

# Why Tie a Product Consumers Do Not Use

Dennis Carlton, Joshua Gans, Michael Waldman,

Comments by Patrick DeGraba  
Washington DC. Nov 6, 2008

Opinions are not necessarily those of the Commission

# Overview

- When tying itself benefits consumers, tying can be used to limit a Cournot Complements problem.
  - A primary good's monopolist's complementary good limits the price that can be charged a competing complementary good.
  - Limiting the price of the competing complementary good increases the monopolist's profit maximizing price for the primary good.
  - If the act of tying raises the value of the monopolist's complementary good, then tying lowers the further the price of the competing complementary good.
  - This allows the monopolist to increase the price charged for the primary good.

# Comment

- Model is supposed to predict the division of surplus between the primary good monopolist and the alternate (competing) complementary good producer.
- But the model has multiple pricing equilibria.
- So exogenous assumption about surplus division is needed yield a unique solution.
- This is unsatisfying because the model should fully specify the surplus division.
- So we need a model that uniquely determines price to eliminate the exogenous assumption.

# New Model

- $Q = W - (P_P + P_m)$  - demand for monop's system, no tie
- $E$  - extra each customer will pay for alternate system
- $\Delta$  - additional value from tying monop's comp. good
- All marginal costs are zero
  
- Dominated strategy for A to set  $P_A > E + P_m$  no tying
- Dominated strategy for A to set  $P_A > E - \Delta$  tying
- Dominant strategy for M to set  $P_m = 0$  when not tying

$$\Pi_M = P_P(W+E - (P_P + P_A))$$

$$\Pi_A = P_A(W+E - (P_P + P_A)) \text{ s.t. } P_A < E \text{ no tying; } P_A < E - \Delta \text{ tying}$$

# New Model

Unconstrained equilibrium no tying

$$P_P^* = P_A^* = (W + E)/3, \quad P_M^* = 0 \quad \text{if } (W+E)/3 < E$$

Tying changes the equilibrium sometimes

$$P_P^* = P_A^* = (W + E)/3 \quad \text{if } (W+E)/3 < E - \Delta$$

$$P_P^* = (W + \Delta)/2, \quad P_A^* = (E - \Delta), \quad \text{if } (W+E)/3 > E - \Delta$$

If A's unconstrained equilibrium price less than  $E - \Delta$ , then tying has no effect on equilibrium prices. Otherwise there is an incentive to tie.

# New Model

Constrained equilibrium no tying

$$P_P^* = W/2, P_A^* = E, P_M^* = 0 \text{ if } (W+E)/3 > E$$

Tying changes the equilibrium always

$$P_P^{**} = (W + \Delta)/2, P_A^{**} = (E - \Delta)$$

If A's price is constrained by the existence of the monopolist's untied complement, then there is an incentive to tie.

# New Model II

- Better Model
  - All customers value monop. system identically.
  - Valuation for A's good distributed from 0 to  $E$ .
- Conjectures
  - In no tying subgame has some consumers using monopoly good and others using alternative.
  - There is always an incentive to tie.