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Thank you Stu Ingis, Linda Wooley and the Direct Marketing Association for inviting me today—it is good to be here. When Stu first invited me to come speak to you, I didn’t yet know if I’d have to start with the same thing I’ve been saying for what seems like months: The FTC final privacy framework report will be issued “very very soon.” I’m happy that I won’t have to say that to you this morning. As you are all now aware, the Commission issued the final privacy report this morning.

So this morning I’m going to talk about the FTC’s final privacy report, giving you the highlights. Then we can open up the discussion so I can also hear what is on your minds.

As you know, we issued the FTC’s preliminary report in December 2010. In that report, we proposed a new privacy framework to address technological challenges to our traditional notice and choice framework. We noted several important concerns, including the near incomprehensibility of many full blown privacy notices—it seemed that consumers needed to go to law school to understand them. And in the mobile space, the limited real estate available makes clear and simple disclosures especially challenging. Another issue we have been concerned about is the blurring lines between personally identifiable information and non-personally identifiable information.

To address these and other concerns, we proposed a new framework founded on three principles: Privacy by Design, Simplified Choice, and Greater Transparency. We received more than 450 public comments in response to our proposals, representing a broad range of views from across the ecosystem: industry, privacy advocates, technologists, consumer groups and individual consumers, among others.

Many commenters supported the principles laid out in the preliminary report. Indeed, many companies reported that they were already implementing the principles underlying the
framework. At the same time, many commenters criticized the slow pace of self-regulation. And many argued that it is time for Congress to enact baseline privacy legislation.

The final report issued today sets forth a revised, final privacy framework. While the final framework adheres to the basic principles laid out in the preliminary report, we have clarified and fine-tuned them, and we have addressed the comments the Commission received.¹

In the final Report, the Commission calls on Congress to develop baseline privacy legislation. It is time. The Commission is prepared to work with Congress and other stakeholders to craft this legislation. We intend that the final framework we have developed will provide useful guidance to Congress. Baseline privacy legislation will provide businesses with certainty and clear rules of the road, and it will enable industry to act decisively as it continues to innovate.

In terms of our recommendations to industry, we intend the report to serve as best practices that industry can implement to improve the privacy of consumers’ information. We urge companies to operationalize the principles laid out in the final framework. And we discuss several self-regulatory efforts. Do Not Track has proceeded well and we encourage all the players to stay in the batter box and swing for another hit to bring home a victory. We also discuss the data broker industry, which needs to follow the example of advertisers and ad networks, and step up to the plate to begin to implement mechanisms to enhance transparency and consumer choice and control.

In the final Report, we clarify and fine-tune our recommendations on best practices. First, as you all know, one of the criteria for providing choice to consumers about use of their data is whether the information is reasonable linkable to an individual, computer or device. In the final report, we clarify that in order for data to be considered not reasonably linkable to an individual or device, companies would need to do three things:

take reasonable measures to ensure that the data is de-identified;

- publicly commit to not attempt to re-identify the data; and
- contractually prohibit downstream recipients from attempting to re-identify the data.

This approach both recognizes that we need to pay attention to the blurring lines between PII and non-PII, and yet appropriately encourages industry to deidentify as much data as possible.

Second, in order to remove the clutter around notices and to provide consumers with simpler choice, we originally called for notices that would eliminate discussion about “commonly accepted practices.” We proposed five categories of “commonly accepted practices”:

- product fulfillment;
- internal operations;
- fraud prevention;
- legal compliance and public purpose; and
- first-party marketing.

We received many comments on this issue. Some believed these categories were too rigid and would stifle innovation. Others thought these categories were too malleable and would allow a variety of practices to take place without consumer consent when such consent should be required. In the final Report, we have modified our approach. We focus on the “context of the consumer’s interaction with the business.” Companies would not need to provide choice before collecting and using consumers’ data for practices that:

- are consistent with the context of the transaction and;
consistent with the company’s relationship with the consumer.\textsuperscript{2}

The five “commonly accepted practices” identified in the preliminary report would generally meet this standard, and can be a useful guide, although in some circumstances they may not meet the standard. The Report provides some useful examples of how this “context of the interaction” standard would apply in different circumstances.

In addition to calling on Congress to develop baseline privacy legislation, and calling on industry to operationalize the framework, we also urge industry to accelerate the pace of self-regulation. We’ve seen considerable progress in some areas – like Do Not Track. But we’ve seen less progress in other areas, especially from the data broker industry. As a whole, industry still has work to do. And so do we.

To promote important aspects of implementation of our framework, the Commission has five main items on its “to do” list for the coming months.

Do Not Track

On Do Not Track, we will continue to work with the DAA, the browser vendors, the W3C and others to fully implement an easy-to-use, persistent, and effective Do Not Track system. Industry has done a lot of good work on Do Not Track. Browsers offered by Microsoft, Mozilla and Apple permit consumers to instruct members of the advertising and data collection ecosystem not to track their activities across websites. Mozilla has also introduced a mobile browser for Android devices that enables Do Not Track.\textsuperscript{3} And the DAA has more fully developed its AboutAds program. The DAA program’s recent growth and implementation has been significant. At the White House event last month, the DAA committed to honor the choices

\textsuperscript{2} Choice is also not required for practices required or specifically authorized by law.

\textsuperscript{3} Do Not Track Adoption in Firefox Mobile is 3x Higher than Desktop, Mozilla Privacy Blog, (Nov. 2, 2011), http://blog.mozilla.com/privacy/2011/11/02/do-not-track-adoption-in-firefox-mobile-is-3x-higher-than-desktop/
about tracking that consumers make through settings on their web browsers. I am very pleased to see the DAA’s plans to ensure that browser header mechanisms and the AboutAds program work together — that is something I’ve been calling on industry to do, as some of you know.

I’ve also been pleased to see the developments in DAA’s commitments to address the collection of information through its multi-site data principles. I have long been concerned — again, as some of you know — about the collection and use of consumers’ data for purposes like insurance and credit eligibility, and employment. So I was pleased to see the DAA’s commitment to prevent such collection and use, and I am looking forward to full implementation of these principles that address collection and improper use of information. I will be keeping my eye on this progress.

I’ve also been watching the work of the W3C. It is good to know that some members of the DAA, including some participating in the AboutAds program, have been participating in the W3C process. The W3C has made substantial progress toward developing a technical Do Not Track standard. W3C has published two working drafts of its standard – one for desktop and one for mobile – with the goal of reaching consensus in the coming months.

Overall, I’m optimistic that we will continue to see significant progress on Do Not Track, and may reach full and interoperable implementation by the end of this year.

Mobile issues

Undoubtedly, I am the only one in this room without a smartphone — indeed, my phone is the dumbest of phones. While my staff keeps urging me to “get a serious upgrade”, in light of my concerns about the mobile space, maybe I’ll wait a little while longer. We recently issued a report about the lack of privacy notices for kids apps: neither the apps themselves nor the stores

---


where these apps are available provide this critical information about the apps’ collection and use practices. After we released our report about the lack of privacy notices for kids apps, the California Attorney General entered into an agreement with six app platform providers to ensure that privacy policies are displayed so that consumers can read them before they download the apps. That is a good start, but there is still much work to be done in the mobile space. We have called on companies in the mobile app ecosystem to improve the privacy protections in this area, including developing meaningful disclosures and making sure they are provided to consumers.

We have initiated a project to update our business guidance on disclosures. As part of this initiative, we will hold a workshop on May 30th. One of the critical issues we will address is mobile privacy disclosures, and how we can make these disclosures short, effective, and accessible to consumers on small screens. We hope that the workshop will spur further industry innovation and self-regulation in this area.

Data Brokers

In connection with the data broker industry, the Commission supports targeted legislation that would provide consumers with access to information about them held by a data broker. The Report also discusses the Commission’s call on data brokers that compile data for marketing purposes to explore creating a centralized website where data brokers could: (1) identify themselves to consumers and describe how they collect and use consumer data, and (2) detail the access rights and other choices they provide with respect to the consumer data they maintain. We will continue our call for greater transparency in the data broker industry. And I will personally be very involved in this effort.


The Commission will focus its implementation of the report on two other areas as well.

**Comprehensive Tracking**

The first is comprehensive tracking of consumers’ online activities by entities such as ISPs, operating systems, browsers and social media. We recognize the heightened privacy concerns with this kind of tracking and data collection, and we will host a public workshop in the second half of 2012 to delve into these issues.9

**Enforceable Self-Regulatory Codes**

The second is development of enforceable self-regulatory codes. The recent Administration White Paper outlined the need to develop such codes through a multi-stakeholder process.10 We will participate in the Administration’s multi-stakeholder process to develop sector-specific codes of conduct. We will view adherence to such codes favorably in connection with law enforcement actions. And we will enforce industry’s promises to abide by such codes.

* * *

I’ve just outlined five action items, but I probably should have said six because of course, we will continue to bring enforcement actions in appropriate circumstances.

Thank you.
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