses that we can  
20 use for filtering. The network layer address, the IP  
21 address, and the email address. The email address is  
22 just like the telephone number, relatively easily  
23 spoofable. It has become harder now, but it is still  
24 something that bad actors can spoof.

25           The IP address, however, at least one of the

1 delivery vehicle along the path is not spoofable  
2 because you need to be able to send the return packet  
3 back to that address. So many of the more successful  
4 techniques to block an email spam based on IP address  
5 filtering, which allows you to exclude entities that  
6 are never supposed to email to begin with.

7 Phone numbers, as I said, are relatively  
8 easily spoofable now and you don't have that luxury.  
9 The delivery that we have in email is filtered by all  
10 kinds of providers. Your email provider as well as  
11 possibly third parties. You have the black list. You  
12 have spam blockers. You have standards. I guess PF  
13 and DCAM, which provide some level of attribution of  
14 email addresses, to choose certain origins.

15 However, in the phone world we have, and for  
16 very good reasons, the opposite. There is a strong  
17 preference, to put it mildly, that if you get a phone  
18 call, you better deliver it, regardless of whether you  
19 have suspicion that it may not actually be a desired  
20 call by the recipient. You can't block phone calls  
21 intentionally. That would get you into deep trouble  
22 with my agency.

23 We have delivery traces in email. They're  
24 not always completely true, but can be partially fake,  
25 but at least the good guy part of the path, we know

1 where the email came from. That option helps in  
2 identifying sources of email.

3 In phone calls, currently, tracing back calls  
4 provided by a provider is essentially manual, which  
5 makes it not scalable. We can automate-dial on a  
6 number of calls to see where they are coming from. We  
7 can do that for Voice over IP calls, but that's only  
8 something we're starting to do. Unfortunately, with  
9 technology and border control, it was often obscure  
10 about it.

11 In email, we have limited-use addresses. You  
12 can give addresses out to certain individuals that  
13 you'd rather not be stamped and you can make up  
14 addresses. For example, many providers allow you to  
15 claim addresses, your name, plus some tag that only you  
16 know and you only give out to certain individuals, and  
17 that a) tells you that this is somebody that you  
18 personally contacted and, b) that if somebody unwanted  
19 used that address, you know where it leaked. You know  
20 which mailing list or which webpage got that number to  
21 somebody you didn't want to. That's certainly  
22 currently not feasible.

23 We can, in email -- although that has its own  
24 issue -- use a consent-based system and capture a type  
25 of system where you have to type in some scribbly

1 things on the screen to show proof that you're human.  
2 That's really not feasible in the telephone system, at  
3 least as currently constituted.

4 What can consumers do? Unfortunately -- and  
5 I won't walk you through all of these options. You can  
6 do that easily for your own amusement, but there's not  
7 much you can do because the basic problem is you don't  
8 know where the call really came from. It will always  
9 come from a different telephone number the next time  
10 same Rachel calls. If you press whatever button they  
11 offer to actually get out of it, what it means really  
12 is you've just qualified yourself even more so for the  
13 next call.

14 About the only viable option that you do have  
15 and the consumers do have is to file a complaint with  
16 donotcall.gov because that at least provides more data  
17 and more input to law enforcement and other mechanisms  
18 that might have problems.

19 What can we do in the future going forward?  
20 As I said, we are part of a major transition. Many of  
21 us have worked in the industry, essentially, replacing  
22 vestiges of the existing analog and circuit switch  
23 system with an all IP public switch telephone number.

24 The first thing we need -- and we'll get  
25 into that later during the day -- is trustable phone

1 number. We simply have to have the ability, when I get  
2 a phone number, that I have to know whether that number  
3 is verified or not.

4 Indeed, if you go back on the web, initially,  
5 eCommerce could only take off what you had, web pages  
6 that were encrypted and authenticated, either by lock  
7 or green in bar indication. They're not perfect, but  
8 certainly we would have an even larger problem today if  
9 we didn't have those cryptographic validations.

10 Both black lists and white lists, depending  
11 on trustable numbers, as well as the ability of third  
12 parties that I, as a consumer, trust to filter calls,  
13 relies on a trusted number because otherwise, everybody  
14 and anybody can just use numbers that I likely will  
15 have to include and accidentally block important phone  
16 calls.

17 We can do that. And I won't go through the  
18 technical aspects here, but the mechanisms are there  
19 for tracing calls in the Voice over IP environment,  
20 much better than they are in the existing legacy  
21 circuit switch environment where basically you don't  
22 have visibility into a network beyond your previous hub  
23 that delivered the call to you as a provider. Now we  
24 can actually do that.

25 We can trace, if we encourage and enforce

1 that, the ability to get calls all the way back to your  
2 original Voice over IP. And, indeed, one could  
3 envision automating the process of legally obtaining  
4 trace-back information for authorized -- with an  
5 authorized subpoena that is essentially routed back to  
6 the call origin, all automatic with cryptographic  
7 validation. That would even the scales between the bad  
8 guys that automate and the law enforcement that is  
9 operating in a manual capacity.

10 Let me conclude that we have a situation that  
11 VoIP currently gives all of the advantages that the  
12 consumers enjoy to mainly low cost and distance  
13 insensitivity, programmable features, all to help  
14 robocallers possibly even more so.

15 We currently have, unfortunately, very  
16 limited consumer remedies because of the limited  
17 vantage point that consumers have and the information  
18 that they have doesn't really allow them to block or  
19 deal with numbers that robocallers dial from.

20 We have difficulties in law enforcement  
21 because we are operating in a manual law enforcement  
22 world, but targets that move, that shift around, using  
23 ever-shifting set of characters and suppliers and are  
24 often transnational. Thus, going forward, I believe we  
25 need to address both facets.



1           We need to have a much better ability of all  
2 parties, providers, third parties that provide  
3 consumer-oriented services, as well as the consumers  
4 themselves to have access to trustable telephone  
5 numbers and we need to have the ability of law  
6 enforcement with much less effort to reach back to the  
7 entities that actually perpetrate robocalls.

8           MS. DAFFAN: So we can take questions now.  
9 If you have questions here in the audience, you can  
10 raise up your little card. Questions from the internet  
11 should be coming up to me.

12           The first question is focusing on what gives  
13 you hope that we can deal with this illegal robocall  
14 situation. And a subset of that is that some consumers  
15 trust their landlines and are sticking with them for  
16 right now. So I was wondering, is there anything that  
17 gives you hope that we can find a solution that will  
18 work for those people in shorter term while also  
19 thinking about these security by design issues that you  
20 mentioned?

21           MR. BELLOVIN: I'll start with the second  
22 part of this with people wanting to stick with  
23 landlines. No one is going to flash cut the phone  
24 system overnight from today's PSTN, Public Switch  
25 Telephone Network, to a pure Voice over IP packet-based

1 network. It's going to evolve and a lot of the changes  
2 will be initially at the back end.

3 Your phone switch, you basically retain your  
4 landline, but your local company's phone switch will be  
5 replaced by the Voice over IP switch that's already  
6 happening, with the cryptographical authentication that  
7 Henning was talking about. To trace it back means that  
8 the caller ID display that you get will be far more  
9 reliable, far more trustworthy and then you will have  
10 far more ability to trace it back even if you don't do  
11 anything.

12 As you upgrade, you can get more information  
13 delivered directly to board and have services, but a  
14 lot of the black desk telephones made in the 1920s and  
15 the 1930s still work on today's telephone networks.  
16 Remarkable. It won't be true for tremendously much  
17 longer, but it will be true for a fair number of years  
18 more. Yeah, a lot of the change will happen where you  
19 don't have to worry about it.

20 MR. SCHULZRINNE: I think in the -- first of  
21 all, I should say that whether landline or cell phone,  
22 you're just as likely to be a victim of robocalls.  
23 Unfortunately, that in and of itself, clearly does not  
24 protect you. But there is some hope beyond the items  
25 Steve mentioned in the sense that for reasons

1 completely unrelated to robocalls, the Federal  
2 Communications Commission recently has mandated cell  
3 phone carriers to do a much better job of passing on  
4 valid signaling and numbering information.

5           This has to do with what's known as  
6 intercarrier compensation and the Universal Service  
7 Fund, among other reasons, but that may well also be  
8 helpful, in some circumstances, to provide more  
9 traceable information, even in the existing system  
10 simply because many of the smaller actors, generally,  
11 for a variety of reasons -- unconnected to today's  
12 topic -- had incentives to hide the originating  
13 telephone numbers along the way, now have other  
14 reasons, beyond robocalls, to stop doing that to  
15 deliver better information, so that may help somewhere  
16 in the near term.

17           In the longer term, I don't think we're  
18 talking a decade here, but we have the opportunity to  
19 do much better on the back end side of the system, but  
20 we need to tackle that quickly before there is another  
21 legacy problem.

22           One thing that I've learned is if you don't  
23 build that in when you have a chance, and there's  
24 always a reason -- we see that in the intercarrier  
25 compensation regime -- that you say well, we have this

1 equipment and we can no longer change it. It's too  
2 expensive. The manufacturer no longer exists. We  
3 can't upgrade it. We need to do that before we get  
4 into that situation.

5 MS. DAFFAN: Can you say a little bit more  
6 about how we build it in? What are the steps that we  
7 can take to do that?

8 MR. SCHULZRINNE: So in general, I believe we  
9 need to have a -- it's a two-part problem. Right now  
10 you have no ability. The good guys have no ability to  
11 prove that they're the legitimate holders of telephone  
12 numbers. We can do that with Web addresses. Anybody  
13 here has registered a domain name with a certificate  
14 for their organization? I would suspect a few people  
15 have. It's something that you can do commercially.

16 You can go to a provider with relatively  
17 little effort and you can get a registered Web address.  
18 Now, is the security level secure? It keeps out many  
19 of the bad guys in the sense of pretending to own a  
20 domain name and don't. We can't do the same thing  
21 today with telephone numbers.

22 We are trying to get to a model as part of a  
23 process at the FCC to see if we can get to a model  
24 where entities that are entitled to telephone numbers  
25 have a means of proving that to the upstream and

1 downstream entities when they place a call. That  
2 requires a number of cryptographic mechanisms that are  
3 available in the protocols but have not been widely  
4 deployed at the moment. This requires industry  
5 cooperation.

6 MR. BELLOVIN: There are more securing  
7 mechanisms that have been designed for Voice over IP  
8 that have not yet been widely used, but it could be one  
9 reason that they will come into some use. Unlike the  
10 email, phone companies like to get paid for the  
11 service.

12 So if you're running a Voice over IP company,  
13 you want to make sure that you are getting paid. You  
14 know, just knowing who made a call alone is not enough  
15 unless they are trying to impersonate somebody well  
16 known, like the Social Security Administration.

17 I get lots of phone calls from people I've  
18 never heard of, whether it's authentic or if this  
19 number is being spoofed, it makes no difference. It's  
20 someone I've never heard of. Yes, even from countries  
21 that seem to export bank accounts. But the phone  
22 company wants to get paid. And there are privacy-  
23 preserving cryptographic techniques that will let you  
24 trace it back, with certainty, to the originating phone  
25 company and say hey, you're responsible for this. Stop

1 it. Much better than what you can do with email today.

2 MS. DAFFAN: Good. I have two questions here  
3 that deal with challenges and I'll tell you how both of  
4 them might relate to each other. One is how do you  
5 protect consumers against telemarketing robocalls while  
6 allowing automatic informational calls consumers want  
7 and need, such as school closings, fraud alerts, flight  
8 changes, package delivery?

9 And a different question in an era of  
10 authentication and trace-back, how do you ensure  
11 legitimate consumer and civil privacy?

12 MR. BELLOVIN: Well, the second part, as I  
13 said, there are cryptographic mechanisms that can be  
14 used. I don't dare go into the details right now, but  
15 you can think of the caller's phone number as being in  
16 a sealed envelope and it's only unsealed with the  
17 appropriate court order, possibly even using  
18 information not even known to the phone companies  
19 themselves.

20 Different mechanisms can be used. I have to  
21 get three different parties to agree to unseal this in  
22 order to do it. It's not going to help with the  
23 totalitarian regime. It will help in a place where  
24 there is no illegal robocalls.

25 MR. SCHULZRINNE: To address the first one is

1 actually a very important part. Unless we stop illegal  
2 robocalls, all of the desirable and necessary means of  
3 mass notification will also fall by the wayside because  
4 people will no longer pick up the phone when they don't  
5 recognize the number, or we will end up with filtering  
6 techniques and we'll have a very difficult time  
7 distinguishing between the mass but legitimate call,  
8 such as a school closing call or other reverse 9-1-1  
9 type of systems that have become very popular in life  
10 saving, and the Cardholder Services calls.

11 MR. BELLOVIN: One more point on that. In  
12 security, the way you implement authentication, like  
13 your password and your authorization, what you're  
14 allowed to do once you've proven your identity, the  
15 issue of a legitimate robocaller is authorization.  
16 They are allowed to make these calls.

17 You can get agencies registering with the FTC  
18 or the FCC and say I wish to be qualified to make these  
19 calls under the following set of rules, et cetera, et  
20 cetera, and they will get credentials and will say to  
21 the telephone network that they're qualified and these  
22 can be revoked if they were violating the laws or  
23 regulations. So this can be done.

24 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Once you can identify, you  
25 can thinking of bonding and all kinds of other

1 techniques that we have, both from the private and the  
2 public side.

3 You can imagine if you have your own  
4 filtering type of service that a third party provides  
5 and they would, as has happened, have been terribly  
6 successful in some cases for email that bears  
7 legitimate mass senders who are identifiable and  
8 conform to agreed upon codes of conduct.

9 I can, as a consumer, can then decide which  
10 ones of those I want to do. Also, it is much easier  
11 than when I sign up for these types of services because  
12 often what I do in many cases, you know, when you think  
13 of the airline or the school district, you often sign  
14 up for these alerts ahead of time. You can then  
15 implicitly add those, despite mechanical things  
16 happening in the background, to a white list.

17 Even without the government dimension, there  
18 might be ways to facilitate such as white listing, as  
19 long as the parties play along and as long as you have  
20 a trustable authentication.

21 MS. DAFFAN: This is a question that we  
22 received in similar form from two different people.  
23 Can you elaborate as to why a consumer receives more  
24 robocalls if they press 1 or another number, to try to  
25 determine the identity of a robocaller?



1           MR. SCHULZRINNE: I'm guessing. Maybe there  
2 is a robocall psychologist in the room here, but my  
3 guess would be that they have found, generally  
4 speaking, something that indicates that the person is  
5 a) a real person as opposed to some answering machine  
6 or maybe an office or something. And maybe somebody  
7 who is actually naive enough to believe that it makes a  
8 difference. That may be a qualifying characteristic as  
9 well.

10           I don't know if anybody has published a study  
11 on why that is, but the general anticipation is that it  
12 indicates that we are much more willing to actually  
13 listen to those messages to the end as opposed to  
14 hanging up when Rachel introduces herself.

15           MS. DAFFAN: Great. We have a couple of  
16 questions from in the room and from email that relate  
17 very much to other panels that are coming up in the  
18 day. So I'm going to hold those questions for the  
19 moderators of those panels.

20           The last question is will the PowerPoint  
21 slides be made available after today? The answer to  
22 that is "Yes." All of the PowerPoint slides will be  
23 posted online, so you can have access to them. Some of  
24 those info graphics that Professor Schulzrinne used  
25 will be available for people who are in the room today.

1 They are outside on the table.

2 So with that, I'm going to turn it over to  
3 our next panel. First of all, let me just thank the  
4 chief technology officers. I will now turn it over or  
5 my colleague, Robert Anguizola, to introduce the next  
6 panel.

7 (Applause.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 1 THE INDUSTRY

2 MR. ANGUIZOLA: You guys can come on up.

3 Good morning. I'm Robert Anguizola with the FTC  
4 Division of Marketing Practices. In case you don't  
5 know, our division handles the policy work and  
6 enforcement around the Do Not Call list and the TSR  
7 provisions that prohibit illegal robocalls.

8 It's my pleasure this morning to introduce  
9 our industry panel. These are representatives of the  
10 telecommunications industry that have been kind enough  
11 to share their challenges dealing with robocalls.  
12 Hopefully, they'll also be able to provide us some  
13 ideas for a path forward.

14 Our first panelist is Kevin Rupy. He is the  
15 senior director of policy for USTelecom. USTelecom,  
16 for those that are not familiar is the Broadband  
17 Association. It is the premier trade association  
18 representing service providers and suppliers for the  
19 telecom industry.

20 Next to him is David Diggs, vice president of  
21 wireless internet development for CTIA. That is the  
22 Wireless Association, and he represents the wireless  
23 communications industry.

24 And our third panelist is Brad Herrmann.  
25 He's founder and president of Call-Em-All. Call-Em-All

1 is a company that offers automated dialing services.  
2 So we have someone who is actually responsible for  
3 placing some robocalls, and he is going to talk about  
4 how some are legitimate and hopefully his company is  
5 not making any of the illegal calls.

6 Without further ado, I present our panelists.  
7 Thank you.

8 MR. RUPY: Okay. Thank you, Roberto, for  
9 that introduction. Thank you, everyone, for being here  
10 today. I will just open up with a few points. I'm  
11 Kevin Rupy with USTelecom. I just want to mention four  
12 things. I want to thank the FTC for having this  
13 important panel today and we are thrilled to be a part  
14 of it.

15 Number two, we completely understand consumer  
16 frustration and concern on this issue. Our members are  
17 fully aware of it and they are sympathetic to it.

18 Number three, similarly, as much as this is an issue  
19 for consumers, it's an issue for our members as well  
20 because these robocalls do, indeed, have an adverse  
21 impact on our company's networks.

22 Fourth and finally, USTelecom and its members  
23 have been working on addressing robocall issues through  
24 various working groups. We will continue to do so and  
25 we look forward to working with the FTC on this in the

1 future. Three points, what I'm going to talk about  
2 today, just sort of how the network has changed; what  
3 robocalls are; and what carriers are doing to address  
4 the issue.

5 I don't think that we should be surprised  
6 that on the previous panel two gentlemen who are  
7 technologists, doctorates, and former engineers with  
8 AT&T did a really great job of describing the circuit  
9 switch network.

10 So they covered a lot of ground and I'll sort  
11 of tee it up by talking about where we've come from and  
12 where we're going. As was discussed, the voice network  
13 has transitioned from the circuit switch voice network  
14 to a broadband-enabled voice network. This is  
15 basically what we're talking about, that sort of  
16 single-circuit connection between the consumer and the  
17 network.

18 I note that this slide is sort of a historic  
19 slide. Okay. It's a snapshot from say the early '90s.  
20 And there is really two things that I would like you to  
21 take away from this slide.

22 This circuit switch network, this original  
23 phone network was a closed system, meaning that voice  
24 services were generally provided by local exchange  
25 carriers or long distance carriers. And then when we

1 had the passage of the '96 Act, we had the introduction  
2 of competitive local exchange carriers who are also  
3 connected to the network at both the local and long  
4 distance level, and then we brought in wireless, with  
5 the advent of mobility.

6 But the key point here that I want folks to  
7 take away is that it was a closed system with a very  
8 finite number of voice providers. The second thing you  
9 can take away from this slide is that at the time,  
10 these companies were providing what's called plain old  
11 telephone service, POTS. There wasn't any internet  
12 involved in this sort of traditional, circuit switch  
13 network. But as Steven and Henning mentioned, these  
14 networks are evolving; they're changing. And what  
15 we've got now, today, is basically this, okay, we no  
16 longer have this sort of finite universe of voice  
17 providers.

18 We actually have a myriad of companies with  
19 diverse technical backgrounds that are providing voice  
20 services. So in addition to ILEC and CLEC and  
21 wireless, we now have Voice over Internet Protocol  
22 providers, interconnected VoIP, over the top VoIP. We  
23 have auto dialer companies. We have just this sort of  
24 vast ecosystem whereby voice services are delivered  
25 over the network. And the key thing to remember here

1 that was raised on the last panel, the PSTN, that  
2 circuit switch network, it's still there. It's still  
3 there. It's still out there, but it's just been kind  
4 of subsumed by the internet, if you will.

5 What that means is that whether a company is  
6 a circuit switch company, if you will, or an internet-  
7 based company, that voice service can transit, either  
8 through the internet or through a gateway to the PSTN.  
9 It can directly connect to the PSTN, but that voice  
10 service can get to the consumer.

11 I put that big auto dialer company up there  
12 just to show sort of that path. That voice path,  
13 whether it's from a web-based auto dialer company, like  
14 Call-Em-All, or it can kind of go through kind of the  
15 PSTN.

16 With that, when you talk about sort of the  
17 stakeholders in the robocall environment, I'm not going  
18 to go through this in great detail, but as I was  
19 talking with some folks earlier, there is a lot of  
20 stakeholders out here.

21 We have VoIP, we have ISPs, we have LECs, we  
22 have the robocall customers, we have the autodialer  
23 companies. And I note that there are subsets in there,  
24 okay. So even with autodialer companies, there are  
25 companies out there that just do software development.

1 Some manufacture equipment. Others sort of provide  
2 this bundled service to consumers, as you can see,  
3 anybody from automobile shops to zoos. But there are a  
4 lot of stakeholders in this robocall environment.

5 So with that, what are we talking about when  
6 we talk about robocalls? I kind of like to think about  
7 it in sort of a traffic light analogy: green, yellow,  
8 red. You know, actually, I think it's great that Brad  
9 is here today to talk about Call-Em-All because I think  
10 it's important for consumers to understand that there  
11 are a lot of legitimate companies and, in fact,  
12 robocalls that come to consumers.

13 So if you work from sort of left to right on  
14 this slide, reflecting all mass calling events, there  
15 are many that fall into the green category, right? And  
16 these are important and legal. And these are things  
17 like school closings, push 9-1-1 calls, weather alerts  
18 and such. You know, important calls that can be  
19 accomplished through the robocall environment or  
20 technology.

21 Then, of course, we have sort of in that  
22 middle area practical and legal automated calls. So  
23 these can be political messages. I'm getting called by  
24 Romney and Obama all the time now. It's that time of  
25 year. Surveys, utility call service reminders. These



1 are practical and legal.

2           And then you get to the right-hand column,  
3 malicious and illegal. Phishing calls, focus nuisance  
4 attacks, people selling bogus services, these are where  
5 your bad actors fall. Please keep in mind, in all  
6 three of those categories it is not an exhaustive list.  
7 It's not an exhaustive list.

8           So this is sort of one important way to sort  
9 of bring all of this together, my previous slides and  
10 that last slide. We need to understand the different  
11 perspectives on these events. So there is what  
12 consumers see and there is what service providers see.

13           Consumers are seeing all these different  
14 types of robocalls and they understand what they're  
15 getting. Oh, my kid's school is closed. Okay. Got  
16 it. Oh, Johnny has his dentist appointment tomorrow.  
17 Can't forget that. Rachel from Cardholder Services,  
18 right?

19           So they're in that position to see and  
20 understand which robocalls they're getting. Our member  
21 companies, they operate network operation centers and  
22 what they see is just a mass-calling event. They can't  
23 delve into what specific type of call that is. All  
24 they're seeing is basically this massive spike in  
25 traffic and there are certain characteristics that are

1 involved with these mass-calling events. They are  
2 highly localized, so they'll be to sort of a central  
3 area, say Fairfax, Virginia. They're tremendously high  
4 volume. They're extremely brief, lasting a matter of  
5 minutes, and there is absolutely no advance warning on  
6 these calls.

7 So basically, a massive incident over a brief  
8 period of time and then it's over and it's done. So  
9 this is an important thing to understand, sort of  
10 perspectives. Now, with that being said, I do not want  
11 to imply that our member companies are sort of passive  
12 observers to these incidents because that's simply not  
13 the case. There is a lot that they are doing when  
14 these incidents occur, and as was noted on the previous  
15 panel, there are some limitations.

16 Just as an example, post-event. A lot of our  
17 carriers will basically reconstruct the event and  
18 investigate. So if they receive a call from multiple  
19 consumers complaining about it, saying hey, Rachel just  
20 called me. That's an indication that, you know, we've  
21 got to look and see what we can figure out here.

22 So through these network operation centers  
23 they're doing things like traffic data forensics, mass-  
24 calling investigations. If the event warrants,  
25 oftentimes carriers will initiate legal actions at the

1 federal level. That actually says state, but it's at  
2 the federal level. They work with law enforcement to  
3 pursue some of these bad actors, through the subpoena  
4 process in particular that was mentioned earlier.

5 Another important thing that these carriers  
6 are doing, they're working in standard setting groups  
7 and best practices groups, groups like the Alliance for  
8 Telecommunications Industry Solutions, ATIS. And these  
9 are basically where these industry stakeholders come  
10 together and figure out best practices, procedures and  
11 standards, whereby we can find consumer-centric to some  
12 of these robocall issues.

13 And then last but not least, there's  
14 obviously legal limitations, as was mentioned on the  
15 previous panel, in terms of interconnection  
16 obligations. Privacy plays a huge role in this. And  
17 then last but not least, there is this technological  
18 arms race component to this issue. It can be like a  
19 game of Whack-A-Mole out there.

20 So that is it for me. I'm happy to turn it  
21 over.

22 MR. DIGGS: Okay. Thank you. As noted, I'm  
23 David Diggs. I'm with CTIA. That is the Wireless  
24 Industry Trade Association, and we represent carriers,  
25 infrastructure, providers, and other suppliers. The

1 odds are that your wireless carrier is a member of our  
2 organization.

3 On that note, the first presentation, there  
4 was some discussion around wireless carriers -- or  
5 carriers like to get paid. So feel free to turn your  
6 ringers up to loud because I don't want to stand  
7 between you and our member companies and the billable  
8 event.

9 I do want to cover a couple of points, just  
10 two in particular. I want to point out that wireless  
11 is different from the landline environment on a couple  
12 of levels. In particular, with respect to the issue of  
13 who's allowed to call a wireless device. It's  
14 important to understand the historically, and to a  
15 certain extent, current distinction between the  
16 landline and mobile pricing regimes.

17 It doesn't cost the consumer anything to  
18 answer the phone in the kitchen, but historically --  
19 and that model is referred to calling party pays. If I  
20 want to call you at your home, then I pay the freight  
21 on that.

22 On the other hand, the wireless industry  
23 initially evolved with a charge for any call that you  
24 got on your wireless device. So while there were some  
25 trials of the calling party pays, in the main part, if

1 you hit the send button or receive a call, the meter  
2 was running on that.

3 For that reason, the Telephone Consumer  
4 Protection Act of 1991 specifically put in provisions  
5 to forbid robocalling to mobile devices. As someone  
6 who lives in Virginia, I will second the torrent of  
7 calls to the home phone on a swing state. But I'm not  
8 getting those on my mobile device because the ethical  
9 robocalling organizations are respecting that.

10 There really are only those two caveats  
11 noted, emergency purposes and with the prior express  
12 consent of the call party. There is some debate about  
13 what that constitutes, but in general, it has been less  
14 of an issue for mobile customers than for landline  
15 subscribers.

16 And, finally, as I have already spoke to, the  
17 exemption for political or charitable does not exist  
18 for mobile.

19 I want to talk about, basically echoing a  
20 theme that you have already heard a couple of points  
21 on, I would speak about this in terms of the historic  
22 Telco or landline, and to a large extent, Telco and the  
23 landline operators also provide your mobile service.

24 The cultural differences between that and  
25 some of these new VoIP or internet service providers is

1 that there is over a century of work that has been done  
2 in the regulatory arena with the traditional telephone  
3 companies around privacy, around CPNI, Consumer  
4 Proprietary Network Information, around PII. All of  
5 these things. And it's reached the point where it is  
6 in the DNA of these historically traditional operators  
7 to protect, at all costs, you know, the traffic that  
8 they carry from Point A to Point B. It is sacrosanct  
9 within that.

10 The calls are transmitted from Point A to  
11 Point B. We don't listen to them. We don't append  
12 text to them. We don't stick ads in them, et cetera.  
13 That's the sort of thing that is a key provision of the  
14 way this works.

15 There are innovative services that come from  
16 these new innovators, the VoIP and other internet  
17 service providers that say well, wait a minute; maybe  
18 there's a different way to do this. There is probably  
19 a market for something where if I can get the service  
20 for free, I would be willing to -- I'd be tolerant of  
21 some other services that are mixed in there.

22 There are services that will inspect the  
23 traffic, be that voice or text, and serve ads against  
24 that. That's fine. The difference and the problem  
25 that we're struggling with in some regard is all right,

1 but it looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck.

2           It has a phone number that looks familiar to  
3 me, but there's something different going on here. How  
4 do we notify consumers that this is not your father's  
5 telephone call? That this could be something  
6 different. How do we draw those distinctions in  
7 something that looks completely the same?

8           The other issue -- and you've heard this  
9 alluded to as well -- in the past, there was a trusted  
10 closed network of those who could provide telephone  
11 services. That's no longer the case. You get into  
12 this sort of six degrees of Kevin Bacon game with  
13 finding out that this is a CLEC that they resold the  
14 number to someone else who, in turn, is selling to a  
15 third party, your three or four degrees of separation.  
16 And the mystery to the traditional operators has been I  
17 don't know who I'm trading traffic with. This is not  
18 at the consumer-to-consumer level, this is the  
19 operator-to-operator.

20           As far as I can tell, competing solutions for  
21 identifying who is, if you will, the owner of that  
22 telephone number. We talked about that earlier that  
23 there is, in fact, a finite list of telephone numbers  
24 in the U.S. It's the North American numbering plan, 10  
25 digits; you're all familiar with them. So that is a

1 finite universe and that is administered by an  
2 incredibly complex -- I'm not going to talk to this  
3 slide other than to put it up here and say that we  
4 spent about a half an hour on what the dotted dashed  
5 line meant in this thing. This is the North American  
6 Numbering Council, the North American Portability,  
7 Number Portability, et cetera, et cetera.

8           Again, that is just there to illustrate that  
9 it is a very complex question as to who it is that can  
10 draw down phone numbers and how those are identified.  
11 I'm going to go backwards here. The only other point -  
12 - and you'll hear this again. I think the next speaker  
13 is going to come up here and hit this -- but it used be  
14 that it was pretty hard to provision a phone number.  
15 It used to be that you had to go through a telephone  
16 company to do that. That's no longer the case.

17           So a lot of the blocking technologies are  
18 ineffective with the telephone numbers because I can  
19 change it. It doesn't cost a lot of money. I can  
20 change it. I can spoof it. So it is a potential  
21 source of pain for consumers and for the operators and  
22 the like.

23           I don't have anything else, so I will turn it  
24 over at this point to Brad Herrmann.

25           MR. HERRMANN: Good morning. My name is Brad



1 Herrmann. I am the founder and president of Call-Em-  
2 All. We are an automated calling company. The first  
3 thing that I want to get out of way is I, nor is anyone  
4 from my organization Rachel from Cardholder Services.

5 We also make very few political calls. You  
6 might be surprised to hear those two things. What I  
7 wanted to do first is just give you a few more  
8 examples, besides school closings, for what any  
9 legitimate robocalling or automated calling company  
10 does. We send out messages on behalf of soccer and  
11 football leagues that practices or games are closed  
12 because fields are closed. We certainly do school  
13 closings. I can go on for days with examples, guys.

14 It may be an apartment complex calling all of  
15 the residents to let them know that tomorrow the water  
16 is going to be shut off between 10:00 and noon. And  
17 these examples -- here's one with a business example.  
18 You may have a manufacturing facility with 1,000  
19 employees working three shifts and there's a problem on  
20 the second shift and you need to notify everybody, or  
21 that organization needs to notify their employees that  
22 hey, we're starting an hour late on the third shift  
23 today. Or we're running an extra shift on Saturday.  
24 If you want to work overtime, come on in and work.

25 There are thousands and thousands more

1 examples like this. The one thing that they all have  
2 in common, I believe, is that when people get one of  
3 these messages, if you get the message that soccer  
4 games are cancelled for tomorrow, you don't usually  
5 hang that up and go, "What a terrible robocall that  
6 was." You know, I don't even think most people even  
7 use the word "robocall" to describe that call. But as  
8 we're seeing with infrastructure, at the end of the day  
9 it's exactly the same thing. And that's why I'm here  
10 today.

11 I've been asked to walk through two scenarios  
12 for you. The first one I'll walk through is, you know,  
13 these big network diagrams that Henning and Kevin and  
14 Steve have walked through, what they mean to me. It's  
15 just one little block on the diagram, and thankfully  
16 it's a lot simpler. And then what do we do to stop  
17 unwanted robocalls as the endpoint where people are  
18 entering into this network. So we'll start walking  
19 through that.

20 The first example is what I call old school  
21 robocalling. What I want to do with each of these  
22 examples is let's consider somebody that wants to call  
23 a million or a couple of million people. In the old  
24 school robocalling scenario, it was a much more  
25 permanent structure that you had to set up.

1           So you were going to be investing significant  
2 amounts of capital into specialized hardware and  
3 equipment. You were then going to need -- you  
4 certainly can't just plug in a few phone lines into the  
5 back of it because that would take you weeks. So you  
6 had to order a DS3 or, you know, T1s or something like  
7 that, with a lot of ports or lines, if you will, to  
8 come in there.

9           Well, those take 60 to 90 days to set up and  
10 they come with multi-year contracts and \$1,000-a-month  
11 commitments to use them. So it wasn't the kind of  
12 thing you just set up, you know, slam a bunch of people  
13 with a bunch of unwanted calls and then ran away. I  
14 mean, it was two -- it was something bigger than that.

15           What we've seen, moving forward, is this  
16 Voice over IP robocalling. What that's done is, you  
17 know, you don't really require special equipment. All  
18 you need is a nice, big, fat internet connection, which  
19 you can get today in a few days. This isn't like  
20 internet connection like at home, this is something  
21 bigger than that. But certainly, this is something  
22 that can be acquired in a few days.

23           You also see the programming skills required  
24 become a little bit easier. You're not looking for a  
25 program that's got specific hardware, you know,

1 experience with software that's specialized for the  
2 hardware that you're using. It becomes a little bit  
3 more generic. I think you still need to know what  
4 you're doing, but it becomes a little bit easier. And  
5 the biggest thing we've seen in the lead time goes down  
6 to days in this scenario.

7           And then you take a company like mine that  
8 wraps that service up into, you know, we see cloud  
9 services all the time. We all use them for many  
10 different things. We wrap it up and our clients can  
11 now use an API or web service to come in and initiate  
12 calls.

13           If you went down the street to any one of  
14 these universities and grab one of the young computer  
15 science guys and say hey, I want to make a million  
16 calls and you wanted a list of a million phone numbers  
17 and you wanted him to randomly generate them, he's  
18 going to say no problem. Show me the API and I can  
19 start calling these and go.

20           So we've watched the initial capital  
21 requirement go from something very significant and a  
22 big investment, all the way to basically nothing, as  
23 long as you can afford the permanent rates for the  
24 calls.

25           The software development time has gone down

1 to hours. And that's the situation where we are today.  
2 That's what it means to, you know, someone on the end  
3 that wants to make these kinds of calls with the way  
4 that the infrastructure has evolved.

5           There are a few things that stay the same,  
6 though. The first is that you always have to have a  
7 way to drop the calls onto the network. At the end of  
8 the day, they have to drop on there. The other thing  
9 is that you are going to incur some cost. All of those  
10 blocks and all of these charts that we've seen are  
11 businesses that need to get their cut of it. So it  
12 hasn't gone down to exactly free, but what has changed  
13 is the upfront capital requirements and the upfront  
14 time requirements are what has changed.

15           Now that this is easy, what I would like to  
16 do is tell you a little bit about what a company like  
17 mine does to try to prevent these calls from getting  
18 onto the network. What I'm showing you today is really  
19 just a subset of what we really do. I don't want to  
20 spell it out because there are people out there, you  
21 know, these illegal guys are actually very smart and  
22 are probably out listening. So I'm going to give you a  
23 little bit of what we do.

24           When you look at this you'll say oh, that's  
25 kind of common sense, but it's hard work and there's a

1 lot of programming that went in behind it. There was  
2 one point, early on, when we went through probably a  
3 12-month cat-and-mouse game with some of these phishers  
4 that were trying to use our service to make -- in many  
5 cases, they wanted to call hundreds of thousands or  
6 millions of people. We've done a pretty good job of  
7 blocking them out.

8           The biggest way to block them out is we have  
9 empowered employees that listen to messages before we  
10 approve them to go out. That sounds pretty simple, but  
11 a lot of these messages are the green messages in the  
12 red light/green light scenario. They are the green  
13 examples from Kevin's slides. It's an emergency, it's  
14 a weather notice, it's a university that needs to let  
15 all their students know that there has been a shooting  
16 incident; you need to stay indoors. Something like  
17 that. And there is a lot of yellow areas too. These  
18 are messages like I walked through with you.

19           Our employees listen to them and quite  
20 frankly, I tell my employees that the underlying thing  
21 is that we call people who want to be called. You can  
22 tell just by listening to one of these messages whether  
23 it sounds just fine or not. If it's Pastor Jones and  
24 the message is, "Hi. This is Pastor Jones. I'm just  
25 reminding everybody that we have three services this

1 Easter Sunday at 9:00, 10:00, and 11:00, instead of our  
2 normal services at 8:30 and 9:30." Okay. That's  
3 pretty easy, guys. That's no problem because he's  
4 obviously calling his congregation.

5           There is a lot more in the red category.  
6 What we find in the red -- actually, I categorize them  
7 in two ways: 1) they are the obvious phishers -- I  
8 call it spam, but it's not spam -- but it's the obvious  
9 garbage. And we block that and get that out right away  
10 and those people stick out like a sore thumb. But we  
11 also filter out a lot of what I call this sort of  
12 unintentional unwanted robocalls. It's the small  
13 business owner that has his customers' phone numbers  
14 and he feels he has the right, because they're his  
15 customers, to call them because they've done business  
16 with him.

17           What we have to do is explain to him is no,  
18 you know, you can't do that. They have to have given  
19 you written permission to receive promotional messages  
20 from you, and we're sorry. Quite frankly, they get mad  
21 at us a lot and they get upset because they're counting  
22 on us to try to draw revenue, but we block a lot of  
23 that, folks, every day. We're out there having to  
24 educate people on what you can and can't do.

25           So that's it. Another way is simply just

1 asking questions. Where did you get these phone  
2 numbers from? And people either have a good answer,  
3 "Oh, this is my congregation." Or "These are all the  
4 students in my school." Or it becomes obvious.

5 Now, obviously, you know, Kevin's  
6 organizations and David's organizations can't do this  
7 with their customers, but we can. So it's what we do  
8 to try to stay on the up-and-up. And then the other  
9 thing is a lot of times because you can't spoof the  
10 caller ID -- and we do put our clients' caller ID on  
11 the calls -- because if the school is calling, nobody  
12 wants to see a message from Call-Em-All, they want to  
13 see that the school is calling -- so we call the caller  
14 ID number. And if it's a dead end or nobody picks it  
15 up or it's garbage, it's just one more red flag that we  
16 can do to shut these people down.

17 With each of our clients we maintain an opt-  
18 out list. So they all have their own -- we call it  
19 Client-Specific Do Not Call List. What we can then do,  
20 the third bullet on this, is monitor opt-outs across  
21 the range of our clients.

22 We've got tens of thousands of clients that  
23 are using our service; therefore, we kind of have an  
24 idea of what norms are. We can watch, when we make a  
25 broadcast on behalf of a client, if they have a higher



1 than norm, an outlier, in terms of the number of people  
2 that opt out. That's a red flag to us that says go in  
3 and look at what this client is doing. Why are these  
4 people rejecting it? And let's get that traffic off of  
5 our service.

6 That's sort of some highlights of what we're  
7 doing, among other things, to try and keep these  
8 robocalls off your cell phones and your home phones.  
9 When I'm talking about this, I'm just one organization  
10 and this is just my viewpoint and what we've done, but  
11 you have to remember that I think the biggest violators  
12 -- and I would assume that Rachel from Cardholder  
13 Services is not coming through a company like mine.

14 These are people that really don't care about  
15 the laws and they're willing to do, they're basically  
16 doing whatever they want to do. So we have to be  
17 careful, as we're talking about these solutions, not  
18 throw the baby out with the bathwater, if you will.

19 I mean, we can have all kinds of regulations.  
20 We can mandate all of these that we do to every company  
21 that we're aware of, but the fact is I don't think that  
22 would stop Rachel from Cardholder Services because that  
23 company or that individual or organization doesn't care  
24 to follow the laws. So that's one of the big reasons  
25 that I'm here is to try to represent the good things

1 that are happening within this industry.

2 So thanks for your time. Robert?

3 MR. ANGUIZOLA: Thank you so much. Our first  
4 question is you posed a lot of challenges. What do you  
5 think can be done to bring down the number of bad  
6 robocalls that are barraging consumers? That's to  
7 anybody.

8 MR. RUPY: I'll jump on it. I don't think  
9 there's any single solution to the issue. I think when  
10 you look at a lot of these issues that are out there  
11 today, such as robocalls, you have to look at it kind  
12 of holistically, right.

13 So I think one aspect of this is consumer  
14 education is critically important. I know the FTC has  
15 done a lot of great work on that. I know our member  
16 companies are doing a lot of great work on that. I  
17 think it's important for consumers to understand that  
18 while there may not be perfect rules out there, there  
19 are things they can do to limit the impact of these  
20 calls.

21 As an example, use of caller ID. If you  
22 don't recognize the phone number, don't pick up the  
23 phone. Don't engage these guys. Certainly don't press  
24 1 or 2. I think that's important.

25 The last two things I'd mention to address

1 this issue is I think targeted enforcement against some  
2 of these bad actors. I think that's always a great  
3 thing, to go after these guys.

4 And then thirdly I think things like this,  
5 things like ATIS that our members are involved with;  
6 working collectively with all the stakeholders on this  
7 issue to try to find solutions because I think Brad is  
8 right; it's not going to go away, so we kind of have to  
9 work collectively to at least address the issue as best  
10 we can.

11 MR. HERRMANN: Yeah. I was excited to hear,  
12 I think it was Steven, beforehand, and Henning talk  
13 about authenticating the users on the initiation of  
14 calls. You know, that's the kind of thing, you know,  
15 I'd be the first one standing in line, hey,  
16 authenticate me. Check me out. And we want to  
17 represent ourselves as people who are doing the right  
18 things. And that's very exciting for me in that  
19 hearing the future of technology and where things are  
20 going.

21 As far as individuals go, an individual  
22 consumer is hearing from me saying, oh, we're  
23 maintaining Client-Specific Do Not Call Lists. And  
24 another thing is you're hearing advice not to opt-out,  
25 just to hang up. I think I would educate a consumer to

1 do what I would do and listen. If it isn't obvious,  
2 ridiculous -- if it's Rachel from Cardholder Services,  
3 that is ridiculous. Hang up on it immediately.

4 If it's your school calling and you check  
5 your email every five minutes or you'd rather go to the  
6 website and you don't want them to call you, opt out.  
7 No problem.

8 So you kind of have to use a little bit of  
9 intuition on these calls to determine whether this is a  
10 legitimate call that you just care not to receive, in  
11 which case go ahead and opt out. If it's obvious  
12 garbage, just hang up.

13 MR. DIGGS: I must be the only guy in the  
14 room who has not yet gotten a call from Rachel.

15 MR. HERRMANN: Do you have a cell phone?

16 MR. DIGGS: Yes. Well, it seems like I ought  
17 to report it, I suppose. I, too, in the earlier  
18 discussion about -- some of the solution will come in  
19 the technological form of a non-reputable, fully  
20 authenticated identifier. I mentioned in my portion of  
21 this that part of the challenge is identifying, as an  
22 operator, who is sending me this traffic. And that is  
23 often difficult to determine. I will spare you, but  
24 eSPID, aSPID, SPIDs, the last SPID used.

25 There all sorts of -- and I'm pleased that

1 groups like ATIS and others are working towards finding  
2 that there is a way that, as an operator, when I'm  
3 receiving traffic from some organization that if it  
4 does go rogue in some way that I have a path to go back  
5 to that operator and say you got a problem here.

6 MR. ANGUIZOLA: The next question comes from  
7 the audience. It's directed to the history  
8 representatives. What kind of risk is associated with  
9 the network congestion caused by robocalls?

10 MR. RUPY: It can be significant. In fact,  
11 where you do have these instances of mass-calling  
12 events, and in fact, whether they're legal or illegal,  
13 depending on the volume, depending on the location of  
14 where that call is taking place and time of day,  
15 whatever factors, that they can have an adverse impact  
16 on the network, such that a consumer in that area who  
17 may be trying to make a call is unable to complete the  
18 call because network capacity is sort of maxed out. It  
19 can be a significant factor.

20 And in fact, there are times where, due to a  
21 mass-calling event some of our carriers may actually  
22 have to file with the FCC saying, hey, we experienced a  
23 network event here. There's a problem, et cetera, et  
24 cetera.

25 MR. HERRMANN: Yeah. I think there is

1 network blockage, that that is blocking the robocaller,  
2 too. These guys are not dopes. So I think they will  
3 figure out a gating rate on their calls that will keep  
4 their traffic at or below some threshold that would be  
5 problematic for them to continue to make the calls.

6 They can distribute, again, the internet  
7 being everyone. They can drop that down to any number  
8 of switches in the network. I suspect that because  
9 that's a problem for them, as well as for the  
10 consumers, that that is something that they seek to  
11 mitigate as well. We have not, even though -- the size  
12 of the wireless pipe, as it were relative to that wire  
13 line pipe is a fraction.

14 So we, as an industry, are always very, very  
15 concerned about bandwidth with respect to those kinds  
16 of issues, but it is something that has not been a  
17 particular plague on the wireless end.

18 MR. ANGUIZOLA: The next question from a  
19 listener online. They want you to speak about the  
20 economics and the money associated with robocalling and  
21 specifically what CNAM and dip fees are and how  
22 industry players can make money that way.

23 MR. RUPY: Yeah. There are obviously a lot  
24 of different ways that these robocallers are making  
25 money, whether it's through scamming, through the sale

1 of bogus services and whatnot. I think what the  
2 question was referencing there, CNAM, also referred to  
3 as LIDB, which is Line Identification Database.

4 Basically, the way that works is that  
5 carriers will maintain a database for caller ID numbers  
6 and when a phone number gets called, that caller  
7 identification number gets pushed to the person  
8 receiving the call. That's why when a call comes to  
9 your house you see the caller ID number.

10 Whoever is maintaining that database gets  
11 paid for pushing that call to the recipient and the  
12 network operator basically pays that fee. It's 700th  
13 of a cent, but when you multiply that times tens of  
14 thousands of millions of calls, it can add up. So I  
15 think that's what they're referring to. You know, it's  
16 one of many ways that these guys are making money.

17 MR. ANGUIZOLA: Anybody else want to add to  
18 it?

19 So the next question takes us from profits to  
20 penalties. Should there be higher penalties for  
21 illegal robocalls, and is there some way that we can  
22 increase the cost of engaging illegal robocalling?

23 MR. HERRMANN: I can speak to that. The  
24 penalties, in a lot of cases with the FCC's TCPA Act,  
25 are \$500 per incident and \$1,500 for an intentional

1 robocall to someone who shouldn't receive one. I think  
2 those are sufficient enough.

3 I've seen cases and experienced cases where  
4 one phone call led to a class action lawsuit that cost  
5 hundreds of thousands of dollars to defend, only at the  
6 end of the day to be disregarded and settled for  
7 pennies.

8 So I think, as an autodialer, I assure you  
9 that we are -- when I tell you that my employees are --  
10 if you have any doubt, throw it out because the numbers  
11 are massive. I mean, if you think about \$500 per phone  
12 call and let's say we call 10,000 people in a school  
13 district, that number becomes, I think, kind of silly.

14 I think the penalties are there and actually,  
15 in some cases, allowing class actions to be filed on  
16 the basis of a single phone call are --

17 MR. DIGGS: Ridiculous.

18 MR. HERRMANN: -- a little much.

19 MR. RUPY: I would just add, I think those  
20 penalties are pretty stiff. You can ask a question  
21 about, well, is there an effort to amp up the  
22 enforcement of TCPA violations. I think that would be  
23 desirable in everyone's case.

24 MR. ANGUIZOLA: I think we can arrange for  
25 that. The next question is directed to Call-Em-All.



1 As part of your compliance process, do you keep a black  
2 list of the red operators so that they can be  
3 recognized so that you don't have to deal with them in  
4 the future?

5 MR. HERRMANN: Yes, we do. But the problem  
6 is, you know, how are they authenticating themselves  
7 with us with an email address, right? So we make them  
8 activate by clicking on an email address. But those,  
9 as we've already talked about, it takes anybody in this  
10 room three minutes to set up a new email address to use  
11 for this kind of stuff.

12 So it's very, very challenging, and there are  
13 several other things that they do that indicate to us,  
14 sort of other red flags that, like I said, I really  
15 don't care to go into because I don't want to tell them  
16 how to beat us. But we do everything. We spend a lot  
17 of engineering time putting things in place. We have a  
18 black list of emails not to use and things of that  
19 nature.

20 MR. ANGUIZOLA: The rest of the questions  
21 that I've got are better directed to our law  
22 enforcement panel. So do we have any other questions?

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You know, I couldn't  
24 get in this room today without a driver's license and  
25 going through a metal detector. I'm just curious of

1     why your clients, your customers, you're verifying  
2     their identity with an email address that can be set up  
3     in three minutes.

4             MR. HERRMANN:  So the question was, you know,  
5     when we have driver's licenses and other things, like  
6     just to get in the room here, how do we verify our  
7     clients based on an email address only.

8             When they sign up with us there is far more  
9     than an email address that they provide.  All of that,  
10    you know, they give us a physical address.  They're  
11    going to have to give us a credit card.  So we have, as  
12    well as their name, we look at all of those things as a  
13    whole and listen to their messages.

14            You're looking at their -- I don't want to  
15    say body of work -- but you're looking at all of it.  
16    We have screens set up for my staff to use that show  
17    you all of this at once and they are looking at it, you  
18    know, they're all college-educated folks looking at it.  
19    It paints a bigger picture than just email addresses.  
20    So my last answer might not have been clear enough to  
21    kind of paint the picture for what we're really doing  
22    to identify these folks.

23            MR. ANGUIZOLA:  Okay.  Thank you very much.  
24    It's now time for our first break.

25            (Brief recess.)

## 1 THE LAW

2 MS. GREISMAN: If everyone will take a seat,  
3 we'll get started. Good morning. My name is Lois  
4 Greisman. I'm with the Federal Trade Commission's  
5 Division of Marketing Practices. It's my honor to  
6 moderate the second panel of the morning. It's on law  
7 enforcement. There are some questions about law  
8 enforcement that already have arisen, by no surprise  
9 whatsoever.

10 We have a very distinguished set of  
11 panelists. My intros will be brief since you all have  
12 bios. To my immediate left is Greg Zoeller, the  
13 Attorney General from the state of Indiana, well known  
14 as a compassionate consumer advocate.

15 To his immediate left is Will Maxson, the  
16 FTC's Do Not Call program manager and in his free time,  
17 is a staff attorney in the Division of Marketing  
18 Practices. To his left is Eric Bash, whom I will refer  
19 to as an FTC recidivist. He has been in and out of the  
20 Agency a couple of times. Now he is associate chief at  
21 the FCC's Enforcement Bureau.

22 We are going to do a slightly different  
23 format for this panel. What I am going to do is ask a  
24 series of questions and ask each of our panelists to  
25 respond to them. I'll even preview for you exactly

1 where we're going to go and where we'll spend most of  
2 our time.

3 What we want to do is just lay out the nuts  
4 and bolts. What is the state of the law? What are the  
5 legal parameters in which robocallers, legitimate and  
6 illegitimate, operate under?

7 And then after talking about that, we'll talk  
8 a little bit about complaints, what we see in that  
9 front. Then we're going to really spend the bulk of  
10 our time talking about the enforcement challenges and  
11 what it is we can do about them.

12 So let me start off and ask Will to really  
13 kick us off and lay out what are the legal parameters  
14 that we operate with.

15 MR. MAXSON: Good morning, everyone. So I'm  
16 just going to talk for just a minute about what the  
17 Telemarketing Sales Rule says about Do Not Call rules  
18 and robocall rules. Telemarketing Sales Rules is a  
19 rule that we enforce, and then when Mr. Bash speaks, he  
20 will talk about some TCPA, and the FCC, of course,  
21 because there's a lot of overlap.

22 There are three basic protections in the  
23 telemarketing sales rule that are related, but a little  
24 bit different. The first one is the National Do Not  
25 Call, which dates back to 2003, and it's what everyone

1 generally thinks of, I think, when they think of the Do  
2 Not Call. Generally speaking, businesses can't make  
3 sales calls to consumers whose phone numbers are on the  
4 National Do Not Call Registry.

5 As you heard, there are over 200 million  
6 phone numbers on the Registry. Those include cell  
7 phones and home phones. Any phone could be registered,  
8 as many phones as you have. When businesses make sales  
9 calls to those numbers, generally speaking, those  
10 violate our Do Not Call Rule.

11 There is also an entity-specific portion of  
12 the Rule. So even if your number is not on the Do Not  
13 Call List, you can ask a company not to call you again.  
14 If they do and they make another sales call to you,  
15 that violates the entity-specific portion of our list.  
16 That is true even if you have -- they're called  
17 established-business relationship. So even if you've  
18 bought something from a company in the last few months  
19 and they try to call you again, under that exception to  
20 the general rule, you can tell them don't call me  
21 again. If they do, that would be a violation of our  
22 entity-specific rule.

23 The third part of that is the Robocall rule,  
24 which is, generally speaking, business can't make  
25 sales-based robocalls to consumers. Those calls are

1 prohibited even if your phone number is not on the  
2 National Do Not Call Registry. The only exception,  
3 which I'll talk about in just a second, is if the  
4 consumer has provided a business with expressed written  
5 permission to robocalling.

6           There are a handful of types of calls that  
7 are not covered under the Telemarketing Sales Rule.  
8 Business-to-business calls are generally not covered.  
9 Debt collection calls are generally not covered.  
10 Customer service and customer satisfaction calls,  
11 survey calls, only if they don't contain a sales pitch.  
12 If it's a survey call and it ends up trying to sell you  
13 a trip or cruise or some sort of product, then that's  
14 covered. That's against the rules.

15           Political calls are not covered under the  
16 Telemarketing Sales Rule, again if they don't include a  
17 sales pitch. There are some special exceptions to FTC  
18 jurisdiction and those types of calls are not covered,  
19 banks, phone companies, insurance companies. There is  
20 also a separate extension for robocalls that deliver a  
21 healthcare message made by or on behalf of a covered  
22 entity as defined by the HIPAA Privacy Rule.

23           So what calls are covered? It's a vast  
24 majority of calls. Calls that are part of a campaign  
25 or plan to get consumers to purchase a product or

1 service is the most general way to say it. So if there  
2 is any part of that call that is designed to end up  
3 with a consumer purchasing something, then that call is  
4 covered under our Do Not Call Rule, our Robocall Rule,  
5 our Entity-Specific Rule.

6 It also includes charitable solicitation  
7 calls by for-profit fundraisers, the hybrid calls that  
8 I mentioned, the survey calls and things like that  
9 where they pitch it as a political survey or some sort  
10 of survey about whatever topics they're interested in,  
11 and then they end it with some sort of sales pitch.

12 Even companies with which you have an  
13 established business relationship can't robocall you  
14 with a sales message. The established business  
15 relationship exception does not apply to robocalls.  
16 Also, companies that assist or facilitate those that  
17 place illegal calls are also subject to liability.

18 This is the rule that we all hear about and  
19 we're all here for today, the Telemarketing Sales Rule  
20 Robocall Rule. It prohibits initiating a call that  
21 delivers a prerecorded message to consumers for a sales  
22 call. If it's the type of call that falls within the  
23 FTC's jurisdiction, the only exception is if they have  
24 written permission from the consumer, if that specific  
25 seller -- and as you see here, there are several

1 requirements for what that written permission has to  
2 obtain. It has to be under clear and conspicuous  
3 disclosure by the seller when the purpose is to  
4 authorize the seller to place prerecorded calls.

5 It has to show the consumer's willingness to  
6 receive calls, delivering prerecorded messages by or on  
7 behalf of the specific seller. It can't be a general  
8 "I'm agreeing to get robocalls from anybody" and then  
9 some lead generator sells it to lots of different  
10 telemarketers and they all end up calling. That  
11 doesn't count.

12 It can't be required as a condition of  
13 purchase, and that written exception has to -- excuse  
14 me -- that written permission has to include the  
15 consumer's telephone number and signature. If they  
16 don't have all of this, it's illegal.

17 MS. GREISMAN: Thanks, Will. Eric, do you  
18 want to pick on the FCC's viewpoint?

19 MR. BASH: Yes. So just to start at the  
20 beginning, the source of the FCC's rules in this area  
21 come from the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of  
22 1991, which you've heard people refer to this morning,  
23 and then the FCC had adopted implementing rules, you  
24 know, not long after that statute was enacted, and the  
25 rules have changed somewhat over time in the last --



1 what is that -- 20 years.

2 In some cases, including the most recent  
3 changes that have been adopted, I think just after  
4 Valentine's Day, those were designed to harmonize the  
5 FCC's rules as quickly as possible to the FTC's rules.  
6 I'll get to some specifics in a minute.

7 One thing to highlight for you at the  
8 beginning, though, is you heard Will mention that  
9 certain entities are not subject to the Federal Trade  
10 Commission's Telemarketing Sales Rule largely because  
11 the jurisdiction of the Federal Trade Commission under  
12 the TSR, the Telemarketing Act, is coincident with its  
13 jurisdiction under the Federal Trade Commission Act.  
14 The FCC's rules are not limited in that way. So some  
15 of the exceptions that you heard Will refer to, those  
16 entities are not exempt from the FCC standards I'm  
17 about to mention.

18 So the general standard and prohibition that  
19 emanates from the Telephone Consumer Protection Act,  
20 which is codified in Section 227 of the Communications  
21 Act, is that there can be no autodialed or prerecorded  
22 voice calls to an emergency number or numbers that are  
23 really designed to -- are basically for emergency  
24 purposes, like a doctor's office, law enforcement, that  
25 sort of thing.

1           So you can't make these calls to emergency  
2 numbers. You can't make these calls to guest or  
3 patient rooms in hospitals or nursing homes and that  
4 type of facility. And you cannot make these kinds of  
5 calls to mobile phone numbers or other numbers for  
6 which a consumer might be charged for having received  
7 the call. The only exception to those prescriptions  
8 that I just identified is if you are making the call  
9 for an emergency purpose or you have the prior  
10 expressed consent of the called party.

11           There are also restrictions on prerecorded  
12 calls to what we call residential lines. Let me state  
13 this sort of in another way. Calls can be initiated --  
14 prerecorded calls can be initiated to residential phone  
15 lines, residential landlines, if they're made for an  
16 emergency purpose or for a commercial purpose that does  
17 not include telemarketing.

18           If they're not made for a commercial purpose,  
19 if they're made to a person with whom a caller has an  
20 established business relationship or if they're made by  
21 or for a tax exempt nonprofit. And for those kinds of  
22 calls to fit within the legal requirements that the FCC  
23 enforces, it's also the case that certain disclosures  
24 have to be made to the called party, namely that the  
25 person who is initiating the call has to identify who

1 they are at the beginning of the call and during or  
2 after the call, they have to provide an actual phone  
3 number at which they can be reached.

4 So just to state these requirements in a  
5 different way, to summarize the distinction between  
6 landline and mobile, again, you can't make an  
7 autodialed or a prerecorded call to a mobile phone  
8 number unless it's for an emergency purpose or you have  
9 the prior expressed consent of the called party.

10 I wanted to mention when a prerecorded  
11 political voice call would be okay because that's  
12 something that we've heard people refer to this morning  
13 and when those can be okay is again, when they're made  
14 to a residential line that can't be made to a wireless  
15 phone number unless you have the called party's consent  
16 and you make the required disclosures of the identity  
17 of the caller as well as the telephone number, which  
18 the called party can be reached.

19 You've heard me refer to the established  
20 business relationship exception. This is one of the  
21 things that is being changed to harmonize more with the  
22 FTC's rule that says for robocalls, that doesn't work  
23 anymore. You have to have the prior expressed written  
24 consent of the called party in order for that to be  
25 acceptable. And as I mentioned, the FCC has adopted a

1 rule to be consistent with that on February 5, 2012.  
2 That is not yet in effect because it's subject to some  
3 review of the Office of Management and Budget, but when  
4 that approval comes through and after the passages are  
5 signed thereafter, that will be the governing rule and  
6 the EDR exception that I mentioned earlier will not be  
7 available.

8 I should also just say, to close the loop, on  
9 the legal standards that the FCC enforces with the  
10 respect to robocalls, we also have a Line Seizure Rule  
11 for business calls you are not permitted to make  
12 autodialed calls to, multiline businesses; you can't  
13 engage two or more of those lines at the same time.  
14 That's the basic overview of the FCC's rules in the  
15 area.

16 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you, Eric. Mr. Zoeller?

17 MR. ZOELLER: Well, the state's experience,  
18 and I'll speak specifically about Indiana, but there're  
19 a number of states that are pooling together on these  
20 issues. In Indiana, we never had the established  
21 business exceptions. So we've maintained a stronger  
22 version of the Do Not Call List.

23 A lot of the states did fold into the federal  
24 Do Not Call since they had the same established  
25 business exception, so it's identical. But there are

1 number of states that still have stronger Do Not Call  
2 statutes, so we've maintained a Do Not Call working  
3 group, and I've got Margarete Sweeney from my office  
4 who's the chairman of that. So a lot of states still  
5 pool together on some of these issues.

6 So we're very active with our National  
7 Association of Attorney General. When it comes to  
8 robocalls, Indiana has another, let's say unique  
9 experience. We've banned the use of autodialers since  
10 1988, recognizing the growing opportunities for scams.  
11 We've even banned the political calls, so you won't get  
12 political calls. That's engaged a number of legal  
13 challenges, as you might have guessed.

14 It has been successful up through the courts  
15 and of the Supreme Court of Indiana, successfully  
16 arguing that the rights of privacy in the home trump  
17 the political free speech to blast out tens of  
18 thousands of calls to Hoosiers. It is subject to a  
19 federal case that went up to District Court that is now  
20 in the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals.

21 So I do think that there are opportunities  
22 there that Indiana and other states have shown to have  
23 stricter Do Not Call and no robocalling kind of  
24 operations.

25 Some of the work that we are currently doing,

1       though, is going to again be subject to additional  
2       challenges and we look forward to many more days in  
3       court.

4               MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. So let's shift  
5       gears slightly and talk about targeting. How do you  
6       identify entities that you might choose to pursue or  
7       investigate?

8               What do you know about what complaint volumes  
9       and trending has been? Let's stay with the state of  
10      Indiana.

11              MR. ZOELLER: Let's see, I think I've got a  
12      slide up here somewhere. What we've really found is  
13      since the advent of the VoIP and the cloud-based  
14      robocalls, our volume of complaints has doubled just in  
15      this past year. We've now gone over 17,000, just since  
16      September 30th of this year.

17              So, again, since we did have a much stronger  
18      statute, our state Do Not Call than the federal  
19      statute, we were blessed with really a decade of, I  
20      would say, peace and quiet. I think Hoosiers still  
21      have a greater sense of expectation when it comes to  
22      privacy in the home, particularly.

23              So when the VoIP and cloud-based robocalls  
24      began and Rachel was working her magic in the Hoosier  
25      state, the spike in these complaints really, there was

1 kind of geometric growth on the complaints. Some of  
2 them really come to real shock. So I want to express  
3 the righteous indignation that I have received in  
4 letters every day. But again, I think a lot of it  
5 comes from the relative peace and quiet that we've  
6 received in the past. Now, they're not used to having  
7 these calls and wonder why can't you keep people from  
8 calling.

9 I think a lot of states didn't have the same  
10 experience as Indiana. They always had a little bit of  
11 the robocalling, so they've kind of gotten used to it.  
12 In Indiana, it has come as quite a shock, and I've got  
13 17,000 complaints that I could share that fully express  
14 the righteous indignation of my state.

15 I think on the breakdown of the complaints,  
16 really come in a number. The largest bulk is clearly  
17 the robocalls, but we do have complaints about text  
18 messaging, which is only 17 percent and then 33  
19 percent, which is everything from collection calls to  
20 all the rest. But truly, it's the robocalls that  
21 incite the most and the most passionate complaints.

22 Again, sharing the fact that after a long  
23 decade of peace and quiet, why can't you in the federal  
24 government do something? It's a pretty loud and clear  
25 message. Oh, I have a picture of some of the hand

1 notes, one of my favorites. I'll have to share the  
2 favorite from what I assume is a grandmotherly Hoosier  
3 writes that can't we stop the calls because she can't  
4 even take a nap.

5 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. FCC?

6 MR. BASH: So let me -- and I'm sorry that I  
7 don't have a graphic to put up on the screen in front  
8 of you, but I do have some complaint volume to report  
9 to you.

10 In 2010 -- and let me just say at the outset,  
11 if you go to the FCC's website and you want to file a  
12 complaint with us about robocalls, there are a variety  
13 of forms that are available there for you. I think  
14 they're self-explanatory that you would choose from  
15 depending upon the particular type of problem you've  
16 experienced, and it's collating and looking at those  
17 different kinds of complaints that have enabled us to  
18 pull together the type of statistics that I'm about to  
19 give you.

20 But across complaints involving prerecorded  
21 calls to residential lines, prerecorded calls to  
22 business lines, prerecorded calls to cell phones, and  
23 text messages to cell phones, in calendar year 2010, we  
24 had about 50,000 complaints across those four topical  
25 areas. You can see the growth in the figures I'm about



1 to give you.

2 In 2011, there were 86,000 complaints across  
3 those areas and thus far, in 2012, and obviously we've  
4 still got the balance of October and all of November  
5 and December to go through, we have received, I guess  
6 it's through October 11th, 98,607 complaints. Twenty-  
7 two for this year thus far, 22,000 of those are  
8 complaints about prerecorded calls to residential  
9 lines, about 3,000 to business lines, 36,000 to cell  
10 phones and 37,000 to cell phones.

11 Let me just add a footnote to the statistics  
12 that I've just given you. Those don't necessarily  
13 indicate that the law has been violated in every  
14 particular case because for example, I didn't talk  
15 about any restriction for calls to business lines and  
16 so there may be something going on there, but there may  
17 not be. So I say that not to call the statistics into  
18 question, but I just wanted to highlight for you that  
19 those numbers don't necessarily mean that there have  
20 been 98,607 violations of laws that we enforce that  
21 we're aware of thus far this year.

22 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. Will?

23 MR. MAXSON: We just released our data book  
24 on Do Not Call complaints for the last fiscal year that  
25 ended at the end of September of this year. Our

1 complaints were up just like everyone else's, nearly  
2 double for Do Not Call complaints. Our robocall  
3 complaints are even higher and an even larger  
4 percentage than they were the year before, not  
5 surprisingly.

6 If you look back over about a two-year  
7 period, the line essentially looks like this, and  
8 everyone knows if you're getting more calls, obviously  
9 we're getting more complaints, people are getting angry  
10 about it, and we use those complaints to find the bad  
11 guys.

12 So what we do when we're targeting and trying  
13 to figure out who we're going to go after, one of the  
14 biggest things that we consider is who can we go after  
15 to stop the most number of calls. What will have the  
16 biggest impact, who do we go after?

17 For instance, there is a case that recently  
18 concluded that we filed against a company called Asia  
19 Pacific. We know that company had made over two and a  
20 half billion robocalls. Two and a half billion.

21 There're lots of other companies that we  
22 filed against that make lots and lots of calls like  
23 that. So that's who we figure out when we're looking  
24 at who we're going to go after. We take the  
25 complaints, we get information for those complaints,

1 and we try to figure out who will stop the most number  
2 of calls.

3 We talk about complaint figures. We filed 94  
4 enforcement actions involving the Do Not Call  
5 violations. Some of those include robocalls. Some of  
6 those are just specifically do not call, but 94  
7 enforcement actions -- those are against 271 companies  
8 and 212 individuals. Those defendants in the cases  
9 that have ended, and some of them are still ongoing,  
10 have paid more than \$69 million in civil penalties and  
11 equitable monetary relief.

12 If you look just at robocall cases, going  
13 back to three years ago when our robocall rules went  
14 into effect in late 2009 -- FTC has filed 15 cases  
15 specifically dealing with robocallers against 42  
16 companies and 24 individuals. Although many of those  
17 cases are still ongoing and, in fact, several were  
18 filed just recently, we've already collected over \$5  
19 million in civil penalties and equitable monetary  
20 relief. If you keep an eye on our press releases on  
21 our website, there's a lot more to come.

22 One thing we also do because we target the  
23 people that are responsible for the most bad acts, for  
24 the most calls, in many cases we think that those  
25 people deserve some criminal punishment. Although we

1 don't have criminal authority, unfortunately, we refer  
2 many of those cases, the worst actors, to criminal  
3 authorities for criminal prosecution.

4 For instance, just a couple of weeks ago, a  
5 defendant in our Transcontinental Warranty Enforcement  
6 Action was sentenced to 16 months in prison for making  
7 illegal robocalls to pitch fraudulent auto warranty  
8 services. Other defendants in those cases were  
9 sentenced to five years in prison.

10 Just last month, we announced as part of our  
11 enforcement action the civil action against those  
12 defendants. We were mailing refund checks to nearly  
13 5,000 consumers across the country who were allegedly  
14 defrauded by these calls. Some of those checks were  
15 for more than \$1000.

16 Earlier this year, a federal judge sentenced  
17 a defendant from our Economic Relief Technology Civil  
18 Enforcement Action to more than 17 years in prison and  
19 ordered her to pay more than \$1 million in restitution  
20 for making illegal robocalls to consumers. In those  
21 calls, they used names like card services and account  
22 services, the types of calls that you've heard about  
23 today.

24 So because we target those really bad actors,  
25 in many cases, those bad actors deserve jail time and

1 in many cases, they find them.

2 MR. BASH: Lois, I didn't share anything, as  
3 I should have, about what our law enforcement efforts  
4 have been. I told you about the complaints that we  
5 have, but I didn't share with you what we have done.

6 So just to highlight that for you briefly  
7 again, our rules have been in effect since around 1991  
8 and 1992. Since that time we've issued hundreds of  
9 citations -- and let me get back to that in a minute --  
10 and we have instituted around 10 different penalty  
11 actions that collectively are valued at around \$3.5  
12 million, I believe is the figure.

13 Just to circle back to the citation for you,  
14 our authority is different than what you have heard the  
15 FTC describe and as the Indiana Attorney General what  
16 they do, we do not have the power under the  
17 Communications Act to go directly into federal court  
18 and to seek an injunction. The type of enforcement  
19 process that we use is a penalty type of process in the  
20 cases of people who aren't carriers or broadcasters.  
21 In other words, people who don't hold licenses from the  
22 FCC were statutorily required, as a first item of  
23 business, to issue a citation to that entity.

24 The point of that requirement is to alert  
25 this entity that may not typically be, you know, aware

1 that it's operating in a regulated space that the FCC  
2 is involved in that we have to tell them, you're doing  
3 something that you're not allowed to do.

4 Then if they do it again after having been  
5 warned, then we have the power to go ahead and start  
6 penalty proceeding and the way that works and, not to  
7 get, you know, too bogged down in the nuts and bolts of  
8 FCC enforcement, is that we would issue something  
9 called a Notice of Apparent Liability, and it comes  
10 directly from the statutory enforcement procedures that  
11 the FCC has, where we tell the alleged wrongdoer what  
12 law they have violated, when we believe they did that,  
13 and what penalty we are proposing to impose for that  
14 violation.

15 They have an opportunity to respond to that.  
16 We then need to consider what they have to say in  
17 response and move forward with a forfeiture order that  
18 would either go ahead and impose the forfeiture that  
19 was proposed in the Notice of Apparent Liability, or  
20 NAL, or do some reduction if there is some merit to  
21 doing that, or I suppose you could cancel it. The 10  
22 actions that I've referred to are at various stages in  
23 the process, some of the NAL has been imposed, but we  
24 have not yet moved forward to a forfeiture order. In  
25 some cases, we've gone to the forfeiture order and in

1 some cases, there has been a consent decree with that  
2 alleged wrongdoer to resolve the matter in its  
3 entirety.

4 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. So no shortage of  
5 complaints. States are getting thousands, FCC is  
6 getting thousands, FTC is getting a couple hundred  
7 thousand each month. So I think the next question is  
8 really summarized wonderfully. I'm getting inundated  
9 by cards, thank you.

10 Why is Rachel still calling? I think that  
11 definitely pulls together the next topic of  
12 conversation. Why is enforcement so challenging? And  
13 let's start with FTC. Will?

14 MR. MAXSON: Sure. I mean, you've heard  
15 about a lot of the reasons already. We've talked about  
16 the network has changed. I guess the easiest thing to  
17 do might be to walk through the way the typical Rachel  
18 type call might happen.

19 So it might start, and frequently does, with  
20 we call a lead generator, sometimes a qualifier, but  
21 often it is a lead generator. It can be based anywhere  
22 in the world or anywhere in the United States. All  
23 they need is a computer and an internet connection with  
24 an autodialer company. Then the autodialer company  
25 then has a connection into group VOIP carriers into the

1 PST and network telephone network.

2           So the autodialer -- excuse me -- the lead  
3 generator is just trying to find people for these  
4 products or services, which are frequently going to be  
5 scams, these Rachel calls. The back end of it is  
6 frequently a scam. So they are just going to blast out  
7 calls to whomever.

8           We've heard some of these lead generators are  
9 just -- they're calling the phonebook. They are going  
10 sequentially down through numbers. They're just  
11 looking for bodies, a lot like email spam, because the  
12 costs are so much lower now. The startup costs are  
13 much lower, almost zero.

14           As Brad mentioned earlier, you can get  
15 dialing in a few hours now. You don't need a PBX. You  
16 don't need lots of copper lines. You don't even need a  
17 phone. You just need your computer and internet  
18 connection.

19           So they will send out these calls, going  
20 through an autodialer. They are just going to put them  
21 into the telephone network and they'll go out all over  
22 the country. And a very small percentage of people  
23 will end up answering and listening to the message.  
24 And the message -- it'll be like the one you may have  
25 heard earlier that the chairman received, the Rachel



1 call. It'll say press one if you're interested in  
2 lowering your credit card debt, press two to go on our  
3 Do Not Call list.

4 And if you press one, the call then will be  
5 routed to somewhere completely different. It can go to  
6 an outsourced boiler room that might be in India or  
7 Pakistan or California or Florida. It might go back to  
8 the lead generator. It might go to the company that is  
9 actually trying to pitch this scam to you.

10 Frequently, you will speak to a qualifier  
11 that will ask a few questions, whether you have at  
12 least \$10,000 of credit card debt, at least two credit  
13 cards, and then they might just hang up on you. They  
14 are calling with a spoofed caller ID number, and  
15 they're not going to give you a real name. They're  
16 going to use a name like card services or account  
17 services.

18 When you answer and you talk to them, you  
19 don't know anything about them. You think you know  
20 their phone number. You think you know the name. You  
21 think you know where they are because they might call  
22 from an area code even that's near you. In fact, they  
23 could be in Panama. They could be in India. They  
24 could be in California. They could be anywhere.

25 In some cases, the lead generator, they'll

1 just hang up on you then. They got your number, they  
2 got your name and they know that you're someone that is  
3 interested in reducing your credit card debt, they're  
4 going to sell that information to one, 10, 20, 30  
5 different scammers that are all going to try to call  
6 you and pitch debt relief services.

7           Sometimes, you will immediately get  
8 transferred somewhere else, somewhere else in the  
9 country or somewhere else in the world. Then they are  
10 going to go in and try to sell you how you need to pay  
11 \$500 or \$1000 to reduce your interest rates to zero on  
12 your credit cards or some sort of other outlandish  
13 scheme that isn't true.

14           Because those lead generators -- and those  
15 people can be based anywhere and they can spoof your  
16 caller ID -- that makes them much more difficult to  
17 find. They can also move extremely easily. In fact in  
18 many cases, those people don't have any connection to  
19 you whatsoever because you're not actually going to pay  
20 those people.

21           The people that you end up paying, the few  
22 that do, are the scammers that are actually pitching  
23 you this card services stuff, and those people may call  
24 you on a completely separate phone call. You may not  
25 even realize that the two are connected.

1           So the way that we work back to try to find  
2           the bad guys and file our enforcement actions is we do  
3           a number of different things. Usually what we do is we  
4           start out with the consumer complaints that we get  
5           because even though the caller ID is usually spoofed  
6           and it's fake and the name they've given is fake, you  
7           can still tease information out of those. You can  
8           still bring all of those complaints together and look  
9           for trends. Maybe they made a mistake in one  
10          particular call. Then you can connect all of those  
11          different complaints together.

12           For instance, just a few weeks ago, we filed  
13          an enforcement action in California against a company  
14          called Nelson Gamble that was making robocalls, making  
15          this sort of debt reduction, credit card reduction type  
16          claims we're talking about today. I know I spoke to  
17          consumers that began with consumer complaints. That's  
18          how one of the things that led to that investigation  
19          where those complaints, even though the caller ID  
20          number was probably spoofed, even the location is  
21          probably spoofed.

22           That's how we can help trace them back so we  
23          can look and see did someone pay money to someone. Did  
24          you pay \$500 for the credit card debt relief? If you  
25          did, then we can trace that money back and we can find

1 who you paid. Then if we bring an enforcement action  
2 and go in and shut down that company that you paid,  
3 then we can look through their documents and see who  
4 was doing the lead generation for them. Who was doing  
5 the robocalling for them? Who was the autodialer  
6 involved in the calls?

7 So we can go after everyone in the chain at  
8 that point, but it's lengthy. It takes time to build  
9 these cases, to find the information, to trace the  
10 money back and then go in and actually get a court  
11 order to shut down the company to their records to just  
12 then end up finding out who actually made in the  
13 initial robocalls that was the lead generation that  
14 kind of sparked the whole thing.

15 We can also trace the calls back through the  
16 network. As they talked about this morning, that can  
17 be very difficult, talking about routing calls through  
18 all sorts of different carriers all around the country.  
19 It takes time to go back to each one and say okay,  
20 where did this call come into your network from? Now  
21 we have to go back to the next one. Where did this  
22 call come into your network from?

23 We can do it and it helps locate the bad  
24 guys, in many cases, but it's a timely difficult  
25 process. We also use informants and former employees.

1 Not surprisingly, many of these bad guys don't treat  
2 their employees that well. They don't pay well. They  
3 don't give vacations, and they end up with some miffed  
4 employees. We love to hear from them. We do all the  
5 time.

6 For instance, in that Nelson Gamble case, we  
7 used information that we obtained from former employees  
8 who weren't happy with their former company, largely  
9 because they knew that bad that they were doing, and  
10 those former employees are an extremely valuable source  
11 of information when we trace back these calls and find  
12 the bad guys that are ultimately involved in these  
13 calls.

14 It takes time, but we can find them. What we  
15 do is we want to target those ones that are responsible  
16 for the most number of calls, the most bad. And when  
17 we do, we try to shut them down and get court orders to  
18 keep them from making those calls anymore.

19 We've got a lot of enforcement actions that I  
20 talked about already, a lot that have just been filed  
21 in the last few months, and there's a lot more in the  
22 works and keep tuned to [ftc.gov](http://ftc.gov) for more information as  
23 they come forward because I can assure you, more is  
24 coming.

25 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you, Will. General,

1 without giving away any state secrets, how do you find  
2 the bad guys?

3 MR. ZOELLER: Well, we've been very  
4 successful over the years. I've been told that it's  
5 past, I think, the wave of VoIP robocalls and cloud-  
6 based. So we're finding similar frustrations with  
7 spoof numbers and even where the numbers are valid,  
8 people aren't there. So we've gone through the same  
9 process we used to, but I will say that it's getting  
10 harder, with the new technology, to be as successful as  
11 we have been.

12 Some of the same things that Will talked  
13 about we're looking at. We are trying a couple of  
14 cases where the purchasers of the leads from lead  
15 generators are claiming that they did not cause the  
16 calls to be made, so we're going to be changing our  
17 statutes or proposing legislative changes that would  
18 allow us to get past that defense and require  
19 purchasers to verify that the leads were legally  
20 generated and not done through illegal robocalls.

21 We are also following up on another idea  
22 where similar to Will's suggestion that the boiler  
23 rooms don't treat people very well, we're going to  
24 initiate qui tam legislation that would allow anyone  
25 out there that might be working in a boiler room to

1 call. If it's really just about making money, they  
2 could probably make more money working with the Indiana  
3 Attorney General's Office in a qui tam case than they  
4 could be paid by the robocaller.

5 We have been successful working with some of  
6 our state partners in being a little more creative  
7 where -- even there is one example, I think that was  
8 down in Florida, where we thought we had run into a  
9 dead end, but some of the people cleaning up after the  
10 boiler room saw all of the, say the scripts from the  
11 boiler room and called a few people. The next thing we  
12 knew, we had a live case.

13 So we are still being very aggressive. I'll  
14 admit to more frustration with the ability to mask  
15 things and look forward to a little more help on the  
16 technological side to fight the new technologies that  
17 we're battling.

18 MR. BASH: I don't think I have a lot to add  
19 to what's already been said. Obviously, there are  
20 challenges in identifying who these folks are. You  
21 would hope that you could use the number that is  
22 showing up on somebody's caller ID to help you out in  
23 that regard, but I think we have heard over and over  
24 this morning, that's often not a good source of  
25 information.

1           You can try to work backwards from taking, if  
2 not the originating number but the terminating number  
3 and trying to trace back to get the point of origin in  
4 that manner, but as you've also heard from a number of  
5 different people today, that can be challenging and  
6 time consuming.

7           Folks that we work with, carriers that we  
8 need to talk to often are very responsive and helpful  
9 in a relatively short period of time, such as, you  
10 know, a day or two, but that still can be a long  
11 process when you're talking about needing to get in  
12 touch with people, several different carriers who have  
13 been involved in the transmission of the call along the  
14 way.

15           Something like Henning talked about this  
16 morning that would be great is to get better  
17 intelligence about the true call, if you will, all  
18 along the way and to have a very expedited compulsory  
19 process vehicle available to get the information very  
20 quickly.

21           I also want to mention that I think it a  
22 challenge, if you will, that we have at the FCC that is  
23 not necessarily shared but the FTC and the Indiana  
24 Attorney General is you heard me talk about the fining  
25 process, which is the typical process that we use.



1           Obviously, there is law in many places,  
2           outlawing the type of behavior that we've been talking  
3           about this morning. But the worst actors out there  
4           don't pay any attention to those laws. They may not  
5           pay any attention to a piece of paper from the FCC when  
6           we find them that says you're breaking the law, we're  
7           proposing a fine against you, here's how much the fine  
8           is going to be.

9           So I think we need to be looking at the other  
10          enforcement tools that are available to us in the  
11          statutes, although they do not permit us, as I said, to  
12          go directly into federal court and seek an injunction.  
13          We do have sort of our own administrative injunctive  
14          authority that would have to be enforced in court.  
15          There is a Permission of Communications Act where the  
16          Department of Justice can get involved at our request  
17          to seek injunctions to stop violations of the law that  
18          we enforce.

19          Just to circle back to the penalty, something  
20          that I wanted to just follow up on, I think that Brad  
21          had mentioned earlier this morning. He was referring  
22          to penalties of \$500 in the TCPA and \$1500. Those are  
23          the penalties that are available for, I believe,  
24          private rights of action by individuals in the statute  
25          that the consumer himself or herself can bring an

1 action to and join these types of practices or to get  
2 damages. States can do it as well, but the FCC's  
3 fining authority is bigger than was mentioned. We  
4 actually can impose \$16,000 per violation. So that  
5 means per call that is made, that's a violation. We  
6 could impose a \$16,000 fine. We, in fact, have done  
7 that in our most recent action.

8 The more common fine that we would impose is  
9 not quite that high. That's the one that we would  
10 impose where there are a lot of aggravating factors  
11 involved. So I guess the point I'm trying to make is  
12 we're using the authority that we have as aggressively  
13 as we have in terms of finding people, but I think we  
14 need to be retooling and looking at the other tools  
15 that we have in the Communications Act to address the  
16 problem as well.

17 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you.

18 MR. MAXSON: Along those lines as well, under  
19 the Telemarketing Sales Rule, we can go in and go into  
20 federal court and get orders to shut down businesses.  
21 As I mentioned though, sometimes that takes a while.  
22 So we are looking at ways to get into court faster so  
23 we can get into a judge almost immediately and say, we  
24 need to get an order to get these calls stopped and  
25 have these calls stopped going through the network.

1           Along those lines also, I can announce today  
2           that we've set up a honey pot with a significant number  
3           of phone numbers, numbers all over the country that  
4           come into our honey pot. The calls get answered and we  
5           record messages and take the information on the calls  
6           that are coming into our honey pot so that we can find  
7           out much faster who is actually making these calls and  
8           actually have the recordings in house so that we have  
9           evidence right there that will hopefully help us find  
10          these guys faster and file cases faster.

11           MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. I'm going to turn  
12          to some of the questions. There's no shortage of them.  
13          There's no way we can get through all of them in the  
14          remaining 15 or 20 minutes we have. We'll do the best  
15          we can. I'm going to liberally construe some and  
16          consolidate.

17           Let me start with the first one. Isn't it  
18          better for the consumer to stay on the line, engage in  
19          conversation, collect as much information as possible  
20          rather than hang up? General?

21           MR. ZOELLER: No. You know, for years, we've  
22          told people that, and I think there may still be some  
23          benefit with a live caller. The robocallers -- we're  
24          desperately trying to get the new word out that the  
25          longer that you stay on, the worse it is for you. So I

1 do think that since the spike in our complaints are  
2 robocall based, we need to get that word across very  
3 quickly that it's more a question of play the game of  
4 how quickly you can hang up.

5 MR. MAXSON: I think that's right. If they  
6 give you information, it's going to be fake  
7 information. The names they give you are going to be  
8 fake. You're not going to get anything out of it.  
9 Usually, that's not stuff we're going to be able to  
10 use.

11 Also though if you press one or two, whether  
12 it's one to talk to someone or two to be put on their  
13 Do Not Call list, because these calls are frequently  
14 coming from lead generators, they're very happy to have  
15 you press either number because they're not going to  
16 put you on their Do Not Call list. They've already  
17 broken the law by calling you with a sales-based  
18 robocall. They certainly don't have their own internal  
19 Do Not Call List that they're going to now honor.

20 What they do is then put you on more lead  
21 lists for people that are at home that have working  
22 phone numbers, that answer the phone, that listen to  
23 the message and press the number. So perversely,  
24 you'll end up getting even more calls that way.

25 That may be different if it's your school

1 district calling you and legitimate, you know, your  
2 doctor or something like that. But for a sales-based  
3 robocall, we tell consumers it's a mistake to press one  
4 or two, you should just hang up on them.

5 MR. BASH: I can tell you from -- I'll admit  
6 to personal experience that it's not particularly  
7 helpful. A number of years ago before I got involved  
8 in any of the robocall law enforcement that we're  
9 talking about today, where I received a number of phone  
10 calls. I dutifully pressed one to say, no please don't  
11 call me anymore. That did absolutely nothing, of  
12 course.

13 So then I decided to press two to talk to  
14 somebody about the product they were offering and that  
15 didn't help. That made more calls come to me. In  
16 fact, when you start trying to get some information  
17 that might be useful to law enforcement, the phone gets  
18 clipped down. So people are not interested in talking  
19 to you about anything like that.

20 MS. GREISMAN: Next we have a series of  
21 questions on FCC, FTC coordination and also state  
22 enforcement under the TSR and TCPA. How's it working?  
23 General, do you want to start us?

24 MR. ZOELLER: Sure. I think the states have  
25 banded together and again, the working group we go

1 through the National Association has been very  
2 effective. I think our relationship with the federal  
3 partners has been, let's say, as good as, maybe a  
4 little better than some federal agencies. At least, up  
5 until the last year and a half with the more  
6 technology.

7 We had a series of roundtable meetings around  
8 the State of Indiana to try to get some of our own  
9 issues in front of us so we could see what the state  
10 could be doing a little more creative use of our own  
11 state statutes and new authority, plus what things  
12 could be done at the federal level. Will was kind  
13 enough to come out for at least one of those.

14 I think in distinguishing -- you know  
15 there're a lot of things about where these phones --  
16 you know if you're going to blast out 10,000 calls a  
17 minute, they have to be dropped onto the system  
18 somewhere. We look at it like, I'm not a big fan of  
19 regulation just for the point of regulation, but if  
20 you're going to put 10,000 calls onto the system, it's  
21 probably worse than radio. Can we regulate it, license  
22 it, put it into some way that the FCC might really  
23 focus on blasting out calls that will ring your phone  
24 at home?

25 I can always turn the TV or the radio off so

1 I don't have to watch, you know, a dress malfunction or  
2 something, but I can't turn the phone off unless I'm  
3 just going to cut off my communication with my friends  
4 and family.

5 So we are looking for more help and quite  
6 frankly in most of the conversations around the  
7 roundtables, they were looking to the federal  
8 government for more help, even if it comes at the point  
9 of more regulation, at least protect my Hoosier friends  
10 who just want to take a nap.

11 MS. GREISMAN: Will?

12 MR. MAXSON: Yeah, cooperation certainly is  
13 helpful. At least from my own personal experience in  
14 the investigations and litigations we're involved in  
15 when the General mentioned the National Association of  
16 Attorney General working group that Indiana takes a bit  
17 part of and the FTC participates in. I know that that  
18 work group has been helpful, shared information.

19 There's lots of states that have been helpful  
20 and are actually actively working with us on active  
21 investigations, especially when you have boots on the  
22 ground, you are aware our targets can be extremely  
23 helpful. It's the same with respect to the FCC.

24 Obviously, you saw Henning here this morning,  
25 the FCC is here right now. We cooperate frequently

1 with them. I personally speak to the FCC frequently.  
2 We share complaint information and make sure that we're  
3 coordinating, not typically going after the same  
4 targets. So it's helpful. The more states and more  
5 help we get from other federal agencies, certainly the  
6 better, but it has been very helpful personally.

7 MR. BASH: As Will said, the FTC and the FCC  
8 respective staff who work in this area do have regular  
9 and periodic contact to share information. If people  
10 are concerned about duplication of efforts, I'm not  
11 sure if that was part of the question, but you've heard  
12 that we have different kinds of enforcement authority.  
13 I think that's something that would be taking into  
14 account in who might be the right entity to be pursuing  
15 a particular matter.

16 You've also heard that the rules, while there  
17 is a lot of overlap there, not necessary coextensive  
18 and without sharing confidential information that I of  
19 course can't talk about specifically, I can assure you  
20 that there are state folks who are in touch with us  
21 about different problems that they are experiencing.  
22 We are working with them where we can and it's  
23 appropriate to try to do what we can to deal with the  
24 problem.

25 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. Will, this one is



1 clearly for you. Under the TSR, does robocall  
2 including both autodialed and prerecorded calls?

3 MR. MAXSON: Yeah. Under the TSR, a robocall  
4 is a call that is going to be playing you a prerecorded  
5 message. So that's what it is. By definition, it's  
6 going to be autodialed. There isn't going to be  
7 someone sitting there on the phone pressing in a number  
8 to play that prerecorded message to you. So  
9 absolutely, it's the autodialed calls. What makes it a  
10 prerecorded call under our rule is the prerecorded  
11 message. The message has been recorded. It's on the  
12 computer and plays for you when you pick up the phone.  
13 It's not a live person you are talking to.

14 MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. We've had a lot of  
15 discussion about political calls and we did touch on it  
16 earlier, but there are a number of questions here, so  
17 it's worth repeating some of the territory. What are  
18 the two federal agencies doing to enforce robocall to  
19 cell phone ban by political organizations?

20 And I think you probably first want to  
21 address the question itself.

22 MR. BASH: So obviously if you're getting  
23 those kinds of calls that aren't legal, file a  
24 complaint with us. We, as I mentioned, we've had  
25 complaints about that. We have active matters that we

1 are looking into. Something you might be aware of to  
2 further get out the word and to remind people who want  
3 to comply with the law and who intend to comply with  
4 the law, what exactly the standards are.

5 We, from time to time, issue things that we  
6 call enforcement advisories that are really designed to  
7 highlight the agencies' work in a particular area and  
8 even more importantly to highlight what the rules of  
9 the road are in a particular area and to alert people  
10 that we're out here and available to receive their  
11 complaints. Just last month in September, given the  
12 political season that we're in right now, we issued an  
13 advisory on what the rules of the road are for  
14 political calls.

15 So we are trying to get the word out. We do  
16 have complaints. We are looking at complaints and stay  
17 tuned.

18 MS. GREISMAN: Will?

19 MR. MAXSON: In the Telemarketing Sales Rule,  
20 FTC's rule that crucial question basically boils down  
21 to whether a call is part of a campaign to try to sell  
22 you something. So if it's a call from the Romney  
23 campaign or the Obama campaign, that wouldn't fit  
24 within our definition because they are not trying to  
25 sell you something. Maybe they're trying to get you to

1 vote for them, but you're not going to presumably pay  
2 them money for a service.

3 Survey calls, those types of calls, also fall  
4 in that same issue. They're not trying to sell you  
5 something. Now, there are people that have gone out  
6 and tried to make sort of mask their sales calls as a  
7 political survey or something like that. Those calls  
8 are covered and we're absolutely aware of those.

9 MR. ZOELLER: I'll just throw in kind of  
10 unsolicited, our prohibition for political calls has  
11 been very successful over the 10 years that I've been  
12 involved in our office. Even though we've had a number  
13 of legal challenges and still go through it, it's a  
14 pretty strong legal argument that particularly as it  
15 comes to blasting out tens of thousands of these calls  
16 to people who don't want them in their home.

17 So the fact that we've got federal statutes  
18 on the cell phone, I still think that we're going to be  
19 a winner on this idea that you cannot call people at  
20 home to try to get a political free speech, although  
21 that's what the Seventh Circuit is still looking at.

22 Our argument is very strong that it's  
23 regulating the time and place. It's not going to be  
24 done over the phone in Indiana, unless the Seventh  
25 Circuit disagrees.

1           MS. GREISMAN: Thank you. Couple of  
2 questions on the same issue, what's the magic number of  
3 complaints to trigger law enforcement?

4           MR. BASH: I don't think there is a magic  
5 number. I think it's contextual in a lot ways.

6           MR. MAXSON: I would say the same thing.  
7 Most of our cases start out looking at complaints. We  
8 look at the complaints every day, all the time.  
9 They're incredibly useful and we put everything into  
10 context. We look at what kind of evidence do we have?  
11 Do we have informants? Can we figure out where these  
12 people are? Are they in the United States? What are  
13 they doing? What kind of calls are they making?  
14 What's the volume? Are they stealing money from  
15 people? All those sorts of things go into us figuring  
16 out who can we go after with our enforcement resources  
17 and stop the most number of calls.

18          MR. ZOELLER: At least in Indiana, you know,  
19 by the time you've hit the fifth complaint, it has  
20 already been triggered up the line. Again, you might  
21 have one complaint that really leads you to some very  
22 strong evidence. So, it doesn't take much at the state  
23 level.

24          MS. GREISMAN: And, General, staying with  
25 you, there's a question about criminal prosecution at

1 the state level. Any success?

2 MR. ZOELLER: Well, I don't know about  
3 criminal prosecution because our office has civil, so  
4 we would have to turn that over to local prosecutors or  
5 the U.S. Attorney. We haven't been very good about,  
6 say, being draconian on fines.

7 We've had a number of very large fines. I  
8 think a lot of, let's call it the legitimate  
9 telemarketing industry has a gold star next to Indiana  
10 essentially is not worth the cost of doing business.  
11 So whether you're on the Do Not Call or not, at least  
12 up until VoIP, we've been very successful just using  
13 the civil penalties. If I catch Rachel, I will  
14 certainly look for a criminal statute.

15 MS. GREISMAN: Next question we have touching  
16 too many nerves. Do the federal rules supersede the  
17 state ones on autodialing?

18 MR. MAXSON: No.

19 MS. GREISMAN: Shall we move on?

20 MR. BASH: I will just say that I think there  
21 are some open questions that have been filed at the FCC  
22 on that topic and I don't believe the Agency has  
23 addressed those questions, and I don't think I should  
24 say anymore about that.

25 MR. ZOELLER: We would be inclined to have a

1 hearing though.

2 MS. GREISMAN: One more question. Can  
3 somebody explain exactly what an autodialer is? Eric?

4 MR. BASH: I will tell you what the statute  
5 says it is. It is equipment that has the capacity to  
6 store or produce telephone numbers to be called using a  
7 random or sequential number generator. That is that  
8 statutory definition and also the definition in our  
9 rules of what an autodialer is. Hopefully that is  
10 helpful.

11 MS. GREISMAN: Well, we're going to actually  
12 end just five minutes early. There are a lot more  
13 questions here, but these are requests for legal  
14 opinions and staff opinion letters. I know there are a  
15 bunch of lawyers sitting out there and you all know  
16 there is a better vehicle than this format. I  
17 encourage you to take us up on it.

18 In any event, I appreciate your attention,  
19 and please let's give a round of applause for our  
20 participants. I also have a notice that somebody left  
21 a red Verizon LG phone. Please see somebody at the  
22 registration desk to claim it.

23 (Applause.)

24 (Whereupon at 12:20 p.m., a luncheon recess  
25 was taken.)

## 1 A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N

2 - - - - -

3 (1:25 p.m.)

## 4 CALLER ID SPOOFING AND AUTHENTICATION TECHNOLOGY

5 MS. GREISMAN: So we're going to shift gears  
6 a bit this afternoon. This morning we looked at the  
7 state of the industry, the state of the law, and today  
8 we're going to look at what's happening on the  
9 technological side. So we've got several panels that  
10 are going to take an in-depth look at what's available  
11 on the marketplace to date, what seems to be on the  
12 horizon, what's working well, what's not working so  
13 well or that could be tweaked a bit, and then we have  
14 an announcement later by David Vladeck.

15 So without further ado, I'm going to turn  
16 over this panel to Kati Daffan.

17 MS. DAFFAN: Hi. So our first panel of the  
18 afternoon is going to look at the problems of caller ID  
19 spoofing and call authentication and try to dig down a  
20 little bit into the technology and potential solutions  
21 in this arena.

22 We have an extremely distinguished panel  
23 here. I am going to just let you know who they are.  
24 They'll tell you how they fit into problem solving in  
25 this space. You've already heard from Henning

1 Schulzrinne from the FCC. We also have Adam Panagia,  
2 who is the director of AT&T's Network Fraud  
3 Investigations. Patrick Cox is the CEO of a company  
4 called TrustID, and Vijay Balasubramaniyan is the CEO  
5 and co-founder of Pindrop Security.

6 So without further ado, I will turn it over  
7 to Henning.

8 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Good afternoon. I want to  
9 start out by describing a few possibilities that might  
10 emerge as we transition to all the requirements so that  
11 we can better secure an infrastructure that we all rely  
12 on.

13 Our focus here is clearly on robocalls. I do  
14 want to point out that there are many other problems  
15 that occur due to particular spoofing on caller IDs.  
16 Individual fraud, phishing attacks where individuals  
17 are targeted, not by robocalls, but by criminals who  
18 want to obtain items of value, whether it be their  
19 password or be it banking transactions are also enabled  
20 by the same fraudsters.

21 First of all, caller ID spoofing itself is  
22 illegal if it is used for purposes of intending to  
23 defraud, cause harm, or wrongfully obtain anything of  
24 value. It is not illegal, as there are applications of  
25 caller ID spoofing that are seen as at least harmless



1 or, in some cases, desirable.

2 The classical example of that is a doctor  
3 using his or her mobile phone, who obviously does not  
4 want to reveal that phone number to the patient he or  
5 she might be calling and wants any return call to be  
6 returned to the doctor's office, not to their personal  
7 cell phone.

8 In that case, the person is a legitimate user  
9 of that number, but is not using a device that is  
10 assigned that phone number. There are various women's  
11 shelters and so on, where one can make a case that this  
12 serves a legitimate purpose but in a very restricted  
13 fashion.

14 So generally speaking, in our case, certainly  
15 caller ID spoofing would generally be considered  
16 against the Caller ID Act of 2009 because it's  
17 generally used with the intent to defraud or cause harm  
18 or other damage. Let's look at what we can do. There  
19 are really two techniques at the numbering level that I  
20 think deserve closer scrutiny.

21 The other techniques that some of my co-  
22 panelists I believe will talk about, which take a  
23 larger view of the overall ecosystem as to how we can  
24 identify possible malicious calls, robocalls, in  
25 general, that don't necessarily rely on the numbering

1 information. But numbering information, as I pointed  
2 out in the earlier presentation, is crucial if we want  
3 to have black lists and white lists, both for an  
4 individual basis as well as on a larger scale basis.

5           The first mechanism is the authentication of  
6 the number itself, currently because if a system, as  
7 Steve Bellovin pointed out in the morning, was  
8 designed, if you like, in the pre-cryptography era.  
9 They were trusted entities and for a variety of  
10 technical reasons, it really wasn't feasible to process  
11 enough data to assign calls. All of this meant that  
12 there's surprising little cryptographic information, if  
13 any at all, in the traditional landline system. It's a  
14 little different in the cellular system.

15           Number authentication, the way it would work  
16 is that if you have a call record coming in -- and I'm  
17 showing it here on the slide an example of a pretty  
18 good approximation of what a VoIP would look like. It  
19 looks kind of like email, but it contains, essentially,  
20 information with either your telephone number or a user  
21 name and date and other information related to that.

22           Since about 2004, we've had technology  
23 available that allows us to sign these records, whether  
24 it's public/private key pair, similar to what we would  
25 use through email, or more familiarly, a webpage. We

1 can use that technology, again, it's not widely  
2 deployed at the moment, but it is not a standard  
3 challenge, it is a deployment challenge.

4 If we look at caller identification, we  
5 really have two kinds of cases. I think it's helpful  
6 to look at those separately.

7 The first point is that we have known  
8 callers, your grandma calling. I have talked to them  
9 before. I know their phone number. They're in my  
10 address book. I've had previous contact with them  
11 because they have sent me email with their phone number  
12 attached and so on. I can recognize those.

13 We have to do a better job of automating  
14 recognizing the good callers so that we have a lesser  
15 challenge of identifying the bad ones. But we also  
16 have a number of legitimate calls where we wouldn't  
17 necessarily recognize the caller ID, even if it is  
18 certified in some way.

19 What we do care about in that case is not so  
20 much what is the phone number that is coming from what  
21 purports to be the credit card agency, but is it really  
22 Visa or MasterCard or the bank that I have, as opposed  
23 to somebody who is trying to do me harm.

24 I don't care about the name of a person who  
25 is calling. That doesn't really matter to me. It's

1 just another staff person. What matters is, is it a  
2 bank or is it the Social Security Administration or  
3 whoever it happens to be. That, I think, is a problem  
4 that we also need to solve, namely, identifying  
5 securely the entity that we have.

6 We've been looking at opportunities to look  
7 at what's known as attribute validation; namely,  
8 validating the attributes of callers that we couldn't  
9 do before in the traditional telephone number, but now  
10 we can.

11 Where, for example, an entity would contact -  
12 - and this goes back, again, to one of the panels in  
13 the morning -- a legitimate mass caller, now our theme,  
14 would be able to obtain a credential of a trusted  
15 entity, such as a government agency, a school district,  
16 something that I would recognize as a recipient of a  
17 call.

18 They would be able to convey that information  
19 and say, yeah, I believe I'm entitled to that. And if  
20 you don't believe me, because you have never met me, go  
21 contact this trusted entity, a webpage of, say, a  
22 school district, and they will vouch for me and say,  
23 yes, I'm acting truly on their behalf, as opposed to  
24 I'm just pretending to be a school district or  
25 pretending to be the Social Security Administration.

1 And then I can use standard web-based authentication  
2 techniques to validate that this is indeed an entity  
3 that is allowed to speak for that particular call.

4 So there is a mechanism, again, where the  
5 call itself just simply contains a vouching piece of  
6 information which is invalidated to somebody else. We  
7 are currently exploring that technology. It is not a  
8 standard yet, but it illustrates the kind of techniques  
9 that we might be able to use to go beyond just simply  
10 validating numbers.

11 In general, we have an opportunity, now that  
12 we have cryptographic capabilities, in end systems --  
13 no more dumb phones -- that can validate certificates  
14 just like your web browser can. We have an all IP path  
15 increasingly that can carry additional information and  
16 a much more extensive system than we had before in the  
17 old days, a seven system. With those two facets,  
18 there's really no excuse not to have a validated,  
19 traceable origin authentication phone calls.

20 With that, I hand it over to Adam.

21 MR. PANAGIA: Good afternoon. First off, I  
22 want to thank the FTC for inviting me to speak on this  
23 panel. This is a serious and growing issue for the  
24 industry. I believe that the people in this room and  
25 the people listening to the broadcast really need to

1 get together, whether it be law enforcement,  
2 regulators, carriers, technology companies to kind of  
3 join forces to figure out how we need to solve  
4 malicious spoofing and malicious autodialer or  
5 robocalling issues.

6 My name is Adam Panagia and I'm the director  
7 with AT&T's Network Fraud Investigation Team. My team  
8 is responsible for prevention, detection and deterrents  
9 of fraudulent schemes that are perpetrated against AT&T  
10 and its customers.

11 Let me give you a little background on how we  
12 get involved and how I got the thankless job of looking  
13 at robocalling investigations. We deal with  
14 traditional toll fraud issues. We deal with identity  
15 theft issues, subscription fraud where customers sign  
16 up for service on our network with no intention of  
17 paying for the bill. We deal with account takeover  
18 issues. And then I have a separate team that deals  
19 with intercarrier compensation fraud. This is where  
20 telephone companies are sending traffic back and forth  
21 and trying to do something with the record. So they  
22 either inflate the expense that another carrier would  
23 owe or they bypass revenue or expense obligations.

24 Given the fact that we have these tools in  
25 place and the systems that we use, we process about

1 four billion call records per day. So some carriers  
2 are looking for a needle in a haystack. We're looking  
3 for needles in stacks of needles.

4 Huge amounts of volume of data that we're  
5 looking through continuously. So since we have some of  
6 those skill sets to look at traditional fraud type  
7 operations, about five to seven years ago we were  
8 tapped to start looking at robocall-type activities and  
9 malicious spoofing activities as well.

10 I'm going to pass a couple of these because  
11 they were covered earlier. I just want to really focus  
12 on this definition because customers, people who come  
13 to me and say, Adam, why don't you just identify the  
14 spoofing activity and why don't you just block it? You  
15 know, you're the phone company. You can do that.  
16 There's technology out there.

17 Well, you know, it's very, very difficult for  
18 us to identify a spoofed call, especially real time.  
19 Now, after the fact, we have techniques that can go and  
20 positively identify whether a call has been spoofed or  
21 not. But as the call is traversing the network and  
22 transiting the network, we don't really have a way to  
23 identify that. Now, some of my colleagues on the panel  
24 will probably speak to some solutions they may have in  
25 certain areas.

1           The other thing is that there is a challenge  
2   to identify it. Now you're talking about blocking it.  
3   There are crazy things being thrown around like let's  
4   have this spoofed number list that everybody has and  
5   everybody blocks. Well, I can't tell you how many  
6   times I get customers -- they may be large financial  
7   institutions; they may be government institutions --  
8   that come to me and say Adam, my number is being  
9   spoofed. You've got to do something. You got to block  
10  this. And we say okay; we have to research it first  
11  because we don't just block, we thoroughly investigate  
12  everything.

13           So as we're looking through this, we find out  
14  that that bank actually contracted with a third party  
15  and gave them permission to spoof out their number on  
16  some telemarketing campaign. But the person at the  
17  bank that was talking to me didn't know that. So the  
18  left hand didn't know what the right hand was doing  
19  there.

20           One of the things that we'd like to do is  
21  really thoroughly investigate the spoofing activity  
22  before we take any action. I'll get into some of the  
23  actions that we can take in a moment. The other thing  
24  is there have been a lot of discussions surrounding  
25  some of the spoofing capabilities that are out there,



1 some of the legitimate reasons that you're going to  
2 spoof and some of the more malicious reasons.

3 Well, another interesting kind of play here  
4 is AT&T may contract with a third party to perform  
5 customer service and we'll give them permission. We  
6 like to say spoofing with permission. That's what  
7 we're calling legitimate spoofing versus spoofing  
8 maliciously, where nobody has permission to actually  
9 send those calls or deliver that hand for the network.

10 Now I'm going to move into the more malicious  
11 spoofing. This is the definition here, the practice of  
12 sending false or misleading information so as to  
13 deceive the receiving party and hide the caller's true  
14 identity or call origination. So this is what the  
15 malicious robocallers are doing. They are not only  
16 spoofing random numbers, they're spoofing numbers of  
17 our customers. I'll get into a little bit of what that  
18 does.

19 They're not only spoofing 10-digit numbers,  
20 they're spoofing 16-digit numbers. They're spoofing  
21 three-digit numbers. I've seen calls come across as  
22 007 as the originating number. So they have these  
23 super computers that are tied to VoIP networks that are  
24 programmable. They can do whatever they want.

25 I'm going to kind of dive in here a little

1 bit and just try to give you a high level of  
2 understanding of what a robocall flow looks like. I'm  
3 going to dive a little bit deeper, again, into the  
4 trenches a bit on how these calls traverse the network;  
5 how they multiple-carrier hop, and how there are  
6 multiple protocols.

7           Before I get to that, when you look at this  
8 black box that says, "Mass calling generator and  
9 spoofing capabilities," what we're seeing on the  
10 network, what's coming to my team to investigate are  
11 call bursts of, within four hours, we're looking at 10,  
12 20, 30 million calls going out across the network  
13 within hours. It's not targeting particular states.  
14 They're marching through MPA and XXs or area codes and  
15 exchanges.

16           So you have over here in D.C., 202-456-0000,  
17 10999. That's a 10,000 block of numbers. We watch  
18 them march through every single number. They don't  
19 know, necessarily, who they're targeting. They're  
20 targeting wireless customers, traditional landline  
21 customers, VoIP customers and multiple different  
22 carriers that own those numbers.

23           So what we're really seeing is an egregious  
24 attempt to either deny somebody service with these  
25 robocalls. We're seeing that they're trying to sell

1 some underground or worthless product, as was discussed  
2 before.

3 Let me just go through this call flow very  
4 quickly. The mass calling company, the black box and  
5 the robocaller box is really one in the same. That's  
6 just really the traffic pump, if you will. As the  
7 robocaller gets service, and as I explained earlier,  
8 the service is very cheap, easy, fast to get.

9 The robocaller will typically have an  
10 arrangement with one provider. In this example, the  
11 robocaller has an arrangement with Provider A. So this  
12 robocaller can be anywhere in the world. Basically,  
13 Provider A said send all your traffic to this IP  
14 address and let it go. So the robocaller starts  
15 generating this traffic and it goes out to Provider A.  
16 That connection is Voice over Internet, what Henning  
17 was discussing before.

18 Provider A may have a PSTN connection, a  
19 Public Switch Telephone Network connection, to Provider  
20 B. So now Provider A converted that from a SIP or a  
21 VoIP protocol into a traditional circuit connection and  
22 went over to Provider B.

23 Provider B may then convert that call back to  
24 VoIP again to C. C converts it back to the circuit  
25 base and then it gets over the interconnect arrangement

1 to AT&T. So now we're getting this call when we  
2 deliver the so-called last mile to our business or  
3 consumer or wireless customer there. So now we're  
4 going to work this backwards.

5 So now you've got a customer, or multiple  
6 customers, or hundreds of thousands of customers that  
7 have this strange caller ID that they don't recognize.  
8 They've got some kind of automated announcement and  
9 then the complaints start coming in to all of the  
10 agencies. Now law enforcement or the FTC or the FCC  
11 need to get involved. So what can they do?

12 AT&T, in this particular instance, can only  
13 see that the traffic came from Provider C. Folks think  
14 that we can see all the way back to the robocaller and  
15 that's just not the case. When a legal demand is  
16 submitted to AT&T, we'll say yeah, it came from  
17 Provider C because we know that. We have the  
18 interconnect. We don't care if the number is spoofed  
19 because we know that it came from Provider C; we know  
20 their name. Now, that happened to be a circuit  
21 connection.

22 Law enforcement has to go to Provider C.  
23 They may say to law enforcement, okay; great. I'm not  
24 too sure about the number, but my records show that  
25 this came from IP address 123xyz. So now law

1 enforcement has got to go, oh, God, now I got to go  
2 chase an IP address. So they chase the IP address.  
3 And if they're lucky enough, they're going to get back  
4 to Provider A, who was another circuit-based  
5 connection. So I'm just trying to highlight the manual  
6 difficulty of tracing these calls all the way back.

7 Now, it's been done. It needs to be done  
8 faster. Having spoken about the Truth in Caller ID  
9 Act, if we can find that these guys are defrauding and  
10 getting something of value in using spoofing technology  
11 and we can trace it back faster, I think that's one of  
12 the ways we can get some of the bad guys off the street  
13 in these particular instances.

14 Last slide; this is kind of how my team sits  
15 in the network. When we get that heads up that there's  
16 a spoofing event or there's a mass-calling event, we  
17 typically get them from our wireless knot. We get them  
18 from our global network operation center. We do take  
19 complaints if there's enough of them aggregated. But  
20 this is where we're sitting. My team is sitting in  
21 this little box called local service provider. And  
22 that's just one of our networks, right.

23 As far as the local service provider, now,  
24 the mass caller sends these 10 million calls out. He's  
25 linked up with one VoIP provider. Well, that VoIP

1 provider can't handle 10 million calls, so they have  
2 redundant routes. They have overflow routes. So the  
3 VoIP provider sends it to Provider 1, 2, 3, and they  
4 send it to G, E, B, and A. So they're sending it to  
5 four or five different carriers. Then those carriers  
6 are sending to other carriers.

7 (Brief technical difficulty with facility  
8 audio system.)

9 MR. PANAGIA: So as we're sitting in that  
10 box, that local service provider box, we are watching  
11 traffic come in from seven different carriers. Now,  
12 that's our local service. All right. We're the  
13 incumbent provider in 22 states. We're the dominant  
14 provider. We also have a national CLEC network. So we  
15 have these switches and service across these networks.  
16 We also have a huge wireless network with a hundred  
17 million plus customers. We also have a vast  
18 international network.

19 So take that box and multiply it by five and  
20 then multiply it by however many carriers are coming  
21 in, I'm seeing this traffic come in from 24 or 25  
22 different carriers. What we try to do to help our  
23 customers and help our network is we measure the  
24 traffic. We try to find the carriers that are  
25 delivering the most traffic across our network, in

1 total, and reach out to those carriers and ask them to  
2 cease and desist any illegal spoofing or robocalling  
3 activity.

4           So that's kind of what it looks like when  
5 we're -- you know, this is very basic diagram. It's  
6 kind of what it looks like in our world. I'll just  
7 mention one other thing because I think I'm running out  
8 of time here. You know, protecting our customers,  
9 protecting our network is really at the forefront of  
10 what we do.

11           Many times, that black box is sending out one  
12 of our customer's numbers. So if our customer's number  
13 goes out to tens of thousands or millions of telephone  
14 numbers, these people start getting curious and they  
15 call the numbers back.

16           What does that do to our customer? It  
17 actually deploys what we call a telepathy denial of  
18 service attack on our customers. So everybody out  
19 there that gets these phone calls and you're calling a  
20 number back, you may be calling an innocent customer  
21 that the bad guy used to spoof its number on the caller  
22 ID, and those we take very serious because we have  
23 customers that have had their phone numbers for a year,  
24 20 years, 30 years, 70 years. Now they can't use their  
25 phone because every time they pick it up, a new phone

1 call is coming in from a curious person that received  
2 an autodialing with their caller ID.

3 That's just one example of what we're seeing,  
4 but I'm going to move on to the next panelist.

5 MR. COX: I'm Pat Cox. I'm the CEO of a  
6 company called TrustID. We're based out of Portland,  
7 Oregon. I'm happy to be here today. Thanks, Kati, for  
8 having us out here. I'll kind of start with the end in  
9 mind. I don't have a solution that deploys easily at a  
10 consumer level, but the great news is that we're coming  
11 through with some really high quality solutions at an  
12 enterprise level. We can really determine when a call  
13 is valid and when a call is invalid for large-scale  
14 business users. So it's a step in the right direction.

15 What we focus on is what is helping companies  
16 today, serve their customers and not serve our  
17 criminals' needs. Pretty simple concept. Really, the  
18 way we do that -- I think it's been addressed to a  
19 great extent today -- the problem with the way we do  
20 that is by analyzing the originating source of the call  
21 in real time, before the call is answered, to determine  
22 whether the call is coming into a bank or a large call  
23 center, a utility company, or whatever it may be, is  
24 real or is not real.

25 Obviously, up to about 2004, this wasn't such



1 a major concern. The internet had not yet connected in  
2 a very deep and meaningful way with the telephone  
3 system. When that happened, however, almost every  
4 thread that we're aware of on the internet is now  
5 making its way over into the telephone network, which  
6 is a really very different landscape than the  
7 traditional telecommunications enterprise, large-scale  
8 business and us, as consumers, with phones themselves,  
9 are used to. We're used to being able to trust the  
10 information that came in, back when the telephone  
11 numbers were a closed, trusted, certified network. Not  
12 the case any longer.

13           How do we do what we do? This slide is a  
14 little odd, but hopefully we can get it there. Step 1:  
15 A call comes into, let's say, a financial institution,  
16 a call center. The carrier doesn't change, so if Adam  
17 is routing a call from the client into the bank, that  
18 stays the same.

19           Step 2 for us here is that the call center,  
20 because they've got a large PBX system and they've got  
21 specialized trunking that they probably get access to  
22 information called ANI, A-N-I, which is a bit different  
23 than caller ID, caller ID is a little easier to spoof.  
24 Not a lot. ANI is pretty easy to spoof, too, but a  
25 little tougher. ANI will come on most callers, whereas

1 caller ID can be blocked.

2 As citizens, we have the right to say we  
3 don't want to transmit our phone numbers. We block it  
4 for privacy reasons. But ANI, when you're calling an  
5 800 number and the bank receives the call, for example,  
6 the bank is paying for it, right. They're paying for  
7 the toll. So they have some right to see who they're  
8 paying the toll for. It's like someone knocks on your  
9 door and you have the right to see who's there before  
10 you let them in.

11 That's how the ANI information comes in. We  
12 get that ANI information sent over to us as soon as  
13 that call hits their number. So it's even before the  
14 call is answered. What we then do is look at the  
15 network -- as a carrier, the network ourselves -- and  
16 determine the validity of the call. Is the call real?  
17 Is it the claimed ANI -- we call it a claim, right,  
18 because it used to be an identification factor, but now  
19 it's just a claim.

20 Is the claimed ANI real? Is that cell phone,  
21 is that landline phone, is that Voice over IP device,  
22 or is that payphone, or whatever it might be calling?

23 In many cases, of course, we'll see that the  
24 numbers are pager number. Well, pagers can't place  
25 outbound calls for the most part. It's a good hint

1 that something's wrong. But we delve much deeper than  
2 that into the network, make a determination, in real  
3 time, as to whether the call is good or bad. Simple  
4 measure, green or red we call it.

5           Once we have that answer, we send that  
6 information back, that trust metric, if you will, back  
7 to the call center or the bank or the large institution  
8 with the big PBX and these fancy PRI lines, and so on,  
9 that give them that ANI information that we need to  
10 have to do our work and let them know.

11           They can then take that one step further and  
12 say well, now we know it's a real call. It's a green  
13 call. Does that number match the number on file? Do  
14 we have a fraud flag? Is it on a watch list? All sort  
15 of analytics can come into play to help authenticate  
16 that caller. So it's a powerful solution for caller  
17 authentication, but the other side of the puzzle,  
18 really, is not just the green.

19           The good news is no matter how big the  
20 problem is that the super majority of the calls that  
21 are being made are still good, most of its good. But  
22 that small slice, that small segment, the red slice we  
23 call it, isn't always bad. I think Adam made that  
24 point. In many cases it's the bank delegating some  
25 survey, or whatever it might be, to a third party and

1 they send the number off because they really are  
2 representing that bank.

3 The number has been changed. We can tell  
4 that. We'll say this isn't coming from the claimed  
5 source. So it would be red, but it doesn't mean it's  
6 always bad. It doesn't mean it's always malicious,  
7 which makes for the challenge we have.

8 At that point, if you start blocking the  
9 transactions, blocking the calls, we might be blocking  
10 a highly important emergency alert call. It might be  
11 blocking a call from your son in Iraq. It's  
12 problematic because the numbers change and the network  
13 sometimes do things when you roam within cellular  
14 towers because we're looking at the ANI. We're looking  
15 at that ANI, the billing number. A lot of it has to do  
16 with money. So numbers are changed to make sure the  
17 right parties get paid, but we can tell them if it is  
18 green or red.

19 So it's highly powerful for being able to say  
20 this 95 percent of your call flow, bank, is trustworthy  
21 and you know it's good. Now, the good news is that the  
22 red segment becomes the needle in the haystack, versus  
23 the needle in the needles.

24 So not every slice of red will be bad, but  
25 now we can shrink that pull-down and say okay, look in

1 this segment of calls, that's where the criminals will  
2 be. I mean, no criminal in their right mind robs a  
3 bank without a mask or a baseball hat or a pair of  
4 sunglasses or something.

5 So why would you rip off a bank or some other  
6 institution by calling from your true home number? It  
7 just wouldn't happen. The police would be there in a  
8 few minutes and it's over with.

9 So this is where the fraud is. This is where  
10 the criminals are. But just because it's red, doesn't  
11 mean it's bad, but that's where it would be. That's  
12 really what our technology can deliver to enterprises.  
13 We really don't have a fantastic way today of  
14 transitioning that into a consumer environment, but  
15 obviously we continue to look at ways to do it.  
16 Obviously it would be a powerful tool if you could.  
17 That's what we have today.

18 So I'll pass it over to Vijay.

19 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: Hi. I'm Vijay  
20 Balasubramaniyan, the CEO and co-founder at Pindrop  
21 Security. A little bit of background before I get into  
22 my presentation. Before coming to the U.S., I did my  
23 undergrad in India and worked for a long time at  
24 Siemens, where I wrote telecom-switching software. So  
25 I know the old style telecom system really well.

1           I also worked at Google, where I wrote the  
2           scale algorithms for the Google video chat products.  
3           So I know the new age Voice over IP kind of systems  
4           well, too. I came here to do my Ph.D. I got my Ph.D.  
5           from Georgia Tech in the Information Security Center.  
6           So I'm very well aware of web security, email security,  
7           and my focus area was telecommunication security. We  
8           founded Pindrop Security based on Ph.D. research that I  
9           had done.

10           With that in mind, before I start off, I  
11           mean, you've heard a lot of our caller ID spoofing.  
12           This is information from our phone fraud report, where  
13           we are constantly monitoring what the kind of fraud  
14           activity a lot of these bad actors are doing. And  
15           we're able to have that kind of visibility, largely  
16           because of our customer base. The fact that we are  
17           actively monitoring the email, the web, and our own  
18           honey-potting infrastructure to identify we know  
19           fraudsters.

20           We, right now, have the world's largest  
21           database of these fraudsters. So what we're able to do  
22           is we're able to identify what kind of activity they're  
23           up to. And as you can see, one of the biggest things  
24           is that the activity is constantly increasing, right.

25           This year alone, the activity has increased

1 by about 30 percent. Also, you know, most recently --  
2 well, yesterday we dropped the report for tutoring this  
3 year and it shows the same print. It's going up. It's  
4 going up by 30 percent. The reason I put in facts is  
5 because I love facts. Data is never wrong, it always  
6 tells you where to go.

7 The other thing is our technology allows us,  
8 just by listening to the audio, identify what type of  
9 device was being used on that call. So we have  
10 fingerprinted a lot of these fraudsters. Identified  
11 what kind of devices they're using, and the large  
12 majority of them use Voice over IP. There's about a 40  
13 -- I think it's 46 percent of Voice over IP systems  
14 that are being used by these fraudsters.

15 The reason that they're using Voice over IP -  
16 - I mean, we've talked about it a lot -- is Voice over  
17 IP allows you to be anonymous, allows you to make it  
18 largely automatic, and it's extremely inexpensive. So  
19 these are the reasons that they are always gravitating  
20 towards Voice over IP.

21 In addition, there are service providers who  
22 actually allow you to pick a number every time. So for  
23 example, if you are targeting people in Washington,  
24 D.C., you can actually pick a 202 area code and say I'm  
25 calling from your local branch. You know, I really

1 need you to give me this information, otherwise I'm  
2 going to shut your account down. And that's a very  
3 powerful way for a fraudster to attack you. And we've  
4 seen a lot of this.

5 Finally, it doesn't matter if you're in a  
6 high-density population or a low-density population.  
7 These fraudsters are going after people everywhere.  
8 Because of all the data that we have, we have some very  
9 interesting analysis.

10 For example, until the beginning of this year  
11 we found a lot of fraudsters were using phone numbers  
12 from a really remote part in New Hampshire. That part  
13 has a population of 253 people, but when they were  
14 assigned number blocks they were given 10,000 numbers.  
15 So there are not enough people for numbers. So it's  
16 very easy to obtain those numbers in bulk.

17 Right now it's actually moved all the way to  
18 the west coast. There is this county called Tillamook  
19 County, which is up in Oregon where Pat is from. They  
20 are known for they are known for their trees and their  
21 cheese. Nothing else. There are not many people, but  
22 a lot of fraudsters are picking numbers from there. So  
23 all this data allows us to really understand what  
24 they're doing.

25 So now comes what we do. So the funny thing



1 is because it's Voice over IP, there's an app for  
2 caller ID spoofing, too. You can use their app and you  
3 can pretend to be anyone. Anti-spoofing is not harder,  
4 especially considering what Adam said, the network  
5 actually travels through so many networks in between.  
6 It's very hard to find out what the source is. It's  
7 extremely hard to identify.

8 Fraudsters have been around for a very, very  
9 long time. They've used these techniques in the  
10 internet world really, really well. Now you've just  
11 said I'm going to open up the phone network for the  
12 internet world. So they don't have to change their  
13 tactics, they just figure out how to make it work.

14 So what does Pindrop do? Pindrop, right now,  
15 we are an enterprise. We provide solutions for  
16 enterprises. You know, we have financial institutions  
17 as customers. So right now financial institutions use  
18 just knowledge-based authentication questions.

19 The reason it's important to understand, you  
20 know, we're talking about consumers, but the fact is  
21 that a lot of these fraudsters are getting your  
22 important identity information to essentially go  
23 withdraw it from your bank account or withdraw it from  
24 some other place.

25 So what ends up happening is if you see the

1 money flow, it's always one of the places where it's  
2 financially motivated. So a lot of these enterprises,  
3 what they do is they use knowledge-based authentication  
4 questions. What's your Social Security number? What's  
5 your mother's maiden name and all that.

6           It's funny because these questions are  
7 extremely ineffective. For example, we've seen this  
8 case where this person actually started off with one  
9 name and changed his name midway through the phone call  
10 and still managed to get through the call center's  
11 agent. Largely because the call center or the agent's  
12 job is to provide customer satisfaction, right. And  
13 they're not here to stop fraud. So knowledge-based  
14 authentication questions is really not very effective  
15 way to do things.

16           So what do we do? Because of massive data  
17 analysis, we are able to identify well-known fraudsters  
18 as well as the fingerprints that they come from. So if  
19 it is -- what we do is we have acoustic fingerprinting  
20 technology. This is technology that we developed, as  
21 part of my Ph.D. research, where this acoustic  
22 fingerprint is able to identify any phone device in the  
23 world. So we are able to just listen to the audio and  
24 be able to assign a fingerprint. This fingerprint  
25 allows us to not only identify that phone device, which

1 is how we're able to identify known fraudsters if we've  
2 ever seen them.

3 We are also able to use anomaly detection to  
4 identify brand new fraudsters. And the two big things  
5 that we do is just by listening to the audio of the  
6 call, we're able to identify what type of phone device  
7 was being used. Was it a landline? Was it a cell  
8 phone or was it a Skype phone or the Magic Jack phone?  
9 Or a lot of these fraudsters use this device called  
10 Two-Way Talk. So it's in that kind of form.

11 The second thing that we're able to do is  
12 we're able to identify coast range geography for the  
13 calls. So we can listen to the audio of the call and  
14 tell you the geography is the size of France. For  
15 example, we can say this is a call coming from the east  
16 coast of the U.S. or the west coast of the U.S. Or  
17 it's not at all coming from the U.S., it's actually  
18 coming from Nigeria or Eastern Europe.

19 So you then start seeing what you can do with  
20 this kind of technology. So you are getting a call  
21 from your pastor. He's not going to be calling from  
22 Nigeria on a Skype phone, right. It's highly likely  
23 he's calling from down the street. So this anomaly  
24 detection, the fact that the incoming signal, the audio  
25 signal, is very, very different than what it's supposed

1 to be, allows you to identify a whole lot of things.

2 So anti-spoofing detection is one thing that  
3 we do, but it's anti-spoofing detection with  
4 intelligence. It's not just saying is this ANI being  
5 spoofed. ANI can be spoofed for a variety of good  
6 reasons. But is this ANI being spoofed and are you  
7 getting a call from China, when that's not what you're  
8 planning or that's not what you're getting or that's  
9 not what the profile of your customer is.

10 So with these new technologies, this is how  
11 an acoustic fingerprint looks. What we do is we use  
12 all these views, you know, we use 147 different  
13 features. So it's very similar to companies on the  
14 online world, like 41st Parameter and things like that,  
15 which look at your IP address, your browser settings,  
16 what service provider you came from. All of that to  
17 essentially identify the phone device -- identify the  
18 computer that's logging on. So that allows them to say  
19 yeah, this is a Lotus transaction. We do actually that  
20 on the phone, but at a far more granular level.

21 So we use 147 different features, including  
22 things like line noise, artifacts left behind by codec  
23 and all of that to create a detailed profile for the  
24 form. And we can say, you know, this particular device  
25 that we've seen before, so it's your legitimate

1 customer. Or this is a well known fraudster that we  
2 just saw targeting Bank of America and we know from  
3 their form fingerprint. And we'll know if the type is  
4 mismatched or the geography is mismatched and then we  
5 can provide a risk code for every single call.

6 So what happens is that as soon as a call  
7 comes into bank, our analysis kicks in and identifies  
8 whether this is a legitimate or not and then what it  
9 does is it then says, you know, this call is this  
10 risky. So it's highly likely that that's a fraudulent  
11 call, and then the bank can take action.

12 The same way, that's what we want to do with  
13 consumers, too. We will provide all this information.  
14 And once we provide all this information, it's up to  
15 the consumer to make that decision. We believe  
16 consumers, once they're empowered with the right  
17 information, or a bank when it's empowered with the  
18 right information, can make that decision, even on  
19 those boundary cases. And then they can tell us, you  
20 know, you were right there. You were wrong there. And  
21 that's the only way you can learn.

22 Protecting the ecosystem, what we believe,  
23 you know, the grander vision for any system that is  
24 protecting the ecosystem we think should protect, one,  
25 enterprises. You would not want your bank account to

1 be drained out. One fine Sunday morning you don't want  
2 to wake up and see that your bank balance is zero  
3 dollars.

4 The second thing that you want to do is  
5 protect the carriers, right. Be able to provide some  
6 kind of empowering information to these carriers so  
7 that they can decide what to do. And finally, protect  
8 individual consumers. Being able to tell the consumer  
9 that this is a call which is coming from your friend or  
10 if it's coming from a very, very different location.  
11 Or this is a call that's coming from America, but it's  
12 not coming from Bank of America at all, it's actually  
13 coming from some Skype phone in Nigeria.

14 So all this analysis is part of Pindrop's  
15 core technology. Thank you.

16 MS. DAFFAN: I'm glad we have significant  
17 time for questions for this panel. I wanted to start  
18 off by talking about if we're looking forward to how  
19 can we help combat malicious caller ID spoofing. I  
20 would like to take a moment to say what can government  
21 agencies do? What can Congress do, if anything? What  
22 can industry do?

23 So if we could just take each of those in  
24 turn and talk about those.

25 MR. SCHULZRINNE: I believe your question

1 implicitly hinted on that it's not a single entity that  
2 can do that by themselves; it has to be cooperation  
3 among all of those.

4 In particular, I would say this has to be one  
5 where it's a combination of making technology  
6 available, encouraging its widespread use because as  
7 was pointed out in one of the morning presentations,  
8 one of the problems is we can probably identify the  
9 good calls relatively easily of those that are willing  
10 and able and have a interest in identifying themselves,  
11 but that will leave a large number of calls that have  
12 no identification.

13 Since many of those will still be good calls,  
14 non-robocalls or non-fraudulent calls, that makes the  
15 overall system much less valuable compared to when  
16 almost every call that is legitimate is indeed  
17 identified.

18 On the last side is where the regulatory  
19 side, policy side comes into play to where we can  
20 encourage widespread adoption, shall we say. I do see  
21 opportunities. We're looking at the Commission and  
22 numbering in detail in particular, as to how numbers  
23 are assigned. Who gets numbers, what does it take to  
24 get numbers? And that offers an opportunity if you  
25 have a valuable resource of numbers, people want

1 numbers because they allow them to interconnect to a  
2 global communication system to be reachable. Well,  
3 that's also responsibility.

4           Responsibility means you have to be able to  
5 be identifiable, as appropriate, or at least you have  
6 to know that this number is not the one that you've  
7 been assigned to for a variety of reasons. We need to  
8 be able to deal with the issue of numbers that are used  
9 legitimately by non-circuit owners. So I believe  
10 particularly in this world where we're looking at new  
11 number assignment mechanisms.

12           At the Federal Communications Commission, we  
13 have an opportunity to provide much stronger identity  
14 requirements and identification requirements and then  
15 we need industry to play along to actually implement  
16 standards, to carry data end-to-end. We have a big  
17 problem that data gets lost along the way. I mentioned  
18 test room border controller, and Voice over IP has this  
19 tendency to strip call-tracing data from a call. I  
20 believe that is extremely detrimental to our ability to  
21 deal with fraud. It's often done for competitive  
22 reasons, but it makes life much more difficult and we  
23 may need to come to an agreement as to what is  
24 stronger, and we should have more weight, in way of  
25 protection, against fraud and abuse, relatively for



1 pure commercial interest.

2 MR. PANAGIA: I envision an ultra-modern  
3 Batcave boardroom where I have an FBI agent on my left  
4 and I have a prosecutor on my right, and I have all my  
5 carrier colleagues in the room and we can ring the bell  
6 when the autodialing event happens. So kind of on a  
7 serious note, I think we all really, within the lay of  
8 the law, we all really need to be working this  
9 together. The FBI agents don't know what we know.

10 The FBI agents don't know what we know. The  
11 telephone company investigators can't do what a  
12 prosecutor can do. So we really need to pool these  
13 resources together and really figure out a way to trace  
14 this stuff upstream as fast as we can and get to the  
15 bad guys. Put fines on them. Put them in jail. All  
16 the things that these panels talk about. That's kind  
17 of my wish.

18 MR. COX: Being sort of in private  
19 enterprise, I look for solutions that can be  
20 implemented today. That's the world I live in. And  
21 the future is great, but in the future I'll be dead.  
22 Things happen, right. So the way I have to look at is  
23 I think the tool today is what you guys are doing right  
24 now, education.

25 I think businesses quickly understood that

1 information coming on the phone network may not be  
2 completely predictive of who is on the other side of  
3 that transaction. I think the worker who is going to  
4 educate the consumers of that as well is important  
5 because, frankly, at the end of the day, we have  
6 privacy rights. And we can just choose not to transmit  
7 a caller ID blocked caller. Well, the spoofers can do  
8 that as well. So you pick it up and you don't have  
9 what you have, right?

10 That caller ID information that you get and  
11 we kind of rely on, the relying parties is broken. I  
12 think just having people understand that. I bet all of  
13 you understand that clearly, but I bet if you polled  
14 most consumers today you'd find a limited amount that  
15 would really understand that that number is not  
16 completely trustworthy. And if we can educate and get  
17 people informed that hey, it's useful, but you can't  
18 rely on it. Don't give out your bank account  
19 information just because it says the call came from  
20 Citibank. All right. That is something today that can  
21 reduce the fraud, reduce the damage. We were talking  
22 about apps. It absolutely makes total sense.

23 I've been in telecom all my life like you  
24 guys and I've always wished -- we've got these great  
25 standards. You look at what SS7 could do, but it's

1 never complied with. Standards are tough for telecom  
2 because it's a global network. It's not within the  
3 purview of the United States. It's globally connected.  
4 It's the second largest network in the world. So  
5 trying to enforce standards that are going to be  
6 followed every time is tough. As long as you got one  
7 person violating it, then that's the hole, right. So I  
8 think education and raising awareness. The website  
9 that you guys are putting up is very powerful for  
10 today.

11 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: If you want to see how  
12 this thing is going to play out, we don't have to look  
13 very far. In the early 2000s you had spam, which was a  
14 huge problem. The government introduced the Can Spam  
15 Act and that invalidated a lot of people from sending  
16 out spam information, and then technology kicked in.  
17 Lots of people use IP blacklisting, content  
18 filtering, all of that to build an ecosystem that  
19 pretty much now makes email a sort of usable tool.

20 I say start off because I always think  
21 there's room for improvement. But that's exactly the  
22 way the security in the phone channel is also going to  
23 go. The fact that everyone is now realizing that there  
24 is a significant problem, means everyone is going to  
25 band together to come forward with solutions. The

1 government and regulation is going to put together an  
2 act. And the technology industry is going to try and  
3 come together with solutions.

4 Adam mentioned earlier, trying to identify  
5 where this call is coming from. The question is AT&T,  
6 since they have been working on this for a very, very  
7 long time, they have really sophisticated tools that  
8 allow them to identify.

9 If someone tells you, you know, you got this  
10 call at 12:00 p.m. today, you have to go through all  
11 your call records and find out who that service  
12 provider is and make that connection and then do it for  
13 a variety of things. Look at different views to try  
14 and identify, okay, who do you go to next. It's not  
15 that a lot of these telcos don't want to do it. They  
16 just can't. They don't have that kind data-mining  
17 infrastructure.

18 So another technology company will come along  
19 and help them do that. So as these technology  
20 companies grow and grow, you will start seeing the  
21 problem getting solved. I mean, it's the standard  
22 human model. All of us is the human network. We will  
23 all get together to try to solve a problem if it causes  
24 enough pain. That's how I think it would work.

25 MS. DAFFAN: A question for Vijay about Pindrop

1 Security. How do you determine the origin of a  
2 call or the location, based on the quality of the line?

3 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: So the way we did it -  
4 - without giving away too much -- an example is -- I  
5 mean, there's a very simple example, and that's one of  
6 our features. For example, in the U.S., on the PSTN  
7 lines you use a particular codec and it's called G.711  
8 u-Law. Anywhere else in the world you use a different  
9 codec. You have what is known as G.711 a-Law.

10 Now, that characteristic, just the fact that  
11 something is trying to capture your voice, it captures  
12 your voice very, very differently. The analogy that I  
13 would like to use is if you're playing the same song on  
14 a Fender Telecaster or a no-name guitar, it would sound  
15 very, very different because not only is it a question  
16 of who you are and how you're playing it, but it's also  
17 about the instrument.

18 If you're playing on a really crappy  
19 instrument, if you're playing the best song, it is  
20 going to sound bad, and that's exactly what happens  
21 with these geographies.

22 Different countries have different  
23 infrastructure lists. And that tends to add very, very  
24 specific artifacts into the audio of the call. The  
25 audio is something that is very, very nice. It's one

1 of those things that is very valuable.

2           It's like if you were traveling through a  
3 bunch of places, collecting the sediments from all  
4 those places. The audio does exactly that. It  
5 collects artifacts from every place that it has  
6 visited, and when it finally reaches your shore, you  
7 can actually look it and say, oh, it's been here, it's  
8 been there and then it's come here. You can't  
9 obviously do it in an extremely fine grain level, but  
10 you can do it at a coarse grain level, good enough to  
11 make some interesting observations.

12           MS. DAFFAN: We have two related questions  
13 here. Both people noted that the technology solutions  
14 we've been hearing about are enterprise-facing. One  
15 person said is that because consumers are not willing  
16 to pay or the solutions will just not work in a  
17 consumer setting?

18           Another person asked would carrier and  
19 service providers have to do more, including cooperate  
20 with each other, in order to come up with solutions  
21 that face end-users?

22           MR. SCHULZRINNE: Let me just take a stab at  
23 that. The reason, in both of those cases, it's really  
24 a vendor solution is because in one case it's  
25 information that's only available for the other

1 numbers, which most consumers don't have. And the  
2 second one is that the audio identification, obviously,  
3 that's not a Rachel problem. I don't need an app to do  
4 that. You'd have to receive the audio beforehand. So  
5 it helps with the important problem and fraud is  
6 probably less relevant for the robocall type of events  
7 because a nuisance happens as the phone rings, not so  
8 much the call itself.

9 I do believe there is a need for closer  
10 cooperation, simply to allow third parties more access  
11 to the call flow, my trusted third parties. So one of  
12 the things that happens in email in some cases is that  
13 you could add a third party to your email chain  
14 relatively easily so that if you decided that you liked  
15 that particular company or an open source product to  
16 identify spam, you could do that without changing your  
17 complete email system around. We don't really have  
18 that in the telephone system.

19 We don't have the ability, for most  
20 consumers, to hook in on third-party services that  
21 allow identification. That's becoming possible. There  
22 are now APIs that are being published by some  
23 providers. So having more of those, as we get more  
24 trustable information, will then allow third parties,  
25 on behalf of a consumer, to do that, but that's just

1 not feasible at the moment, given the architecture that  
2 we do have. We're starting to change our smartphones  
3 because that's why we have the ability to intercept  
4 calls before they ring. It's a little harder on a  
5 landline phone today.

6 MR. PANAGIA: As far as protecting consumers,  
7 we have products. And when I say "we," the  
8 telecommunications industry have products that could --  
9 anonymous call rejection, anti-block list, that kind of  
10 thing. But everything that's been developed up to this  
11 point has really been telephone number or ANI-based.  
12 As we learn, through this summit, because they can  
13 dynamically change the telephone number so quickly, you  
14 know, you can block Rachel 10 times from 10 different  
15 numbers. They're going to run out of numbers in your  
16 black list, as a consumer, to block. And you're just  
17 going to be listed.

18 As far about the other question there, I'm  
19 really an advocate for industry cooperation. Believe  
20 it or not, the industry works very well together. But  
21 to Vijay's point, Carrier A, with this small toolbox;  
22 Carrier B has a bigger toolbox. Carrier C has a  
23 different toolbox. We're not all working with the same  
24 tools.

25 I think every carrier really wants to work



1 together, but some can pull SS7 records, some can't.  
2 Some can pull SIP records, some can't. So when you're  
3 tracing things back to the network, it may not be  
4 because somebody doesn't want to give you the  
5 information, it's that they don't have the information.

6 So maybe some standards on what information  
7 needs to be kept for fraud management by its  
8 capabilities.

9 MR. COX: So first, what Henning said. This  
10 is really interesting stuff, though. It is. It's  
11 really powerful. Secondly, it doesn't work for  
12 consumers today because of technical limitations, a  
13 market or a cost or that kind of consideration.

14 Large-scale business users have different  
15 interconnections and have different equipment that's  
16 required to do our services.

17 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: As Henning mentioned,  
18 at least as far as what Pindrop Security does, it  
19 analyzes audio. It analyzes about 15 seconds of audio  
20 and makes that detection. Now, the question arises, is  
21 it good enough, at a consumer level, to be able to once  
22 you know, let's say a black list of bad numbers, that's  
23 one option. And then you know the audio, after 15  
24 seconds there's a little thing that pops up on your  
25 screen and says, you know, this call is potentially

1 fraudulent. Is that a good enough device for  
2 consumers?

3           What if you push it further up in the  
4 network. The network already sees the audio well  
5 before you see it because it's going through that. Can  
6 you do something else? At a 15-second level, you can't  
7 do very much. Can you shorten that amount enough such  
8 that you can potentially start making interesting  
9 observations? Or maybe there is a completely different  
10 solution out there which actually helps consumers  
11 identify this. Is it with industries cooperating with  
12 each other, technological solutions coming together? I  
13 mean, what you can see with all of this is that this is  
14 a really hard problem, right.

15           So you will have multiple solutions that come  
16 together to finally solve it or solve it to a certain  
17 extent.

18           MS. DAFFAN: We have a question that came in  
19 by email about what can a consumer do if their number  
20 is being spoofed. Wondering if anyone had any advice  
21 about that.

22           MR. PANAGIA: I'll deal with that one because  
23 we get that all the time. The first thing they need to  
24 do is call their local phone company that's serving  
25 that telephone company and validate with the telephone

1 company, you know, are those calls coming from my  
2 telephone company? Nine times out of ten, if it's a  
3 mass call event, those calls are not even coming from  
4 the local service provider.

5 What we do in these cases, through some of  
6 our industry's forums like CFCA, is we will put an  
7 alert out that my customer's number is being spoofed.  
8 Can you guys go look at your network and see if that  
9 number is coming across or transiting your network and  
10 get back with me offline?

11 What we typically do is we identify -- like  
12 if I got that request I'd look at the network. I would  
13 look at all the entry points into our network, where  
14 that customer's number is coming in and I would try to  
15 identify the top carriers delivering spoofed traffic on  
16 this customer's number and ask them to cease and  
17 desist. I would explain to them, this is my customer's  
18 number. This is not coming from my network. I know  
19 100 percent that it's spoofed.

20 You got to be very specific because some  
21 providers just say, oh, our number is being spoofed.  
22 You really have to prove that we know this is being  
23 spoofed. You need to stop it. And we have been fairly  
24 successful at doing that.

25 MS. DAFFAN: Since we're digging into

1 technology here, let's really go for it. I have a few  
2 questions that are all related about how certain  
3 technologies and techniques factor into these kinds of  
4 solutions.

5 One is how does KBA factor in? How can PKI  
6 or 1 -- sorry, I don't even know. PKI? PKI?

7 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: PKI.

8 MS. DAFFAN: PKI. Thank you. This one I  
9 haven't heard of. How can PKI techniques become  
10 useful? And then also techniques like, I think it's  
11 RFC 4474.

12 MR. PANAGIA: I'll defer everything to the  
13 smart people.

14 MR. SCHULZRINNE: I actually know what that  
15 means. I will start and anyone can obviously chime in.  
16 I believe that PKI is probably Public Key  
17 Infrastructure. Public technology, in general, can and  
18 should play a major role.

19 Let me just give you a little bit of  
20 background and explain that in a few words. We have a  
21 classical cryptography which we are all familiar with  
22 even if we don't use it. In the sense of cryptography,  
23 namely, you have a secret password, as an example of  
24 that, that is used to encrypt or to authenticate  
25 yourself to a surface. Only you know that password and

1 your trusted entity on the other side that can provide  
2 a password to you. That basic idea has been around for  
3 centuries.

4 A more modern version that is much more  
5 recent is a notion where you have a public key  
6 cryptography which does something somewhat  
7 counterintuitive, namely that you have a public part of  
8 a key and a private part, or a secret part of the key.  
9 Only you know the secret part, but the public part is  
10 published in directories and various sorts.

11 What it allows is if you sign a message with  
12 your private key, the holder of the public key can  
13 validate that you, indeed, and nobody else except for  
14 you, who knows this deeply secret private key could've  
15 possibly signed it. You can do that, you can validate  
16 that without having specific secret knowledge. So you  
17 don't have to be trusted. It can't be anybody. You  
18 don't need to know about technical difficulties to make  
19 that work, in practice, for a variety of reasons.

20 But in principle, that's exactly what we need  
21 for a number of our validations, namely if you're a  
22 legitimate owner of a number, either permanently or you  
23 have been delegated that authority temporarily because  
24 of marketing relationship, you should be able to obtain  
25 one or more secrets of the owner of that number grants

1 to you and receiving parties and parties along the way,  
2 such as the carrier, should be able to look at that and  
3 say somebody who was assigned that number actually has  
4 the authority to release that secret to make that.

5 So I see that as a long-term solution that  
6 requires infrastructure that we don't have at the  
7 moment. It requires industry cooperation that we still  
8 need to set up, but that provides a technical solution  
9 to the number validation problem.

10 The other problem which was mentioned, which  
11 RFC-4474, which is the ability to do, on a less  
12 cryptographic level an assertion, a carrier that is  
13 presumably one of the good guys, they would assert that  
14 this is indeed a good number. As Adam just said, this  
15 is my number. I assert, under the usual fraud  
16 statutes, I assert that this number is actually  
17 validated by me. I have this customer log in, for  
18 example, through an enterprise network, PBX or a  
19 personal number. I can know that this is not just some  
20 made up number and I've passed it on to others. As  
21 long as each party trusts the originating party or a  
22 previous hop, that number can also be useful.

23 The problem with that is that it relies on a  
24 chain of trust, and unfortunately, that chain has a  
25 number of weak links today simply because there is a

1 number of suppliers that let's just say sometimes have  
2 either less capability or less desire to ask questions  
3 as to who their customers are and what their business  
4 model is.

5           They may not be terribly useful in that  
6 transmission chain, but it can help in some scenarios,  
7 particularly to identify the good guys when the common  
8 case occurs, namely when say, a large consumer,  
9 originating consumer carrier provider, whether it be a  
10 cable company or be it a traditional local exchange  
11 carrier or one of the recognized Voice over IP  
12 companies, directly terminates traffic on another one  
13 of these entities because then you can say with some  
14 certainty say, yep, this is indeed a good number.

15           So both of those techniques are well  
16 standardized, but they still require additional  
17 industry cooperation where we hope that ATIS and others  
18 will help make those possible.

19           MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: Absolutely. I think  
20 the first thing was KBA, which stands for Knowledge-  
21 based Authentication questions. If you look at the  
22 history of trying to authenticate someone, there are a  
23 variety of ways that you can authenticate. You have  
24 what you know, who you are, and what you have. So the  
25 examples in each of these are, you know, what you know

1 is things like your mother's maiden name and Social  
2 Security number.

3 KBA actually falls into that category. Who  
4 you are is things like biometrics and things like that.  
5 And what you have is things like your phone device. So  
6 KBA falls into the what you know category. So these  
7 kind of questions is what a lot of the industry uses  
8 right now to identify when someone is calling and  
9 whether they're really who they are. So what's your  
10 mother's maiden name? What's your Social Security  
11 number?

12 What's happened, largely, is that the  
13 questions are either too simple, in which case, you  
14 know, most attackers know how to get your mother's  
15 maiden name from Facebook. It's easy to do that. Many  
16 times they can circumvent the question. Like, we had  
17 this attacker who was asked what's your mother's maiden  
18 name, and he actually said my dad married twice so can  
19 I have three guesses? He didn't even understand the  
20 question, right.

21 So it's funny, but when you're talking about  
22 knowledge-based authentication questions, the big  
23 problem is that you're expecting your call center agent  
24 to make that decision of whether he answers the  
25 questions right, sufficiently or not, but then the



1 questions start getting harder. What's the third  
2 address from now that you lived at? What was the last  
3 transaction that you performed?

4 And you're thinking to yourself was that the  
5 AT&T bill that I paid or was it me eating out at a  
6 restaurant? So the questions get harder. Then it  
7 immediately jumps into a customer satisfaction problem,  
8 right. I just don't want to be answering seven  
9 questions every time I want to check my account  
10 balance.

11 So KBA questions are good as another area of  
12 defense. It can't be your only level of protection.  
13 The other thing that was mentioned was PKI, Public Key  
14 Infrastructure. Public Key Infrastructure has had a  
15 colorful history. But the big thing, at least in the  
16 telephone world, is Public Key Infrastructure works if  
17 you presume you have a homogenous network.

18 That is, you have the same network on both  
19 ends and they both can communicate with some protocol  
20 that each of them understands and every party in  
21 between says that they are going to sufficiently adhere  
22 to the standard. The problem is in the telecom  
23 network, like Adam pointed out with his call flow, is  
24 that you're going across so many different networks.

25 On the PSTN level you have SS7 and the audio.

1 On the IP level you have SIP (inaudible) signaling and  
2 RTP as audio. These protocols don't line up nice with each  
3 other. They throw away everything when they go on to  
4 the other network. So the problem is, when you want a  
5 PKI infrastructure you presume the infrastructure's  
6 homogenous.

7 You, in this case, have to assume the  
8 infrastructure's homogeneous, not only in the U.S.,  
9 which is well advanced in its telecommunication  
10 infrastructure, it's rapidly getting a lot of IP. But  
11 you expect every other country to also have that same  
12 homogenous network because you get large calls coming  
13 international place to you. So PKI can work only if  
14 you have some kind of homogenous network or there is  
15 some kind of handshake somewhere. Otherwise, you will  
16 have to figure out other alternatives to do that. RFC-  
17 4474 -- is that P asserted identity?

18 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Yes.

19 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: It is. Yes. Okay.  
20 Like Henning mentioned, that is proxy-based. That is  
21 your service provider essentially asserts your own  
22 identity and it gives you limitations as well.

23 MR. COX: I think I can add some additional  
24 value just on a couple of small points. I think most  
25 of it was really well nailed here. Knowledge-based

1 authentication, we actually refer to it somewhat  
2 affectionately as identity interrogation.

3 The problem is that with 200 million  
4 Americans -- I mean, we all do, right? What's your  
5 mother's maiden name? What's your date of birth? And  
6 so on. Your mother's maiden name is on ancestry.com.  
7 There are a whole bunch of genealogy sites. Your date  
8 of birth --

9 (Fire alarm. Brief interruption.)

10 MR. COX: I don't want to terrify all of you,  
11 but some of the folks on the phone, I imagine, are  
12 probably the bad guys, I'm going to guess. If I were a  
13 bad guy I would be listening to the conference.

14 Social Security numbers are quite available  
15 now because Carnegie Mellon discovered the mathematical  
16 formula that was used to issue them by the government.  
17 It's published. Google it.

18 The reality is identity interrogation doesn't  
19 really authenticate you. So we have to look at multi-  
20 factor authentication. So the tools that we're  
21 providing into the something you have space, turning a  
22 phone into a unique credential, combined with  
23 information-based authentication, and also biometrics  
24 is what provides high quality authentication.

25 MS. DAFFAN: For those on the Webcast, if you

1 don't know what the pauses are about, there is a fire  
2 alarm happening. But we can all ignore them. There's  
3 no problem.

4 There's a question, and it might be one of  
5 the last ones we have time for, from in the audience.  
6 Can you be more specific about Congress' role in all of  
7 this?

8 (No response.)

9 MS. DAFFAN: The answer is yes.

10 MR. SCHULZRINNE: Let me give one cautionary  
11 answer. This is probably more for lawyers to speak to  
12 as opposed to an engineer.

13 There is some concern that the conditions  
14 under which caller ID spoofing is legal and illegal  
15 is sufficiently murky that that makes some approaches  
16 more difficult than they need to be. I think that's  
17 one way of putting it.

18 Congress, for example, is... A set of  
19 applications- I mentioned a few of those which I think  
20 most of us would consider in addition to authorized  
21 marketing to business relationships which would  
22 probably be considered to be a societal value. But a  
23 defense, prank calling somebody, as long as you're not  
24 threatening or doing any otherwise illegal behavior, is  
25 currently not a criminal offense under that act.

1           One can ask whether that is the right balance to  
2     strike because it opens up a defense for people to make  
3     other protections to be part of. So that's the only  
4     one that I can think of in this case.

5           One that was mentioned early on, I think,  
6     aligning the penalties. And one that I can think of  
7     and you may want to speak more about is to make sure  
8     that if we've come up with more automated means of  
9     tracing back phone calls that those are not handicapped  
10    by paper-based processes which just don't scale up.

11           We should be able to automate -- we got  
12    protecting privacy and rights of all parties involved,  
13    but we should not be held back by the need to provide  
14    things of what wax seals to each carrier along the way  
15    to trace back, as long we have sufficient privacy and  
16    consumer protections in place so that that process  
17    itself can be of use, which we clear need to do.

18           MR. COX: What Henning said.

19           MS. DAFFAN: So this point about leading to  
20    trace-back faster, what other steps could be taken to  
21    assist in helping people who need to know and  
22    understand where a call came from?

23           MR. PANAGIA: I think there needs to be  
24    training for law enforcement, whether it's local,  
25    state, federal regulators. I can't tell you how many

1 times in dealing with local or state law enforcement, I  
2 mean, as soon as it goes out of the state they're kind  
3 of off bounds. But even on the federal side, you  
4 really -- the first thing somebody does when they're  
5 investigating one of these things is they subpoena the  
6 spoofed number carrier. And that's like the very first  
7 brick wall they've had and that's where it ends.

8 I think what we need to do is trace the  
9 records back so that whoever is issuing subpoenas needs  
10 to know how to ask the right questions and I think this  
11 group needs to maybe put those instructions together so  
12 they'd ask the right question so they can go up the  
13 stream.

14 MR. COX: That's a great point. In many  
15 cases the carrier is also the victim of that.

16 MR. SCHULZRINNE: What's really the most  
17 important information is, interestingly enough, not who  
18 was calling -- not that it is easily spoofed -- but who  
19 was being called because that number can't be spoofed.  
20 Obviously you need to reach that. And the precise time  
21 when the call occurred because with those two pieces of  
22 information, you have much more chance of actually  
23 tracing it back, but both of those have to be precise,  
24 you know, time precision, particularly if it's a larger  
25 call volume and you certainly need to be really sure

1 that that's the destination number that is reached.

2 MR. PANAGIA: I've recently given some  
3 instructions to one of the agencies and it is to start  
4 at the victim's homes and work your way back because  
5 that can't be spoofed. You know the call landed there.  
6 Start there and go back. Don't try to shortcut it by  
7 oh, that telephone number there belongs to AT&T or  
8 Verizon and we're going to subpoena them because you're  
9 going to go off into a black hole.

10 MR. COX: Let me add one thing to that. I  
11 don't want to alarm you guys, but now we're seeing that  
12 that number that's being called can be spoofed. This  
13 is scary.

14 MR. SCHULZRINNE: But how would it reach your  
15 destination?

16 MR. COX: So what happens is, I'm a criminal  
17 and I want to take money out of your bank account  
18 through a wire transfer. I won't give all the tricks  
19 to it because again, we don't want to educate people  
20 because we need to be non-educated.

21 In essence, I can socially engineer a phone  
22 company or I can socially engineer you to forward your  
23 phone to me. So when you think you're calling somebody  
24 -- I know you talked about this as well -- you think  
25 you're calling a party but you're not. You're getting

1 the criminal. I say yeah, here's all the bank wiring  
2 information. We did, in fact, just sell the company.  
3 Go ahead and bank wire that \$384 million to me.  
4 Everything looks good because they've called, right?  
5 Because we assume that number can't be spoofed, but you  
6 can socially engineer people. People are always --  
7 we're trusting. Right?

8           So you socially engineer the person. You  
9 forward the phone or you socially engineer a phone  
10 company rep, you know, hey, I'm at the office. I'm  
11 waiting for a really important call today. I forgot to  
12 take my cell phone. Can you forward my home calls to  
13 me at this number here? And the rep says, sure. I'll  
14 do that. Right? You get the idea.

15           So, again, all threats that are on the  
16 internet today are coming through on the telephone  
17 number.

18           MS. DAFFAN: We have a bunch of other  
19 questions, but only time for one more to pose to the  
20 panel. It's one from the audience. Would it be useful  
21 to have some kind of center that brings together law  
22 enforcement and the telecommunications industry in one  
23 place to tap all these questions?

24           MR. PANAGIA: Yes. We have those  
25 associations. There are things like InfraGard.



1 There's things like the NCFTA or Cyber Fusion Units  
2 that we all belong to. There are Cyber Financial  
3 forums where the financial industry, law enforcement,  
4 and telecom are comparing information and trying to  
5 help each other because of the schemes that the  
6 financial industry is seeing is utilizing the telephone  
7 network to get there.

8 Not many people are falling for the old email  
9 stuff anymore because there has been so many warnings  
10 out there. Now they're moving to the phishing scams  
11 and they're largely telephone number based now.

12 MR. SCHULZRINNE: I don't know if you want to  
13 talk about that, but there are really two parts to that  
14 question, namely, on a longer scale that I think is  
15 working relatively well. What's a little harder is on  
16 an operational day-to-day basis, which is what you  
17 mentioned Pat.

18 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: Extending on that  
19 operational basis, I think the U.S. gets about five  
20 billion -- I don't know the number of calls that it  
21 gets to call centers everywhere. At any given point in  
22 time, even if you reduce the number of good calls or  
23 bad calls to .1 percent, you're still dealing with 14  
24 million calls. So you have to have technological  
25 solutions that can help this go forward.

1 MR. COX: It's 52 billion.

2 MR. BALASUBRAMANIYAN: Okay, 52 billion. So  
3 even if you do .1 percent of those calls are  
4 fraudulent, then you see that it's pretty significant.

5 MS. DAFFAN: Okay. Well, thank you all very  
6 much.

7 (Applause.)

8 MS. DAFFAN: We're going to power through  
9 here and have a break after this next presentation.  
10 Now we have the luck of hearing from David Belanger,  
11 who was the AT&T's Lab's chief scientist until very  
12 recently, and who is now senior research fellow with  
13 the Stevens Institute of Technology.

14 (Applause.)

15 \* \* \* \* \*

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 1 DATA MINING ANOMALY DETECTION

2 MR. BELANGER: Thank you, Kati. So we will  
3 go on about potential solutions due to robocalling  
4 problems today and a lot about some of the things  
5 standing in the way. I'm going to talk about one of  
6 the approaches that has been very useful for detecting  
7 fraud, robocalling being another form of fraud. I'll  
8 talk a little bit about why detecting such a challenge  
9 now and where these techniques are going. I don't have  
10 a solution to the problem, but if I did I would've  
11 probably announced it before the conference.

12 If you think about the kinds of solutions  
13 that we've been hearing about, they can fall into  
14 something that is fundamental to the network fabric.  
15 Those are challenged by -- network fabrics take a long  
16 time to change, especially internationally. Things  
17 that are overlays on them and those can work very, very  
18 well.

19 What I found is that scale is the challenge  
20 for overlays. We're talking about double-digit  
21 billions of calls per day. So scale underlies nearly  
22 everything that's done, And a variety of ways of  
23 detecting a robocall when it occurs. The techniques  
24 that we've been using fairly successfully across the  
25 industry for fraud detection is essentially behavioral.

1           The advantage is that they can deal with  
2           scale and they can be implemented, given enough  
3           computing power relatively quickly. The disadvantage  
4           is that they're nonsyndromic, which means, essentially,  
5           that you're not taking a piece of data and saying this  
6           thing is a robocall. I know what to do with it. I can  
7           trace it back, et cetera. What you're doing is taking  
8           a lot of very weak signals and putting them together  
9           and saying I have an alert. There is a robocall going  
10          on, something of that order.

11           To give you a feeling for nonsyndromic data -  
12          - and actually, I think Kevin Rupy mentioned this  
13          effect, although not the specific instance -- you can  
14          very often tell from watching a telephone exchange that  
15          some event is happening. You can't tell what the  
16          symptoms are so you can't necessarily tell what it is.

17           About a decade ago we identified, for  
18          example, that there was a very large event occurring in  
19          one of the southern provinces of China. Contacted a  
20          nearby medical school and were able to determine that  
21          it was SARS. Very early on, a leading indicator to  
22          this.

23           So the effect is that lots of very weak  
24          signals can tell you that something's happening. You  
25          may need extra information to find out what is

1     happening and therefore, in the fraud world you often  
2     have fraud control organization much like what Adam has  
3     talked about today.

4             The idea that I'm talking about is to take  
5     behavioral data, which is thrown off by the networks,  
6     the services, or for that matter these days, crowds.  
7     Put it together in a way that can cause alerts to  
8     happen that indicate that there may or may not be a  
9     robocall occurring and reduce the false positives as  
10    much as you can. Now the real challenge is to see if  
11    one of them works.

12            So this is the general outline of what I'm  
13    talking about and it's very general in the sense that  
14    it's about data mining. It's about data. And the idea  
15    is that you have large sources of data, you know, the  
16    collection of tools are on the outside. This should  
17    surprise no one. And do you have a collection of  
18    applications. On the far left you have the managing  
19    risk applications, security fraud, et cetera. But down  
20    in the lower right, the vertical services, you'll find  
21    that these techniques are being used to cross  
22    communications, financial industry, the credit card  
23    industry, for instance, increasingly in healthcare and  
24    energy. So the basic notion of taking behavioral data  
25    and analyzing it those sophisticated ways to understand

1 that something is happening is very broadly used.

2 What I'm going to do is talk about using an  
3 example which has most of the stresses of the robocall  
4 outbreaks, i.e., it's not going to be able to work as  
5 is, as it traditionally has in robocalls, but it's a  
6 simple example. So it gives you a feeling for how 1)  
7 fraud might be addressed behaviorally and 2) I'll go  
8 through some examples of how in reaction to the  
9 fraudsters becoming increasingly sophisticated, the  
10 techniques for identifying them had to become  
11 increasingly sophisticated.

12 So where does data come from in large  
13 quantities? Well, the network. And we've heard some  
14 discussions of whether we could intercept, in real  
15 time, robocalling and do something like blockage in  
16 real time.

17 The network has the characteristic that  
18 things happen very fast. Things happen at ridiculous  
19 scale. So we think a few billion or a few tens of  
20 billions of calls a day might be interesting. We're  
21 talking about several tens or a hundred billion packets  
22 a day, going across a hundred trillion packets a day  
23 going across the IP network. A very fast start to get  
24 a conflict between how fast you can do something and  
25 just how much data is going across there. Often you

1 end up doing things like sampling, but here we're  
2 looking for either the needle in the haystack or the  
3 needle in the needle pile in the haystack. The  
4 haystack is very, very large and moving very quickly.

5 The second layer, the one that's  
6 traditionally been used, is services. I'll use call  
7 details records as a stalking horse, but nearly every  
8 service throws off a collection of information about  
9 what it's doing, the mobility services due, for  
10 instance, they throw off usage so that if you overuse  
11 whatever number you have, you can get a message or  
12 being charged. Very often these are done in the  
13 service of billing and so is data that's collected in  
14 any case.

15 Customer data is also there. I'm not going  
16 to concentrate on this because it's really not a  
17 significant player in the behavioral instances that I'm  
18 looking at.

19 What are the challenges? The challenges are  
20 exacerbated by the characters, such as spoofing and  
21 robocalling. But the major challenge is scale. These  
22 simply are at the edge of what, and probably in most  
23 cases, beyond the edge of what commercial computing can  
24 do. It's what's called big data today. Five years ago  
25 I've had the same kind of characteristics, but it

1       didn't have a name.

2               The scale is at the edge of what you'll find  
3       in any industry on a given day and commercial products  
4       are often challenged to do a day's worth of input in a  
5       day.   Obvious problems.

6               The second -- and this one has gotten a lot  
7       worse lately -- is integrity of the data.   And we've  
8       heard a lot about that today.   We just can't trust the  
9       data in many cases.   It's bad enough when the data is  
10      intended to be good and it's simply because of its size  
11      and mobility that errors turn up.   But in this case,  
12      it's not intended to be good.   It's not coming from a  
13      source that you have any control over.   So trusting the  
14      data is a significant problem.

15              In this industry, security and privacy are  
16      overwhelming issues.   Not because we want to get rid of  
17      them, but because we want to ensure them.   We heard  
18      some discussion earlier today from David Diggs about  
19      privacy being in the DNA of the industry.   It  
20      absolutely is.   Security is another issue that is a  
21      huge challenge.

22              So a lot of what's going on is taking into  
23      account the fact that we are not going to see the  
24      content of any of these instances that are going on.  
25      We're going to act on information that's nonsyndromic.



1 And efficiency.

2 I can guarantee I'll catch every robocall  
3 that's got issues if you'll let me claim that 90  
4 percent of the calls are robocalls. Now, I'll catch a  
5 lot of calls that weren't robocalls, too. The idea is  
6 to have very low false positives, but very high  
7 probability of capturing what you're looking for.

8 So this is basically a very naive schematic.  
9 You saw a bunch of network schematics today. All of  
10 those are in that block off to the left. All I'm  
11 interested here is in what data is thrown off by that  
12 network. That network including other people's  
13 networks as well.

14 There is a whole bunch of data that is sent  
15 immediately to collectors and then either sent down for  
16 activities like billing or sent to a near real time  
17 system. Most of the fraud systems, for instance, for  
18 voice are near real time, to analyze in a variety of  
19 different ways to see if there is a behavior that is  
20 potentially fraudulent and to alarm them.

21 And then there is the real time activity.  
22 The SS7s, the IP packets of the world which have order  
23 of magnitude at least more scale than the near real  
24 time and order of magnitude, less latency tolerance.  
25 It's very difficult to imagine using that data on a

1 whole network basis to do behavioral analysis.

2           So let's see what's going that's changed and  
3 what's going on that's the same in terms of analysis.  
4 I'm going to skip over this pretty quickly, but in the  
5 top left is the kind of data that you're going to see  
6 if you get a call detail record.

7           Now, unfortunately, we've heard the  
8 initiating number may be spoofed. We've heard that the  
9 terminating number may be spoofed or forwarded. The  
10 rest of the data there may also be impacted. So you  
11 have on your hands a collection of data which you have  
12 to not only understand what it's trying to tell you,  
13 but understand that there are issues with it.

14           Let's go on to the next slide. I should  
15 mention that there are cases such as media-induced  
16 events which are nearly the inverse of robocalling,  
17 where you have lots of people calling a specific  
18 number. So think television voting systems, radio  
19 call-in shows, that sort of thing.

20           On those, you also want to detect whether  
21 somebody is robodialing into them or else the results  
22 are fairly useless. This is a much more controlled  
23 environment with a much lower financial impact. And  
24 therefore, certain things are doable in that space.  
25 I'm not claiming that proves that we can do something

1 in robocalling, but it's an indication that certain  
2 analytic techniques expand very widely.

3 So let's look at the types of analysis  
4 techniques that have been used over the years. In the  
5 middle '90s, the way you'd identify fraud would be  
6 looking for a threshold.

7 This person called a certain place for more  
8 than 15 minutes, which was probably a foreign call.  
9 Probably they don't intend to pay for it. So the  
10 effect that you saw was all of a sudden, to that place  
11 there would be a lot of 14 and a half-minute calls.  
12 The fraudsters are not idiots. They are very  
13 intelligent.

14 Next step, we move to individuals'  
15 signatures. And we heard something about signatures in  
16 a few talks today. And there, the idea is, is this  
17 entity, this communications entity, may be a phone  
18 number or it may be something, is it behaving in the  
19 way we expect it to behave or is it behaving in a way  
20 that it indicates that something strange is going on  
21 there? You can do this very simply, actually, at very  
22 large scale with very simple data that we showed there,  
23 you know, initiating number, terminating number, time  
24 of day, day of week, et cetera. A lot of fancy  
25 mathematics goes into it, but it can be done simply and

1 at that enormous scale.

2 Well, what's the problem with this today?

3 The problem in robocalling is that you no longer can  
4 trust the initiating caller. So what you can't base  
5 this on is that initiating caller behaving strangely.  
6 You could use that and you would probably get some  
7 indication of whether the initiating caller was  
8 actually the caller you thought it was.

9 The more powerful, the more recent techniques  
10 are based on relationships. So for example, if you --  
11 and now I'm talking about you personally, not you as a  
12 robocaller -- had two numbers and they're quite  
13 separate and you made a lot of calls. You would  
14 probably be identified fairly quickly as the same  
15 person with very high probability. Why? Because  
16 you're going to call the same network of colleagues in  
17 the same pattern. So there are techniques which start  
18 to look at getting beyond individuals to more powerful  
19 sets.

20 Let me just summarize a little bit, in terms  
21 of where we've been and where we're going. "We" being  
22 the industry as a whole, and for that matter, the  
23 financial industry and a bit lagging, because of the  
24 data available, the healthcare industry.

25 Starting out with aggregates to aggregates,

1 very generalized data, you can tell, for instance, if  
2 there's a problem on the network, in particular, in an  
3 area with a cell tower, et cetera, but not much in  
4 terms of landlines.

5           Going from individuals to aggregates, that's  
6 threshold. Same value applies to all individuals. Not  
7 hard to defeat, and most for us is we're defeated,  
8 nowadays. Signatures are much harder to defeat if the  
9 individual data is trustable.

10           Going down further, relational, meaning a  
11 graph of numbers, for instance, which are related in  
12 some way, can be addressed by graph measures, but more  
13 likely in the more powerful instantiations by whether  
14 the graphs are with high probability, the same graph or  
15 institute of the same entity.

16           And finally, and not to be ignored or to be  
17 ignored only at a peril in these days, crowd sourcing  
18 data is very valuable in a lot of instances. Tutor  
19 data has been used as a leading indicator to network  
20 problems. People see a network problem and see a  
21 service problem and start to Twitter about it. If you  
22 monitor Twitter you will sometimes see indications that  
23 something's happening.

24           Mark the Spot is an AT&T app. There are  
25 probably some more apps elsewhere, but essentially it's

1 an app that says if your cell phone is not receiving  
2 service, you punch a button. When it's next on the  
3 network it will send a note to the network folks saying  
4 I had this problem in this place. It's a way of  
5 actually getting very syndromic data in this case.

6 Now you know there was a problem and you know  
7 what kind of a problem it was. It's reporting at a  
8 scale that is beyond what calling a customer service  
9 entity is likely to be.

10 Although not either available or used in this  
11 area, the social networking folks have just an  
12 enormously powerful set of data for understanding  
13 what's happening in the world.

14 So that's what I wanted to say, though I may  
15 have announced the break too soon. My panel will  
16 answer any questions that you have.

17 MS. DAFFAN: So we're open for questions. We  
18 do have some questions already. Looking at the network  
19 from the point of view where you sat at AT&T Labs or a  
20 similar point of view, is there any way to guess  
21 whether a call is a robocall before a consumer's phone  
22 even rings? And if so, can you talk about that a bit?

23 MR. BELANGER: So the answer is I don't know of  
24 it. We've heard today some indication of technologies that  
25 might be applied, either violating authentication or other

1 techniques which involve overlays in the network. In  
2 general, you can hypothesize that you would have a way  
3 of identifying that the call was from a member of the  
4 set that you had reason to believe was a robocaller,  
5 but today there's certainly no techniques that I know.

6 MS. DAFFAN: Can you talk a little bit more,  
7 following up about that, about the example when calls are  
8 coming in to a particular place. You talked about some  
9 kind of, you know, competition.

10 MR. BELANGER: So if you have a phone call is  
11 coming into a specific number, radio call-in shows,  
12 television voting shows, et cetera, very often the  
13 impact has the effect of being a voice to mail or  
14 service attack, but from the point of view from the  
15 business buying the number, usually it's an 800 number  
16 that these calls are coming in to. They would like to  
17 have an accurate view of how many people are calling  
18 in, not on any of the machines that pick up the call.

19 So there are a actually fairly naive  
20 approaches to detecting spikes in calling patterns from  
21 specific places and specific numbers that would  
22 distinguish between how fast you might be able to press  
23 button or even press the redial button and what a  
24 machine could do.

25 I think that the difference in robocalling is

1 twofold; one, the techniques being used are much more  
2 sophisticated because there's much more money involved  
3 and they are targeting millions of phone numbers.

4 MS. DAFFAN: What are some examples of  
5 practical applications of data mining that a carrier  
6 might use?

7 MR. BELANGER: I would say that most of the  
8 fraud and security and the network reliability  
9 techniques today, most of them are networks, are as  
10 being the entire industry are based on data mining.  
11 They are based, as you saw, the kind of data that you  
12 saw because the actual payload of the call or the pact  
13 that's entered is simply not used, not available. But  
14 if you were to look at how the network operations  
15 alarming systems work or the network fraud alarm  
16 existed as security, most of them would be applications  
17 of data mining and that sort of thing.

18 MS. DAFFAN: Do carriers ever block call  
19 based on information like data analytics that could  
20 come out of a lab like yours?

21 MR. BELANGER: For the answer to that, you  
22 would have to ask Adam, who would be involved in that.

23 MR. PANAGIA: Yes. Thousands of times a day.

24 MS. DAFFAN: So what Adam said, for people  
25 who couldn't hear, was thousands of times a day.



1           So your role is to sort of package the data  
2           and send the information on to people like the fraud  
3           team?

4           MR. BELANGER: My role was -- and there are  
5           still people in all of the large communication carriers  
6           -- was to invent the algorithms that might be able to  
7           detect an alarmable event and send the alarms to a  
8           downstream team, recalling that. Because this is  
9           typically a nonsyndromic data, you don't know for sure  
10          that this is an event, or you perhaps don't know how  
11          you should react to the given event. That's what these  
12          downstream teams do.

13          MS. DAFFAN: Related to the earlier question,  
14          would there be a way to not know for sure that a call  
15          was a robocall but had some kind of an educated guess,  
16          maybe a number on a scale.

17          MR. BELANGER: Zero to one. Yes. The output  
18          of most systems which are generating alarms is whether  
19          it's an event or not, a probability that this is not a  
20          false positive.

21          MS. DAFFAN: There's a question here from the  
22          audience about where law enforcement can get access to  
23          some of the analysis or the relationship data that  
24          you've been talking about. I guess how we could pull  
25          it together.

1           MR. BELANGER: I think that law enforcement  
2 typically works with the downstream people who have  
3 confirmed that it's an actual event of interest to law  
4 enforcement. If then there are requirements for more  
5 data, it would come through those organizations.

6           MS. DAFFAN: Is there a way that algorithms that  
7 you're  
8 talking about be used to present consumers with an  
9 option to block certain kinds of calls that might have  
10 a particularly high probability of being fraudulent if  
11 the consumer decided that they wanted to take that  
12 step, knowing the possibility of false positives?

13          MR. BELANGER: Well, that's a good idea.  
14 Maybe I should start a small company.

15           The answer is that they would have to be  
16 dramatically simplified algorithms and they would have  
17 to work based on knowledge of that consumer and that  
18 consumer's rule set.

19           So there is nothing to say that it couldn't  
20 be done. The operational characteristics of it would  
21 be staggering. A very technical person of the type  
22 that we saw a few of from the panels today, probably do  
23 it on their own. I don't think that we're anywhere  
24 near having the technological capability to build a  
25 generic one that people could simply put parameters in.

26          MS. DAFFAN: Good. Well, I think with that,

1 we will go to our break and we'll see you back in 15  
2 minutes.

3 (Applause.)

4 (Brief recess.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## 1 CALL BLOCKING TECHNOLOGY

2 MR. BANDY: Good afternoon. My name is  
3 Bikram Bandy. I'm a staff attorney in the Division of  
4 Marketing Practices at the Federal Trade Commission and  
5 I focus on enforcement of the Telemarketing Sales Rule  
6 and Do Not Call. I'm the moderator for today's panel.

7 Today's panel -- well, for most of the day  
8 today we've been talking about playing offense against  
9 bad robocallers. Law enforcement; how can we find out  
10 who they are? How can we go get them? How can we  
11 throw them in jail? The quest is to take down Rachel.  
12 And that's certainly -- and we've heard a lot of good  
13 ideas about how we can be more effective in that and  
14 we've also heard about why it's difficult to track down  
15 Rachel because she exists in multiple forms and she's  
16 hiding very well, often overseas.

17 What I wanted to focus on in this panel is  
18 about playing defense against Rachel and really  
19 allowing consumers to do things on their own that would  
20 prevent unwanted telemarketing calls from getting  
21 through. Really, that's what we've been talking about  
22 and what's been mentioned before is call blocking.

23 So we want to have this panel talk about what  
24 call blocking is, how it works, what its current  
25 limitations are and what are some of the things that

1 can be done to perhaps, give consumers more power to  
2 prevent their phones from ringing in the first place.

3 I have talked to a lot of consumers that are  
4 very frustrated by these calls and they say, you know,  
5 the same person, the same number, or the message I keep  
6 getting over and over and again. And if you can just  
7 make that one message stop. If I can stop just that  
8 one message, you know, maybe I can get to take that  
9 nap.

10 So there's definitely a consumer desire to be  
11 able to almost engage in some self-help, and I think  
12 call blocking is one of the options. It's not  
13 something that you can just wave with a magic wand.  
14 There are some issues with it and I think our panel  
15 today is going to talk a little bit about it. Let me  
16 introduce our panel.

17 First, to my left I have Andrew Whitt, who is  
18 the director of Global Maintenance Engineering Voice  
19 and Communications Services at Verizon. He has over 34  
20 years of experience in the telecommunication industry.  
21 At Verizon, he is responsible for overall network  
22 reliability of Verizon's landline and VoIP services and  
23 for supporting Verizon's network evolution to next  
24 generation technologies.

25 To his left is Jeff Stalnaker, who is the

1 president and co-founder of PrivacyStar, a company that  
2 provides consumers with mobile privacy protection  
3 services such as call and text blocking, caller ID,  
4 complaint filing and other privacy-related features for  
5 consumers.

6 PrivacyStar has an application on the market  
7 that assists consumers on blocking unwanted calls to  
8 their mobile phones that he's going to be talking about  
9 today.

10 And finally, on the other end is Matt Stein,  
11 who is with Primus Telecommunications Canada, which is  
12 the largest alternative telecommunications company in  
13 Canada and serves residential business and wholesale  
14 customers with a full suite of telecommunication  
15 services.

16 Matt is going to talk about a product that he  
17 invented, which is Telemarketing Guard, which is  
18 offered to Primus customers that helps block unwanted  
19 telemarketing calls. So that's the panel. I wanted to  
20 start off by having Andy talk a little bit about what  
21 call blocking is and how it works in its current  
22 incarnation, particularly on legacy landline networks,  
23 what its limitations are.

24 MR. WHITT: Good afternoon. First of all, I  
25 would like to thank the FTC for putting this summit

1 together. As I've sat in the audience throughout the  
2 day, the speakers and panelists that have talked  
3 throughout the day, what a very distinguished group,  
4 very much the right people to be here to talk through  
5 this very specific issue.

6 So as we begin, in terms of this particular  
7 panel and kind of what we wanted to focus on is what  
8 can customers do now. Throughout the day we heard, it  
9 kind of talked about at the beginning, way back when.  
10 Today we've heard a lot about what the future might  
11 hold and many of the problems or challenges. What I'm  
12 going to focus on from a Verizon perspective is what do  
13 we have now. What's available now? In some cases I  
14 think it might be fairly basic. Some old tricks, if  
15 you will. But just to make sure everybody understands  
16 what those capabilities are.

17 Just to frame up conversation earlier, again,  
18 you've heard about it and I'm not going to bore you  
19 with redundant discussions about the PSTN, but that is  
20 a large part of the network, not just the U.S., but, of  
21 course, internationally.

22 I think the key point, as was stated here is  
23 that there are some limitations. We as providers,  
24 AT&T, Century Link and others use very similar  
25 technologies from various vendors. Over the past 30 or

1 40 years, the industry worked together to identify  
2 features, functions. Just a few years ago Bellcore was  
3 a key industry driver. So in some ways it was build it  
4 and they'll come, relative to some of the services, but  
5 clearly today, the market drives that, which is a good  
6 thing.

7           As some of my fellow panelists will talk  
8 about today is some of the solutions that have been  
9 enabled by competition and market-driven solutions. So  
10 again, limited technology in the existing switches,  
11 they were designed and implemented several years ago,  
12 long before the iPad and iPhone, et cetera.

13           Broadband services are very, very much the  
14 future. When you think about the different  
15 technologies, and I listened for it, but I didn't  
16 really hear a specific kind of clarifying statement  
17 because if you think about wireless, VoIP, landline,  
18 you mostly are talking about the access technology,  
19 when in the core, it's actually migrating to VoIP as a  
20 core network, but still mostly, that legal circuit  
21 switch, or we might say TDM, time-division multiplexing  
22 core.

23           So broadband services, ultimately, for us as  
24 a business, and also for our customers, provides a  
25 brand new infrastructure for a lot of great innovation



1 for the bad guys and for us. So it's kind of an arm's  
2 race as we go forward.

3 In terms of wireless -- I'm sure everybody is  
4 on the same page here in terms of wireless, it's really  
5 then the driver in evolution of this network. As we  
6 migrate that core network to a thing called IMS in the  
7 near term. We're going to get to a very standard-  
8 spaced infrastructure that's going to really help us as  
9 we begin to look more deeply into solutions to  
10 expedite, if you will, the identification in addressing  
11 those robocallers and other nuisance.

12 In the end, I would just say from our  
13 perspective of providers today -- and it was said a  
14 couple of times, but I think it's important to say it  
15 again -- we want to complete calls as an industry. We  
16 want to complete a call.

17 A call comes in and unless it's very much  
18 apparent or customers have complained, we're going to  
19 complete that call every single time. That's the  
20 expectation of our customers. That's the expectation  
21 of all of our various regulatory agencies.

22 So completing calls is very important to us,  
23 but also that privacy. I say that again because when I  
24 started 34 years ago, the very first thing I read was  
25 how quickly I would get fired if I ever told anybody

1 about a call being made, who called who, what the  
2 content of that call might've been.

3 Privacy of communication -- again, DNA was a  
4 great term earlier -- is very, very important to us.  
5 That could be a bit of a challenge if you're trying to  
6 figure out or distinguish a good call or a bad call.  
7 Ultimately, it comes down to customers telling us,  
8 giving us that intelligence.

9 So everybody had a network drawing, so I had  
10 to have something. This is really, really basic. I  
11 like to make things as basic as I can. The reason I'm  
12 showing you this, very, very quickly, is that the old  
13 technologies, those old switches, those wonderful  
14 things that we installed when I was young and new in  
15 the industry were very much a big box. They were very  
16 monolithic. They were proprietary. They were coming  
17 from big vendors, so everything was together. We have  
18 lines to our customers. Remember earlier, one carrier  
19 and one pair of copper wires, right? Then we had  
20 trunks. We heard trunks earlier, interconnecting our  
21 end offices with carriers and international gateways.  
22 And then in the middle is that wonderful switch fabric.  
23 When I first learned about time switching I thought  
24 this was pretty cool. Again, it was a matter of  
25 current technology 30 years ago, very advanced.

1           That service logic, key point is that it was  
2 right there locally in the machine. In our network are  
3 thousands of these machines in our network at Verizon  
4 or AT&T, Century Link and others. So this is the  
5 network. Still, in many cases, this is the machine  
6 providing dial tone to our customers. When you think  
7 about that old technology, again, I just want to take a  
8 few minutes and focus on what is available right now.  
9 We talked about call blocking, but let's be clear,  
10 before you block it, you got to screen it and we want  
11 to give you some opportunities to screen it. That's  
12 really what caller ID is, right? It's a screening  
13 technology. It gives you some awareness.

14           Now, I don't know about any of you, but have  
15 you ever put an address in a GPS unit and you follow it  
16 blindly until you get to that dead end? Now, I didn't  
17 throw the GPS away, but most times, probably 95 percent  
18 of the time it gives me the intelligence that I can  
19 make the best decisions to get to my point of  
20 destination. Same with some of these technologies. As  
21 you heard, because of spoofing, because of some of the  
22 advanced technologies, caller ID sometimes isn't  
23 accurate, but most of the time it is.

24           Just about 15 years ago I thought, you know,  
25 when you're on the call talking to someone on that

1 landline, we used to share a little tone if somebody  
2 wants to talk to you. We added caller waiting ID so  
3 that you could actually see the person who was calling  
4 while you were on that call to give you the decision,  
5 again, a decision point of should I take that call.

6 Now, there has been some talk about anonymous  
7 call rejection. It's actually a pretty good service.  
8 Now, it's not as effective with spoofing, but we do  
9 have a lot of providers, or I should say bad actors,  
10 that will block their caller ID and the network can  
11 identify that and route them to a message saying  
12 listen, if you want to call me, you better unblock and  
13 give me your identifier, right. It's a nice feature.  
14 When that was designed, it was an incredible  
15 advancement, but that's before the advent of these  
16 kinds of robocall type technology.

17 Call block, as an example, \*60 is pretty much  
18 an industry code to use, but I would very much check  
19 with your provider. Good news there is that you might  
20 get a call in that says blocked and you picked it up  
21 and if it was abusive, you could \*60 and put that  
22 caller on a block list even though you didn't see the  
23 phone number because that phone number is known by the  
24 machine.

25 I think call trace is something that doesn't

1 get enough air time, so I wanted to make sure we talked  
2 about it today. We, as an industry, as was said by  
3 Adam at AT&T and many others, we work together, but  
4 more importantly, we work very closely with our law  
5 enforcement agencies at local, state, and federal  
6 levels.

7           What's nice about call trace is that in many  
8 cases it's a pay per use. You don't have to subscribe  
9 for it, just \*57. As soon as you do that, when you've  
10 gotten an abusive call, \*57 records that in a record  
11 that can be used in a legal proceeding to prosecute.  
12 We don't tell you who called, especially if they're  
13 blocked because we can't, that's the rules, but when  
14 you call Verizon's Unlawful Call Center, then that is  
15 how we can initiate, work with, reach out to our law  
16 enforcement agencies.

17           So at the very bottom of the page, there is a  
18 little link there to talk about some of those call  
19 features. Again, I would say that folks should always  
20 read up on your providers, in terms of those kinds of  
21 capabilities.

22           Again, just another real basic view, it kind  
23 of blew up the old network, if you will. You got that  
24 VoIP in the middle. That's where we're heading. We're  
25 heading to a VoIP infrastructure. Notice we no longer

1 have line cards, we have gateways.

2 A really good point made earlier was that as  
3 you transition through gateways you lose context. You  
4 lose some of the key intelligence that we would've  
5 relied on in the future.

6 Simply, the point is that VoIP is a great  
7 thing, but it can, of course, provide some capabilities  
8 for not only us as providers to give new services, but  
9 also the bad actors to leverage that.

10 Talking about Verizon, we have a service  
11 called FiOS Digital Voice. On our landline network we  
12 have fiber. And over that fiber we now have a VoIP  
13 service called FiOS Digital Voice. The nice thing  
14 about it is instead of just using your handset and  
15 those tones to activate features, et cetera, now you  
16 can go on the website or you can use a smartphone and  
17 you can identify and track your call log, message block  
18 list.

19 Of course, many providers now, equivalent to  
20 FiOS, can be sitting at home watching the Super Bowl  
21 and that call comes in -- what's nice about it now is  
22 with this service we have called Voicemail Stream,  
23 again, a screening feature, you can pick the phone up  
24 and wait until the identifier shows that it's going to  
25 voicemail, go off hook and listen to the caller leaving

1 a message. It's a screening capability so you can say  
2 do I really want to take this call?

3 It's kind of like the old-fashioned answering  
4 machine, which was a really great screening device  
5 itself.

6 I just wanted to mention that we've got a  
7 very robust business VoIP infrastructure, also, and we  
8 do have customers that are autodialers. And hopefully  
9 most of the time they're the good players, but when  
10 they're not, of course, we again work with those  
11 customers to address those bad actors.

12 Finally, in terms of our evolution, we are,  
13 right now, migrating from old technology. Just this  
14 year we finally removed the last 1A switch off our  
15 network that had been there for 39 years. So we're  
16 going through that process. We're evolving that  
17 network and we're replacing it with brand new  
18 technology that is VoIP-enable northbound to the  
19 network.

20 Real quick, while I just have a minute left,  
21 in terms of wireless -- again, as I said earlier,  
22 wireless is really driving the evolution of the  
23 network, quite frankly, and there's an app for it. It  
24 was said a couple of times today. The intelligence in  
25 that former model was at the core and it took months,

1 maybe years, to make changes or evolve, but now we have  
2 apps, and there's an app for that. There's an app for  
3 call blocking and call streaming. You can go on any of  
4 the Android market or iPhone app store and there are  
5 many applications out there. That's a beautiful thing.

6 When we think wireless, when you block a  
7 number, because wireless can give you text messaging,  
8 video messaging, picture messaging. The neat thing now  
9 when you block a call on wireless, you're blocking all  
10 that, not just the audio. So that's an interesting  
11 expansion of the capability.

12 Finally, we use, work with Cloudmark. I gave  
13 the URL so that you can get more detailed information,  
14 but the key point is if you get a spam message and that  
15 spam text message clearly is a spam text message, you  
16 can forward it to 7726. What's nice about that, like  
17 other similar services, it begins to create an  
18 intelligent database and as more and more people  
19 forward those messages, to connect the dots, we're  
20 going to start to block those kinds of messages coming  
21 in from the bad actors.

22 Finally, I just want to say that Verizon, as  
23 I've said many times today, we partner with government  
24 and industry. Ultimately, working with organizations  
25 like ATIS or the CSRIC, which is part of FCC and other



1 organizations like FTC also, as an industry, we are  
2 driven to provide those solutions. And as we work  
3 together as an industry, we come up with very good  
4 solutions because that's what we've been doing for  
5 many, many years.

6 Today, a key piece is that we do have mutual  
7 support and that's been part of DNA, in terms of when  
8 we have a robocall incident and we reach out to AT&T  
9 or Century Link or other carriers, we have our partners  
10 to reach across. I like the Batcave idea. I think  
11 that would be pretty cool.

12 Ultimately, sessions like today, probably the  
13 most important thing is awareness, consumer awareness.  
14 Understanding what the problem is from green, yellow,  
15 to red calls and what is available now and understand  
16 that it's not going to be fixed quickly, but we're on a  
17 path of some pretty amazing solutions. Thank you.

18 MR. BANDY: Now Jeff is going to talk a  
19 little bit about the product that his company,  
20 PrivacyStar, has developed.

21 MR. STALNAKER: I was hoping Andy was going  
22 to give me a plug when he started talking about mobile  
23 applications, but he didn't do it.

24 Let me just start from the beginning. My  
25 name is Jeff Stalnaker and I'm the CEO of a company

1 called PrivacyStar. We are a mobile platform  
2 smartphone capability to block calls, not just  
3 robocalls that we've been talking about all day, but it  
4 works on mother-in-laws, girlfriends, et cetera.

5 We are located in Conway, Arkansas, not  
6 Silicon Valley. I get that question a lot. In  
7 Arkansas, we're actually pretty smart. We actually  
8 created a technology that works. Always got to start  
9 with that.

10 We started this thing in 2008 and we started  
11 with the focus on landline call blocking. So we know  
12 the two reasons you get rid of your landline. The  
13 number one reason is cost. Sorry, Verizon and AT&T.  
14 Number two, telemarketing calls. So we know it's a  
15 massive problem. What we figured out quickly, after  
16 going to several undisclosed and unnamed carriers who  
17 are potentially in the audience, we quickly learned  
18 that the technology is 39 years old.

19 By the way, did that switch work when you  
20 pulled it out? Hopefully it did. The reality is that  
21 technology is not where it needs to be. By the way,  
22 you've got to laugh at some of my jokes here, okay.  
23 It's the end of the day. They put an old CPA up here  
24 just before you get to go have beer.

25 So we started this thing focused on landline,

1 but we quickly learned that wasn't going to work. At  
2 the same time, we quickly realized that a lot of people  
3 are getting rid of that landline. What happens when  
4 you get rid of that landline? You use your mobile --  
5 it's okay; shout it out if you know the answer.

6           You use your mobile number for everything.  
7 It goes on your business card. It goes on the side of  
8 your car. It goes on your email signature. What  
9 happens every time you put that number out there?  
10 Shout it out. Telemarketers can get a hold of you,  
11 either correctly, incorrectly, legitimately or  
12 illegitimately. Then what happens? Your cell phone  
13 begins to ring.

14           When we started this in late '08, early '09,  
15 I would go and talk to people and they would say I  
16 never, ever get a call on my cell phone from a  
17 telemarketer. That's what they would say. You guys  
18 are wasting your time. You do the same survey now,  
19 most people get anywhere from seven to ten per month.  
20 And if you don't have a landline, it can be well into  
21 the 20s per month of telemarketing calls. The other  
22 thing that hasn't been mentioned here that we should  
23 talk about is the reality is that people don't know  
24 that they really should register their mobile number on  
25 the Do Not Call list. They should do that.

1           We find more and more people when they come  
2 into our system and use our service that they're not  
3 registered. But, boy, they want to file complaints.  
4 You can't file a complaint unless you're on the list.  
5 So we have a automated process that tells all of our  
6 users that when you try to file a complaint, and even  
7 when they register, you need to sign up on the Do Not  
8 Call list.

9           I'm going to get started. Really, what we  
10 do, as I mentioned, we have a number of features.  
11 There are 14 features that are available. We are  
12 available in Google Play. So if you have a Google  
13 phone and you want to go out and find PrivacyStar, just  
14 hit the search button and type in PrivacyStar and it'll  
15 take you about 30 seconds to download, register and  
16 then you can start blocking calls and text messages.  
17 We are working with many operators. We find that that  
18 is better for us, in terms of distribution.

19           Very importantly, Andy, you talked about the  
20 reporting on the 7726, when we started this thing we  
21 only had three features to block phone calls -- and  
22 I'll talk about this more in a minute -- you can be  
23 able to file a complaint with the Federal Trade  
24 Commission for Do Not Call and also FTCPA.

25           However, we got the question all the time,

1 Jeff, that's great, you blocked my ex's phone calls 37  
2 times, but she sent me 105 text messages. What are you  
3 going to do about that? So we also offer you the  
4 ability to block text messages.

5 As I mentioned, very, very easy, right after  
6 you block a phone call -- and yes, you've got to get  
7 the first one. You don't have to listen to them, but  
8 right after you get that first call it takes you just a  
9 second and we add it to your block list. Next time  
10 that person comes in, your phone won't ring. It won't  
11 buzz. It won't vibrate. You won't even know that it  
12 happened unless you're looking at your screen.

13 We use technology in the handsets. So we  
14 actually execute an answer and it will hang up  
15 immediately in subseconds. Again, unless you're  
16 looking at your screen, you wouldn't know. What's  
17 very, very cool, though, right after you block that  
18 number, we pop up a little window that says hey, would  
19 you like to also file a complaint? Boom. You say,  
20 "Yes." You can say "No." You don't have to file a  
21 complaint. We're not sending in complaints if people  
22 don't want it. This is a user, a consumer that's  
23 making this decision. So we ask, is it a telemarketer  
24 or is it a debt collector? Real simple. Then we ask  
25 if you would like to provide other information, such as

1 if it was prerecorded, a robocall, it was abusive, et  
2 cetera, et cetera.

3 Surprisingly, about 45 percent of the people  
4 that file complaints take the time to fill in those  
5 boxes. Over 20 percent of them take time to use the  
6 comment box. I always say this; the American public is  
7 not at a loss of interesting expletives around  
8 telemarketing and debt collectors. They like to use  
9 words.

10 We've actually filed with the Federal Trade  
11 Commission around 350,000 complaints in the last 14  
12 months. That's a lot of complaints. We're averaging  
13 somewhere between 20,000 and 25,000 per month. We're  
14 getting ready to turn on one of the top four operators  
15 in about a week. So get ready because the 20 to 25 is  
16 probably going to 40 or 45. I think I saw David in  
17 here earlier, so get ready for it because it's coming.

18 As we turn more and more of these operators  
19 on, you will see more and more of the complaints.  
20 There's no question that consumers want to file  
21 complaints. Some of the challenges we spend all day  
22 talking about this, the spoofing problem, it is a  
23 problem. We talked a lot about technology. I'm not an  
24 engineer. I'm just an old financial guy, but I got it.  
25 It's hard to stop it. It's no question challenging for

1 us to fix the problem. I don't think we'll fix it  
2 anytime soon. It's going to take some time. These  
3 guys are smart. They change the numbers.

4 One of the complaints we get about our  
5 services is I want ABC Company blocked. I've got nine  
6 numbers on here from the same company. Can't you just  
7 block ABC Company? Well, I wish I could, but I can't.  
8 I was mentioning earlier about the number of blocked  
9 calls, about 13 is the average number that our users  
10 have blocked. We do have a lady that has 327 blocked  
11 numbers. I don't know why she has 327 numbers blocked,  
12 but she does and we block them all for her.

13 Definitely, the call blocking challenges in  
14 today's world, you know, if we wanted to fix it, if it  
15 wanted to be able to block more than six numbers on  
16 some of those legacy switches, you could do it. It can  
17 be done. It would take time and it would take money,  
18 but it definitely is doable. The VoIP switches make it  
19 so much easier. These soft switches are just  
20 fantastic. They're like little computers that cost a  
21 lot more than little computers, but give you infinite  
22 flexibility for call blocking, et cetera, et cetera.

23 I think one of the solutions is make it easy  
24 for people to tell the Federal Trade Commission and the  
25 FCC that something's going on. I mean, people love to

1 tell you and us something happened and we want it  
2 fixed. So make it easy. Empower the user and the  
3 consumer. Our complaint filing capability is just a  
4 mirror of what you can do at donotcall.net. It's  
5 exactly the same. What we did was when that consumer  
6 gets that call and you're angry, that's when they want  
7 to file a complaint. Boom. Blocked and filed. I got  
8 them.

9 I get this question a lot: Jeff, I blocked  
10 this number -- this is something for you, Andy -- I  
11 blocked this number but I would also like to block it  
12 on my wife's phone and block it on my home phone. Why  
13 can't I share those?

14 The other opportunity we have is a service  
15 called Smart Block. I know Matt is going to talk to  
16 you about this service as well, but this is crowd-  
17 sourced. So we reach out to all of our users twice a  
18 week and we give the top 25 most blocked numbers. If  
19 you want us to and you select Smart Block in your user  
20 settings, we'll block those guys.

21 Now, admittedly, I probably should not say  
22 that in this city, but right now the top three or four  
23 or political survey companies. It's sort of fine.  
24 It's okay to laugh, but a lot of calls are being made,  
25 as we all know, and our users are simply blocking those



1 calls.

2           It's typical debt collectors and it's typical  
3 telemarketers. It's usually about 60/40 and it's the  
4 who's who of those companies that we all recognize that  
5 are on the list. We do change it out twice a week.

6           We are looking at expanding it. We had some  
7 meetings yesterday with you guys that we're thinking  
8 about expanding it to maybe 1,000. Why just 25? The  
9 bigger you can make that list, the more of the standard  
10 telemarketing calls you're going to block. You're  
11 really helping the consumers who don't want these  
12 calls. This is real simple.

13           We talked a little bit about technology, the  
14 evolution. That's happening. That's good news. No  
15 more 39-year-old switches. Although, there will be  
16 other problems with the new switches, but that's good.  
17 You have LTE and you've got RCS that a lot of operators  
18 are looking at. Of course you've got VoIP and IMS.  
19 There are lots of cool technologies that are frankly  
20 going to help us be more standard in any event.

21           I guess I'll end with the last point here  
22 that whatever technology we throw at it -- I think  
23 somebody said this earlier -- the scammers, the  
24 spoofers, the fraudsters get access to some of that  
25 same technology. So we have to do a better job of

1       trying to stay ahead of these guys but know that  
2       they've got access to the same technology. Thank you.

3               MR. STEIN: Hello, everyone. I'm Matt Stein  
4       from Primus Canada, and I'm going to talk to you a  
5       little bit about Telemarketing Guard.

6               First, very quickly, obviously not about  
7       robocalls, but who is Primus Telecommunications Canada,  
8       and frankly, why are you here? We are a wholly owned  
9       sub of the New York Stock Exchange, listed as PTGi. We  
10      are a Canadian full service telecommunications company,  
11      but we are purely an alternative. We're not incumbent  
12      anywhere. We're not an ILEC in any region and so  
13      forth, but we're in Canada. It's pretty big; 99 Points  
14      of Presence and we serve over a million customers. We  
15      serve residential, business, wholesale, you name it.  
16      There's a little list of our services up there.

17              Telemarketing Guard, I guess is what I'm here  
18      to talk to you about today. This was really our  
19      initial aim to deal with the telemarketing situation.  
20      We had customers complaining about telemarketing. At  
21      the time it was a lot of talk about the Do Not Call  
22      List and so on. In Canada, we're trying to resolve it  
23      in our own way. In Canada there is a Do Not Call List  
24      as well.

25              At Primus, we had a bit of a different

1 approach and that's what we took. In 2006, and  
2 ultimately patented and deployed in '07, we brought our  
3 product out to market, this Telemarketing Guard  
4 solution. Today, it stops millions of telemarketing  
5 calls and robocalls, which I view as a type of  
6 telemarketing, with no involvement by the customer.  
7 There is nothing they need to do. They need to  
8 install. They don't need to reach out and select their  
9 list of telemarketers. They don't need to buy a piece  
10 of equipment and put it in their home. They don't need  
11 you to do anything at all. Without doing anything, we  
12 were surprised to find that we had absolutely no  
13 complaints from customers that use it.

14 So we now offer the service as a free ongoing  
15 service to our traditional copper pair home phone  
16 product customers -- you know, the normal plain old  
17 home phone -- and to our Voice over IP customers.

18 Really, what is it? What it is, is something  
19 that lives deep inside the network that when a call  
20 comes in to one of our customers, the call before our  
21 customer's phone is rang, the call is interrogated and  
22 looked at, such as where did the call come from. What  
23 caller ID did it come from? What ANI did it come from?  
24 How many other calls came from that caller ID or ANI  
25 recently or ever more before, or to this customer

1 before, or to our base before? And there are many,  
2 many things that are looked at right across the board  
3 and it decides, well, based on all this information,  
4 everything I know about who's calling and how they  
5 called and when they called, and instance of calling  
6 and all that.

7 I'm going to build a score, a live, real time  
8 view of the probability that this is a telemarketer and  
9 it comprises and builds those numbers. Then it takes  
10 that information and it compares it to the willingness  
11 of that subscriber, which we assume everybody is  
12 somewhat willing to take a telemarketing call. We  
13 compare it to the willingness of the subscriber to  
14 receive that call and then decide either to pass the  
15 call onto our subscriber or to impede it. I'll explain  
16 that in a moment.

17 The customers can configure this if they  
18 choose to. There's a little phone interface that you  
19 can touch-tone dial into the IVR and change your  
20 configuration or you can go to a portal and you can  
21 change it there, graphically, but you don't have to.  
22 You can just leave it to run and it runs pretty well.

23 So what happens is if it is a telemarketer  
24 and we decide we're not going to pass that call  
25 through, we don't block it. We are a phone company.

1 We believe our job is to connect the two parties.  
2 We're not going to block it, but we are going to screen  
3 it. So the network answers the call and states -- and  
4 it's in this very complicated diagram -- the party that  
5 you're calling does not want to receive telemarketing  
6 calls. If you believe your call has been stopped in  
7 error, please press one to record your name so that  
8 your call can be announced. Well, telemarketers don't  
9 do that. Certainly robocallers don't, but  
10 telemarketers tend not to press one.

11 So typically, the call ends there, in the  
12 case of a telemarketer, but sometimes they do, they  
13 press one and they announce, "This is Bob's Bait and  
14 Tackle." The phone rings at my customer's premises.  
15 They answer the call and it says you're receiving your  
16 call from Bob's Bait and Tackle. Press one to accept  
17 the call or two to reject this call. We then use the  
18 fact that that they pressed one or pressed two to  
19 further influence the score that that party has with us  
20 and, hence, go to our gray list.

21 First, we're using information about the  
22 number of calls over periods, over many different  
23 timeframes that this caller, the caller ID, the ANI and  
24 so on, have ever called before. We use the fact that  
25 it may already be on the black list of some of our  
26 customers.

1           Customers may have already have said, no,  
2           that was a telemarketer. You missed that one. I  
3           dialed \*44, the special star code, to report the  
4           telemarketer. We use that information as well. So  
5           we've built up an enormous array of information about  
6           calls that had ever happened before on our network,  
7           across the very large base of users across a long  
8           period of time and it compromises to do that.

9           We also use the fact that on the other hand,  
10          we may reduce your score if the caller ID has never  
11          gone up before; we've never seen it before. Or, for  
12          example, customers in a short period of time have added  
13          to the white list. So I have shown here for specifics  
14          that we use, but there are about 75 things that are  
15          comprised to build that gray list on the fly. So that  
16          information is streaming in from all sources. We use  
17          the fact that it may be an improperly formatted phone  
18          number, not enough digits. Phone numbers don't  
19          normally have six digits. There's going to be seven or  
20          there will be ten or it'll be longer. But if it's  
21          longer, it will start with a valid country code and all  
22          these sorts of things.

23          We use the fact that if it's a local number,  
24          well, then it should be in the local portability  
25          database and things like that. So we have a lot of

1 different things that we've built in to thwart spoofing  
2 and so forth that we just included within this.

3 As for the end-user value -- and I'm going to  
4 try to go quickly because I don't want to run on too  
5 long -- first off, dramatically fewer telemarketing  
6 calls. On average, a reduction of 20 per month per  
7 customer in reduced telemarketing and robocalls. So if  
8 you think in terms of business days in a month, it's a  
9 pretty substantial reduction. That again is average.  
10 So there is some hope to get it much better than that.

11 Furthermore, these announced calls invite the  
12 customer to take further action. They engage the  
13 customer immediately. We've stopped the telemarketer  
14 from calling you. What would you like to do about it?  
15 Engages the customer and makes them feel responsibility  
16 to participate and to report telemarketers through \*44  
17 and the portal web interface and so forth.

18 Customer satisfaction with it has been  
19 fantastic. We noticed a material change in customer  
20 churn after deploying it, whereas, we used to  
21 experience industry-consistent churn, that dropped very  
22 quickly. From a carrier standpoint one of the biggest  
23 things that we can do to affect the overall  
24 profitability of our company is to reduce the reasons  
25 that people would ever want to leave our service,

1 obviously. This became a very big reason the  
2 customers wanted to stay. They formed a Facebook fan  
3 club. It was a very unique experience back in '07, '08  
4 to announce this product and have that kind of  
5 response. We're used to launching things like call  
6 waiting and stuff.

7           We got one laugh. I'd given up. I thought  
8 there weren't going to be any. So the user does have  
9 the option to change it. They can tailor their  
10 settings. They can modify it a little bit. They can  
11 remove it. They can do it. But the key to this is  
12 they don't have to do anything. They don't require the  
13 interaction on a regular basis. If they make no  
14 further interaction, it still continues to save them  
15 time, give them their dinner hour back, so to speak.

16           And lastly, and very important from my  
17 standpoint, is going into this, while designing it, a  
18 big concern is where to get that list and really who's  
19 going to apply that value to it. Is that a  
20 telemarketer? Well, it's charity. Really, that's not  
21 a telemarketer. That's different. What about this and  
22 what about that?

23           We felt this way by never putting in one  
24 ourselves. Only letting our customers decide and  
25 requiring a large number, many, many customers to



1 actually have to report a number before we would  
2 consider it a telemarketer. We sort of took that  
3 wisdom in crowds approach. If all these people thought  
4 that was a telemarketer, who are we to argue?

5 I will tell you that there was an interesting  
6 conversation with our director of call centers when we  
7 found ourselves on that list. Change your number;  
8 we're not taking it off. And, in fact, we did not. We  
9 did not take ourselves off that list.

10 So where are we now? Telemarketing is still  
11 growing. Even to a base such as ours that has for a  
12 prolonged period of time been nearly unreachable by  
13 telemarketers. Telemarketers continue. They persist.  
14 Now, I'm talking about telemarketers and I know here  
15 today is about robocalls. I'll play the Canadian card  
16 and say I think that's similar. But telemarketing and  
17 obviously robocalls are dramatically increasing, even  
18 when they're not reachable.

19 There's been a lot of talk today about do you  
20 press one or do you press two. Do you answer the  
21 telemarketer? Do you talk to them? I can tell you and  
22 I can show you a mountain of data that says that as  
23 soon as the call is answered, the robocaller will stay  
24 on the phone for as long as you let it stay on the  
25 phone. So it's incredible.

1           I mentioned our little Facebook fan page. I  
2 talked about the fact that millions of telemarketing  
3 calls are screened every month. And lastly, just on a  
4 final note, customer surveys that we did initially were  
5 very strong in terms of the enjoyment that people were  
6 getting from it, how they appreciated it and so on.  
7 And it has continued.

8           Despite the fact that we haven't marketed it  
9 in quite some time, we still have customers that come  
10 to us through word of mouth and come back to us. The  
11 comebacks are the best. When they say I switched away  
12 from a service four months ago, I can't handle the  
13 telemarketing, let me back in.

14           In closing, I guess I'll just mention that we  
15 have taken this technology and recently we have begun  
16 to license it to other carriers. So hopefully you'll  
17 start to see it with some other carriers soon, too. So  
18 thank you very much.

19           MR. BANDY: Okay. We've got a lot of good  
20 questions. We'll start with this one. This one is  
21 directed to both Matt and Jeff. Can a customer white  
22 list phone numbers that have been blocked by your  
23 system -- talking about your Telemarketing Guard and  
24 your Smart Guard -- as part of a block?

25           So if someone is on the list and is going to

1 be walked through the normal process, is there a way to  
2 say, you know what? I kind of want to hear from that  
3 particular marketer?

4 MR. STEIN: In our case, the short answer is  
5 yes. Remember, we won't block the call from reaching  
6 the customer without screening. And by presenting that  
7 prompt, the person who is actually calling will press  
8 one and announce themselves, they can still get  
9 through. In a case where our customer is aware that a  
10 certain caller or the caller ID, ANI, et cetera, or  
11 caller ID specifically, does want to reach them, they  
12 can do so either through web interface or through touch  
13 tones, they can just have that number on their personal  
14 white list, which is limitless. They have a black list  
15 as well that is also limitless, so it's a limitless  
16 list.

17 MR. STALNAKER: With PrivacyStar, we  
18 currently don't have a white list capability, but it  
19 probably is one of the top two or three requested  
20 features, in particular as you go international, to  
21 avoid some of the potential roaming charges. So we  
22 will be rolling that out probably within 30 days.  
23 Again, it's been one of the most requested features.

24 I guess maybe inside that question also is  
25 when we go to carriers -- and, Matt, you'll appreciate

1     this -- one of the common questions I get is, Jeff, we  
2     don't want our customers to be able to block our own  
3     telemarketing. I can't imagine that, but we've never  
4     agreed to do that. So I always tell the operators that  
5     if they don't want to hear from you, you're probably  
6     wasting money. So we don't restrict it. So if you  
7     want to block your carrier, you can.

8             MR. BANDY: Here is another question that I  
9     think is related to Matt and Jeff. Do you have  
10    experience with callers complaining about some people  
11    who are actually trying to connect calls getting false  
12    positives and getting blocked?

13            MR. STALNAKER: I think for Matt, for  
14    Telemarketing Guard, maybe someone keeps running into  
15    the voice prompt menu and they say you know what? I'm  
16    calling from overseas and I keep running into this. Or  
17    for whatever reason I keep running into that and it's  
18    starting to be a drag.

19            MR. STEIN: I think we've had a few in the  
20    five or six years of people that have contacted us and  
21    said why am I being stopped? I don't think I'm a  
22    telemarketer and so on. Our response has always been  
23    the same. We never decided that you are a telemarketer  
24    or decided you weren't, and we're not going to change  
25    that now.

1           Our customers, a large enough number of them  
2           thought you were so it's not our call. At least in our  
3           case, those numbers age off. If nobody is reporting  
4           it, it will ultimately age its way back off that list  
5           and we just sort of explain how the system works.

6           MR. BANDY: If a telemarketer or someone who  
7           is calling you gets through the voice prompt and then  
8           the customer accepts the call, does that number go on  
9           the white list automatically?

10          MR. STEIN: Well, yeah, for that user it  
11          does.

12          MR. BANDY: Okay.

13          MR. STEIN: For the person that called it  
14          does, but we also use the fact that yes, they were  
15          screened as a telemarketer, but somebody said yeah, I  
16          do want to talk to them. That's almost a vote of  
17          confidence. So it also heavily impacts the overall  
18          scoring that's done every time a call comes into the  
19          network.

20          MR. BANDY: So for an individual customer, if  
21          someone calls them and they get blocked and it's  
22          someone that customer wants to talk to and they say  
23          yes, I want to talk to that person, that person is not  
24          going to get blocked again when they're calling that  
25          individual customer; is that right?

1 MR. STEIN: Correct.

2 MR. BANDY: But then I guess your system is  
3 set up that if you've got lots of people saying I want  
4 to talk to this person, then that person may --

5 MR. STEIN: Well, that's a whole bunch of  
6 people almost giving that vote of confidence to that  
7 one telemarketer and then that score start to come back  
8 down. That gray list score starts to come back down  
9 and then that accelerates with age and so on. And then  
10 all of a sudden there's screening again until people  
11 start blocking it again.

12 MR. BANDY: Now, Jeff, what about with the  
13 Smart Block? Do you have the same problem where people  
14 are saying hey, I can't get through?

15 MR. STALNAKER: No. We really haven't. It's  
16 a great question and I've been asked that many times.  
17 As we consider taking that list to 1,000 or maybe 5,000  
18 numbers, I think maybe there is that potential, but I  
19 think it's worth it to see if, in fact, we see that  
20 come up as a question.

21 I wouldn't have anybody calling to say hey,  
22 are you blocking, you know, we're trying to do  
23 telemarketing to all your customer and they've got us  
24 blocked. Nobody has ever asked me that question.

25 MR. BANDY: Okay. This is a question for

1 Matt. How good does it feel to make telemarketers  
2 press one or two to get through?

3 MR. STEIN: It feels fantastic.

4 MR. BANDY: Another question is, are any U.S.  
5 companies offering something similar to Telemarketing  
6 Guard? If not, is it because of the patent? Is the  
7 patent preventing other carriers from offering a  
8 similar type of solution?

9 MR. STEIN: I'm not familiar with any U.S.  
10 carriers. I'm not familiar with any other carrier  
11 anywhere offering it. Like I said, we are licensing  
12 it. As for the reasons, I would assume it's the patent  
13 or perhaps -- well, I would be speculating.

14 MR. BANDY: This is a question for everybody.  
15 To what extent, in your opinion, is a federal  
16 regulatory role a) helpful, and b) necessary in  
17 combating illegal robocalls?

18 If so, how and what ways specifically?

19 MR. WHITT: As I said earlier, I think that  
20 it is the partnership between industries, but even  
21 specifically federal regulatory is actually very  
22 critical when you think back to that spectrum of calls  
23 from the green to the yellow to the red.

24 When you get into that red category where  
25 it's abusive, it's illegal, if you will. We do have to

1 have regulation that gives the industry, gives  
2 enforcement the tools necessary, you know, that  
3 automatic subpoena on one slide today, that would be  
4 wonderful. When we have an issue, we usually, almost  
5 always find the bad people, the bad actors. It just  
6 takes a while.

7 So I think it can help us to make sure that  
8 FCC in their notice of apparent liability process is  
9 quite effective. I think there needs to be some of  
10 that, if you will, teeth in the regulation so that when  
11 we identify those bad actors, we make it cost-  
12 prohibitive for them to continue their activity. We've  
13 got to be punitive to the level that shuts them down  
14 because right now the money is too easy.

15 MR. STALNAKER: I absolutely agree. I mean,  
16 we love the FTC and the FCC. I just want to make sure  
17 you guys know that.

18 MR. BANDY: I'm a fan, too.

19 MR. STALNAKER: Yeah, I thought you might.  
20 Without question, we need regulatory involvement and we  
21 need it at the federal level. We've got a massive  
22 problem here. If anything, you guys probably need some  
23 more attorneys. I can't believe I said that, but yeah.

24 MR. BANDY: You're really sucking up to me  
25 now.



1           MR. STALNAKER: Yeah. But all kidding aside,  
2 this is a massive problem and it's been a massive  
3 problem for 15 years. The DNC rules and regulations  
4 did a fabulous job of moving the needle. We have,  
5 unfortunately, got a lot of people inside the U.S. and  
6 even more outside the U.S. who don't care about the  
7 laws and they don't care about the rules. We've got to  
8 go get them. I think if we can create some enforcement  
9 actions, leverage some fines and penalties, maybe one  
10 of these guys will say maybe I better not want to do  
11 that. So, yes, absolutely.

12           MR. STEIN: Certainly I'd be offering our  
13 Canadian perspective.

14           MR. BANDY: Sure.

15           MR. STEIN: So I don't have much to say about  
16 the FTC, although I'm sure it's great. CRTC and  
17 regulatory involvement in general, obviously it's very  
18 key. The only tool that I have found to combat  
19 telemarketing robocalls is technology. Technology  
20 alone is very powerful, but it's a bit equal. It  
21 becomes an arms race. I'll have better technology and  
22 I'll have a really great way to detect and they'll get  
23 better, back and forth and back and forth. It's a big  
24 enough problem that it obviously needs to be a more  
25 sweeping regulatory issue.

1           MR. BANDY: Speaking of the technology arm's  
2 race, have you seen telemarketers make adjustments of  
3 how they place calls to beat your current technology?

4           MR. STEIN: A little bit. We've seen a  
5 couple of small things. Nothing major. Again, I would  
6 be speculating as to why that is, but there are very  
7 slight changes.

8           MR. STALNAKER: I hate to say this because it  
9 may be giving a hint away, but it's really pretty easy  
10 to start making robocalls. We've been talking about it  
11 all day. It's even more challenging for the carriers  
12 because of technology.

13           You can get a software package, buy a Go  
14 Phone and get up and running in probably less than 20  
15 or 30 minutes. And when the carrier catches up with  
16 you or the FTC catches up with them, what do they do?  
17 They just throw the Go Phone away and go down to Wal-  
18 Mart and buy a new one. It's a really, really  
19 challenging environment and that's been created  
20 predominately by technology. It's the arms race  
21 question.

22           MR. WHITT: So I have the same kind of  
23 comments. From personal experience, being in NOC  
24 operations for many, many years, we have seen this  
25 problem expand. We have seen strategies, very clear

1 strategies, in terms of the bad actors making choices.

2 We had a really good panelist earlier talking  
3 about, you know, Brad was talking about the service  
4 that they provide. It's a good valid service in terms  
5 of autodialing. We have customers who are autodialers.  
6 I think the real key is there is a lot of those  
7 providers out there and many times, unless you're very  
8 diligent, as was shared earlier, to listen to those  
9 messages and do some of that analysis before you turn  
10 on the switch and go, we have seen where a particular  
11 attack -- and I like to use the term "attack" because  
12 that's what it is -- as we begin to become aware of it.  
13 You shut down this portal and it pops up over here.  
14 You shut down that portal and it pops up over here. So  
15 it's a race, very quickly, it's a race in terms of  
16 identifying.

17 Now, at Verizon we have some proprietary  
18 tools that when there's a particularly abusive attack,  
19 we can turn on some features that allows us to manage  
20 it more aggressively across the network, nationally and  
21 internationally, but again, that's a process that takes  
22 investigation. It takes time, but of course, we're  
23 bound by things like completing calls as a primary  
24 objective and not just arbitrarily blocking it. So  
25 yeah, they are getting more intelligent and their

1 strategies, tactics are getting more complex.

2 MR. BANDY: This question relates to sort of  
3 the existing call blocking services and a little bit to  
4 Jeff, probably, as well. Is there any reason why I  
5 should have to pay extra to block or report an illegal  
6 robocall?

7 I'm already paying for a service. Shouldn't  
8 my local carrier do more? I wanted to see if you  
9 wanted to address the money issue.

10 MR. WHITT: Well, I'll attempt that. Again,  
11 from a non-operations perspective, we have features, as  
12 I shared, in terms of wireless. Verizon Wireless gives  
13 you five numbers to block. It's not an extra charge.  
14 You know, you can block those numbers, but it expires  
15 after a certain amount of weeks. But then for a  
16 premium, of course, we can do some extended block for a  
17 greater period of time.

18 So I think at the end of the day, it's a  
19 market-driven economy. It's a market-driven industry.  
20 So clearly, as we have to expend resources, especially  
21 in older technology, it's very possible to put in place  
22 these services and features and to recoup that cost  
23 through some of those extra charges.

24 As an example, many things are paid per use,  
25 as I said earlier. You don't have to necessarily

1 subscribe to it, but if you will become a potential  
2 victim, you can utilize that service one time. Do your  
3 \*57 and do that call trace. You don't have to  
4 subscribe. There is a little charge, but you think  
5 through that, you know, we've got an organization  
6 called the Unlawful Call Center. It's a large  
7 organization. There are very talented folks there, but  
8 of course, that's a cost. So in terms of providing a  
9 service, we have to go through that cost model. I hope  
10 that helps.

11 MR. BANDY: Jeff.

12 MR. STALNAKER: It's an interesting question  
13 and it's been asked of me many, many times. It seems  
14 like some of your features -- not all of our features --  
15 - remember I said 14 features? So we're not talking  
16 about a couple. But I've gotten the question that that  
17 ought to be something the phone company does and it  
18 ought to be part of my basic service. I should be able  
19 to control who can call me because I'm paying for the  
20 phone. You can use that for your mobile phone, too.  
21 That's why you should get PrivacyStar.

22 We do offer PrivacyStar -- I don't think I  
23 said the price point -- but we are lower than some of  
24 the operators, just as a side note. But it's free for  
25 seven days and then \$2.99 per month. One of the things

1 that we can do for operators is to be able to modify  
2 the features there. So if you just wanted call  
3 blocking and text blocking, complaint filing and maybe  
4 directory assistance, we can make that profile for you  
5 so that we know you are a Verizon customer and you only  
6 get these five features to really address some of the  
7 questions that we get along those lines.

8 MR. BANDY: This is a question for Andy.  
9 With the \*57 call trace, if someone spoofed their  
10 number will you get additional information that might  
11 actually lead you back to the actual calling number --  
12 in the case of a telemarketer -- who is spoofing?

13 MR. WHITT: Yes. As was said a couple of  
14 times today, when you think about the network, we had a  
15 comment earlier about ANI, Automatic Number  
16 Identification. If you pick up your phone and you dial  
17 9-1-1, you want to make sure your number gets to the 9-  
18 1-1 service answering positions.

19 So in the network, especially in SS7, which  
20 was talked about a couple of times today, but in SS7,  
21 there's a lot of information that's passed when calls  
22 are set up. So when a person gets that abusive or  
23 threatening call, they do \*57. The point there is that  
24 there is a record of many data points. It was just the  
25 previous presentation where someone talked about call

1 records.

2           So we have some quite detailed call records  
3 that that particular record is captured so we don't  
4 have to go hunt for it. It's formatted in such a way  
5 that our nuisance call center, the Unlawful Call  
6 Center, can grab that very quickly with the additional  
7 network signatures and information that our technical  
8 and support folks can then be evoked very quickly and  
9 take that data and be able to walk back through that  
10 network and at least see, ultimately, where it came  
11 into us from. And if it's another carrier, then having  
12 to work with, in many cases, the subpoena process law  
13 enforcement to get the next carrier to give us that  
14 next piece because in most cases we're all capturing  
15 those records and that data is in place. So yeah,  
16 there's more.

17           Spoofing the number doesn't completely deter  
18 us, from the network perspective, getting back to that  
19 source.

20           MR. BANDY: Why are Go Phones legal? They're  
21 untraceable. Does anyone make the defense of  
22 disposable, prepaid mobile phones?

23           (No response.)

24           MR. BANDY: No?

25           MR. WHITT: Why are they legal?

1           MR. BANDY: I don't know if Verizon has a  
2 prepaid business.

3           MR. WHITT: Yes.

4           MR. BANDY: What would those guys say if they  
5 were up here today?

6           MR. WHITT: I wouldn't want to speak for  
7 them, but I think the answer is, to some extent, in my  
8 mind, you know, we have customers that we prequalify.  
9 So if somebody calls up and they want a service, you  
10 know, a wireless service, VoIP service or landline,  
11 there are all different service types. We do  
12 validations.

13           There are certain things that qualify that  
14 individual because if you're a post-pay customer, then  
15 there's an assumption that that bill will be paid one  
16 month later. So in some cases, for many reasons, maybe  
17 not even their fault, folks don't have good credit and  
18 in some cases it can actually disqualify them from that  
19 agreement, for example, college kids. When I was  
20 paying for my children's cell phones, prepaid is a  
21 beautiful thing. You get 100 minutes and that's all  
22 you get.

23           So, again, I think the important thing is  
24 we're a market-driven, market-based industry and it  
25 serves a very good purpose. But can it be used for the



1 bad guys? Yes. They show it in every thriller movie  
2 that's out there right now. They have phones that they  
3 run in and buy, program it, call and dump.

4 MR. BANDY: I would venture to say, and I am  
5 in no means an expert on it, that there is a segment of  
6 the population and a market for those products. Though  
7 I'm sure lots of people use those types of products for  
8 legitimate purposes and in a society where having  
9 global communications is so important, you want to make  
10 sure that those segments of the population certainly  
11 have access to those types of technologies.

12 I think the theme of today is that there have  
13 been technological innovations in our  
14 telecommunications. They've had some unwanted and  
15 undesirable side effects. I think mobile disposable  
16 phones falls into that.

17 This next question I think is more for me.  
18 Should people really register on the Do Not Call list?  
19 Doesn't that give telemarketers confirmed working  
20 numbers? Shouldn't we assume really bad guys use the  
21 DNC list as a lead list? Has the DNC list outlived  
22 their usefulness?

23 Unless one of you guys want to take a crack  
24 at it, I'll take a crack at it. I think, yes, people  
25 should register on the DNC list. We focused a lot on

1 robocalls and what bad guys are doing, but there are a  
2 lot of companies out there, legitimate marketing  
3 companies, that download that list and respect it and  
4 do not call consumers that have registered their  
5 numbers.

6           So people who do not register their numbers  
7 on the Do Not Call List, they could see an increase in  
8 legitimate telemarketing calls. If the goal is I don't  
9 want to receive as many telemarketing calls, then you  
10 should've registered on the list. The second reason is  
11 if you do get illegal calls -- well, certain types of  
12 calls will only be illegal if you're registered on the  
13 list.

14           So if you get calls you don't want and you  
15 file a complaint and it turns out you weren't  
16 registered on the list, then it inhibits our ability to  
17 pursue people that are engaged in illegal telemarketing  
18 and it really limits what can be done to sort of help  
19 address that problem.

20           One other point I want to make is as to the  
21 robocalls, you don't have to be registered on the Do  
22 Not Call List. It is illegal to make a telemarketing  
23 robocall, regardless of whether you're on the list. I  
24 wanted to make sure that's clear. So you don't need to  
25 register for robocalls.

1           As for the point about can't the bad guys  
2       download the list and say well, I know maybe my  
3       legitimate competitors aren't calling these people  
4       because they're respecting the list, but that's an  
5       untapped market for me. I think that's a possibility,  
6       sure, but I think overall, in balance, the ability to  
7       stop the legitimate telemarketing greatly outweighs the  
8       fact that the bad guys may access the list. Plus,  
9       there's a fee. In the world of illegal telemarketing  
10      where margins are very, very thin, paying the fee to  
11      access the list just so you can call those people is  
12      probably less likely. So I think on balance, people  
13      are much better off by registering their numbers on the  
14      list. So that's my defense of the list.

15           Does anyone have any questions? I'm fresh  
16      out of cards and we have a little extra time.

17           (No response.)

18           All right. Well, thank you. Oh, we have one  
19      question.

20           MR. BELLOVIN: I'll give one answer on the  
21      prepaid stuff: foreign tourists.

22           MR. BANDY: Oh. Just for people on the  
23      internet and online, Steve Bellovin, our chief  
24      technology officer noted that prepaid mobile phones are  
25      very valuable to foreign tourists who use them,

1 presumably for legitimate purposes and not to bombard  
2 locals with illegal telemarketing calls. Excellent  
3 point. Thank you. All right. Thank you to our panel.

4 (Applause.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## ANNOUNCEMENT

1  
2 MS. DAFFAN: And now it is my great pleasure  
3 to introduce David Vladeck. He is the fearless,  
4 innovative leader of the FTC's Bureau of Consumer  
5 Protection, which makes him the perfect person to make  
6 this announcement.

7 MR. VLADECK: So this is the moment you've  
8 all been waiting for and I'm really gratified to see so  
9 many people still here.

10 I want to thank all of the panelists, the  
11 people here, the people who are watching on this on  
12 their web for sharing their perspectives today. This  
13 has been a terrific day. This has been the summit that  
14 we really needed. Robocalls are on the rise and we  
15 need to address this problem.

16 Here, at the FTC, one of our mottos is  
17 "Actions speak louder than words." And it is in this  
18 spirit that I am very proud to announce a first for the  
19 FTC, a formal challenge to innovators in the United  
20 States.

21 Here's the challenge: develop a  
22 technological solution that will reduce, substantially,  
23 the number of illegal robocalls consumers get, both on  
24 their landlines and on their mobile phones. Using  
25 challenge.gov, we are tapping into your create spirit,

1 your technical expertise and your ability to innovate.

2 We are calling on you, college students,  
3 doctoral candidates, Ph.D.'s, all of the above to go  
4 out and to try to design a new system that will block  
5 illegal robocalls but let permissible robocalls  
6 through.

7 What do we want? We want a robocall blocking  
8 system that is practical and that works. We want  
9 one that is easy to deploy, easy to use. One that is  
10 practical and we can deploy quickly. We want one that  
11 will not place burdens on consumers. So technology is  
12 our goal. New technology is our goal.

13 What about existing solutions? Those people  
14 who are innovators who have already developed partial  
15 solutions, can they win the challenge? The answer is  
16 no. We're looking for new solutions. Unless you  
17 really revise existing ones and make them new, we're  
18 not interested.

19 Who does this cover and what are your  
20 incentives to do this? One incentive is for  
21 companies or organizations with fewer than 10 people,  
22 if they innovate and give us a design that works, the  
23 Federal Trade Commission will award \$50,000 to an  
24 eligible winner. This is the first time the FTC has  
25 engaged in this kind of grant activity. We are joining

1 other federal agencies that have used challenge.gov to  
2 promote needed innovation in a market that has not  
3 delivered the innovation that we need.

4 Next question. Who is going to evaluate our  
5 submission? Well, we have a panel of three experts.  
6 You met two of them this morning. First, there is our  
7 own Steve Bellovin, the FTC's chief technology officer.  
8 Next, there's Henning Schulzrinne, the FCC's chief  
9 technology officer, a colleague of Steve's at Columbia.

10 Last but not least, Steve and Henning will be  
11 joined by Kara Swisher of All Things Digital, or as  
12 some people know it as All Things D, an expert in  
13 consumer technology products and user experience.

14 How are we going to support your efforts  
15 other than dangling a fair amount of cash in front of  
16 you? Well, here's what is really important. For those  
17 people who are going to try to accept our challenge and  
18 design the next generation robocall blocker, here's  
19 what we're going to do. We're going to make available  
20 to you the FTC's complaint data on robocalls if you  
21 accept our challenge.

22 The complaints date back to June 2008 and  
23 will be updated and provided to you every two weeks.  
24 Of course, we will redact them to protect consumers'  
25 privacy and personal information, but what we can

1 release should be very helpful. It will be information  
2 about the phone number complained about, the  
3 business name reflected on caller ID; the consumer's area  
4 code, and the approximate time the calls were placed.

5 Now, you can and we would urge you to check  
6 challenge.gov for the specific rules, requirements, and  
7 frequently asked questions that will govern this  
8 challenge. So far, nearly 50 federal agencies have  
9 used this innovative approach to solve problems, and I  
10 am absolutely delighted that the FTC is joining that  
11 group. So this challenge officially opens on October  
12 25th. This is sort of a sneak preview. The deadline  
13 for submissions will be January 17, 2013. So get to  
14 work now. We will announce our winners during the  
15 first week in April 2013. So we'll meet back here  
16 then.

17 So the FTC is attacking illegal robocalls on  
18 all fronts. One of the things that we can do as a  
19 government agency is to tap into the genius and  
20 technological expertise among the public. We think  
21 this will be an effective approach in the case of  
22 robocalls because the winner of our challenge becomes a  
23 national hero.

24 Now, think about it. The most important  
25 incentive of all is you will be a national hero.



1 Everyone in the United States wants to put Rachel and  
2 her robotic colleagues in our rearview mirror. If for  
3 no other reason, there is plenty of glory for the  
4 winner of this challenge grant.

5 Thank you again for being here. Thank you to  
6 our wonderful team from the Division of Marketing  
7 Practices, Bikram, Rob, Robocop Maxim, Kati Daffan,  
8 Lois Greisman and the wonderful people from the  
9 Division of Consumer and Business Education who did all  
10 these great graphics, and most importantly, designed  
11 our Rachel poster.

12 Thank you for a great day. There will be a  
13 press release announcing this challenge grant, posted  
14 on our website, probably right about now. So thank you all  
15 very much.

16 (Applause.)

17 (Whereupon, at 4:50 p.m., the Summit was  
18 concluded.)

19 \* \* \* \* \*

20

21

22

23

24

## 1 CERTIFICATION OF REPORTER

2

3 MATTER NO.: P034412

4 MATTER NAME: DO NOT CALL ENFORCEMENT

5 HEARING DATE: OCTOBER 18, 2012

6

7 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the transcript  
8 contained herein is a full and accurate transcript of  
9 the notes taken by me at the hearing on the above cause  
10 before the FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION to the best of my  
11 knowledge and belief.

12

13 DATED: OCTOBER 30, 2012

14

15

16

GERVEL WATTS

17

18

## CERTIFICATION OF PROOFREADER

19

20 I HEREBY CERTIFY that I proofread the  
21 transcript for accuracy in spelling, hyphenation,  
22 punctuation and format.

23

24

25

SARA J. VANCE