## OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT PROCEEDINGS BEFORE

# **FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

DKT/CASE	NO.:	P954807
----------	------	---------

- TITLE: PUBLIC WORKSHOP ON CONSUMER PRIVACY ON THE GLOBAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE
- PLACE: Washington, D.C.
- DATE: June 5, 1996
- PAGES: 270 through 434

<u>CORRECTED</u> <u>COPY</u>

## **HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION**

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. (202) 628-4888 Date: June 5, 1996 Docket No: P954807

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

#### <u>i n d e x</u>

<u>WITNESS</u>:

**EXAMINATION** 

(None)

### <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

FOR IDENTIFICATION

(None)

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	) )	Docket No.:	₽-954807
PUBLIC WORKSHOP ON CONSUMER PRIVACY ON THE GLOBAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE	) )		

Wednesday, May 5, 1996

Room 432 Federal Trade Commission 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing,

pursuant to notice, at 9:03 a.m.

BEFORE: ROBERT PITOFSKY, Chairman JANET D. STEIGER, Commissioner CHRISTINE A. VARNEY, Commissioner C. LEE PEELER, Moderator JODIE BERNSTEIN, Director Bureau of Consumer Protection

APPEARANCES:

SESSION 1

REPRESENTATIVE BOB FRANKS, New Jersey REPRESENTATIVE EDWARD J. MARKEY, Massachusetts PAUL PETRUCCELLI, Senior Food and Drug Council, Kraft Food, American Advertising Federation JOHN KAMP, Senior Vice President, Washington Office, American Association of Advertising Agents DANIEL L. JAFFE, Executive Vice President, Government Relations, Association of National Advertisers, Inc. KATHRYN MONTGOMERY, President and Co-Founder, Center for Medial Education CELESTE A. CLARK, Vice President, Kellogg Company

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

SESSION 1

KATHRYN MONTGOMERY, President and Co-Founder, Center for Media Education CELESTE A. CLARK, Vice President, Kellogg Company BILL NIELSEN, Associate General Counsel, Kellogg Company PATRICIA FALEY, Vice President, Consumer Affairs, Direct Marketing Association, Inc. BRIAN R. EK, Vice President, Government Affairs, Prodigy Services Company MARY ELLEN R. FISE, General Counsel, Consumer Federation of America PETER HARTER, Public Policy Counsel, Netscape Communications Corporation LUCY LIEBERMAN, Magnet Studios GERALD O'CONNELL, Managing Partner, Modem Media CRAIG STEVENS, Director of Research, Digital Marketing Services, Inc. BRYAN WATERS, Vice President, Technology and Production, McGraw-Hill Home Interactive VICTOR ZIMMERMANN, Ingenius ALAN WESTIN, Privacy and American Business JOHN KAMP, Senior Vice President, Washington Office, American Association of Advertising Agencies DANIEL L. JAFFE, Executive Vice President, Executive Vice President, Government Relations, Association of National Advertisers, Inc.

SESSION 2

ROBERT PITOFSKY, Chairman, Federal Trade Commission

KATHRYN MONTGOMERY, President and Co-Founder, Center for Media Education

PAUL PETRUCCELLI, Senior Food and Drug Council, Kraft Foods

JOHN KAMP, Senior Vice President, Washington Office, American Association of Advertising Agencies

DANIEL L. JAFFE, Executive Vice President,

Executive Vice President, Government

Relations, Association of National Advertisers, Inc.

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

CHARLOTTE BAECHER, Director of Education Services, Consumers Union

MICHAEL BRODY, American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

BRIAN R. EK, Vice President, Government Affairs, Prodigy Services Company

MARY ELLEN R. FISE, General Counsel, Consumer Federation of America

EVAN HENDRICKS, Editor/Publisher, Privacy Times ELIZABETH LASCOUTX, Director, Children's

Advertising Review Unit

DOUG BLANKE, National Association of Attorneys General

VICKI RAFEL, Member of the Board of Directors, Media Liaison, The National PTA

ROBERT ELLIS SMITH, Publisher, Privacy Journal DANIEL WEITZNER, Deputy Director, Center for

Democracy and Technology ALAN WESTIN, Privacy & American Business JORI CLARKE, SpectroCom

SESSION 3

SUSAN J. GETGOOD, Director of Marketing, Microsystems Software, Inc. JAMES HOWARD, President, PrivNet, Inc. GORDON A. ROSS, CEO and President, TROVE Investment Corporation and Net Nanny Ltd. CHUCK RUNGE, Vice President Business Development, New View Communications WENDY SIMPSON, Co-Founder and President, SafeSurf PAUL PETRUCCELLI, Senior Food and Drug Council, Kraft Foods JOHN KAMP, Senior Vice President, Washington Office, American Association of Advertising Agencies DANIEL L. JAFFE, Executive Vice President, Executive Vice President, Government Relations, Association of National Advertisers, Inc. CHARLOTTE BAECHER, Director of Education Services, Consumers Union MICHAEL BRODY, American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

KATHRYN MONTGOMERY, President and Co-Founder, Center for Media Education

#### SESSION 3

CELESTE A. CLARK, Vice President, Kellogg Company BILL NIELSEN, Associate General Counsel,

Kellogg Company

PATRICIA FALEY, Vice President, Consumer

Affairs, Direct Marketing Association, Inc. BRIAN R. EK, Vice President, Government Affairs,

Prodigy Services Company MARY ELLEN R. FISE, General Counsel, Consumer Federation of America

MICHAEL HAMMER, American Marketing Association PETER HARTER, Public Policy Council, Netscape Communications Corporation

EVAN HENDRICKS, Editor/Publisher, Privacy Times JACK KRUMHOLTZ, Interactive Services Association ELIZABETH LASCOUTX, Director, Children's

Advertising Review Union

PETER HARTER, Public Policy Counsel, Netscape Communications Corporation

LUCY LIEBERMAN, Magnet Studios

DOUG BLANKE, National Association of Attorneys General, Minnesota Attorney General's Office GERALD O'CONNELL, Managing Partner, Modem Media

VICKI RAFEL, Member of the Board of Directors, Media Liaison, the National PTA

ROBERT ELLIS SMITH, Publisher, Privacy World CRAIG STEVENS: Director of Research, Digital

Marketing Services, Inc., McGraw-Hill Home Interactive

DANIEL WEITZNER, Deputy Director, Center for Democracy and Technology

VICTOR ZIMMERMANN, Ingenius

ALAN WESTIN, Privacy and American Business

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Thank you very much for 3 those of you who were here yesterday for coming back today. 4 For those of you that are joining us today, welcome to the 5 second day of our privacy workshop. This morning we will be б focusing on children's issues and privacy, and we are very fortunate to have with us this morning two members of 7 Congress who have demonstrated commitment and a long history 8 9 of work in both the privacy area and the children in privacy 10 area. 11 Both Congressman Ed Markey and Congressman Bob Franks are going to give us a few of their thoughts this 12 13 I am going to introduce them both, and then morning. 14 Congressman Markey will speak to us for a few minutes, then 15 Congressman Franks. 16 Congressman Markey is in his tenth term from 17 Massachusetts. He is the ranking member of the Telecommunication and Finance Subcommittee of the House 18 Commerce Committee. He has actively worked on a wide range 19 20 of communications issues. Congressman Markey has most 21 recently proposed establishing a minimum of three hours of 22 children's programming per week on the major television networks. 23 24 Those of us with small children particularly

25 appreciate that, Congressman.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Congressman Bob Franks is in his second term from
 New Jersey. He is serving on the Budget and Transportation
 Infrastructure Committees in the House.

4 Congressman Franks is the sponsor of the Children's 5 Privacy Protection and Parental Empowerment Act of 1996, б which he recently introduced. The bill has the backing of 7 consumer, religious and privacy groups from across the political spectrum, including several of today's panelists. 8 9 Congressman Franks has brought together an unusual array of supporters for his bill, not the usual coalitions that we 10 11 see.

First, we would like to hear from Congressman Markey, and it's a particular pleasure to welcome you, having worked with you on several issues over the years. Thank you for coming.

16 CONGRESSMAN MARKEY: Thank you, Christine, very 17 much. Mr. Chairman, and all who are gathered, and to my 18 colleague, Congressman Franks. My congratulations for all 19 of the great work which he is doing on these very important 20 issues.

Good morning. Thank you very much for inviting me to be with you here today. The issue of privacy in the information age and in particular, children's privacy protection, is quite timely as the nation becomes ever more linked to the Internet. It is important that we tackle

these issues now before we travel down the information superhighway too far and realize perhaps that we have made a wrong turn.

4 Yesterday, I had the privilege of addressing a 5 conference at MIT, with Katheryn Montgomery and others, on 6 how the Internet and other telecommunications technologies 7 can enhance the educational experience for millions of 8 school kids.

Yet the "wire" -- and I use the term "wire" as 9 10 shorthand for any telecommunications infrastructure such as phone, cable, computer or wireless networks -- the wondrous 11 12 wire that brings new services to homes, businesses and 13 school will have a certain Dickensian quality to it; it will 14 be the best of wires and the worst of wires. It can uplift society as well as debase it. It can allow people to 15 16 telecommute to work and obtain distant learning classes. New digital technologies and other innovations allow 17 corporations to become more efficient, workers more 18 productive, and businesses to conduct commerce almost 19 20 effortlessly in digital dollars.

The same technology, however, will avail corporate America of the opportunity to track the clicksteam of a citizen of the net, to sneak corporate hands into a personal information cookie jar, and use this database to compile sophisticated, highly personal consumer profiles of people's

hobbies, buying habits, financial information, health
 information, who they contact and converse with, when and
 for how long.

In short, that wondrous wire may also allow
digital desperadoes to roam the electronic frontier
unchecked by any high tech sheriff or adherence to any code
of electronic ethics.

8 It is this issue of personal information hijacking 9 that we are concerned about, and we are obviously concerned 10 with kids are the target.

As many of you know, I have long battled to establish privacy protections in the telecommunications arena. I fought successfully to include privacy provisions in the recently signed Telecommunications Act that was based upon legislation that I previously passed through the House of Representatives in 1994.

17 In fact, in the Telecommunications Act, I was able 18 to convince my colleagues to greatly expand the privacy 19 protections traditionally accorded consumers of telephone 20 services.

In short, the Telecommunications Act recognizes that many additional players in the telecommunications industry will now be privy to personal information similar to what telephone companies can obtain. For this reason, the Act extends privacy protections to residential consumer

and ensures that all telecommunications carriers -- rather than simply local phone companies -- are prohibited from utilizing personal consumer information except in narrowly tailored circumstances, such as to render and bill service, or with the approval of the user.

6 It is becoming increasingly apparent, however, 7 that the existing privacy protections granted to consumers 8 with respect to information gathered by telecommunications 9 carriers are not alone sufficient to protect consumer 10 privacy rights. Further protections are needed to ensure 11 that privacy rights are retained and respected in cyberspace 12 by other entities doing business there as well.

13 In addition to my work on telecommunications 14 privacy, I also have spent considerable time fighting to maximize the benefits of the information revolution for 15 16 children. Last week, I sent a letter to the Federal 17 Communications Commission with the signature of 220 members of the House of Representatives -- a majority of the 18 19 House -- requesting that the agency adopt a minimum standard as part of the Children's Television Act. This minimum 20 standard would require America's television broadcasters to 21 22 air at least three hours per week of educational and 23 informational programming directed at the children of the 24 United States.

1 In addition, I have advocated establishing learning links to schools and libraries. I believe that the 2 very telecommunications companies to whom so much 3 opportunity has been given in the Telecommunications Act 4 5 have an obligation to harness a small portion of their б economic activity to do something noble and necessary to prepare the next generation of Americans for the fiercely 7 competitive global economy of the future. 8

9 Thirdly, I fought over a number of years to get 10 the TV industry to adopt the V-chip, and finally won its 11 approval as part of the Telecommunications Act. The V-chip 12 will allow parents, in a First Amendment friendly way, to 13 exercise the marketplace option of turning off TV content 14 that they believe is inappropriate for their young daughter 15 or son.

Yet, the V-chip will only work because the television industry decided earlier this year that, rather than further opposing it as a concept and litigating it ad infinitum, that they would work with parents to give them the information and tools they needed to make informed decisions. I think that model is quite instructive in this situation.

The issue of children's privacy, and indeed, adults' privacy in an electronic environment, must find its ultimate solution in technology, industry action, government

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

oversight or regulation, or some combination of any or all
 of the above.

3 Without question, the issues posed here today are tremendously complex. The ever-evolving nature of the 4 5 Internet does not lend itself to easy solutions. My б colleague, Congressman Bob Franks, has recently introduced legislation to help protect kids from harm and deceptive 7 marketing practices. I want to commend him and congratulate 8 him for his work, and I agree 100 percent with the goals of 9 10 his bill. It's application, however, to the Internet, as distinct from other electronic media, is problematic in 11 12 certain ways.

13 Being able to distinguish, for instance, a child 14 from an adult in an online environment is quite difficult. Determining the age of the user behind the model is tough to 15 16 Imposing criminal penalties for the do as well. 17 distribution or receipt of personal information where the recipient of that information has reason to believe that it 18 will be used to abuse a child is commendable. I would note, 19 however, that it is hard to enforce because no standard 20 exist in the bill to ascertain the level of knowledge 21 22 necessary to meet this requirement.

This leaves the citizenry of the Net not knowing their obligations. Many World Wide Web sites collect information for distributing content electronically and

often exchange such E-mailing lists. Do they have reason to believe when they exchange such lists that this data may end up in the hands of unscrupulous people?

Again, how best to protect kids is a complex issue. How to put teeth into privacy protections is also important to figure out. What may have worked for privacy protections or parental empowerment in the phone or cable or TV industry may not adequately serve as the model when these technologies converge. Therefore I believe we must pursue other alternatives.

I suggest that we step back from all the complexity for a moment and focus instead on the core principles that we want to advance.

14 We must recognize that children's privacy is a 15 subset of a parent's privacy rights. I believe that 16 regardless of the technology that consumers use, their 17 privacy rights and expectations remain a constant. Whether they are using a phone, a TV clicker, a satellite dish, or a 18 19 modem, every consumer should enjoy a privacy Bill of Rights 20 for the information age. These core rights are embodied in 21 a proposal I have advocated for many years and I call it 22 "Knowledge, Notice and No."

In short, irrespective of the telecommunications medium that consumers use, they should get the following three basic rights.

1 (1) Knowledge that information is being collected 2 about them. This is very important because digital 3 technologies increasingly allow people to electronically 4 glean personal information about users surreptitiously.

5 (2) Adequate and conspicuous notice that any 6 personal information collected is intended by the recipient 7 for reuse or resale.

8 And (3) the right of a consumer to say "no and to 9 curtail or prohibit such reuse or resale of their personal 10 information.

I will introduce legislation before the end of the week that will canvass privacy policies governing the telecommunications universe -- from NYNEX to Netscape -- to figure out whether consumers are able to obtain and exercise these rights. And secondly, in the case of children, to see whether these rights can be exercised adequately by their parents.

We should see if there are technological tools that can empower consumers. Where they don't exist, or where a particular industry refuses to embrace this code of electronic ethics in a way that solves the problem, then the government is obliged to step in and to do something.

Pilfering personal information from kids online,
under the guise of giving them prizes or letting them play a

game, is wrong, just plain wrong. We must say it is wrong
 and the industry itself should condemn such practices.

3 The telecommunications industry is full of talented individuals and they can clearly help to find 4 5 solutions and thereby limit the need for government action. б For instance, in my congressional district in Massachusetts 7 a company called Microsystems has developed Cyber Patrol to help parents better supervise their kids' activities online. 8 9 Software that helps establish privacy preference on the 10 Internet that adequately gives consumers the tools they need to prevent the unwanted dissemination of their personal data 11 12 is clearly needed.

I implore the industry to act swiftly because the current situation is utterly unacceptable. At risk is consumer confidence in the medium itself. When consumer confidence plummets so will economic activity on the Internet.

18 My legislation will establish "Knowledge, Notice, 19 and No" as the goal and will require government action where 20 the technology or the industry fail to protect consumers and 21 kids.

I look forward to working with the Commission on finding a solution. I welcome as well consumer as well as industry input into further developing my legislative proposal. And I want to work with my colleagues in Congress

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

to ensure that we work together in a way that respects the
 First Amendment and the new issues raised by the Internet.

3 And, of course, I want to work with and support Congressman Franks for all the excellent work which he is 4 5 doing as well. I think that if we all work together we have an excellent chance of putting together a comprehensive б 7 package of legislation and regulation, working cooperatively with the private sector, that will give the parents the 8 9 tools they need to protect their children of our country in 10 this era that we are entering oh so quickly without fully 11 understanding the consequences for the young people in our 12 country.

13I thank you so much, Christine, for the14opportunity to come here today. Thank you very much.

15 (Applause.)

16 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Thank you, Congressman 17 Markey. We know you both have busy schedules, but as long 18 as you can stay this morning I am delighted to have you. We 19 will certainly report to you on the rest of the day.

20 Congressman Franks.

21 CONGRESSMAN FRANKS: Thank you. That's a tough act 22 to follow. Let me first congratulate Congressman Markey for 23 the leadership that he has provided over the years to both 24 protect and advance the interests of children as well as to

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

promote the privacy issues that I think all of us recognize
 as a democracy are absolutely essential.

3 His leadership in this area has been unmatched and 4 I am delighted to hear that he too believes, as so many of 5 us do, that action needs to be taken.

б Let me further recognize the leadership of the Federal Trade Commission by convening a group like this, 7 8 made up of both industry groups and concerned citizens who 9 recognize that there are challenges and opportunities ahead, 10 that if we work together we can serve everyone's interest. This is not an instance where it appears to me that the 11 12 industry is denying that there are not potential problems 13 out there. This is an instance where industry is talking to 14 other concerned groups, looking to try to find a mutually 15 agreeable way to navigate some very challenging waters.

But I do particularly want to congratulate the FTC for yesterday and today's hearings on this issue, which is a growing concern to parents throughout our country.

While the information age has opened up exciting opportunities for all Americans, it is indeed exposing our children to some new and unique neighbors. One of the risks that's been made painfully clear in recent weeks is the risk that comes from the sale of personal and sensitive information about our children by various list vendors.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Commercial list brokers have compiled elaborate databases on
 virtually every child in America.

And as Congressman Markey noted, they gathered children's names, their ages, their addresses, their telephone numbers, and sometimes even information about their favorite product, their personal likes, their dislikes.

8 What is important to understand is that parents 9 don't realize when they sign up to have their child become s 10 member of a fast food chain's birthday club, or have their 11 children's photos snapped at a local child photography 12 studio, or when a child enters a contest on the Internet, 13 all of the information that that child provides can and 14 often does wind up in the hands of list brokers.

15 All of this personal information about our 16 children is for sale to anyone at virtually anytime. The potential threat to our children from the wholly unregulated 17 18 and ready access to personal and sensitive information about 19 children was dramatically demonstrated last month when a Los 20 Angeles television reporter purchased a list of the 21 addresses and phone numbers for some 5,000 children living 22 in the Los Angeles area. The order was placed in the name 23 of a man currently today on trial for the kidnapping and 24 murder of 12-year-old Polly Klaas.

We must act now to protect our children before a
 real murderer or child molester buys a list of potential
 victims.

Last month, I introduced legislation that would ensure that personal information about a child could no longer be bought and sold without a parent's consent. The bill is enjoying broad bipartisan support, and it's been introduced in the United States Senate by Senator Diane Feinstein from California.

10 The Children's Privacy, Detection and Parental 11 Empowerment Act would give parents the right to compel list 12 brokers to release to them all the information that has been 13 compiled about their child. Moreover, the list vendor would 14 have to turn over to the parents the name of anyone to whom 15 they have distributed personal information about their 16 child.

In addition, the bill would require list vendors to be more diligent about verifying the identity of those seeking to buy lists of children. Specifically, it would be a criminal offense for a list vendor to provide personal information about children to anyone that it has reason to believe would use that information to harm a child.

In today's high tech information age when access about information on our personal lives is just a key stroke or a telephone call away we have an ongoing obligation to

make sure that safeguards are in place to protect the most
 vulnerable members of our society, our children.

I look forward to working with the Commission, with Congressman Markey, and with the groups that are in attendance here to make sure we strike the appropriate balance.

7 Let me say that nobody in my short term in Congress has introduced a perfect bill the first time out, 8 and I am certain that that could be said about the 9 10 legislation that we have put forward. But I do believe that it is essential to obtain the goals that I think Congressman 11 12 Markey and I have spelled out today. How best to do that is 13 an area where we are certainly happy to talk with any 14 affected party, and look forward to the outcome of this 15 session this morning.

16

Thank you.

17 (Applause.)

18 MS. BERNSTEIN: Thank you very much both members 19 of Congress. It has been just great pleasure for us to have 20 had you here to open this session.

I am Jodie Bernstein, DIrector of the Bureau of Consumer Protection. I just want to say a few words from the staff of the Bureau, which I think all of you who have been with us would agree have done an absolutely outstanding

job of constructing an agenda and working with all affected
 parties to bring them together.

We are particularly pleased to have had this introduction to this important topic this morning, which, as you know, is focusing on collecting information from and about children in cyberspace. The topic was raised first in our November hearings on Consumer Protection in the New High Tech Global Marketplace, but we did not explore it in depth.

9 Since that time we have learned much more and we 10 have especially benefitted from the research of others, most 11 notably the Center for Media Education. With this morning's 12 workshop, it is our purpose, the staff, to continue to 13 learn.

A few words about the workshop format. It's not new at the Commission. We have used it on several occasions to explore important and sometimes very controversial policy issues. What we found, and I hope you will find that today again, it leads almost inevitably to greater understanding of the issues by those of us who participate,

20 Commission staff members, the Commission itself 21 and the audience.

It provides an opportunity to not only hear the views of experts, but for them to talk to one another around the table. It can help sort out where people differ and why and where they agree.

A few words about the scope this morning of today's workshop. First of all, we want to focus on the collection of information from children in the online marketplace. Although all information collection from children raises issues, as you have heard today, we believe the Internet environment raises special concerns for several reasons, and let me just briefly describe them.

First, there may be less parental involvement in 8 9 the online environment than in more traditional marketplaces where data may be gathered from children. For example, it 10 is different than inviting them to mail in an application 11 12 for a kid's club. Second, data can be collected in a more 13 interactive way than traditional data are gathered. Third, 14 the technology lends itself to detailed data collection. A marketer can know not only what children bought, but what 15 else they looked at and how long they looked at it. 16

Again, there are questions about the security of the collected data and, finally, the data can be easily manipulated and sold.

20 Keeping in mind this focus on children in 21 cyberspace, our questions today are at learning what is 22 happening. We also want to explore with you what should 23 happen in the future. Here are the questions on our mind: 24 What information is being collected now from children in the 25 online marketplace? How is it being used? What safeguards

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

exist today? What types of safeguards do industry and consumer groups believe should be fashioned to deal with such collection in the future in ways that the public and private sector can work together in this area?

5 By the end of this workshop we hope we will have 6 had a full discussion of these and related questions and to 7 have developed a better understanding of the issue. We are 8 not looking to prosecute, but to educate and report.

9 Again, I want to thank all of you for 10 participating. We could not have even proceeded this far 11 without your tremendous cooperation. We thank you again, 12 and now Lee Peeler will commence our discussion for this 13 morning.

14

Thank you.

15 MR. PEELER: Thank you. I am Lee Peeler and I am 16 going to moderate the discussion today. Let me mention a 17 few housekeeping details before we get started.

First off, there is an overflow room, 332, for 18 19 those of you who are standing in the back. And secondly, we 20 will have three sessions today. And at the end of the day we will take comments from the audience. Many have asked 21 22 whether there will be a transcript available for both today 23 and yesterday's proceedings. The answer is yes, there will 24 be a transcript. We expect to put that on our Web site, and 25 it will probably be about 30 days before it's up.

At the beginning of each session I am going to ask for comments from several participants, and then after that I'm going to open the table for discussion. Because of the number of extremely qualified participants today, I would really like to ask everyone to try and limit their remarks to no more than three minutes.

7 If you want to be recognized for the discussion, 8 just signal me or put your card up on its side. I will try 9 and recognize you all in order. The reporter has asked me 10 to remind you to please identify yourself at the beginning 11 of your comments, and to please speak into the mike. If you 12 don't speak into the mike, he will not be able to pick up 13 your comments.

The first session today really is designed to set the background for the rest of the discussion. We want to talk about what information is being collected from and about children on the Internet right now, and how that information is being used. And I would like to ask the following people to address that issue in this order.

First, I would like Celeste Clark from Kellogg and Brian Waters from McGraw-Hill to tell us a little bit from the company's perspective about what information is being collected.

Then we have a number of people here who have actually designed Web sites for children. I would like to

ask Victor Zimmermann to talk to us a little bit about what
 information they have designed at Web sites to collect.

We have representatives here from the online systems. I would like to ask Brian Ek to talk to us a little bit about their online systems and policies in terms of collection of information.

7 And then I would like the Center for Media 8 Education to tell us a little bit about the information that 9 they have collected in their study of information on the 10 online system.

11 So with that, I would like to ask Celeste Clark to 12 start.

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Lee. And thank you, Mr. chairman, members of the Commission and members of the staff for organizing this workshop. I think it's a tremendous opportunity for us to be able to have dialogue on a very important topic.

I am Celeste Clark, Vice President of Worldwide Nutrition Marketing for Kellogg Company, and I am pleased to be here with you to share with you our experiences in using the Internet.

We are in the very embryonic stages of this experience, and I want to say up front that my comments may or may not reflect the action of the entire industry at large.

1 Kellogg's interest in establishing a Web site in 2 1995 was to test new ways of communicating and interacting with consumers, including child consumers. It is important 3 to stress that the Internet facilitates interaction with 4 5 users of our products and services in a manner fundamentally very similar to the products and other means of contacting б those consumers, such as the 800 number, focus groups, and 7 other interactive means. 8

9 As background, the Web page was designed to 10 provide a very efficient and effective consumer service. In 11 fact, what we did was to take an inventory of the subject 12 matter that consumers would call in on the 800 number and 13 the letters that they sent in. And based on that subject 14 matter we designed our Web site.

In addition to that, when our Web page early on was started we conducted focus groups to get a gauge from consumers as to how much we were on target. That feedback was tremendously valuable, and I would encourage you as part of this process to include consumer input in whatever information is gained and utilized.

Our Web site has four units or areas. We have a clubhouse, and in that clubhouse there is information that's provided to consumers in a very entertaining and educational format. It consists of nutrition information, recipes, there are coloring books, crossword puzzles, history of our

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

characters, and boxes from our different locations from
 around the world.

In addition to that we have the Kellogg store, clearly designated as a store, in which consumers are offered Kellogg character merchandise. And I am going to talk a little bit more later about that.

7 The next area is the Kellogg University that's 8 under construction. The intent there is to be able to ask 9 an expert more in depth questions about nutrition and our 10 products and the contribution that they make to a healthy 11 lifestyle.

The last area, the brand-specific promotions, is also under construction, and we are busy working on that to have that up and running very soon, and that's an area I am sure that is of a lot of interest.

16 Regarding data collection, we collect data in both 17 the clubhouse and the store. In the clubhouse, there is a 18 general online market research questionnaire. Providing a response to this questionnaire is optional and does not 19 20 limit access to the clubhouse. All of the information 21 gathered from the questionnaire is secured by various 22 computer codes and is not available to the public or to 23 anyone else except our online agency, who will probably be 24 talking a little bit more about what we do to provide 25 security.

To date, the only uses of the information are: (1) to determine an aggregate demographic profile of our online users; (2) to provide evaluative information for purposes of improving the Web site; and (3) we also collect E-mail addresses of only those who indicate they wish to receive information on the changes that we make to that Web page.

8 In the Kellogg store, in bold lettering, there is 9 a reminder that reads: "Remember kids, if you are under 18 10 years old, you need to get your parent's or guardian's 11 permission to order."

12 There are no transactions currently made online, and as you can imagine, much to the dismay of the consumers 13 14 who are online, they are very frustrated about that, because in order for them to go into the store and complete a 15 transaction they have to complete a form, and either mail it 16 in or fax it, or use the 800 number to do that. So we are 17 18 looking at how to conduct these transactions on line, and 19 basically exploring what the best practices that are being 20 followed right now on online to get a best gauge of how to do this. 21

22 MR. PEELER: Could I ask you to summarize? 23 MS. CLARK: Okay. I want to make this point, two 24 points I want to make if I can finish up.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 One is that we have always held our consumer 2 information in the strictest confidence. We have for years collected personal information from consumers via the 3 4 letters, the 800 numbers, in response to premium and 5 commercial offers. We do not disclose consumer names nor б addresses to anyone, even in situations where a government entity is involved. We have to have informed consent from 7 the consumer in order to release that information. 8 So we 9 definitely respect consumer's privacy, not only for adults 10 but for kids as well.

And then the last thing that I would close in 11 12 mentioning is that we very much are a supporter of the self-13 regulatory process, particularly the guidelines that are 14 established by the Children's Advertising Review Unit of the 15 Council of the Better Business Bureau. We support self-16 regulation, and believe that we need to work together in 17 fostering ways to address issues that are raised by the Internet in order for this to be a global competitive 18 19 environment.

20 MR. PEELER: Thank you, Celeste.

21 Bryan.

22 MR. WATERS: My name is Bryan Waters. I am the 23 Vice President of Technology and Production for McGraw-Hill 24 Home Interactive, a publisher of high quality products for 25 children.

We currently do not have any products for children or that are targeted to children on the Web. And as we move into that area we have a number of products in progress. We are trying to make an effort to take a proactive and responsible stance and action in terms of making sure that we provide a safe online experience for children.

7 The key issues seems to be not the fact that data 8 is collected, since that seems to be necessary in an 9 interactive environment such as the Web, the key issue seems 10 to be intent in what data is collected and the uses that 11 it's for, and we are here to take a stance in making sure 12 that we know what that is, and that we contribute to a 13 responsible use of that data.

14 Thank you.

15 MR. PEELER: Thank you. Victor.

MR. ZIMMERMANN: My name is Victor Zimmermann. I am the Senior Vice President of the consumer business unit for Ingenius. Ingenius is a joint venture between PCI and Reuters Media, and we produce interactive multimedia content for children which is delivered to both the school marketplace as well as the consumer marketplace.

22 We deliver through several different platforms, 23 including cable TV, direct broadcast satellites on TV as 24 well as the Web environment.

1 Our mission at Ingenius is to produce content that 2 is both educational as well as entertaining. And in order to do that and to create quidelines internally in reaching 3 that objective, we looked at our content through kind of a 4 5 self-monitoring precedent, and that is looking at three б things that we call the 3Ps. We look at precedent, another 7 industry's magazine area and computer gaming, as well as in 8 the television arena.

9 Secondly, we look at what we call a paradigm. And 10 in this business it's a new paradigm. We see multimedia 11 entertainment as a vehicle through which children can 12 actually think and act in relation to character and story. 13 And it's a very powerful medium indeed, and we use our 14 characters that we create to reach that objective.

For example, we have characters such as one called 15 16 Fred Fiscal, which is used to explain through news content complicated financial issues. For example, the recent 17 gasoline price increases. Those characters lend something 18 to children in that children don't typically identify with 19 adults as moderators in our content. So we use adults 20 21 through our characters to deliver the message to kids in 22 both an entertaining and educational bent with a flair.

The data that we collect, for example, on a site which we launched this month called "Jamz", which is moderated chat for children, that is delivered along the

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 context of current events. We use avatars in that content 2 to relate to the children. We collect certain data such as 3 the child's name, their E-mail address, the city and state 4 where they live in, and their online password, which we ask 5 them to make up in order that they can be a part of our 6 moderated chat sessions.

7 That concept, that information is used so that we 8 can, one, know what market we are really targeting so that 9 we know when we are targeting an 8 to 14-year-old age group 10 that we are actually hitting that age group through their 11 feedback.

Number two, the data is in an aggregate form when it is used for advertisers so that we can quantify basically just the number of eyeballs that reach our site. Again, advertising is used to support the production of that content.

Thirdly, we look at the purpose of what we are trying to accomplish with children, and that is that the purpose of the product should be to provide both an educational balance as well as an entertainment balance and vice-versa, and our mission is to do just that: to entertain with a twist and to educate in an entertainment Web.

24 Some of the issues that we see as far as our 25 characters that we have developed, we see those characters

1 as a way for kids to interact and engage in the content. We 2 think that there is a fine line with that. If we cross the 3 line and a character says, "Come to the store and buy a T-4 shirt," we see that as inappropriate.

5 MR. PEELER: Could you summarize?

6 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Sure, sure.

7 To summarize the questions that we see in the 8 industry we use as a self-regulating guideline. We look to 9 television, we look at magazines, we look at, I think, 10 consumer software, where we are in the gaming area, for 11 example, kids' addresses are collected as a way to target 12 them according to their individual needs and desires.

We support self-regulation as a company, and we certainly support the direction and focus that these hearings have highlighted, and we look forward to working with the members of the FTC and other industry individuals in pursuing the correct way to explore this new media.

18 MR. PEELER: Thank you.

19 Brian.

20 MR. EK: Thank you very much.

I want to begin by saying that Prodigy for years has followed what Congressman Markey suggested. "Knowledge, Notice, and No" has been part of our operating practices and part of a formal privacy policy, which I signed as a condition of employment at Prodigy for a number of years.
In the case of marketing to children, in Prodigy's perspective, we were the first company essentially to deal with the issue. We were the first family service. We were the first with advertisements. We were the first to have child-specific content, and we were the first to mass an audience of almost 400,000 under 18 members.

7 I can tell you that in practice very little, if 8 any information, is collected by Prodigy about children. I 9 want to go into a little history as far as why that's the 10 case, why the industry is changing, and what we need to look 11 at in the future.

12 When Prodigy began in mid 1980s, we were very 13 conservative in our approach to advertising to children. I 14 can attribute this to several factors: our corporate 15 parents, our own management, our very stringent approach to 16 consumer privacy, but perhaps most important of all, in the early days of online services in the Internet, the medium 17 18 from its advertising perspective and marketing perspective 19 was transactionally driven.

20 What marketers were most interested in doing was 21 getting the consumer to actually make the purchase online 22 right then and there. I mean, that was the benefit of the 23 medium. Image-based advertising, especially considering the 24 fact that the Internet market was so small back in the late

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

eighties, image-based advertising had really not taken hold
 as had data collection.

3 So consequently that's why very little of the 4 advertising that you would see and the marketing that you 5 would see done through Prodigy there was little, if any, 6 done specifically related to children. In fact, I went and 7 polled a number of Prodigy employees and I found that in our 8 11-year history we ran one advertisement that was targeted 9 to children, and that was for Power Rangers.

10 We also ran only one data collection vehicle which 11 was a chat session that was done in cooperation with Sagy, 12 and in fact the way we did that is we sent E-mail to the 13 parents first, asking if the children could participate.

I think it's important to say that we don't discourage advertisement or marketing when it relates to children. However, Prodigy also has some very stringent guidelines which marketers are required to comply with. Some of those are, and I would just like to read them off Prodigy's policy:

The advertisement cannot urge children to buy anything, or ask their parents to go and buy it for them. It cannot use exhortative language. It cannot imply that the product will make them better than their peers or less so if they don't have it.

Now, with the widening popularity of the Internet
 we have more kids online. Indirect advertising for
 purchasing offline is starting to gain steam. So is the
 practice of using the Net guard to gather market
 intelligence.

б We believe that marketers should not try to go around parents in soliciting information from children. 7 We 8 believe that advertising in marketing should not in anyway masquerade as editorial. It should be clearly marked in a 9 10 way that children could distinguish. We fully support technologies and technology-based solutions that will give 11 12 households control over the data collection and use as it pertains to children. 13

I thought it was particularly interesting though that there is a balance that I would like to give to the group that we consider today. When I was preparing to come down here my 14-year-old daughter said that she would very much like to talk to the children on the panel.

19 That perhaps may be an idea for a focus group as 20 we go forward. But the fact of the matter is, that children 21 are consumers too. From the day they get their very first 22 allowance, they are marketed to in school yards, in schools, 23 at home, and at recreation. It's a fact of life; they are 24 consumers. And as we ponder what to do here, granted there 25 need to be some very careful solutions and some very

1 thoughtful solutions to deal with privacy as it relates to 2 children, but we have to do it in a way that does not 3 eliminate children's rights as consumers as well.

MR. PEELER: Thank you.

4

5 Now, Katheryn, could you tell us a little bit 6 about what you found when you reviewed this?

MS. MONTGOMERY: What I would actually prefer to
do is to make a more general statement now and talk just
briefly about the kinds of practices.

10 In the second session, I will have a good more to say 11 about the specific things that we identified in our report.

But first I would like to thank the Federal Trade Commission for holding this meeting and for taking seriously the report that we released two months ago. The report really was designed to serve as a warning system by identifying some of the practices that were already beginning to emerge in this new online medium, and to identify some of the problems raised by those practices.

We were particularly concerned about the detailed personal information that was being collected in a number of Web sites -- and as I mentioned, I will talk about that in more detail in the next session -- and the manner in which these data were being collected from children.

I want to say that I think it's very important that we understand that this is a medium in its infancy, and

precisely because of that this creates an opportunity to 1 2 develop standards and guidelines for how the marketing practices to children are designed and how they evolve. 3 Our interest here is not to eliminate advertising and marketing 4 5 to children, but rather, to identify some rules of the game б and to come to some agreement about that. IENDFIELD 7 believe it's important that the FTC play the leadership role that it is playing, in having a dialogue and in setting 8 9 the guidelines for how you market children in this new 10 environment.

We talked a little bit about paradigms; we have mentioned paradigms here. This is a new medium, it's a different medium, it's a more colorful medium and a more intrusive medium. It's one that children relate to personally in ways that they have not related to other media.

As it becomes more sophisticated in its ability to have real promotion video and audio and other kinds of technological capabilities, it most likely will surpass television as the most influential medium in children's lives.

And in terms of the marketing paradigm, the marketing paradigm that is developing through this medium, and of which the children's marketing practices are a part, is known as "one to one marketing." The idea is that you

1 market to an individual rather than to a group of people, 2 and that you develop a personal relationship with that 3 individual. This is a practice that we believe holds some 4 potential problems for children in the way that it's 5 evolving, and I think we need to understand the context in 6 which this is being designed.

7 This new medium is also one that does not have a 8 regular structure or a set of safeguards as we have in, for 9 example, television where you weren't allowed to have a host 10 of a television program pitch directly to a child viewer, 11 and that there is clear separation of editorial, program, 12 and of advertising, and there are rules about that.

This is a medium that has unprecedented ability to collect information from children both in a voluntary way -we will describe later -- and in a way that is done through the technology, and most of you know that the technology that's developing is very sophisticated and will be able to collect rather enormous amounts of information.

So I am looking forward to the discussion and the dialogue today. I think it's going to be very, very important that we have a candid discussion of the practices that are taking place, and a thoughtful discussion of the kinds of safeguards that need to be developed in order to ensure that children's privacy is really meaningfully protected in this new environment.

1

MR. PEELER: Thank you.

2 One of the issues that keeps coming up repeatedly is the fact that in this new environment it is possible for 3 the marketer to collect not only information about what a 4 consumer buys but also what a consumer looks at. 5 б And Peter Harter addressed briefly yesterday on 7 the privacy panel, the cookie technology. I wonder if you could talk for a few minutes about what the implications of 8 the cookie technology are for concern about children's 9 10 privacy on the Internet. MR. HARTER: Good morning. I am Peter Harter from 11 12 Netscape Communications. I am happy to be back here for the 13 second day. I was pleased to read this morning in today's Washington Post, "Curbs on cyberspace as proposed," and the 14 first line, "Should SPAM be jammed and cookies be crumbled 15 on the Internet?" 16 17 (Laughter.) 18 I guess all this Internet stuff is really an 19 industry because we are the subject of hyperbole, either by journalists or Paul Fishen, so I quess we have arrived. 20

I was also pleased to read something of a mantra back in California that typical issues, including potential new regulations, are a snake or an opportunity. And I said yesterday that "Privacy is somewhat of a snake, but a snake can be an opportunity. And if a company can offer better

privacy to customers than others do, they will benefit in the long run."

3 I repeat that today because I think it's an important consideration. People back in Mountain View are 4 5 very young. Arthur Greisen, when he put Mosaic together a б few years ago, was only 23. The engineers I work with are 7 figuring out how to deal with new regulatory issues and new legislation, a legislative code, if you will. 8 They are my 9 age or younger, and they are having kids. So they identify 10 with those issues.

But the code they write, even though some people 11 12 hold that the computer creates de facto policy, there always 13 has been rules on Internet. You can look at this month's 14 Harvard Business Review, and they talk about rules on the Net, Neticat SPAMming, and not changing the discussion, Fred 15 Lisser and his group, the last line in the Harvard Business 16 Review, "In cyberspace, the real power will lie with those 17 who make the rules." 18

Hopefully industry will realize that competitive advantage is by de facto rulemaking, and I think we have already seen that take place with traditional companies shifting over to Internet technologies. You read about these headlines in the business papers.

But it really is an important factor in looking at
 these difficult issues of how to protect the interests of
 children in cyberspace.

And I want to comment on a few points made by our speakers who kicked off this morning's panel before we run out of time. I will be brief.

7 MR. PEELER: Very brief.

8 MR. HARTER: Senator Markey said that children's 9 rights are a subset of the parent's rights. And when a 10 child goes to a site and wants to transact or get asked this 11 information, if their rights are presented to the Web site 12 in advance through a certificate or a particular I.D. that's 13 encrypted, I think that parental consent would be given in 14 advance and the Web site operator would know whether or not the child is authorized to do what the Web site offers to a 15 16 child.

And with reference to Mr. Franks and his remarks, I think that we have to look at verification of the identity of those persons that are reflected -- that the list brokers have information about. Is he talking about U.S. children, Canadian children, and how many children there are in the world?

Verification will depend upon authenticity, data
integrity which also needs encryption. And those of you who
were here yesterday heard me talk about encryption. And I

bring it up again today because I believe that if industry is to play a part as members of Congress asked us to do -invited us to do, rather, I think the encryption issue is going to be integral to privacy.

5 But getting back to the question I was given, б cookies, I will state again cookies are a very simple technology, a temporary fix for technology that will have to 7 be overhauled for the commercialization of the Internet. I 8 really think we need to look beyond cookies and to the real 9 10 solutions for privacy issues. Cookies only contain information that a user submits to a Web site, and the Web 11 12 sites puts back on the user's computer to facilitate the 13 user's ongoing activity with the Web site, whether it's a 14 transaction for buying from a merchant, or telling the 15 server how to provide a magazine in Spanish in a certain 16 font. I think cookies are not the issue, rather the ongoing 17 issue is what is available to meet the demands of the 18 privacy interest and regulatory interest that come up as we see the Internet grow into a beneficial medium for 19 20 everybody.

21

Thank you.

22 MR. PEELER: Craig Stevens, in terms of collecting 23 information for marketing purposes, could you talk a little 24 bit about what Digital Marketing is doing?

1 MR. STEVENS: Sure. First of all, I would like to 2 take the opportunity to thank the FTC. I don't want to miss 3 that opportunity. And will cut to the chase due to the 4 time limits.

5 Basically, we think that marketing research with 6 children is a good thing. That is what is necessary to 7 provide the products and services that children desire, the 8 color of bikes that they want and the styles that they want; 9 the TV programming that they want to view.

10 What we have basically done is we have taken, --11 if you are familiar with CTI research, computer telephone 12 interviewing, we have taken the most sophisticated research 13 engine in the United States, and integrated that into the 14 online environment. That's not a simple thing to do, but we 15 have done that.

And what that does is it gives you a lot of edit controls, a lot of checks, a lot of skip pattern that enable you to find out who is entering your system and treat that appropriately.

20 We are researching children for clients in the 21 entertainment industry and consumer goods, packaged goods, 22 et cetera. The process is that we go through parents first. 23 We recruit children to interview through the parents period. 24 We fully disclose the information, who we are, what we are 25 doing, the industry that our client is representing, as well

as what will be done with the information. We do not ask sensitive questions of children such as marital status of their parents, also income, E-mail addresses, address, phone numbers. We just don't see a need for that. We look at the data in an aggregate sense. We do ask their age because I do think that is important because marketing to an 8-yearold is different from marketing to a 13-year-old.

If a child does come into our area, we have pre-8 screen capabilities in which we ask a series -- what we have 9 is called a Dynamic Screener, in which we ask a series of 10 11 non-sensitive to demographically qualifying questions for the client surveys that we have. If a child comes into the 12 13 area indicating that he is 13 years old, he is automatically 14 routed to a content area which contains information about marketing research, to learn more about marketing research. 15

16 That could include transcripts from this conference about marketing research and some of the 17 18 governmental concerns and regulations that the industry is faced with. It could also have something called current 19 20 events, things that you see on CNN, for instance, about 21 current events or what things that are going on. You can go 22 in and see previous results from previous services, another 23 fun area, favorite travel destinations, things like that. 24 We will not allow -- we communicate this very

25 strongly to our clients -- we will not allow surveys that we

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 deem as inappropriate for children, and we are very

steadfast in that. Our clients not only respect that, they back us in that. I think your Fortune 100 clients, who are the companies that we deal mostly with, are very conscious about staying within the expectations, ethical and moral expectations that corporate America has taken upon itself, rightly so.

8 I think that this conference and the leadership of 9 the FTC is going to help that.

10 In closing, staying within my time limit, I would just like to say that we consider ourselves a very moral and 11 12 ethical company. We would like to see other companies 13 provide extremely high standards such as going through 14 parents first, getting parental permission to have a child 15 participate in a survey, asking the parent to observe, but not necessarily to sway the opinions of the responding 16 17 child, but to observe as to the questions that they are dealing with. I think this would also help to deal with the 18 19 cookie issue. If companies, clients, are allowed better 20 quality alternatives to gathering the type of research information we are looking for to market to these private 21 22 markets, I think that they would essentially be able to set the cookie issue aside, because they have a better, more 23 24 quality option in the research department.

25 Thank you.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

MR. PEELER: Craig, very quickly, how do you get 1 2 consent from the parent if the child is coming to your area? MR. STEVENS: If a child comes into the area, we 3 have what I was describing as our Dynamics Screen, a pre-4 5 screener, in which one of the first questions that we do ask 6 is age. If they indicate that they are between the ages of whatever we have established in the que, under 18 years old, 7 8 then they are automatically routed. They do not get a 9 survey period. They go to this font called content, current 10 event area, which is completely safe for children. MR. PEELER: And no information is collected 11 12 there? 13 That is correct. MR. STEVENS: No. 14 MR. PEELER: Lucy Lieberman and Gerald O'Connell, 15 also design Web sites. Would you like to tell us a little 16 bit about what process is used? MS. LIEBERMAN: Hi. I am Lucy Lieberman, and I 17 18 work with Magnet, which is in town actually. 19 I quess one of the distinctions I want to make up front is the difference between actually asking for 20 information and collecting, and that sort of information 21 22 collected through surveys or questions, that sort of thing, 23 which we do as purely optional activity. 24 Then there is also the information about what we 25 can sort of track behind the scenes, tracking how long

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

people spend on pages, what sections people go to and just what general habits people have online and how much time they are spending.

I think the idea of collecting information
voluntarily is something that does need to be enforced on a
pretty much voluntary basis.

COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Lucy, tell us a little bit
about the information that you collect behind the scenes.
What do you collect behind the scenes, and how do you do it,
and what do you do with that information?

11 MS. LIEBERMAN: I guess the most direct 12 information that we get behind the scenes is just how many, 13 just how many pages are accessed how many times. And by 14 comparing that to the structure of the site, you can see how 15 many go to the front page, and then you can see how many 16 people have gone to the pages that branch off of that, and 17 then branches from that. And then we can also track how 18 many times someone who is involved in a certain activity, or 19 how many times someone downloads a screen saver, or plays a game, or won a game, or lost a game. 20

21 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And you can track that by 22 individuals, so you know the person or you know the person's 23 password that is used?

24 MS. LIEBERMAN: Yes and no.

25 Tracking it on an individual basis --

1

## COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Yes.

2 MS. LIEBERMAN: -- results in huge, enormous, 3 complicated files that are very difficult to actually produce information with, and that's one thing that then we 4 5 talk about as not really being that possible right now to б track -- to track someone in a way that's so efficient that you can really break it down to an individual and then 7 actually be able to produce a profile based on that. I 8 9 definitely think that that's where things are headed. I 10 would like to see a computer that can do that now. 11 12 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And when you collect the information behind the scenes it sounds like you are 13 14 collecting it in a non-personally identifiable aggregate 15 way. 16 MS. LIEBERMAN: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And what do you do with that 18 information? MS. LIEBERMAN: We don't distribute it. We use it 19 20 for determining how -- determining what people are 21 interested in, and then taking that information and give it 22 back to the site. If people are really into online 23 activities, are really into children-like things, then we 24 are going to do more of that to encourage people to spend 25 more time on Web sites.

1COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And do you design sites for2various companies and manufacturers?

Yes.

MS. LIEBERMAN:

3

4 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: I see. So you give the 5 information back to them about what looks like it's working 6 and what doesn't look like it's working, or do you just take 7 it and modify the sites?

8 MS. LIEBERMAN: That depends. Yeah, it's -- it's 9 so up in the air, but we definitely are encouraging clients 10 to -- to play it safe in a lot of ways. I mean, we don't 11 want to push anybody over the edge, but at the same time we 12 want to maintain a real competitiveness.

13 If we have more information that we have 14 collected, then we can find out what works and what doesn't, 15 and then reapply that back to the site, then that's going to 16 make us have a better product, get us more business, and, 17 you know, it's all business.

18 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Thank you.

MR. PEELER: Mr. O'Connell, would you like to comment on that further?

21 MR. O'CONNELL: I'll make two comments.

I think the first thing is that's probably important to recognize what this medium is and what it isn't. And I think we are approaching this medium as one

1 that is strictly a broadcast medium, and it's really a point 2 of communications medium.

And I think when we approach the development of a site we want to encourage as much ability on the part of the user, whether it is a child or an adult, and we work mostly with advertisers who are advertising to adults, to be able to allow them to participate in some way or express themselves in some way.

9 This is not to be -- this is really not a 10 publishing or broadcast medium, and I think most of what we 11 are talking about here is very much -- it's making an 12 assumption that this is all really just one way from sender 13 to user. It's partly true but it's not fully true.

14 More importantly, if you don't care about your efforts in this world as a marketer, your efforts, to borrow 15 a phrase, will crumble, strictly because of the nature of 16 17 this medium as a two-way medium in which people can speak 18 back, and you have to encourage them to speak back. If you 19 don't demonstrate that you care, if you abuse the privilege to communicate with them, you will fail in the long run, and 20 21 it's very important to recognize that.

The more services you can offer that are personalized the better off you will be. If you utilize it in some way to try to take advantage of your customer, you will lose in the long run. And if there is one thing we

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

advocate to our clients is the ability and the intention to establish relationships that work more for the user. And if it works to the user, and if it works for the user it's going to work for them.

5 That's really about it. If you want me to answer 6 any questions about the use of information, I would be happy 7 to.

8 MR. PEELER: Well, I think sort of the same9 questions that Commissioner Varney asked earlier.

10Do you collect individually identifiable11information at your site, and what do you do with it?

MR. O'CONNELL: Well, there is two ways you can look at this, or there is two types of information that you can collect. One is based on the specific response of the individual, i.e., I am filling out a -- I am sending you a letter, such as an E-mail. I am filling out a survey. I am completing an order form.

Now, you collect that information. We do not advise any of our clients to resell that information in any way. But to the extent that you can use it to create a better service for your customer the next time they contact you the better.

In terms of aggregate -- in terms of click streamtype information that is collected in the background, that information is not in any of our sites of information

tracked back to specific users and then used in some way to 1 2 solicit some information down the line. And, in fact, as Lucy said, it is infinitely more difficult to do that than 3 4 probably most people who aren't practitioners realize. It's 5 extremely difficult to do that, and on an aggregate basis it б works if you want to aggregate information that you get back 7 to be able to say there is a better way of doing this. But 8 in terms of sticking your hand in somebody's personal 9 information, cookie jar, to target that person further down 10 the line based on their click stream that you have captured is extremely difficult, and not warranted at this point 11 12 anyway.

13 MR. PEELER: And what about the actual transaction 14 data, do you use that to follow up with solicitations, and 15 do you use that to follow up the solicitations to children? 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Only -- well, first of all, any

17 site that we do will have an opt out type of thing in 18 response to -- so that -- and I think that's critical. I 19 would agree with everything that Congressman Markey said 20 earlier about who knows. In fact, they are followed by some 21 of the guidelines that I helped author.

But transactional data can be -- first of all, you want to use the transactional data that you collect to make sure that you do a good job in terms of getting either the information or the product that somebody has ordered from

you to that person as quickly and as effectively as
 possible.

To the extent that you might follow up to say did 3 4 you get it, did you enjoy it, are we doing a good job for 5 you? Of course you want to use that transaction as any good marketer would in terms of whether that's -- if I came into б 7 a store tomorrow and I bought something from you today, you 8 would ask me how is the product working out for you. So you 9 use information that way. But beyond that, right now we are 10 not.

11

## MR. PEELER: Pat?

MS. FALEY: Well, I think it's important to 12 address what information is collected is also why it is 13 14 collected. And in talking to our members I am finding that the reason that they are collecting the limited amount of 15 16 information they are collecting is to improve the site content, to provide things of value to the people who are 17 18 accessing the net and to find out who is, frankly, 19 interested in the project and who is interested in the site, who is coming there. 20

Our members are very highly respected companies, as you know, and, you know, Marketing 101 is -- marketing is all about reaching consumers in ways in which they are comfortable and certainly our members know it's in their interest to do that.

We have a long history of providing products and services to children. Frankly, there has never been an instance of a child harmed by the -- you know, the inclusion of their name on a commercial mailing list, and I think that's very important to note. Nevertheless, we know this is a new medium. It's an issue we are very concerned about.

A recent study we did showed that about half of our members right now are using Internet for marketing. Of that half only 84 percent of them have been on the medium for less than one year. So I agree with Katherine that this is exactly the opportunity, exactly the time when we should be addressing these issues, and we are.

13 Just one more point. Someone spoke about asking a 14 child their age online, and while, you know, children always 15 tell the truth, we are concerned about that. And one of the 16 things that we think is important is to put the choice and the control in the hands of the parents, and we believe that 17 the best way to do that is to use the empowering 18 19 technologies which you are going to see a little later on 20 today where the parent gets the ultimate say in what that child does. 21

22And so I just wanted to make those two points.23MR. PEELER: John and then Mary Ellen.24MR. KAMP: As we reach the end of this, I am John25Kamp from the American Association of Advertising Agencies.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

As we reach the end of this, I thought that there were a couple of things that -- the impressions that seem to be left with a couple of matters Congressman Markey, I believe, said we need to address.

5 One of them was the implication, at least, in the 6 Congressman's remarks that this entirely unique medium left 7 law enforcement agencies without any powers. I think we are 8 all convinced that the Internet is a fascinating new medium, 9 but whether it's unique in its power is something, I think, 10 we still don't know. The amount of commerce on it is 11 relatively minimal compared to other media.

But the idea that there are -- that the existing law enforcement agencies are left impotent, I think is just one that we certainly cannot let go without a response.

This agency, for example, and Commissioner Varney, particularly, has made it very clear that in the appropriate cases that this agency will act using the enforcement mechanisms that it has now.

I see a representative of the Attorney Generals here today, and I am certain that none of them feel that if there are inappropriate activities going on there, that they are impotent. I am sure that they are going to act. And I don't think we should accept sort of all the hype that we have such a strange, new, absolutely unregulated media.

1 I also want to just respond, and I think Brian has 2 already said this, the CASIE guidelines are among those of several here that we have been talking about yesterday and 3 today, and we will talk more about the quidelines today, but 4 5 his basic notion about the three things, the notice, б "Knowledge, Notice, and No" are something that I think that 7 virtually everyone at this table of both days have 8 essentially agreed are good things to do and essentially are 9 the paradigms under which we intend to work.

10 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Lee, can I just in here for 11 a second?

John, you have I have talked about this before. I think we all do agree that "Knowledge, Notice, and No" is the right paradigm. However, it's, in my view, relatively meaningless to expect an 8-year-old to exercise knowledge, notice or no.

And my question to the panel is, because I think it only goes into what is described here as session two, and that is everybody here, it seems to me, wants to be very responsible when it comes to the collection of information from children and what they do with it.

But at what point do you need the parents consent to collect information from children? Kellogg has identified they won't do transactions, can't order merchandise. The gentleman at the end of the table

recruited through parents, right. You clearly get parental
 consent for survey data.

But what about the kid's clubhouse? What about the other sites that you are running where you do collect information from kids? Should you get parental consent? Should it depend on what use you put it to whether or not you get parental consent? What age should trigger parental consent?

9 I mean, that seems to me to be one of the things 10 that we have got to address here, because an 8-year-old, I 11 can tell you my 8-year-old will not making a meaningful 12 exercise of knowledge, notice, and no.

MR. PEELER: Mary Ellen, and then Dan.

13

14 MS. FISE: Well, that's not -- that's a nice kind 15 of seque into what I wanted to talk about. And it relates also back to Jodie's original comment that this is a 16 17 different medium. And we recently looked at use of information collected from children offline, because I think 18 that's a -- it helps us understand at least what parents are 19 20 accustomed to. And if you look at the types of information that is collected, in 14 examples, with the exception of 21 22 one, it all required something from the child be sent back 23 in, in some respect.

And so that contemplates the use of an envelope and a stamp, but it also in almost every case required some

1 type of small payment for whatever the free thing that the 2 child is getting. And so that involves a parent. If not a formal consent, it's at least implied consent. And we 3 4 believe that if you are collecting personal identifiable 5 information that's the most critical area. You always need б parental consent, and you need it up front before the release of information. You can't allow children to be 7 saying, "Yeah, mom said it was okay." 8

9

MR. PEELER: Dan.

10 MR. JAFFE: Two things. I don't think we can give 11 you the final answer today because we are working with the 12 Children's Advertising Review Unit on the role of trying to 13 come up with policies to protect kids that have placed in 14 other medium to this medium, and not just merely transfer 15 it.

16 MR. PEELER: Dan, use the mike.

MR. JAFFE: I apologize. What I just said is that we are going to have to wait for the Children's Advertising Review Unit which is working on these issues to develop a definitive answer to these questions. It has always been the organization that the advertising community has used to develop policies for protection of children, and we intend to do so in this medium as well.

24 But I think that what we said yesterday is 25 relevant to today. The desire for written parental consent

as some people have asked for. Some people are saying that 1 2 you just cannot collect this information at all. In fact, the fortunate aspect of this medium is that there is more 3 power to control what your kid does on this medium than 4 virtually any other. Parents can control access to the 5 б computer, access to the Internet. They can control where 7 the kids can and cannot go. They can track where their kids 8 have gone so that they can apply their values to the children's activities. And to an increasing extent, and I 9 am sure we will be hearing about this shortly, they can 10 control what information can come into the home as well as 11 12 what information can go out.

So if you don't want your kid to give personal information, name, address, any kind of numbers including credit card numbers, you can stop that. You can stop that.

16 It's not like on the phone where you find out long 17 after the fact where your kid has been or whether they have 18 been on the phone or who they have been talking to.

19 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Let's talk about that for a 20 minute, Dan, because, you know, as you all know I have 21 children, and my children don't always do what they are 22 told. I must be the only person in America that has that 23 problem, but I do not believe that parents have absolute 24 control over the Internet when they are not home.

1 My 9-year-old is very sophisticated on the 2 computer. Knows precisely how to get onto the computer. He 3 knows precisely how to get where he wants to go. I don't 4 really worry about pornography. He thinks naked people are 5 so disgusting, so that's not my problem.

6

(Laughter.)

7 Yeah, right. But, you know, there are blocking 8 technologies right now. They are mostly focused on 9 obscenity, as far as I know, and some other things. It's 10 not clear to me that there is anything that I can buy, that 11 I can put on my computer that will keep my son out of spots 12 that solicit personal information.

So I do accept that parents have responsibilities and parental control, but I think you need, if there is an agreement that you've got to get consent at some point when you are collecting personal identifiable information from minors, maybe depending on the use it's going to be put to, there may be -- there ought to be a technological solution.

To me the underlying question is at what point do you need parental consent? When does it get triggered? And then let's look at the technology that can assist in the solution.

23 Am I -- I mean, is there --

24 MR. JAFFE: I understand what you are saying, and 25 my child is 10, and I would love to say that he's totally

compliant, but I assure that that would be false and
 deceptive.

3 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And then I would have to 4 prosecute.

(Laughter.)

6 MR. JAFFE: So I won't say that because of the 7 high norms of the advertising community.

8 (Laughter.)

5

9 But the facts are that there increasingly will be, 10 and I believe in the very near future, parents will be able 11 to have technology which will give them much greater 12 control, whether your kid will want it or not; whether they 13 are compliant or not; more than you will have over your 14 telephone or whether somebody knocks on the door, or 15 whatever else.

16 If the parent is not home, as I say, I believe 17 it's all of the things that I am talking about are either in 18 existence now or will shortly be in existence that parents 19 will be able to exercise and control.

And while I think it would be nice if you could get written consent for every time a kid is going to give information, I think you are going to run into massive problems.

COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Written consent, I mean,
 from my view is not the issue. The issue is sort of should

1 consent be required and what should trigger it. And if the 2 demonstrations that we saw yesterday, the technological 3 solutions on privacy generally, if they incorporate a kid-4 safe privacy area, however, yeah, then the parents have the 5 ability to block the kids.

б But, you know, you have seen stuff that is coming 7 out of the pipeline. You have tremendous confidence of what 8 we saw yesterday, and it rolled out quickly. It's still not 9 here and it still doesn't go to the underlying policy question: At what point, if any, should parental consent, 10 11 no matter how you get it, written or not, or encrypted or, 12 you know, by blocking technology, what should trigger 13 parental consent for minor transactions or minors giving 14 personal information?

MR. PEELER: That's a perfect segue to the nextpanel.

We are going to take a very brief five-minute break. We are about 10 minutes behind schedule. We will take a five-minute break and get set to go.

20 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

21 MR. PEELER: Thank you. We will begin our second 22 panel, and this panel is designed to discuss the special 23 considerations that arise in collection of information from 24 and about children in cyberspace, including parental consent 25 and risk of disclosure.

I want to follow the same format that we followed on the first panel. And I would like to ask Kathryn Montgomery, followed by Professor Westin, and then followed by Elizabeth Lascoutx, and Dr. Michael Brody, to sort of give some opening comments. Then I will open it up for panel discussion.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Thank you.

7

8 How much time do I have here? I am going to try 9 to be brief and succinct and to the point.

I do want to make one brief statement about parental software controls, and I will say more about that later. I think they are a very important tool. We look forward to the development of effective tools to help parents. However, I want to reiterate what I said earlier.

15 We're not talking here about trying to protect 16 children from having access to content that is not for them or not appropriate for them. We are really talking about 17 setting standards for the development of services 18 specifically designed for and targeted at children. And I 19 20 believe that at this point it's important to set some 21 guidelines, to come to some agreements about what is 22 appropriate and what is not appropriate, what is ethical and 23 what isn't ethical, and that's where we are coming from. 24 I want to just share with you very briefly some of

the findings from our report which was released in March,

and let me know, if you want copies please contact the
 Center for Media Education. We will be glad to make them
 available to you so that you can get the full report.

We really look at online sites for children, Web sites designed for children. We have been examining them now for nearly a year, and we looked very closely at them systematically for a six months period. And we found basically two major problems.

9 One is the collection of a great deal of 10 personally identifiable information from children in these 11 sites, and the second is that the way the information is 12 collected in many ways is not made clear; that is, there is 13 no disclosure. Parents often cannot tell that that's what 14 is happening here.

Often the way the information is collected from 15 children is it's integrated into these brand new 16 environments into games, or into surveys, or contests, and 17 there are all kinds of incentives which sort of addresses 18 the issue of whether it's voluntary or not. Yes, it's 19 20 voluntary but they are very enticing incentives to children. 21 You can win a prize, you can enter a contest, or in some 22 cases you have to do it just to come on the site.

The other thing that we found which goes to the issue I talked about earlier, which is this notion of personalized advertising, and micro-targeting and one to one

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

marketing, is that in a number of these sites after a child 1 2 went on the site, a couple of days after, a piece of 3 unsolicited E-mail would arrive in that child's mailbox from one of the product's spokes characters, inviting the child 4 to come back again. So it's sort of the first step in 5 б setting up some kind of interaction between that advertising 7 site and the child, and inviting that child to come back to the site. 8

9 Now, the representatives from the industry did not 10 bring examples of their sites, so I thought I would just 11 show a few that we have collected, and these are from the 12 report that we released in March, so they are from the data 13 collection period prior to that report.

14 Now, I am just going to show you four just to give15 you some highlights.

Are you ready? Lights down. It's easier to show slides because doing it online is more complicated in this setting, and they might collect data from us if we do that.

One thing I want to make clear is that we looked at the sites that were obviously clearly targeted to actual children, where there was little ambiguity that these playgrounds and other kinds of sites that are developed for online were for kids. And within them there are various ways that data are collected, but personal information is solicited from children.

Let me show you one example of how that is done.
 Next slide.

This is an example of a registration site where a 3 child is asked to fill out a fair amount of detailed 4 5 information in order to enter the site and in order to play 6 in the online playground, and that's the second part of it. It may be difficult to see, but you can see sort of the 7 extent of the questions. And this kind of questioning is 8 somewhat typical of what we are finding online in a 9 substantial number of the sites created for children. 10

11

We're ready for the next one.

12 This is an example of a site where you are 13 encouraged to join -- sign up, rather, for a contest, and so 14 there is this very, very appealing incentive. Every kid 15 that I know would like to win something. I certainly did as 16 a kid. And in order to do so, you provide detailed 17 personalized information.

Again, I want to point out that in none of the cases that we looked at was there disclosure about what they were collecting, why they were collecting it, how it would be used, nor was there any attempt to get parental consent before the child filled out the information.

The final one I am going to show you is an example of the kind of unsolicited E-mail that children were sent

and are being sent in response to their filling out these
 survey forms and registrations.

3 And also, again, we are seeing this medium in its early stages. We have got kind of a boring text thing here 4 5 in terms of E-mail. If you can imagine an animated spokes б character that has developed a relationship with the kid being able to come back to the kid, and it may not be that 7 many years, and say, "Hi, Billy. How are you today? I am 8 9 glad you enjoyed coming to visit with us yesterday. I hope you come back today, and why don't you buy this product." 10 Maybe they don't even need to say that because the 11 12 techniques will be so sophisticated.

Those are the examples I have. I have probably run out of time for my presentation, but I want to just make it clear here that this -- that these techniques that are being developed are just the very beginning of what could become a much more sophisticated and much more intrusive set of practices for personal data collection.

19 MR. PEELER: Thank you, Kathryn.

20 Dr. Westin.

21 MR. WESTIN: Alan Westin, Columbia University and 22 Privacy in American Business.

An interesting topic we have got today. It used to be that when parents knocked on a closed door and said,

"What are you doing in there" to their child, it was not a
 worry that they were online.

3

(Laughter.)

One of the things about academics is that they 4 5 know a lot of literature that has been built up in the б social sciences, and let me assure you there is very rich 7 literature in the social sciences, in psychology, sociology, 8 psychiatry, anthropology about children, parents and privacy, and I think if we don't have a framework with which 9 we start we are going to try to reinvent a wheel that has 10 been worked on very hard by some very gifted people who 11 12 understand child development, family relations and so on.

For example, already we know that there is a tension between children and parents over setting the boundaries on what information is sought and how it's used by children, and this tends to follow some life cycle stages that we ought to be aware of.

18 You know, from birth to age 6 or 7, there is very high parental oversight and control; 8 to 12, children begin 19 20 a quest for independence and autonomy and personal development; and 13 to 18, the teen years, there's a high 21 22 assertion of independence and testing of parental control, 23 generally with a sign on the teenager's door that says, 24 "Keep Out" that means first mom and dad, and then everybody 25 else.
At the same time that -- in the latter teenage stage, kids see efforts of parental control as surveillance and the world to them of "Big Brother" is big mother and big father in terms of intervention in their sense of freedom. So be very careful if you assume that with children 8 to 12 and then 13 to 18 you expect parents to have a meaningful, effective, supervisory control over the child.

At the same time let's keep in mind that although 8 9 with the type of marketing today there is a lot of these children and privacy issues, they are going to involve the 10 need of young people to experiment about what to reveal 11 12 about themselves and what to withhold and to whom, in the 13 context of communication, chat, interaction of information 14 children want about health and the world and sexuality, and 15 a variety of other things. And anything you think of in the "marketing to children" domain also needs to be thought of 16 in terms of children's communication and exchange of 17 information about themselves and others. 18

19

If you turn to policy, the first point I think we have to be very careful with is that yesterday there was a lot of proper talk about anonymity as a major way of protecting privacy in the online and Internet world. Now, typically for our society we are focusing on greater

personal identification of the online user in order to
 enforce a social policy.

3 So to the extent that you want to identify how old 4 a child is, and that it is a child, you are running up 5 against the discussion yesterday about wanting to preserve 6 the greatest possible anonymity and absence of requirements 7 of giving identity and characteristics in order to be able 8 to use the media. So how you balance the anonymity and the 9 identification is very important.

10 It seems to me that what we should be looking for 11 here is how to translate the way we have worked at standards 12 for children, parents and privacy in the broad past in the 13 print media, over the past 10, 15, 20 or more years, to the 14 online world, but recognize what's different and see where 15 new policies are needed.

My own approach, very briefly, would be first to say how do we adopt the fair information practices concepts that we have used in the adult world into the world of children, recognizing these tensions over the nature of protecting children from harm, the role that you can reasonably expect parents to follow and so forth.

And so we might very well say that, you know, a child's fair information practices code, we want to distinguish between a child being able to respond to a marketer that they have a relationship with for products and

services but not to have that used to compile lists and to use for third parties because that exposes the child to risks of third party use of the information where you are not sure that the third parties are following the same fair information practices as the organization that has presented in the Web site, explicitly what its policies are and what it would do with it.

If you follow this then, I think you would link 8 9 yesterday's discussion that if we had a PIC system with the filters and screens that were described we could have a 10 11 children's privacy fair information practices filter system, 12 so that the parents, and to some extent, the older children, 13 would be able to have the same kind of choices that we 14 described yesterday as the choices for adults, and that there could be any number of ways of trying to make that 15 operational in terms of the good housekeeping seal, that is, 16 17 "we abide by privacy fair information practices," which the marketer who wants to get information to children or get 18 information from children would be able to display and we 19 20 could use the PIC system approach, that if the seal of approval was not on the screen, in effect, the warning would 21 22 qo out "this is an uncertified site, enter at your peril," 23 and others are watching it to see what happens here, which 24 can be the FTC watching in its tradition role in terms of

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

fraud and misrepresentation and other kinds of standards
 that can be woven along with the PIC system.

But I think that today's topic tells us that there are some different dimensions in the relationship with children to the medium that are going to take a lot of very careful and hard thought to work on.

7 MR. PEELER: Thank you.

8 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Before you go, let me ask9 Alan just one question.

10 Going beyond parental empowerment and choice and 11 technological solutions, should there be a flat out 12 prohibition on collecting highly detailed personal 13 information from minors under a certain age absent parental 14 consent?

MR. WESTIN: My personal answer would be that I don't see that that ban is even necessary or makes sense. For example, kids read comic books, and you send in a coupon and you get information. In other words, all of the media together offer to children products and services if they will write in and describe themselves in various kinds of ways.

I don't myself see that putting it online and getting the information from a child online, if it's done with the kind of safeguards that I describe, really supports the necessity for or the effectiveness of a "total ban."

1

MR. PEELER: Elizabeth.

2 MS. LASCOUTX: Thanks, Lee.

Well, privacy is a brand new issue for CARU. 3 We have -- some people may think we should have, but we never 4 5 really examined the issue in the traditional media in the 23 6 years that we have existed. The rationale for that, I suppose, is that there is an assumption of an opportunity 7 8 for parental mediation where you have, as other people have 9 said, whether you have a postcard or a phone call. I mean, 10 if it's my child receiving or sending mail, I have a few 11 opportunities to look at the postcard or the letter and say, 12 hey, I really don't want you to send it at out or to let you 13 get this mail.

14 So we are now looking at privacy issues in 15 development of new guidelines. It's the immediacy of the medium that makes it different to us, and I suppose one way 16 17 of looking at it is in the past parents have been able to be reactive to each situation as it arises, each marketing 18 19 opportunity or information gathering opportunity that kids 20 come up against. When you have got your child sitting at a 21 computer screen, you can't be reactive to each situation. 22 So you need to up front have a policy.

23 One of the fortunate things about our process is 24 that right now draft guidelines are out to our advisory 25 board, we have an academic and business advisory board. So

we are necessarily stopped in our process, and this workshop 1 2 and other opportunities to see what technological fixes may be available is very fortuitous for us, because I agree with 3 Dr. Westin that the PICs type filter where a parent can 4 5 preselect privacy preferences with the child may go a long б way to answering some of the problems for children. CARU gets to deal with a lot of other issues also, but in terms 7 of the privacy one, that is a very hopeful opportunity. 8

9 So we certainly are excited about those 10 possibilities when we are looking at the privacy issues, but 11 it is the immediacy that makes it critically different for 12 us.

13 MR. PEELER: Dr. Brody.

DR. BRODY: Yes. I am Dr. Michael Brody. I am a
child psychiatrist representing the American Academy of
Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.

17 My primary focus and interest in this has to do 18 with child development, and there has been a lot of written, 19 and just the way there is marketing theory and computer 20 science there is also a developmental theory. And I could look towards Piaget or Freud or Gizzel for guidance about 21 22 this, but a couple of nights ago I was watching Nick at 23 Night and I was watching Taxi. And I think that Louie 24 DePalma, Danny DeVeto said it best. They all lost their 25 jobs in the garage, and Danny DeVeto became a stockbroker,

and you see him, you see him on the phone, you know, in his office and he is talking on phones, "That's right. Go over to your piggy bank, knock it open, send it in to me." This is the issue of consent as far as I am concerned.

5 Children are not small adults. Piaget has shown б this and this has been shown over and over again by other 7 theorists. They go through stages of positive development 8 just the way they develop physically, from the pre-logical 9 state before 5 years old, to a state of concrete operations 10 where they can participate in classes, to a time where they can go hopefully into a state of formal operations where 11 12 they can make judgments.

Seven-year-olds, 8-year-olds cannot make judgment.
We don't let them drive. We don't let them buy liquor.
Unfortunately, there is no laws about them flying, okay.
But there are standards in our society about the age of
consent.

18 Now, also as children develop cognitively they 19 also develop morally, and Cal Gillian and Lawrence Coleberg have done much work about this, about what kids listen to, 20 who they listen to. In that book that Kathryn and Shelly 21 22 put together, that wonderful booklet, "The Web of 23 Deception," one of the pages they have that unfortunately 24 was not presented a slide was a page where Commissioner 25 Gordon is telling the kid to enter certain information onto

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

their computer about the family, about what the kids purchase, and so forth and so on. This is a tremendous invasion.

You know, you could say to kids, "Don't buy. You 4 5 can't use a credit card." That's where it seems to be the б block in the value. But when a kid gives out information the kid is buying. The kid is buying something. And the 7 sense of morality, the kid cannot make that judgment of 7 or 8 9 8 years old. A kid does what they are told to do by a figure, whether it's Alfred the Butler, or whether it's 10 Commissioner Gordon. 11

12 Now, my feeling about this is that this is just 13 one of several harms involved in this kind of process, and 14 it's been brought up over and over again here. This is more This is more immediate. This is more seductive. 15 immediate. To get a card from one of the Power Rangers is very, very 16 17 seductive to a child. This is different than blanket advertising as in the adult's world we're familiar with it. 18 If we get a call from a political candidate, rather than 19 20 just seeing him being advertised on television, that means a great deal to us. Kids become disillusioned by the 21 22 advertising, they become manipulated by the advertising.

But one point that was made earlier in the earlier hour is really my interest and my interest here representing the Academy. People have brought up issues about their own

1 children. I am not worried about anybody's children.

Everybody here is interested in their children. They are going to see that these things happen or that they don't happen. And even if they do happen, and they are seduced, they will be able to explain it to their child about what advertising is, what being a consumer is, the truth in it and so forth.

I am worried that this is a new medium, just like 8 television was at one time, and that at some time every kid 9 is going to have access to Internet or Prodigy or 10 Compuserve, and so forth and so on. And in many of those, 11 12 just the way there are families that watch television, that 13 have no idea what their kids are watching, nor do they care. 14 In our society, kids that aren't possibly being represented 15 here, those are the kids that I am worried about.

And in some way, in some way, some safeguards have to be put in effect. And I don't like to use the word "ban," which was raised, but I don't see any other approach. MR. PEELER: In terms of historical facts that Alan Westin referred to, Bob, would you like to talk about what's been out there in terms of children's privacy issues before?

MR. SMITH: I am Robert Ellis Smith. I publish
Privacy Journal. It's the one with the green ink.

The most concerns about children and privacy have been, as Alan Westin alluded to, physical privacy, I would say, and privacy within the family. And privacy of children vis-a-vis the marketing community is a fairly new issue.

5 I would like to address a couple of things that 6 have come to mind. First, I would like to remind everybody 7 that the Federal Trade Commission guidelines currently say 8 that whether a practice is deceptive or unfair must be 9 viewed from the viewpoint of the target of that pitch. 10 That's very important.

If the pitch is aimed at children, it's the reasonable child that they are looking at, not the reasonable adult. And in a way our focus group is not focused is because we grown ups are kind of speculating about what is the subject to children.

I would like to pose also -- have us think that if Kellogg were to go door to door, or go to the school and gather the information they are gathering into big manuals, would any of us doubt that that's a deceptive practice?

I think with Dr. Brody's testimony and a few statements from children themselves, the FTC would have no difficulty building a case of deceptive or unfair advertising practices based on what we have seen already.

1 What we are talking about today, I think, is quite different 2 from yesterday because here there already is ample precedent 3 in this area, and ample jurisdiction on the part of the FTC.

I would like to echo something that Alan Westin said too though; that if we are trying to deny access to children into certain elements of the Internet, we really have to be careful about a whiplash approach.

8 One of the most chilling things I heard Senator 9 Exxon say in promoting his legislation was that one way we 10 could determine whether children are not getting access to 11 indecent material is that we could require adults to insert 12 some sort of an I.D. mechanism that would determine what 13 their age is.

14 And to me, if we went in that direction, that 15 would only accelerate the trend towards requiring a national 16 I.D. card of all Americans. Among other things, one application would be, I guess, to activate access to the 17 18 Internet. So I think it would be very unfortunate if we try 19 to deny access to certain sites based on age, because 20 probably the only way you can do that is to have some sort of I.D. mechanism. 21

A last thought, which doesn't answer your question at all, I'm sorry, is that as Representative Franks was giving his list of the types of demographic information on marketing lists. I had a great sense of reassurance because

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

my kids are not on those lists. Information about my kids
 you will not find on those lists.

There are precautions parents can take. Many of them I took before they were even born. It's a strategy you really have to develop over the years. But it occurs to me that parents should know ways of keeping their kids out -opting out, I mean, in a meaningful way keeping their kids off these lists, and having their kids not be subjected to some of these coercive and very attractive techniques.

I think that's a role for the U.S. Government too. I think that the Federal Trade Commission could well develop Web sites of its own which children could go to, and be told the pitfalls of marketing and be given techniques and advice for how they can participate on these Web sites without providing any information at all.

16 I think that's the way the Canadians and the 17 Europeans would approach this problem. They would regard 18 the government as a countervailing force to private 19 businesses. I would suggest also that, as a price of not 20 being regulated, private business be obligated to provide 21 the expertise and technology and graphics and the like to 22 produce these, but they would not touch the content. The 23 content, I would say, would be completely driven by the 24 Center for Media Education and privacy people,

And then you have the government acting as a countervailing force to private business, and competing, in fact, with private business. And I don't see how private business could object to that.

5

MR. PEELER: Dan.

б MR. WEITZNER: I just want to make a couple of 7 comments about approaching this issue. I think that all of 8 the commissioners have recognized that there are special 9 problems, special issues that come up in dealing with this general question on the Internet. Congressman Markey, I 10 11 think, pointed that out quite eloquently. And I just want to highlight really what I think are the important 12 13 considerations.

14 The first being the point that both Professor 15 Westin and Robert Ellis Smith made, that it is in fact very 16 hard to tell, or it is essentially impossible to tell the age of people who visit your Web site. Age does not travel 17 along with the person's E-mail address. We have no central 18 19 repository of people's names and ages, luckily, available on 20 the Internet, nor should we look for solutions that would 21 require that.

22 Secondly, we have all heard that everyone is a 23 publisher. That from a First Amendment standpoint is a 24 great thing. It allows -- I am speaking selfishly now -- it 25 allows a lot of us who have things to get off our chests to

do that in a really unprecedented way on the Internet. But part of the implication of everyone being a publisher is that through one way or another a lot of us are also collectors of personal information.

5 I think that we have a unique kind of situation 6 here as compared to traditional regulatory approaches to 7 advertising and marketing, which is that the number of 8 potential targets of any regulation, I think, are 9 dramatically expanded.

10 The Center for Democracy and Technology for a variety of reasons has a lot of personal information about a 11 12 lot of people. And I think we -- we do that for purposes 13 that we at least think are important. We do have a privacy 14 policy that is very clearly stated, but the activities that we are involved with, which entail collection of personal 15 information, are political activities. They are more often 16 than not, frankly, activities which are in some tension with 17 the federal government or with state governments, and I 18 19 think that that's a very important consideration.

Finally, unlike a medium like television, which is largely a commercial medium, the Internet is truly a mixed medium. It has both commercial and noncommercial functions. And I think that because of that it's very important that any sort of regulation or policy adopted ought to recognize that while it may be appropriate to target commercial

activities in a certain way, that same sort of targeting of
 noncommercial activities or the spillover to noncommercial
 activities would be quite unfortunate.

4 Finally, I just want to address this whole issue 5 of intrusiveness which I think was -- Kathryn said intrusiveness and Elizabeth said something else. I think it б was pervasiveness or immediacy. All of which I think is --7 well, I don't want to quite say I think it's all true. 8 9 Intrusiveness is a complicated word. I think certainly that it is a medium that is paliative, and has a paliative effect 10 11 on people, and for the most part that is a good thing. 12 Whether it is as paliative as television, I don't know, and 13 I wouldn't have the expertise to evaluate that.

But I think that what we ought to be looking at is a way to balance out, whether it's the intrusiveness or the immediacy or whatever else, with additions to this medium with ways that we can change this medium so that users, particularly parents, can be part of that countervailing force, at least.

20 And I think that the experience from working on 21 the PICS process in the area of restricting access to 22 indecent material or other inappropriate material, is that 23 it worked because there was a very broad range of interests 24 represented in the creation of that process, and I think 25 that that's very, very important to people here, and I think

1 that we need the expertise of groups like CME to talk about 2 how to shape these technologies so that they can be a tool 3 for good policy.

I do not think that the technologies, number one, are either self-executed, and I do not think that technology will solve all problems. But I think that it seems that we all agree that this is a medium in development. We ought to help it to develop in directions that we all think serve privacy goals.

10 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Dan, let me ask you before 11 you move on, for just a brief comment on what I think is the 12 privacy question here. Yes, we don't want to develop a 13 national I.D. system so you can track ages. Granted. Yes, 14 there are lot of various uses of the Net, educational, 15 informational, and marketing.

Go back to those sites that are absolutely clearly without question targeted at children, whether it's 6 to 8, 8 to 10, 10 to 12, whatever the age limits, let's keep it under the 12, targeted at children, and collecting extensive personal information.

21 Is that okay, or should parental consent be 22 required?

23 MR. WEITZNER: Well, I don't think that collecting 24 personal information without notice and an opportunity for 25 choice is appropriate in any circumstances. And I think

1 that certainly we have heard that there are those kids who 2 cannot meaningfully consent. So obviously something else 3 was necessary.

4 MR. PEELER: Okay, I would like to recognize the 5 Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: I'm beginning to see an 7 outline here of possible approaches to this. Let me just 8 suggest it and then ask a question of all of you.

9 One possibility is that the remedy would be some 10 sort of disclosure to children, but just as American law 11 says they are supposed to treat the children specially in 12 the advertising context, it also says that affirmative 13 disclosure remedies with children often do not work.

The other -- forgive me for calling it the other extreme -- would be some kind of ban. But whether a ban eventually will turn out to be what's required here, I think we also all know that it's a last resort, and we ought to be exploring other possibilities before you go to something like a ban.

20 What I have been hearing over the last two days is 21 that an approach that seems to have considerable support 22 already is this issue of parental consent, and that many of 23 these commercial transactions with children already require 24 parental consent.

1 My question is a very specific one. Is there any 2 commercial transaction where you believe that the seller to 3 be in touch with children, however children are defined, 4 where parental consent is not required?

5 Where it is so burdensome or so ineffective or 6 unnecessary, that you can go ahead and deal with whatever we 7 define as children without parental consent, is there any 8 example of that?

MR. SMITH: Well, I can think of two.

9

One, where there is no demand for personal 10 information; and secondly, where there are no parental 11 credit card accounts already on line that could be used if 12 13 the children order products. In other words, some sort of 14 interactive Web page where children, even if they are getting a combination of editorial and advertising content, 15 at least there is no danger that they will inadvertently 16 charge products that they don't want; and secondly, they are 17 18 not being asked for personal information.

And I think we can distinguish between personal information about themselves and about the family. I mean, I would like to know why Frito-Lay thinks that asking for daddy's employment was really relevant to the transaction that the kids are involved in.

24 MR. PEELER: Anyone else? Evan?

1 MR. HENDRICKS: Yes, I think that Bob hit it, and 2 Commissioner Varney did to. The issue is about the 3 collection of information from children which they are not 4 capable of consenting to. And that yes, the answer to your 5 question is parental consent should be required. As to the 6 so-called thorny issue of creating in I.D. system, I think 7 Commissioner Varney said it well.

8 There are some Web sites that you have a 9 reasonable expectation that the people using them are 10 children, because they are aimed at children and therefore I 11 think we have an easy way to require in those circumstances 12 that parental consent be required before information is 13 collected. I think that's a key privacy movement here.

I think another key solution here is Congressman Franks legislation. I think, you know, no rule that the FTC can do, and no legislation that hopefully Congressman Franks can pass can take care of the whole problem.

But what it can do is move us in a direction and get the industry sensitized to these problems. And so, you know, I have been called many things, the son of this or that, but in reality, I am a son of a pediatrician.

(Laughter.)

22

23 And so I grew up with some -- I grew up with some

sensitivity to children's issues. And that's why I think -first of all, I want to thank Dr. Brody. Your statement was

1 a real breath of fresh air from yesterday and today. But I 2 think what was really shocking was so far I have not heard a 3 commitment from the industry people on this panel that this 4 is a problem requiring some sort of binding guidelines. You 5 can't just make it so.

6 You know, it's either you let them hve the 7 information if your children use the computer. Or, if you 8 care about any of this stuff, you can't let them use the 9 computer. That's not a way to set national policies. And I 10 want to hear industry say that, yes, this is where we start 11 the protection for personal information.

MR. PEELER: Evan, I would say that's the issue that we are going to discuss in the next panel, and so I think it's understandable that we haven't heard that yet. But I actually have a little queue here.

16 Kathryn, then Dan, and then Charlotte.

VOICE: I think you missed Doug before, put Doug.
 MR. BLANKE: I have never had so many folks rally
 to the defense of the Attorney General before. It's
 heartening.

21 (Laughter.)

22 What has been most striking to me in all of the 23 discussions so far this morning is that so little has been 24 articulated by way of throwing out what the objections would

be to a parental consent requirement. Really very little
 has been said.

The one thing that I recall was Professor Westin, in response to Commissioner Varney's question, suggesting that, well, perhaps the differences between this medium and traditional media were not qualitative; that we have comic books with coupons that children can send in, and we don't restrict that kind of data collection.

9 I have a 5-year-old who enjoys watching the Disney 10 Channel, who is as enthralled with Disney films and all the 11 attentive merchandise as the rest of her generation. She 12 knows that those characters are film characters, cartoon 13 characters. She knows they are not real, but she is taken 14 with them.

15 Last week I had the opportunity to take her to the 16 Magic Kingdom in Orlando where I got an immersion course in 17 blurring between real life and merchandising. And we also 18 had the opportunity to have lunch with Mickey Mouse. And I can tell you, at least in my experience, there is a 19 gualitative difference when that interaction becomes 20 21 personal, immediate, interactive. There was a suspension of 22 belief that the transformation for me is very, very different from the traditional kinds of media. 23 24 MR. PEELER: Kathryn.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes, I just want to respond to a
 couple of things that I have heard.

3 One, to what Dan said about how sometimes it's 4 difficult to tell the age of a computer user who might be 5 accessing a site.

I just want to reiterate, we are talking about the Collection of very detailed personally identifiable information. Generally, in virtually every case that includes age. I mean, you are talking to kids. You want to know the age. I mean, that's one of the key things that marketers want to know about their market.

12 The second point is we are also talking about 13 commercial marketing here. We are not talking about non-14 commercial uses of the medium. And I think we want to keep 15 the focus here. This is what we looked at in our study. 16 This is where our concerns come from. And then finally, I just want to underscore what was just said, that I really 17 18 have not heard a strong argument for, one, why this amount 19 of personally identifiable information, detailed 20 information, is necessary if you are going to be marketing 21 to children; and secondly, what is wrong if you get parental 22 consent.

23 MS. FISE: I want to specifically address Chairman 24 Pitofsky's question, is there any transaction in which 25 parental consent would not be required. And I think the

answer is yes, but it's a very qualified yes. And I think 1 2 that relates to anonymous information where there has been very full and effective disclosure. And I think that we can 3 get into that in much greater detail, probably in the next 4 5 session when we talk about solutions. But I think it's б important to at least acknowledge that there might be some 7 areas in the commercial interest field where we wouldn't have to have a blanket ban, if ban merely means parental 8 9 consent.

DR. BRODY: Could I say something about that? MR. PEELER: We have a number of people that wanted to say something, so let me just put you in. And I want to ask everyone to keep their comments as brief as possible right now. We do need to finish up.

But Dan Weitzner, then Charlotte, then Dan Jaffe,then Bryan.

17 MR. WEITZNER: I want to make sure that I 18 understood Chairman Pitofsky's question. I think the 19 question was is there any commercial transaction with a kid 20 on the Net that doesn't require parental consent.

21 And I would say absolutely. If a transaction is 22 you somehow pay five cents to read some sort of material, I 23 would say absolutely. I would hope that we are not going to 24 require parental consent to those kind of things.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

When I was under the age of 18, I certainly bought magazines, books, lots of things without my parents' consent. Again, there is obviously a definitional question about who is a kid here. I think the answer is probably different if a kid is under 8 or if the kid is under 16. So I think that there is a possible area where parental consent really raises some very serious issues.

And I would add that that would be the case even where there is collection of personal information. I do not think that we ought to say that any collection of personal information from anyone under 18 requires parental consent. I just think that goes much farther than any kind of practice we ever had in any other part of our society. So I think that there is an important --

15 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Well, then, Dan what about 16 collection of extensive personal information off of sites 17 that are targeted at children under 12?

MR. WEITZNER: Well, I think that becomes a very different issue, and I think it's because of the consent problem, because you can give a kid under 12 all the notice you want, and I think we have heard that that just doesn't mean anything. There is a role to make sure that the parent can consent and provide that consent. So I think that becomes a very different kind of --

25

1 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And, again, I keep asking 2 the question, because -- maybe we will get into this in the 3 next panel -- in terms of personally identifiable 4 information. I am not sure that it's a problem if you 5 collect information anonymously and use it for product 6 development. But it presents the same issues as if you 7 collected and use it for individual target marketing.

8

MR. PEELER: Charlotte.

9 MS. BAECHER: I'm Charlotte Baecher. I am the 10 Director of Education Services, and I am also, and that's 11 what I am speaking as now, editor of "Zillions," which is 12 our Consumer Reports magazine for kids. And I have been 13 dealing with kids now for -- this is probably my seventeenth 14 year. And I am just concerned that their interests and that 15 their very unique nature be represented in this discussion.

16 As Dr. Brody pointed out, I thought it was very, very to the point, they are not mini-adults. And this whole 17 18 Internet is an opportunity for these kids to get out there, 19 to explore, to learn. And, to rely on negative things like 20 parental supervision and whatever to remedy problems gives I think we also have to take into consideration 21 me concern. 22 the kids -- you can't expect kids to react the way you are 23 going to react to things. And I think anything that 24 requires parental supervision or parental consent, and that 25 doesn't get it becomes more attractive to kids.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 I mean, I have learned, trying to do articles for 2 kids on everything from wearing bike helmets to not smoking, that a warning equals an invitation. All of a sudden 3 something becomes very, very alluring. It's a reality. And 4 5 I think that we are really in a position to take a much more б proactive response to problems that we really see looming on the horizon without penalizing the kids and their 7 opportunities and basically what they really deserve in a 8 9 positive way.

10

MR. PEELER: Dan.

Well, I guess the bottom line of all 11 MR. JAFFE: this is how complicated this issue is. I think the -- I 12 13 want to be very clear -- the advertising community 14 understands that kids are different, and we are going to try to do all that we can to see that they get all the 15 protection they need. We are going to try to do it 16 carefully and thoughtfully so that we don't kill off what we 17 think is a tremendous medium that's going to help kids as 18 19 well as going to help the rest of society.

Having this commitment, we then come to the issue with the whole question of parental consent. All I am saying at this point is that it's probably more complicated than people think. We already talked about the problem of knowing when you have a kid. Now, that can be solved to some extent by saying if it's clearly targeted to kids and

1 it's very obvious that you are trying to target kids, there 2 are a lot of sites on the marketplace that will be 3 attractive to kids -- books, records, all sorts of things. 4 And also how you define kids, you are going to find a lot of 5 hybrid situations where it's not going to be that easy for 6 the advertisers to know who is there.

7 Then to get that commitment and be able to trust that you have gotten the parents' consent may be a little 8 9 harder than people are saying in a technological sense. How do you know that you have actually gotten some verification 10 11 that you are talking to the parent and not the kid 12 pretending to be the parent. You are going to have to set 13 up some system to do that, and the companies are going to 14 have to be able to rely on that, and that may be harder than 15 people are saying.

16 I think that people are often looking at this as if, well, you know, places people are going to go are 17 simple. A lot of sites, the Girl Scouts, the Boy Scouts, 18 the Little League, are also doing this kind of information 19 20 gathering, and maybe people would think that that kind of 21 information gathering is more benign, and that they would 22 have useful things to do with information because they will 23 be trying to tell the kids about the programs that they are 24 developing and the safety equipment that they would need and

all of those things. It's a question of what context this
 information is being collected as to how people define it.

I think it is very important how you define kids, because if you start defining kids too broadly, you really do sweep into all of these areas where the ability to have some personal information is really very important.

7 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Well, if that's the case 8 then, if it is right now too difficult to ascertain the age 9 of the children and to get the parental consent and all 10 that, should responsible companies forego the collection of 11 extensive personally identifiable information from sites 12 that are marketed for children?

And again, I want to emphasize, I am not right now talking about collecting anonymous data and aggregating it for product development purposes, but I am talking about personally identifiable information that can be used for any number of purposes, including targeted marketing, list development, resale, reuse.

19 If we are not there technologically, then should 20 companies be doing it?

21 MR. JAFFE: Well, we were talking about earlier, 22 and I believe we will have a presentation about how you can 23 set up technological protections for kids which I think, to 24 some extent, may help cut the Gordian knot here, but maybe 25 not. We all need to become more educated on this.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

But if a parent could really control what

2 information comes out of his house, and where the kid goes, 3 that may make it more alluring, I guess. As a parent I just 4 don't feel that because I say no, and that may make the kid 5 really want to have the answer to be yes, that we stop using 6 our parental control.

1

7 But I am saying if we can do that I think that that may be the best way to solve it. If you're talking 8 9 about setting up systems that are going to really cut off a 10 lot of our ability to effectively market, which we think is useful for kids, not harmful for kids. It's not just 11 digital desperadoes out there. There are people who are 12 13 trying to get lots of useful information to kids. And if 14 they know more, they will be able to do a much better job. 15

16 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: If we agree that it is not okay for children to provide extensive personal --17 18 information that is personally identifiable, if we would agree on that as a premise, at what point is there some 19 20 corporate responsibility to not collect that information from sites that are target marketed at children? 21 Not 22 collect it in a personally identifiable way. Is there none? 23 MR. JAFFE: Well, first of all, I think we have 24 got to step back here, and I can't -- I would like to, and I 25 think everybody else from the business community would like

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

to be able to say there is a business position that we can just present. I would like to present my views. But when you start saying what does the business community decide, you are saying that it is clear -- no, you can not. But you say that it should be clear that you shouldn't get detailed information about kids.

7 I am not sure that that is clear, that that is 8 self-evident, that in all instances getting detailed 9 information about a kid is bad. Getting the name of the 10 kid, and getting his age, and getting where he is may be 11 very useful information for him to get all sorts of useful 12 things that will be very valuable to him and that his parent 13 will want.

14 The question is who is the parent here? Does the government supervene here and decide that they know best, 15 and therefore this information shouldn't be collected at 16 17 all? Or should the parent have that responsibility? Or do we say there are lots of parents who are just not 18 19 responsible enough, and so the government is going to step 20 in and supervene because of the dangers in this area? 21 I don't know the answer. Those are exactly the

22 kinds of issues that --

23 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Well, with all respect, I 24 know that we want to move on, I don't accept that that's a 25 choice. I mean, it's not either does the government control

or does the parent control. I think that we are all here because we all think there is some mutual responsibilities between government, business, and private citizens. And it's sort of how we strike the balance is what we have got to find.

6 MR. JAFFE: What I am saying it's not, at least to 7 me, self-evident that in all instances and in all 8 circumstances that finding out the name of a kid, where he 9 lives, his age, is something that is clearly a wrong thing, 10 a bad thing, and therefore it shouldn't be allowed under any 11 circumstances.

Now, certainly some parents may feel that it is a bad thing. That I think is also clear. Many people in this group think it is always a bad thing. Technology would certainly allow parents, and I think you will hear about that, to make sure that that information isn't given.

17 Now the question is, it seems to me in that circumstance, do we say, no, we don't allow technology and 18 parents using that technology to make that determination. 19 We make a societal determination as to whether this is a 20 21 good or a bad thing. I think that's a very legitimate 22 issue, very important issue, one the business community is 23 going to have to sit down and wrestle with, and we will. I 24 don't think that you are at this session going to get that 25 answer.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

And one of the things I was going to say in my statement earlier is I think it would be very useful to have this session again in a year when all of us have a lot more experience to be able to give you business' agreed-upon consensus positions, and I think we are not quite at that point yet.

7 MR. PEELER: Okay. We have three people who wish 8 to speak so I would like to ask you to be very brief in your 9 comments, and then we are going to conclude this session. We are a little bit ahead of ourselves in terms of 10 addressing the issues we want to address in the third 11 12 session, so that's good, and we do have some very important 13 demonstrations about technology that we want to follow up 14 on.

15

## So, Brian?

MR. EK: I believe that, first of all, that the next session is going to provide some very interesting demonstrations that actually may provide a very simple and effective answer to this question, but I would like to add some information to the process at this point.

I don't think that the question can be seen straight, straight as in black and white, because what we are actually dealing with here are two different types of data. And for simplification purposes I am going to call one the click stream, and that's the data that is potentially

collected without the consumer's knowledge; and the second
 is the more detailed Q&As that are solicited.

3 There is technology in place today and additional technology that is being added to address the click stream. 4 5 I mentioned yesterday that all of the commercial online б services utilize proxy servers. And basically what happens is as consumers, all consumers go out to the Web (when they 7 do so) through a commercial online server, and the 8 personally identifiable information about them that could be 9 10 gathered through the click stream process is eliminated and substituted simply by an identifier that someone from 11 12 Prodigy is visiting your site, or someone from America 13 Online or Compuserve or whatever is visiting that site.

That does not prohibit the marketer from continuing to track that click stream. But the information they get during that visit becomes aggregate information about how that site is being responded to, and it is not personally identifiable.

I think an argument could be made that in this case there really isn't a problem, whether it is an adult or a child, because the individual is in no way identified, and also the CDT has announced that they are offering a product, that they will have a product called the anonomizer, which does the same thing that's being done by the commercial services today.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

So in terms of click stream, there is technology
 in place today.

Now, let's move on to the second issue because I think this is thornier, and that is the Q&As. There is no surprise that Q&As have popped up. They have popped up because you have the Internet access today. The site operators are only getting this masked information because the individuals are coming out through the online services.

9 There are additional technologies which you are 10 going to see demonstrated in the next session that will give 11 parents and will give families and individuals the choice of 12 doing some additional masking of personally identifiable 13 information and possibly prevent children from entering data 14 that parents don't feel that they should be entering.

I personally, in all cases when I can, I would favor control and choice on the part of the parents as opposed to any concrete ban. But I think that the next session is going to be very informative in terms of what the possibilities are for the future.

20 MR. WEITZNER: Could I just correct the record for 21 one second?

We have provided from our Web page a link to a service called the anonomizer. We actually don't offer that as a product, for better or for worse.

25 MR. PEELER: Dr. Brody.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 DR. BRODY: I just want to get back to one or two 2 points here. The hardest thing about being a parent, I think the hardest thing to be placed in one's senses is the 3 realization that your child's eqo is in your hands. 4 And 5 what I mean by ego is his or her ability in dealing with the б world. And the hardest thing as a parent is when to let this go and when for the child to take over more of the 7 responsibility of their own eqo, because the more practice 8 9 they have the better adults they are going to become.

10 But we as parents and we as the government and we 11 as other authorities, we as psychiatrists and corporations, have that child's ego in our hands. They are not small 12 13 This issue of consent is very, very interesting. adults. 14 As a parent we would not allow our children to do anything, 15 whether it's a class trip or staying home from school, without our consent, and that has to do with the dyadic 16 17 relationships.

Sure, our kids could watch television because they 18 19 see what's going on in television. These online services in many ways are dyadic relationships. There is an interaction 20 21 with another person in many, many ways, and I think that 22 that really cuts to the argument here of consent. And we 23 would not allow our children to be involved with any adult 24 without our permission, whether it's the baby sitter, the 25 doctor, our kids don't make their own dental appointments,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

and in the same way there is this dyadic relationship that we are allowing. And I think that this should be brought up when considering the idea of consent.

4

MR. PEELER: Vicki.

5 MS. RAFEL: Vicki Rafel, of The National PTA. And б essentially I am a token parent here today. I appreciate the fact that many of us here have children and are parents, 7 but we are also wearing the work hat. I am here as a parent 8 9 to remind you that the spectrum of parents, parent opinion, parent expectations, parent assumptions, is incredibly wide. 10 We are not all alike. Some of us can barely get into the 11 12 Internet and others of us program it.

13 But I do need to remind you that parent 14 expectations about what is going to happen for our children are very high. As parents we are used to schools asking us 15 for permission to do surveys with our children, asking us 16 17 for permission to provide family life and human development education. We would never want our pediatricians or our 18 public libraries or our government or our banks to ask our 19 children for information in the kind of detail that we may 20 21 be talking about asking children on these sites.

I can't say that we should ban those questionnaires, but I do think some real strong principles have got to be spelled out for the approach that's taken with children to these activities.
1

MR. PEELER: Thank you very much.

2 Now, before we conclude we had one request by an 3 Internet site provider who has been mentioned in some of the 4 reports to make a brief statement for the record at the end 5 of this session.

6 So is Jori Clarke here? Jori, there is a 7 microphone right back there.

8 MS. CLARKE: We represents "KidsCom," one of the 9 sites that has been brought up and talked about. And I 10 think one of the issues that needs to be addressed here is 11 what is the actual intent for collection of the personal 12 data.

In an electronic playground it's more difficult than a physical playground to actually determine if the person on the site is a kid or is an adult. And as you have heard already, as more adults are trying to find kids in inappropriate ways it's important to be able to determine that, and collecting information on the person on the playground is important for that purpose.

Now, also, an electronic playground is not a library where users are discouraged from interacting with each other. On sites that only publish their own ideas there would not be any reason to collect personal information on the people that are there, but on sites that actually allow people to interact with each other, like our

site where we have kids from over 74 different countries, it
 is important to try and find a way to determine who is
 talking with who.

4 It's also important to realize that kids are using 5 the Internet like adults are, both for expert knowledge б sourcing as well as experiential knowledge, which is where 7 they are going to find other kids to help cope with problems much like we heard from Dr. Brody, I believe, earlier where 8 the 13 and olders no longer have the ability to have a 9 10 dialogue with their parents, and so now they are looking for other ways to source recommendations. And that's why it's 11 12 important, in fact, on our site where we have asked adults 13 and teachers to get involved on the site by not only asking 14 for them to preview the site and be involved, but also 15 offering areas for them to learn about content and encourage 16 them to come.

MR. PEELER: Thank you, Jori.

17

Now, we are going to take a brief 10-minute break again. We are going to come back and have five demonstrations of technology that's available to address the issues that we are talking about, and then have a final panel to discuss remedies. Thank you.

24 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

1 MR. PEELER: Thank you. We are ready to start the 2 third and final session. We are going to try to wrap up as 3 close to one o'clock as we can.

We are very lucky today to have demonstrations of five different possible technological approaches to this issue, and the first demonstration will be by Susan Getgood called Cyber Patrol.

8

Susan, are you --

9 MS. GETGOOD: I'm all set.

10 I am Susan Getgood from Microsystems Software.

Commissioner Varney asked what kind of technology 11 is available to control the children's access to the 12 13 Internet, and what kind of information they can give out online. And I am here to demonstrate a product that can 14 actually do much of what she has asked. It's the beginning 15 of what we need to do, but it solves the problem of children 16 17 both for access to the Internet, what kind of content they 18 can see, but most importantly for the context of this 19 gathering here, what kind of personal information they can 20 give out online.

This is the Cyber Patrol which is passive protection. This is what the parent sees to set the setting for their child or their children, because you can have different settings for different children.

1 The bottom area is a time grid that actually lets 2 the parents say what hours of the day their kids can and 3 can't be on Internet. So if part of the concern is what the kid does when they come home from school, you can actually 4 5 keep them from going online while you are not home. They 6 can still have access to their computer to do their 7 homework, but they can't go do something that you might be 8 worried about.

9 The top area is the various areas of the Internet 10 that we control based on our Cyber Yes and Cyber Not List. But the most important piece of information is this little 11 utility called Chat Guard. What Chat Guard allows you to do 12 13 is specify what information you don't want your children 14 giving out online. Specific types of information like the 15 first and last name, what your street address is, where you live, what school they go to, their E-mail address, so that 16 when they are in a chat room or in a Web site filling out a 17 18 questionnaire they can't enter this information.

So if I was to go over to, since we showed Nabisco earlier, I have got Nabisco here. This is the actual front screen of "Tell us about yourself." And I am just going to sit down for a minute to type.

23 My name is Susan, whoops, if I spelled it right it 24 would be. My name is Susan and my parents decide it's okay 25 if I give out my name Susan. It doesn't want me to say what

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 my last name is. So it's going to X out information that I 2 am not allowed to give out online, and that would go for any 3 information that I have put in that screen.

4 It's a beginning to what we need to do, but 5 technology has a way of coming up with speedy solutions to б the problem once we define what the problem is. We like to look at our technology as pieces of technology that solve 7 8 problems that need to be solved so we can come up with rapid 9 solutions to the next step of the problem. It's easy to 10 I showed you the screen. The settings are real easy use. 11 to set. It's available now. Both CompuServe and Prodigy 12 give Cyber Patrol away free to their members. So within the 13 next three to four months you can expect to see all of their 14 users having access to this technology at no charge.

15 It's hard for the kids to get around it. It's 16 tamper resistant. I wouldn't say tamper proof, because I'm 17 asking for trouble. And that is Cyber Patrol if anybody has 18 any questions.

19 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Does that mean that the 20 child then can't go on and play the games there because they 21 haven't given the information?

MS. GETGOOD: That's the responsibility of the Web site to decide how they are going to use and be a responsible marketer. That's why -- the point of control almost has to be at home, the parent, because they are the

ones who have the responsibility and really care. 1 But the 2 responsibility for what kinds of information they gather and how they use the combination of technology and what 3 4 technology can do to protect privacy and the marketer, what 5 they need to do to allow the kid to play the game is -- I б think you referred to it earlier -- it's that three-tier 7 thing, the parent, the government and the actual industry 8 working together to make this kind of thing work.

9 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Well, in your experience 10 then, in Cyber Patrol's experience, do most of the sites 11 that offer games that have information that they request or 12 require first, in your experience if you don't give the 13 information do you generally then play the game or not play 14 the game or don't you know?

MS. GETGOOD: Actually, I believe the way we work this, and I have to test it to be sure, because we are actually returning the information, we're just Xing out the bits that the parent doesn't want to get by, when that Web site gets the answer, they are going to get this, and the kid is going to be allowed to play the game. But I would have to test that.

22 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: And what does Cyber Patrol 23 do with all the information it gets from the families on, 24 their children's names, and what their preferences are?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

MS. GETGOOD: We don't get any information on the children's names or their preferences or anything like that. We sell a piece of software that the parent then uses to decide what they want to do. It's all from the client's side. COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Thank you.

7 MR. PEELER: Susan, have you completed your 8 demonstration?

9 MS. GETGOOD: Yes.

10 Does anyone have any questions?

11 MR. PEELER: I guess the one other question I had 12 was would your software also keep the receiving Web page 13 from getting the E-mail address of the child?

MS. GETGOOD: If the parents had decided that they wanted to restrict the E-mail address from being sent out, yes, you wouldn't get it -- for example, if my E-mail address was Susan G at Microsys.com., I could block that.

We have started looking at some of the other kind of permissions. We support PICS, for example. We are looking at the very sorts of permissions that we might be able to incorporate in future versions of Cyber Patrol so the parents could give more ideas of what consents they give without giving out personal information about their children, because the key here is, in our opinion, to give

the parent the tool they need to control without giving
 additional information about their kids.

3

MR. PEELER: Thank you.

MS. GETGOOD: And I think I said that we support PICS, and we have supported PICS. We were the first software to support PICS, and right now we implement two of the PIC Systems that are available, SafeSurf and Arc Serve which we saw yesterday.

9 And the other thing is as we go along how we use 10 all of these tools, Cyber Patrol, the other people here, and 11 PICS to solve the issue that at the end of this session you 12 guys decide you want solve, because that's the key, what 13 problem you solve, whether you come up with the right 14 solution.

MR. PEELER: The next presentation is by JamesHoward of PrivNet.

MR. HOWARD: I'm James Howard of PrivNet, and we write Internet Fast Forward, a kind of global filtering program for Netscape, and we were invited to speak about one of those controversial features, cookie blocking.

Okay, you will notice in the upper right-hand corner of Netscape we have two little graphic icons, and the one on the far right tells you the number of cookies that it has blocked. You will notice there are an unbelievable number of cookies out there on the Web, a lot of which we

really don't even know what they do. Many of them do -allow you to purchase items on commercial Web sites. Some of them allow you to save settings. Some of them allow you to store passwords so you can log in easily, although that's quickly changing because people realized that when you do that anybody at your terminal can log into your service because the cookie is saving the password.

8 Basically, the program allows you to select which 9 sites you want to allow cookies to pass through on, so your 10 preference menu is right here.

11 Basically, you can have a drop down menu that 12 comes from Netscape, and it allows you to pick which sites 13 you want cookies to be processed at and which sites you 14 don't want cookies to be processed at. And basically if it's a reputable site, like the Wall Street Journal wants to 15 set a cookie to save your preferences, you can allow it to 16 happen. At a site that you are not so sure about writing a 17 lot of cookies, you can, you know, tell it no way. 18

But the main thing is the Netscape 3.04, the last screen. There is a per instance blocking of cookies that go out. When a cookie is to be set, you can say, no, I don't want it to be set. The problem is on most sites they try to set multiple cookies per page so you have to hit cancel, cancel, cancel, cancel over and over again, and in fact with the current implementation of cookies, it attempts to resend

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

the cookie until it's successful or all the objects on the page has been drawn, which on many sites, like Hot Wild, or even Nescape's home page, can account for you hitting the button 14 or 15 times.

5 And it's kind of like per call blocking or per 6 line blocking, and we provide per line blocking. Maybe they 7 will do that in the next release version, but right now they 8 don't.

9

That's pretty much it.

10 Are there questions?

11 MR. PEELER: So the relevance of this to what we 12 are discussing is that a parent could use this technology 13 themselves, on an individual site by site basis, to decide 14 whether or not to leave basically the visiting card?

15 MR. HOWARD: Exactly. Parents or any user at all. I mean, there are 50 controversials, kind of getting going, 16 17 but some sites, you know, they can conceivably use them for 18 various purposes. The standard is so broad that they can 19 store almost any personal information. If you fill out a line at a certain site, cookies can then be used to allow 20 21 the site owner to track where you go on that site, and then 22 they can link it to the form data that you filled out 23 earlier, so they have not only, you know, your name and 24 address, but they know exactly where you went on that site.

1 Cookies blocking just allows you to completely 2 take the control back in your hands and makes it harder to track where you have been and what you have done. 3 4 MR. PEELER: Thank you. 5 The next presenter will be Gordon Ross from Net б Nanny. 7 MR. ROSS: I always get a snicker on that one. First of all, I would like to thank the Commission 8 9 for inviting me here. It's quite an experience for me to 10 come from a foreign country down here. I am actually from 11 Vancouver, Canada. 12 We at TROVE Investment Corporation focused on security issues, and we looked at the Web two or three years 13 14 ago to look at the security issues. And what we do is 15 really define what you can type or receive on a terminal. So we take care of all the screening within the terminal, 16 17 either on the Net or off the Net. 18 Okay, this is the illustration demonstration information screen of the Net and I will leave it right now 19 20 and disable it. The reason being I am bringing up the 21 dictionary to show you what would happen here. 22 If a child tried to do this, Net Nanny is always 23 abled when you are online. If the child tried to bring it 24 up, it would terminate this application, so they wouldn't be 25 able to see what's going on.

1 There is a complete audit trail in Net Nanny so it 2 shows you what was accessed and what time, and whether you shut down or just audit. So you can see the different 3 applications. Like Netscape, they tried to do a search, we 4 5 will terminate that search. You can terminate any application in Windows or Dos that violates the dictionary б 7 of Net Nanny. And that dictionary is totally definable by 8 the user.

9 Are there any questions on that?

10 MR. PEELER: The question is --

11 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: It's fine if you don't want 12 your kids visiting certain sites, I guess, and you pick 13 those sites out of the dictionary.

How do you keep your kids from going to areas that solicit personal information if you don't want them to give it out?

17 MR. ROSS: Okay, if you get into a site like, I 18 think one of the ones is Kellogg, and there is a screen there that asks for their name, address, telephone number, 19 20 the child's age, what we recommend to customers is to start off with household confidential information, put that in 21 22 your dictionary: name, address, telephone number, 23 children's names, credit cards, et cetera. 24 When that is on the terminal Net Nanny will take

24 when that is on the terminal Net Namy will take 25 the appropriate action, either terminate the application,

even if you are offline writing an E-mail that may be inappropriate, it will terminate any application on that machine.

4 If the incoming E-mails comes into your daughter, 5 there is an E-mail read to say that, well, this address is 6 changed to that. As soon as the violation appears, it will 7 terminate the E-mail, read and audit it into the record.

We feel that the parents have to get involved. 8 Ι 9 would say today that most parents are members of the last 10 generation. They have to be educated on this technology. The wire is coming into the house today. The databases are 11 12 at home today. Security measure that operate today that we 13 can control is at home. The processing power is at home 14 today to do that.

Like I said, we come from a security background with a security aspect, but give that right to the individual to control the information flow.

18 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Can you show us your 19 dictionary?

20 MR. ROSS: Certainly. There is a lot of stuff in 21 there, but certainly I will bring it up.

This is some of the various information that's in the dictionary right now. Up here is covered addresses so you can't jump from one system to another. Children go onto the Net, there are two systems, so they say, well, I am

going to come over here and get the information. So if you 1 2 know the address of these machines, and they are available 3 in the back of many PC magazines, put them in the 4 dictionary. The child cannot search for the inappropriate 5 material. This is a light version that's up here, and it's б available free on the Net. This was updated recently, and 7 that's where you can download for anybody that owns Net 8 Nanny.

9 We understand that parents do not know the 10 mnemonics of the Internet, so we, along with organization, 11 surf the Net and grab these addresses and put them in the 12 list, and we offer these lists free of charge to any 13 customer out there. We don't feel it's right to charge the 14 customer for something that they don't know how to get in 15 the first place.

I myself personally believe that the Internet is probably the best thing that ever happened in society. COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Presumably, if there was a market demand, your company could create a list of sites that solicit information from children without parental consent?

22 MR. ROSS: You bet. With telecommunication 23 technology out there, such as monitoring TTCIP, which is the 24 protocol on the Net, all the information is in there of 25 what's happening with that message. So it just takes

software and some re-engineering to really monitor where it
 is going.

3 Somebody mentioned about being anonymous. In a 4 digital world today you are not anonymous no matter how much 5 you think you are. They continue to monitor you every day. б You use a Master Card, they know exactly where you have been around the world, that information is given out. So we 7 believe that you have to start taking control of your own 8 9 information. We believe in developing technology to look 10 after that. 11 Any other questions? Yes, sir. 12 DR. WESTIN: Do you market this under another 13 name? 14 MR. ROSS: Not at this moment in time. At the end 15 of this month, yes, there will be another version to that. 16 DR. WESTIN: What will you call it? 17 MR. ROSS: We are debating that right now. We are 18 thinking PC Nanny, but then some corporations may not like that. We don't want to do the Big Brother approach either 19 because that's not likely to happen. There is one product 20 21 out there called Big Brother right now. 22 VOICE: How much does it cost? 23 This program on an electronic download MR. ROSS: 24 from Internet Shopping Network is \$18.95. I am not sure how

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

they are priced. I think most of the products in the market
 are between 20 to 50 dollars, depending on the product.

3 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: What is the security on the 4 dictionary so the kids can't get in and change the 5 dictionary?

6 MR. ROSS: Well, I will show you that. If the 7 child -- there is an administration module on here if I 8 decide to go in here and bring that list up, that list 9 violates the rules for turning on the system. It will 10 terminate the administration program.

The version that is being released at the end of 11 this month has passwords in it. I'll bring that up. And 12 13 what we have tried to do is make it simple for parents, 14 because most parents don't understand how to turn a computer 15 on. This is my marketing manager. He has access. So if he violates the system we can still -- I'll restart the 16 application or override the shutdown. 17 When the system boots 18 up there is also a record of when that system started up. So when you tell your children to stay off the Net, you go 19 to a movie, you come home, you look at the audit record to 20 21 see if they were on the system. You will know.

22 So we are saying the technology is here to allow 23 you to have controls. We are also a PICS client. We follow 24 the PICS standard. We also work very closely with SafeSurf. 25 We currently have 40,000 pages read, which I think is

commendable. It's a huge task to read Internet. There are about 2 million pages out there right now. And to read a thousand a day, it doesn't take a mathematician to figure out that it's going to take about two years to read what's there today.

6

MS. FISE: I have two questions.

First, in response to what you just said, which is, you know, how do you deal with the rapidly proliferating sites and to make certain that they are on the list of those that you don't want kids to have access to?

The second is, in addition to blocking access to sites, I want to understand if I heard you correctly, does your system also -- once you have gone to a site that you do want to go to -- make it possible to block out what can be gathered from you technologically. Did you say that?

16 MR. ROSS: You mean within the site.

MS. FISE: Let's say you want to go to a site butyou don't want them knowing everything about you.

MR. ROSS: Yes. If you get into -- there was a home page brought up here earlier. I'm not sure which company that was, but it had name, address, telephone number, et cetera, that they are requesting from you once they access the home page?

24 MS. FISE: Not Q&A. I am talking about 25 involuntary technological clickstream data. Can your

service block the collection of clickstream data to the
 sites you do go to?

3 Not at this point in time, but MR. ROSS: technology is there that you can do that with. There is a 4 5 command within the Internet community called Finger. When 6 you use that to get an E-mail address, it will go back to a machine and tell you who owns that address, but it does not 7 give out the personal information on the individual. 8 Most 9 of that individual information is confidential by the information provider. The only thing that is usually sent 10 forward is the E-mail owner, his name and where his address 11 is on that machine, but most of that data is in databases. 12 13 MR. PEELER: Okay, thank you very much. MR. ROSS: Thank you. 14 15 MR. PEELER: The next presenter is Chuck Runge of Specs for Kids. 16 17 MR. RUNGE: I'm Chuck Runge. I am with New View, 18 Inc. 19 (Pause.) 20 We have a broad range of computer MR. RUNGE: 21 technologies for Internet access, and what I want to focus 22 on today are those that are more germane to this meeting, 23 which have to do with parents and their children. And I use 24 the word "parent" a little bit loosely here to include

educational experiences also, because children do get
 Internet experiences at schools.

3 So we are going to concentrate at the 90,000 foot 4 level in the brief time we have about the tools and 5 technologies we are providing to our parents to allow them 6 to make clearly informed choices about the kind of content 7 that children can see or not see.

8 Because I am going to go through this fairly 9 quickly, I would encourage you to take a look at our Web 10 site. It has a lot more information out there about the 11 things that I am going to gloss over fairly quickly.

We introduced Specs for Kids recently. It's a screening product. Some of you may have seen it previously as IScreen. Somebody identified yesterday the difficulties of naming products on the Internet these days. All the good names are really gone, it seems.

But one of the goals we have for Specs for Kids because if it's going to be a truly safe environment for kids we wanted to pick out part of that universe on the Internet where we would be fairly assured that objectionable sites would not slip through.

And objectionable in this context means as defined by the parent or the educator. We are not trying to put our own value system on this.

1 We also wanted to come up with a labeling system 2 that did not censor, and we wanted to have a fun educational experience with the kids. And more importantly, we wanted a 3 wide range of viewing options for parents or educators. 4 5 It's been identified that even when you look at the K 6 through 12 segment of the market that we want to cater to, we come up with highly different conclusions for 8-year-olds 7 than we do 13-year-olds or 18-year-olds, and we figured we 8 9 had to cover that range.

10 So Specs for Kids, we think, accomplishes a lot of It has the largest database right now of sites that 11 that. 12 have been rated and labeled for kids. It has a very 13 appealing kid's directory for navigating to that information 14 once it's located. And we have a labeling standard, a set of conventions and a set of software that allows parents to 15 match the profiles of their children to the kind of content 16 17 that's on the Internet. So they can make decisions about what categories of information they will see, and it's 18 19 boiler plate. It's easy to use.

If you go to the home page, you will find a page which is a Specs for Kids product. That's generally what their experience is. I am not going to bore you with a lot of that detail.

This is a product that has a lot of components to it. It's not just the viewing technology. You have a

content labeling convention, which I will describe to you 1 2 very briefly, and, again, you can see all the details on the Web site. We have a system for labeling and indexing that 3 content. The output of that system is a database of 4 5 labeling sites. We have a directory and search aids to б allow the children to navigate around within that database to find things of topical interest. We have a wide range of 7 viewing options to cover the different age groups we want to 8 9 cater to, and then there are various products and Specs for 10 Kids is just one of those.

The labeling conventions that we have established 11 12 for labeling Internet content are covered up here. There is 13 a lot more detail at the Web site. Yesterday, when the PICS 14 discussion was carried on a couple of comments were made 15 that PICS was a labeling standard. It's a protocol. It's a labeling technology. It's a protocol, and it's view is 16 17 independent. That means it's a means to an end to give the kind of controls that we think are necessary. It's 18 necessary but it's not sufficient. This product starts to 19 address the sufficiency issue. 20

21 We don't believe that this is necessarily 22 exhaustive. It will probably be modified, extended over 23 time, and maybe some of the work that's going on within this 24 group will even make suggestions of new categories to label 25 content against.

Labeling content for us also means we have to index it. Once you have this the pages or Web sites that you are looking at, it's difficult to find context. So we indexed in these 36 categories as we go through the label process so that we can do a simple application for people to find content.

7 The Specs labeling system that I alluded to a 8 minute ago consists of people and technologies. We have a 9 large staff presently of about -- it averages about 120 10 people who are rating Internet content against that labeling They work -- it's a pretty much around the clock 11 standard. 12 operation, and we have a large investment in tools to help 13 that process, both in what kind of content filters into the 14 labeling process, as well as trying to automate as much as 15 we can the labeling process itself. It is an expensive 16 process.

MR. PEELER: Mr. Runge, we need to finish up.MR. RUNGE: Oh, okay.

Presently, we have a database of 125,000 sites. We expect it to be 500,000 by the end of the year. We have all the tools so you can profile the people who are going to access this database to assure that they match and you can then eliminate or include as much content as you want. You can override it. And those are the access controls that know the various systems that we apply to. This screen is

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

an internal control screen. It's all password protected. 1 2 The software itself is protected against tampering and There is override capability so you can modify 3 removal. 4 what you see. And that is kind of a summary of it. 5 Last week we got word that the Magellan people had б given this site a four star rating, which is the highest rating they will give a site that's out there. 7 8 MR. PEELER: Thank you very much. 9 And our last presenter will be Wendy Simpson of SafeSurf. 10 MS. SIMPSON: Good afternoon. I'm Wendy Simpson, 11 the President and Co-Founder of SafeSurf. 12 13 Thanks to the Federal Trade Commission for having 14 us all here today. We think it's very necessary for you to 15 know who we are, for us to know what you want, because the 16 technology is out there to create these standards that industry, all industry needs and wants. 17 18 SafeSurf started about a year and a half ago. My 19 partner, Ray Solar, and I were the first online organization 20 to protect children and the rights of free speech on the 21 Internet. We also undertook two major tasks, and one being 22 to educate patents, walk them through Internet application's 23 software, walk them through the process of protecting their 24 children online.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 We also designed the Internet SafeSurf Rating 2 System, and we will just take you through that really quickly. These are the products that support the Internet 3 SafeSurf Rating System, and we also have the pleasure of 4 5 serving on the technical committee for the PICS standards. б The SafeSurf Rating System is completely PICS compliant, and we will have a lot of technical input on the standard 7 itself. 8

9 It allows the user of the Web page or the content 10 publisher to go to the SafeSurf site. We start with the 11 recommended age range, and then we also have quite a few 12 adult things that can be identified. We have an extensive 13 system for the parent, it all comes down to parent's choice, 14 and this is a global system.

We have to always keep in mind, all of us, in developing this technology and all the industry seeking standards that this is a global medium, and we have to make sure that it's adaptable to parents all over the world.

19 Ray and I took the liberty of creating a new 20 category just for this presentation to give you an idea of 21 what we can implement. We have seen sites like this. This 22 is one we just created. The same type of information that 23 is concerning the industry and the parents out there.

24 So basically -- so this is our new category. The 25 SafeSurf system is completely expandable to handle Internet

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

information, all information. It's expandable up to three trillion classification categories, and we have just added an advertising category as you can see.

And as a parent, okay, it's fine if you give out a first name, or it's fine for whatever purpose, age. Other information that you as a parent want to block out. The technology is there to give the parent the control of what they want to block out and what they do not want to block out.

10 The SafeSurf system works in that the publisher voluntarily rates their site. That's how it's entered into 11 12 the Web document, and then the software and the companies 13 that we showed on the screen before, they support that 14 standard, and it's a voluntary standard. I think it's going 15 to be necessary at this point for, as Gordon said before, to educate the parents, educate all of you who are not familiar 16 with the technology, and definitely work together. 17

I think as people said yesterday and we are hearing today, we can develop it. The possibilities are endless. If you need something, we can definitely develop it.

Are there any questions?
COMMISSIONER VARNEY: On your current rating
system, it is self-rating, is there any rating for privacy?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Does it comprise part of the rating or not, or could you do it, would you do it?

MS. SIMPSON: Oh, definitely. This category that we just added to the system, we can add any category or specification that the user industry might come to an agreement on. It can be implemented into the rating system.

7 And also I just want to say that even though this 8 is a self-rating system, obviously the ratings are verified 9 by a human factor to make sure that they are exactly what 10 they say they are.

MR. PEELER: Thank you very much.

Now, I wanted to ask Brian Ek to just comment very
briefly on the relationship of this technology to the PIC
System.

15

11

MR. EK: Thank you.

I would like to begin by saying that what we have here is kind of a PICS alumni meeting. You just saw several presentations: Net Nanny, New View, SafeSurf, Microsystems. They have all been involved right from the start with the PICS development effort. And I think it just goes to show just how quickly the industry is working and how much we can work together.

One of the questions at the end that I would like to ask this group is, there was a question posed yesterday about the development of identifying categories that could

be added for privacy and for marketing practices. In order to do that it would require not only the labels to be created, but it would also need the access control systems to implement those. And you are looking at the group that would play a large role in actually implementing the reading piece of this.

7 And I would be curious to hear their thoughts 8 about their willingness to work with the industry and the 9 marketers to do that. I think I know the answer, but I 10 think it's worth mentioning.

Actually, PICS and what you have just seen are two different approaches that give parents choice, but they are actually getting at the same thing. And, in fact, the companies that you see represented here are in many cases offering both solutions.

What the companies showed you as far as being able to prevent children from inputting certain information, essentially what that is saying is one approach, and it's saying. "It's okay for my kids to go out to these sites. I just don't want under any circumstances for them to be able to share that particular information."

Where the PICS approach that was discussed yesterday is a bit different. It basically says, "if the Web site operator's privacy practices are to collect this data, I do or do not want the user to actually have access

to that site." The approach you see today is essentially giving access to the site, to an individual or a monitor, but preventing the sharing of certain data, whereas the PICS approach mentioned yesterday, which will also be offered, is an option of simply not allowing access to the site unless certain practices are accepted and complied with.

7

MR. PEELER: Thank you.

8 The focus of the next panel will be to continue 9 the discussion about what the appropriate responses to 10 privacy concerns are. And I think that the demonstrations 11 helped put in context possible approaches to that. But I 12 would like to start the panel off by having first Pat Faley 13 talk about DMA's policy paper, and then the Center for Media 14 Education has recently distributed a proposal of their own.

15

MS. FALEY: Okay. Thanks, Lee.

DMA has made a commitment toward -- have made a resolution to this issue, and we have taken three steps. The first of which is the support for technology, which is why I came out so strong earlier in terms of putting parental control and choice foremost.

21 What DMA has done is that we have hyper-linked the 22 DMA Web site to all of the parental control technologies 23 that we are aware of as of yesterday, and I see we have one 24 more to add today. So if people want to access the DMA Web 25 site, D-DMA.Word, you can hyper-link to these child

protective technologies, and in many instances get a free
 demo, so parents can actually demo these sites.

3 Secondly, we did work with the ISA, Interactive Services Association, to develop principles, and I am going 4 5 to go very briefly over the seven principles that we agreed б We believe that, in making decisions whether to collect on. data from a child or to communicate with the child, 7 marketers operating online should, first of all, take into 8 9 account the age, knowledge, maturity of their intended audience; secondly, be sensitive to the parents' concerns 10 about the collection of data, and that includes the support 11 for the ability of parents to limit the collection of data 12 13 for marketing purposes. Do we do this through notice and opt out or through technology? That's not in writing, but 14 15 that's implied.

Also, to limit the use of data collected from children to marketing purposes only, and so that information is not used for other purposes.

Also, to effectively explain that the information is being requested for marketing purposes when that is the case. Six, to implement strict security measures; and, seven, to encourage parents to share in and monitor their children's online experience. Again, these technologies are an example of that.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

A third prong is an educational piece, and we will be developing a campaign with third parties to educate parents about these technologies, about our principles; also to educate our industry members. We are better suited than anyone to reach the entire direct marketing industry, make them aware of our guidelines and of these technologies.

7 MR. PEELER: Thank you.

8 Kathryn.

9 MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes. First of all, I want to say 10 that it was helpful -- oh, Kathryn Montgomery, Center for 11 Media Education.

12 It was helpful to see the demonstration of the various technological devices that have been developed to 13 14 address some of the issues that we have raised here. I 15 think it's very noteworthy that most of the systems were 16 developed in response to concerns about access that children might have to inappropriate content, content not designed 17 18 for them. And I want to just reiterate that we are concerned with the content that is being developed for 19 20 children. And I think that we are at a point where the design and function and use of this new online children's 21 22 media culture is being determined right now by many of the 23 important people right here in this room.

And we are hopeful that the leaders of the children's content enterprise that are moving online will be

responsible in the kinds of systems that they develop for
 children.

I believe it will take a combination of technological solutions, industry self-regulation, parental involvement and government guidelines and government regulation. For that reason the Center for Media Education and the Consumer Federation of America are formally submitting today a set of proposed guidelines. We are dealing with Prodigy for protections for children online.

I want to say that these are a draft proposal. It does not deal with a number of the other issues that we raised in our report, which have to do with deceptive advertising and marketing practices in addition to privacy concerns. But it does address the concerns -- some of the concerns, at least, that we raised about privacy.

I think what we have seen here is a sort of model or paradigm of opt out. We want to propose a paradigm for opting in, and I would like to turn to Mary Ellen Fise from Consumer Federation of America to share some of the highlights of our proposal.

MS. FISE: Basically, we have a system of guidelines that would apply to commercial marketing practices for children under age 16, and all information collectors or trackers under this guideline will have to comply with two requirements.

1 Personally identifiable information being 2 collected or traced from children for commercial marketing purposes, that would be allowed only if those practices are 3 not deceptive, they are fully and effectively disclosed, and 4 5 valid parental consent is obtained; and that aggregate б anonymous information would be allowed to be collected and tracked only when the collection tracking practices are not 7 deceptive, and, again, they would have to be fully and 8 9 effectively disclosed.

In order to put a lot more specifics on this, the disclosure, whether it's anonymous data being collected or personally identifiable, will have to include what type of information is being collected or trapped, how the information is being collected and trapped, how the information will be used, who is collecting the information and who will have access to the information.

And then in terms of parental consent, we believe that for that type of consent to be valid to deal with the question that keeps coming up, "How do you know who the child is," we believe that the child must understand that they would need to get the parental consent before proceeding, and that the parent must receive complete disclosure.

Access to the areas of the site where information is collected or trapped would then be conditioned upon

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

receipt from the parent of that knowledgable consent. And
 we believe the burden then should be on the collector or
 trapper to obtain that parental consent either through
 writing or electronic means.

5 And then, finally, we think that there needs to be 6 two additional requirements: that parents would be able to 7 correct information that was already collected from the 8 children, and then, finally, the parents must be able to 9 prevent the further use of their children's information 10 after it has been collected.

11 So that's in a very, very quick nutshell the 12 substance of our proposal to the Commission. Everybody know 13 how this technology and industry is just changing so 14 quickly, and people say, well, let's do this a year from 15 now. A year from now all of our children will have been exposed to a lot more Web sites and a lot more personal 16 17 information will already have been collected. And so we put 18 this proposal forward today to very seriously address what quidelines. These would be quidelines and not regulations 19 20 so they would set a level playing field for what information is deemed to be unfair trade practices. 21

22 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Have you got copies of this 23 available outside for everybody?

24 MS. FISE: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: I would ask that the 2 panelists and others interested, remember our record is 3 staying open, please provide us with some comments on these 4 proposals from CME and CFA. Thank you very much for taking 5 the time and effort to provide us with something to look at. 6 MR. PEELER: Okay. We have a couple of requests 7 for comments.

8 MR. WATERS: Bryan Waters from McGraw-Hill Home
9 Interactive which you will remember from earlier.

10 Everything that I am hearing here today seems to 11 be driven by what could happen with information that could 12 be collected and might be used somehow to harm our children. 13 And I would like to talk about the two types of information 14 that are collected from click stream data and explicit 15 information that you might fill out in forms and surveys and so on and so forth, and try -- I am trying to understand 16 where the boundaries are, and I don't think they have been 17 18 clearly delineated today.

For example, collecting of click stream data right now happens in almost every Web site that you go to, whether it's a children's Web site, whether it's an adult Web site. It's simply the fact that when you go to a Web hosting service asking to put up their page one of the services that we provide is the ability to tell you how active your site is, how interesting some portions of the site are, what

pages are frequented, what pages are stale, and, you know,
 where people go, what people do.

And I am not sure there is a difference between doing that and having a Toys R Us at the end of the day determine how many super sets of water guns have been sold so they know they need to stock more of those.

7 That seems to go towards improving product quality 8 and seems to be a valid reason to collect click stream data, 9 and, you know, I would like to understand that issue, and 10 understand how it's different online than in different 11 offline businesses where they do exactly the same thing in 12 an aggregate and non-personally identified manner.

But that is exactly what click stream data is used for today. It's very hard to personally identify that. There are good strong -- they are using it for market targeting and for advertising, and there is potential for abuse. I am not denying that. But the challenge was put out earlier asking, "Give me a valid reason you would want to do this." Okay, well, there is a valid reason.

Second, because the data is actually aggregate and collected in a non-personally identifiable way, I don't see that there is any violation of knowledge, notice, and no. Regarding the notice part, you are requesting that you need to tell people that you are tracking the number of super

sets of water guns sold. I also don't see any invasion of
 privacy in that particular area either.

Also, for explicit information in terms of filling 3 out forms, there is another issue, and it has to do with 4 5 what technology is doing today. Most of the technology has б been on the client side. When you buy a program, you bring it home, you put it on your computer, that's were it was at. 7 It's in your home, it's safe and it's protected. However, 8 most of those programs, whether they are children oriented 9 or adult oriented, allow you to set options, allow you to 10 11 set preferences, allows you to customize your experience 12 with that product, and that's really an important feature of 13 interactive products. It's that intimacy, that ability to 14 make it deliver what you want that's important. That's 15 important to adults and it's important to children.

On the Internet, and, you know, the technology and information highway, as it becomes more and more mainstream the significant thing that's happening is a lot of the actual code, a lot of the technology is moving to servers out of the client's - you know, out of the -- you know, out of the customers' and the users' control, and that seems to be when people start getting nervous, okay.

Are you using it as a simple program on the client side and now you've got these programs on the master servers that are reflecting all sorts of information that will help

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
you have an interactive experience. Now, that's got good 1 2 and bad. Your interactive experience means that you can now interact with anybody and everybody out there on the Net. 3 It's truly interactive. That's where it -- you know, that's 4 5 the promise, and the Holy Grail of this technology is now 6 you can participate in the same way that you participate on 7 the playground with other kids, okay, games. And research experiments in schools are using this sort of interactivity 8 9 to do joint research experiments between local schools.

To do that, the technology requires tracking and keeping track of personal identification and information to be able to know how to provide the information, okay. Again, there is potential for abuse, but the act of doing it does not mean you are abusing it, okay. And we need to define what that is.

16 So, for example, on a Web site where the Web site is not a product-based, a marketing-based Web site, the 17 ability to track a customer or user preferences by 18 explicitly asking them to select those preferences means 19 20 that you have to provide some explicitly and personally identifiable information that has to be trapped somewhere, 21 22 and chances are that it's going to be trapped in a clicking 23 or it's going to be trapped on the server side in the 24 database. That still is not an abuse of that information. 25 When you start using it for marketing and for ads, and for

market targeting and mailing lists and, you know, direct 1 2 response and all of those things, that's where the questions start coming in. But if you sell a product that allows you 3 to customize preferences, that's where -- that's where I am 4 starting to come unclear as to where the boundaries are. 5 б And these are things that I would like to help understand and also put out on the table to make sure that we consider 7 8 them when we are considering the issues.

9 MR. PEELER: Thank you. I have a queue here. I 10 have Evan, Daniel, Kathryn.

11 Evan.

12

MR. HENDRICKS: Thanks, Lee.

I think, in fact, I want to emphasize how good it is that organizations develop their own policies, and some, I think businesses fear, when they listen to privacy advocates, that we want to have the kind of protection that's going to create another OSHA, another EEOC, or there are all sorts of organizations associated with that. And that's not true.

20 What privacy advocates want is for you to 21 understand that privacy is a personal matter. It has to 22 start with the individual. Individuals have to be given a 23 legal interest in their own information so they have a say 24 in how that information is used. And this is the gaol that

we need to strive for. This is what will solve the problem
 of privacy in this country.

3 What I don't like is that I hear so many of the industry people say that voluntary policies are working and 4 that that's all that we need. We need a very comprehensive 5 approach. We need -- for instance, we have a wonderful б Freedom of Information Act, a great law, but our federal 7 agencies have lousy organizational policies to comply with 8 9 it, so they don't. And so people have to wait years to get documents they are entitled to under law so it's not a 10 11 comprehensive approach.

12 On the voluntary policy side we have had many 13 disappointments over the years because the voluntary policy 14 parade that's been going on since the late seventies -- I remember in early 1980s, for instance, a couple quick 15 16 examples. An Equitable Life Insurance executive testified 17 in favor of their voluntary privacy policy, it brought tears 18 to my yes, he was very eloquent, but a year later their own 19 organization of claims processors could not get access to 20 their own personnel records to see how they were doing, in 21 violation of this policy. Nothing was done.

IBM had one of the better policies, including "We won't judge what you do in your private life." But they fired someone for dating a salesman in another computer company.

We always see that these guidelines were endorsed by 100 American companies in the early eighties, but when Business International Magazine did a survey of those companies they found that the people that they talked with at those companies weren't aware that they had endorsed the guidelines.

7 In the nineties, I think it's gotten more serious. 8 Metro Mail was mentioned yesterday. I think this is a 9 seminal example because Metro Mail, according to the Wall 10 Street Journal, took information from voter registration 11 records in violation of some state laws, and was using it 12 for non-voter purposes.

Metro Mail is a member of the DMA. I have yet to hear DMA condemn this practice. And one of the excuses I have heard is that there is no government agency that's taken an action against Metro Mail. Well, you can't have it both ways. If you are going to have voluntary, you know, you have to do something so you know that the other members know that's not permissible use of information.

20 MR. PEELER: Evan, you need to finish up. 21 MR. HENDRICKS: I will. I have two quick examples 22 to give. One is tomorrow there is a hearing in the Rom 23 Abrahami's case. He sued U.S. News & World Report because 24 he doesn't like them selling his information, his name 25 without his consent. One of U.S. News' comment was,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

416

1 "Instead of being a victim, Rom Abrahami is a trickster who 2 carefully engineered this lawsuit."

3 And the last example I give is this woman who brought a class action suit against Metro Mail because she 4 5 found out that prisoners were processing the data. She б received an obscene letter from a Texas prisoner because they subcontracted it out, and prisoners were inputting data 7 8 on people, including convicted sex offenders. And this 9 woman received a letter from this prisoner who said he was going to come by her house, very obscene, very graphic, and 10 11 he was going to engage in a sexual act with her.

12 One of Metro Mail's responses in this lawsuit is 13 that Beverly Dent has voluntarily disclosed her personal 14 information on this consumer survey. Thereby, negating any 15 reasonable expectation of privacy.

I'm sorry. I just don't see -- in most cases there are not privacy problems, 99.5 percent of the cases. But when there are privacy problems, voluntary policies don't work, and that's why we need to move on.

20 MR. PEELER: Okay, Daniel.

21

MR. WEITZNER: Thanks.

I just want to pick up on Mr. Waters' comments, that indeed the way that people are using the Web sites, the way the people are using access logs, they are changing

daily, mostly because people don't know what they could do,
 and they are now discovering that.

3 I think because of that it is tremendously important to get a jump on this issue, so that when people 4 5 want to use an access log for marketing purposes they have б got a way to know did that person who was the subject of the log mind whether that happened, did they have a way of 7 telling you please don't remarket to me just cause I clicked 8 9 on to your site, and one of 15 sites, you know, in a five-10 minute surfing expedition?

We should be building in ways up front to address these problems without waiting for, you know, another item to put on Evan's list, and so that people can make innovative uses of this technology. But we should provide ways up front for people to express their preferences.

I just want to pick up on Bryan's comments, which I think are in some ways the most important, that the role of standards in the Internet is so central. The Internet itself is based on standards. It only works because people comply with standards.

And the Internet has changed, has been able to change in dramatic ways because there is a general acceptance of the technical and operational standards that make the net work.

1 All of the companies that are not the PICS alumni, 2 (they haven't graduated yet, we are still working, so they are not alumni, but they have all made incredibly important 3 contributions), I would say are successful in some part 4 5 because we have a standard called PICS, because SafeSurf can б put information out and Microsystems can they say we can block based on that information. That is the way that 7 standards work, number one, to give users easy to use and 8 9 operability, but they don't have to wonder am I using SafeSurf, or am I using some other standard, or am I using 10 11 Microsystems, or am I using another product, that all these 12 things work together seemlessly.

And number two, the standards work -- we need a standard for that. Number two, the standards have made possible all these people out here to compete with each other and provide better products for people, hopefully at lower prices. So I think that the standards, the role of standards here is just critical to get a jump on the kinds of issues that we see here.

20

MR. PEELER: Kathryn.

MS. MONTGOMERY: Well, I do think that the points -- Kathryn Montgomery, Center for Media Education. I do believe that the point that Bryan Waters made are good, but they precisely are emblematic of the problem we do face here. This technology and this medium is capable of

unprecedented amounts, and degree and quality of data
 collection, personal data collection.

We have never had a medium before that could collect this data, that could really follow every move that you make. And then what we are seeing on top of that, certainly in the children's sites, are in the very early stages of this new medium, incredible detailed data collection being built at the very early stages of the system and to the design of the system.

10 Now, what we are saying is that if it's aggregate, anonymous information, while we have problems with, you 11 12 know, a lot of detailed questions being asked, but if it's 13 aggregate, anonymous, at the very least parents need to know 14 how it's being used, what's being collected. You don't know that information. We want to know what it is that's being 15 collected, how it's being used. I think parents have a 16 right to know that. 17

If it's personalized, personally identifiable 18 information, and we're dealing with kids here, that's 19 20 another matter, and that's why I believe very strongly that we do need some mechanism for effective parental 21 22 involvement, and that's what we are calling for. 23 MR. PEELER: Okay. It's Doug, Paul, Pat Faley, 24 Brian, and Vicki. 25 Doug, would you identify yourself?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

420

MR. BLANKE: Doug Blanke from the Attorney
 General's Office in Minnesota.

The demonstrations were fascinating but I am still left with the question of why, regardless of the technologies available, why we would not want to operate in a system in which the default setting, if you will, the starting premise is one that is to respect the privacy of our children as proposed by CME and CFA.

9 I heard Dan Jaffe earlier say that we ought to put 10 the parents in control, and it seems to me a parental 11 consent requirement does exactly that.

12 If we started from that point, we could then look 13 to the technologies that are available as tools that parents 14 could use if they chose to do so, to automate the expression 15 of their consent, where they wanted to give it or in what 16 forms they wanted to give it. Why not start at least from 17 the premise that privacy should be respected in the first 18 instance?

19MR. PEELER: Paul. Please keep your comments20brief.

21 MR. PETRUCCELLI: Yes, Paul Petruccelli with Kraft 22 Foods, and the American Advertising Federation.

I think advertisers should -- I just want to emphasize a few points that have kind of come up on the edges at various points today.

1 Advertisers have recognized for many, many years 2 that children are a special group and have, you know, engaged a variety of protections through CARU and elsewhere 3 4 in that respect. I think it's reasonable to say that, you 5 know, the industry wants to move toward a system, a regime 6 where there is better indicia of parental control, parental supervision, at least with respect to personally 7 identifiable information. 8

9 I think the question is sort of how do you handle 10 it, and we are moving in that direction. There are some tools that are available now to parents. 11 There are some 12 tools that are on the way, PICS. The question is what gets 13 done in the interim. And I think the answer is that we all 14 kind of have to keep working on it. It's not like falling off a log. If it were, we wouldn't all be in this room 15 expressing all of these various opinions about it. 16

We have to continue to work with CARU. We have to examine the CME proposal and determine what's in there that's workable. I do think you see responsible movement by responsible companies. In fact, I think you have seen responsible movement by some responsible companies already, and I can raise my hand as one of those.

23 We did have a site where we asked for information 24 from children. We no longer ask for that information.

I think you have to wait for this process to unfold both the marriage of the technology and of people's good will to resolve these problems, but it can't happen overnight.

5 One final point worth mentioning, people have б mentioned various ways in which they think existing legal restrictions or self-regulatory guidelines are being 7 I think my answer to that is then let's enforce 8 violated. 9 them. We have CARU guidelines that apply to a variety of practices. People believe that there are sites that are 10 engaged in deceptions of children today. I'm sure that's 11 12 inconsistent with CARU guidelines, and I am sure that all 13 the advertising groups would say let's enforce this 14 quideline.

MR. PEELER: We have a number of cards up, but we really only have time for the first four on the list, which is Pat Faley, Brian Ek, Vicki, and Dan Jaffe, and then we really need to go to get audience comments. And I apologize to the rest of the panel.

20 But, Pat, keep those comments brief.

MS. FALEY: Sure. I am responding to Evan's comments, and I was to assure everyone that DMA's selfregulatory program is quite effective. The DMA -- I do want to respond specifically to the Metro Mail incident.

1 The DMA efforts review process has historically 2 been kept confidential in order to get cooperation from our 3 industry members, bringing them back into compliance with 4 our guidelines. Because of the high profile nature of this 5 case, what I am about to discuss is with Metro Mail's 6 permission.

7 Simultaneously with the complaint that was made public, there was one filed with the Direct Marketing 8 Association on the issue of abusing marketing information 9 for reference services. In this instance, look up purposes. 10 DMA's process was thorough. And in addition to DMA's 11 12 regular elaborate procedure, which involves multiple written 13 communications, there was an outside visit by DMA staff, on-14 site visit to Metro Mail, to observe their procedures, and 15 subsequently a representative of Metro Mail came to New York 16 and appeared before the ethics operating committee.

During that process additional causes for concern came to the attention of the committee, including that KCBS was able to obtain a history of households in Los Angeles that had children, and misrepresented the use of information gained from surveys.

As a result of the self-regulatory process, it was determined that Metro Mail was not misusing marketing or survey data, but that although it had policies in place, in the KCBS situation it had failed to review and approve a

mailing piece before releasing the name, which is in
 violation of DMA guidelines.

In discussions with DMA, Metro Mail has assured 3 DMA that such a failure would not occur in the future and 4 5 has taken several corrective actions in writing to us. They б have created -- they have taken disciplinary action against the employees involved. They have taken expensive new steps 7 to verify the authenticity of new customers. 8 They have 9 instituted a training program for their employees. They have created a secret shopper program to test their 10 procedures. To me, this is the way that an effective self-11 regulation works that has been confidential all these years, 12 13 and now this is a concrete example of how it does work.

14And that's all I wanted to say. Thank you.15MR. PEELER: Thank you. Brian?

MR. EK: I just wanted to take a minute and step above the issue of whether we should have one form of guidelines over another, government/no government. I think what we saw today is really historic from a number of perspectives.

21 What we saw was a demonstration of technology that 22 is much more powerful than other solutions that we could 23 think of. Government regulations, no matter what they are, 24 we're still going to have bad actors. National laws don't

1 touch the international sector, and this is a global medium
2 we are dealing with.

The technology solutions presented today gives consumers real control, control over your life, control over your information. In particular with children, it provides you with tools, tools that you don't have when they walk out your front door into the real world.

And I think the best part is that these solutions, these technology solutions, means that the consumer has control and the consumer is reliant on no government, no company. The consumer is reliant on on one except themselves, and they have the control, which I think is extremely powerful.

14 MR. PEELER: Thank you, Brian.

15 Vicki, and then Dan.

16 MS. RAFEL: Thank you. Vicki Rafel, National PTA.

And I do want to thank you for the opportunity to be here today and be part of this discussion on behalf of National PTA. We are the oldest and the largest child advocacy organization, and it is very easy in this kind of discussion to get away from children and get into the technology piece of it.

But I keep having to say we have got to talk about what this technology means for children and what it means for parents. It's going to take not only parental control,

1 parental consent, parental participation, but also some 2 government support and some industry self-regulation in 3 order to protect those children. It can't be done by just expecting parents to sign off on a line for their children 4 5 to be surveyed for commercial data. It has to be a mix, and б we all have to come together in some additional way, like this that's going on here today, to talk about how we are 7 8 going to protect those children, not only from commercial 9 exploitation but potentially criminal and other kinds of 10 exploitation.

11 Cyberspace is a wonderful opportunity for 12 children, but the parents have to worry about how much time 13 they have to spend protecting their children from what might 14 happen to them out there on the Web. That undermines a lot 15 of the good that could come from it.

16 Thank you.

17 MR. PEELER: Thank you.

18 Dan.

MR. JAFFE: I'm Dan Jaffe with the Association of
National Advertisers.

I just want to make a couple of -- try and dot a couple of "i's" from earlier statements. This discussion about coming back in one year, it should be made clear that all the business community that's here today have come up with policy statements that they feel apply across the

board, and the issue is whether we need to refine it in any
 way to deal with the particular special problems of
 children.

4 Also, I am noticing a very large convergence 5 across this group, although it may not sound that way, and б that everybody believes that there needs to be parental control. And the only question is how best to do it and how 7 8 best you demonstrate and set up a system that will work so 9 that people will actually know that they have gotten the 10 verification, or know that the parents are accepting it, and whether the technological solution is the way to go. I 11 12 think it clearly shows that you have very powerful tools already there. Those are likely to increase. 13

But that's where the debate should be, is how best to do this. It's not whether there needs to be parental control, or whether kids should be allowed to run free in this environment. That's not the issue. Business believes kids need to be protected, and that the parents have to have the power to protect their kids.

20 COMMISSIONER VARNEY: Dan, just me interject one 21 second. I think yesterday, at the end of the day, I said 22 that I was going to recommend to my colleagues that we 23 reconvene in six months, not a year. So if the technology 24 is moving quickly and business is clearly ready to move, I 25 would say six months.

1 MR. JAFFE: We intend to try and meet the 2 challenge and obviously our interest is to have answers to you as quickly as we possibly can, and we will. 3 So we appreciate that challenge and we will take it. 4 5 MR. PEELER: Thank you. б Now, we have a brief period, if there is anyone on the audience that wanted to make a comment for the record, 7 8 if you could just come up to the microphone. I see two hands. 9 10 And if you could keep them brief. 11 MS. CLARKE: One thing I would make sure to get on record is that in this --12 13 MR. PEELER: Identify yourself for the record. 14 MS. CLARKE: Oh, I'm sorry. Jori Clarke of 15 SpectraCom, developer of KidsCom. 16 It's important to involve and educate Web site developers about what needs to be done to resolve privacy 17 issues and security issues, because I think you will find 18 that in this industry the developers that are in it often 19 tend to be in their twenties and have not run into these 20 issues in other areas. 21 22 So as I look around the room and see very few of 23 our peers here, it's important to make sure that we are 24 included because I think a lot of the things that you will 25 find that have been done have not been done maliciously, but

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

429

have been done out of ignorance. And as we get educated, we
 will make sure that the work that we are doing includes
 privacy concerns.

It is also important as a developer of a kids site 4 5 to realize that the medium is better understood by the next б generation than those of us who are boomers or busters or 7 anything like that. And it's important, we find, to find ways to reach parents and teachers that help close that gap 8 of understanding and bring families together in front of the 9 computer instead of replacing the TV baby sitter with the 10 Internet baby sitter. And we have already run into some of 11 12 those problems as we try to get parental permission. 13 Because we don't know who is behind the keyboard, it is 14 often difficult to understand if it's just the kid who has signed on as a parent thing, yes, let my Johnnie do whatever 15 he wants, versus if it's the parents themselves. And we 16 17 have been trying to explore ways of finding out to reach out to those parents and teachers (because kids are learning 18 this in the classroom) to make sure they understand this 19 20 medium.

Also, the last thing that I would like to do is suggest that in this realm of policy that KidsCom -- as an important site for kids is -- as it has been from the very beginning -- we have always had feedback on issues of

concern and recommendations. We would like to courage
 policymakers to use that.

And if anyone is interested, we also will be placing on the KidsCom our commentary, showing the changes that we have made as we become aware of this issue.

MR. PEELER: Thank you.

б

MS. DEFALCO: I am Julie DeFalco from theCompetitive Enterprise Institute.

9 I think that the argument that I have been hearing for the last two sessions basically come down to how you 10 view marketing and advertising. I think that a lot of 11 people have expressed a faint distaste for -- or at least in 12 13 some cases more than faint -- distaste for advertising and, 14 I think, a defensiveness about advertising. The purpose of 15 advertising is to give out information on products, and I think the bulk of advertising literature has shown that 16 children develop a more sophisticated view of advertising 17 than people are giving them credit for. Most children by 18 19 the age of 7 understand the purposes and techniques in 20 advertising, and they grow more skeptical -- teenagers, in 21 fact, are more skeptical than adults about advertising.

One of the other commentors earlier was saying the FTC should setup a Web page to, you know, have warnings and everything. I think -- I actually don't understand why children should trust the government anymore than they

1 should trust business. I mean, I think that's pretty
2 manipulative. I think that children may not have the
3 sophistication to understand the citizens' relationship to
4 the government in the way that they understand the citizens'
5 relationship to advertising.

It was also said because because it's a new medium it's a great time to regulate. I think that's exactly why they shouldn't start regulating it, because as someone said, we don't know how things will be in six months.

10 So I would just like to see a little more 11 skepticism towards regulation from the government, the same 12 kind of skepticism that's been shown towards voluntary 13 regulation.

14

Thank you.

MR. AWERDICK: Hi, I'm John Awerdick. I am a lawyer with Stryker, Tams & Dill in Newark, New Jersey. I represent a number of direct marketing companies. I wrote a chapter for the Computer Law Association online privacy for a book they recently published. I have written a number of places on the issues.

I want to suggest first that the FTC on its home page put links to all the various sites that have been discussed here so that we can go take a look at them, both the ones that were shown earlier, some of the children sites that have been viewed as problematic. I think that would be

helpful to everyone who has attended to be able to look
 around them and see them.

In addition to all my other qualifications, I am 3 the father of a 16-year-old. Other people who have talked 4 5 have little kids. I have a kid who grew up in a how who 6 does not remember not having a computer, and who has been 7 online for eight or 10 years. She sees very real privacy problems online, almost none of which were discussed today. 8 9 There are people grabbing her name out of a chat room, 40year-olds grabbing her name out of a chat room to send her 10 She sees no difference between the chain letter 11 mail. 12 that's send by a bunch of other kids and a piece of 13 commercial mail, both of things that she didn't really want 14 to get in her mailbox. She finds them equally offensive. She is very concerned that her father can figure out a way 15 to get in and take a look at her mail, and she wants to set 16 up passwords that are father-proof, and that's a major 17 18 privacy issue.

19 I think dealing with some of the issues talked 20 about today, I am very taken with Anne Branstrom's point of 21 view that privacy is a lot more complicated than just being 22 the simple word "privacy." There is a difference between 23 secrecy and confidentiality. I think a lot of things the 24 psychologist was talking about this morning are not really 25 privacy issues. The kid's name is not that private. The

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

433

kid's age is not that private. You see them walking down 1 2 the street you know what their age is.

3 The issue really is, is that child capable of 4 making decisions to give information away to strangers. 5 That's a very traditional issue, and it's not really a б privacy issue. I think we ought to think about some other words to use for privacy in this kind of context. Maybe one 7 8 of them is responsibility, and it struck me as I was 9 thinking, gee, what's the right word, but maybe the word is unfairness. And I realized that there are people who don't 10 want to deal with that word. And if the FTC is not at this 11 12 point talking about any regulations, that maybe that's a 13 body of law we ought to be thinking about and talking about 14 here instead of something that's as murky as privacy. Thanks.

15

16 MR. PEELER: Last comment.

MR. COE: I am going to be very, very short. 17 18 I think it's five sentences.

19 My name is George Coe, I am from the South Sebold 20 Communications, and I just wanted to leave you with three 21 pleases and a statement.

22 Please, as you begin to get the point where you 23 are making decisions, ask the kids and bring them into 24 whatever issues you are dealing with.

Please, are you are getting to the point where you
 are going to be making decisions, ask parents and get them
 into the decision-making process.

4 Please, think about how to educate kids and how to 5 educate parents, and remember that guidelines won't work б unless you put some kind of a public information aspect into whatever it is that you are doing, and that this aspect be 7 8 much broader than just computers. You are not going to get to a lot of people you want to get to if you just think 9 10 about putting something on the Web. You have got use all the other more traditional means too. 11

12 Thank you.

13 MR. PEELER: Thank you. Now I would like to turn14 it over to Chairman Pitofsky.

15 CHAIRMAN PITOFSKY: The hour is late and I will be 16 brief. We started off by thanking the staff for putting 17 together this wonderful set of hearings. I would like to 18 thank with equal enthusiasm the participants in these 19 programs, and the audience, for playing a part in such an 20 informed and thoughtful way.

This agency has a history of bringing people together, exchanging views, gathering facts, framing issues. And I can't think of a more appropriate area to do that in than where the commercial world meets the future. And that's the way I felt about these two days of hearings.

I am extremely encouraged by the efforts that have already taken place by people who are drafting guidelines, and I share Dan Jaffe's thought that there is some convergence here. At least there is a convergence in the sense that all participants think this is an important problem that needs to be addressed.

7 There has been some talk, especially in the last 8 hour or so, about whether voluntary guidelines ever work. I 9 don't think it's appropriate to go into a project like this 10 with the assumption that voluntary guidelines will not work.

11 This agency has been burned in the past by groups 12 who suggested voluntary guidelines and then they weren't 13 worth the paper they were written on. On the other hand, 14 there are other voluntary groups that developed self-15 regulatory programs that worked very well. And were 16 supplemented, in some instances, by agency enforcement 17 behind those guidelines.

18 It's one thing to be skeptical, and to be 19 demanding and rigorous about what the guidelines are. But I 20 just don't see any -- I don't think it's appropriate to 21 start off with the assumption that they won't work and that 22 we have to have government regulation.

Believe it or not, there are some people who think government regulation doesn't work all that well either. And in an era in which all of government must do more with

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

436

less, we cannot afford to ignore the possibility that cooperation and collaboration will lead to the appropriate result.

4 We will prepare a report on these sessions. The 5 report will, I hope, reflect all, or most, of the views that б were expressed here. I think that several people have 7 suggested focus groups on some of these subjects, and I gather there is already some interest on the part of 8 9 independent groups of doing exactly that sort of thing. 10 There is too much information, too many issues have been explored here to try to digest them at this point, 11 12 but we will have a report. And with that report, we will 13 see where we go from there. 14 I want to thank all of you for your excellent 15 participation in these sessions. 16 (Whereupon, at 1:12 p.m., the workshop was 17 adjourned.) 18 11 19 11 20 11 21 11 22 11 23 11 24 11 25 11

- 1 //
- 2 //
- 3 //
- 4 //

## CERTIFICATE

DOCKET/CASE NUMBER: P954807

CASE TITLE: PUBLIC WORKSHOP ON CONSUMER PRIVACY ON THE GLOBAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE

HEARING DATE: June 5, 1996

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the transcript contained herein is a full and accurate transcript of the notes taken by me at the hearing on the above cause before the FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DATED: June 5, 1996

SIGNATURE OF REPORTER

Peter Knight Shonerd (NAME OF REPORTER - TYPED)