

(40)

[REDACTED]

November 8, 1983

[REDACTED]

Pre-Merger Notification Office
Bureau of Competition
Federal Trade Commission
Room 301
Washington, D.C. 20580

Attention: Ms. Sandra Vidas

Re: Confirmation of Exemption From
Hart-Scott-Rodino Reporting
Requirements

Dear Ms. Vidas:

This will confirm our telephone conversation of November 7, 1983 in which you advised that the transaction between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] described in my letter to you of October 28, 1983, is exempt from the reporting requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (15 U.S.C. § 18a). This transaction is exempt since it involves an acquisition by [REDACTED] of an undivided interest in certain nonproducing oil and gas leases and related interests ("realty") in the ordinary course of business and in a certain joint venture interest which, taken together, do not represent "all or substantially all" of [REDACTED] interest in the joint venture described in my October 28, 1983 letter. In addition, possible future transfers of oil and gas leases or related interests from [REDACTED] and then from [REDACTED]

RECEIVED
NOTIFICATION
NOV 10 1983

Ms. Sandra Vidas
November 8, 1983
Page 2

[REDACTED], are not currently reportable since they are speculative at this time.

Please contact me if this letter is not an accurate summary of our conversation. Also, I would appreciate it if you could have the enclosed photocopy of this letter date-stamped by your office and returned to me in the enclosed stamped, self-addressed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely yours,

[REDACTED]

Enclosures

[REDACTED]

PS [redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
November 10, 1983

Premarmer Notification Office
Bureau of Competition, Room 301
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D. C. 20580

Director of Operations
Antitrust Division, Room 3218
Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

NOV 13 6 40 PM '83
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
BUREAU OF COMPETITION

Dear Officials:

As requested by Patrick Sharp of the Federal Trade Commission, I am enclosing the requisite number of copies of an addition to the affidavit filed on behalf of the [redacted] on November 4, 1983 in connection with its filing of a Hart-Scott-Rodino Notification and Report Form for acquisition of [redacted]

The declaration is in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, which permits unsworn declarations under penalty of perjury in lieu of a traditional affidavit.

Sincerely,

[redacted signature]

Enclosures

NOV 13 1983
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
BUREAU OF COMPETITION

FROM THE OFFICE OF
THE GENERAL MANAGER

I am the Acting General Manager of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and I attest that the [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] has a good faith intention to complete
the transaction as reported in the Notification and
Report Form filed by [REDACTED]
Corporation on November 4, 1983.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
of the United States of America that the foregoing
is true and correct.

[REDACTED]

Executed on November 9, 1983

Federal Practice and Procedure
Admissibility of copies of regular business records, see Wright & Miller: Civil 231
Means of authentication of official records, see Wright & Miller: Civil 1347.

Traffic and Contraband: Taking of
Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial
Matters; Observance of and Allow Or.
1, 1912, by United States and Citizens
of United States Subject to Jurisdiction of
United States. For full of Contraband,
see previous act as a note under
section 171 of this title.

Admissibility of
Authenticated Foreign Official Documents
Miller: Civil 1811-
Foreign records in conformity with
pertaining to foreign records,
Means of authentication of official
Miller: Civil 1807.
Methods of proof in criminal cases, see
Miller: Criminal 1411.
Books of records, see Wright & Miller:
Civil 3408.

Tricuspites ♂
Stellaria corollifera ♂

2. Generally Syrian census record summary which was prepared by Syrian census official and signed by census records custodian whose signature was duly verified by the Beirut American consul had been

§ 1748. Demand on postmaster
Federal Practice and Procedure
Admissibility of documents relating to
Postal Service, see Wright & Miller, Cy-
cles 311.
Means of authentication of official re-
cords, see Wright & Miller, Cyclopedia 317.

§ 1764. Copies of Patent Office and
Changes of Name. Patent Office and
Communications of Patents reorganized
Patent and Trademark Office and Com-
missioner of Patents and Trademarks,
respectively, by section 3 of Pub. L. 95-
Jan. 2, 1978, at 214, note, and codified
in note under section 1 of Title 35, Pat-
ts.

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

Wherever, under any law of the United States or under any rule, regulation, order, or requirement made pursuant to law, any matter is re-

Methods of proof in criminal cases, see
evid. - Criminal 24 § 44.
- Separate consideration of particular
kinds of records, see Rights of Man-
n § 2002.

Property examined, evidenced, and in-
vestigated U. S. v. Chalovich, C.A. VI, No.
17-24-567
Certified true

Where authentication of Mexican passport's border document was waived at stipulation, the document was properly admitted into evidence in proceedings remanding U. A. v. Wing. CA 9, 11450 P.2d 804, certiorari denied 117 L.2d 487, 408 U.S. 804, 41 L.Ed.2d 462.

It is certified that above the
 precedent and his first wife were married
 was based on substantive law of
 and which was certified by affirma-
 civil state was admissible and valid
 consumption of valid marriage. Carver
 Texas, Tex.Civ.App. 10th, 4th & 5th Div.
 Boardbury certifies

[illegible]

Methods of proof in criminal cases, see
Right: Criminal 2d § 41.
Appellate consideration of
records, see Wright & Miller
§ 202.

General Practice and Promotes
the Admissibility of Patent and Trademark
Evidence. See Wright & Miller
(1914) 241.

Records of authentication of official correspondence, see Wright & Miller: Civil § 347.
 Records of proof in criminal cases, see Wright: Criminal § 441.
 Records of particular consideration of records, see Wright & Miller: Criminal § 2405.

required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, substantiated, or proved by sworn declaration, verification, certificate, statement, oath, or affidavit, in writing of the person making the same (other than a deposition, or an oath of office, or an oath required to be taken before a specified official other than a notary public), such matter may, with like force and effect, be supported, evidenced, substantiated, or proved by the unsworn declaration, certificate, verification, or statement, in writing of such person which is subscribed by him, as true under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form:

(3) If executed without the United States: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date).

(2) If executed within the United States, its territories, possessions, or commonwealths: "I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on (date).
(Signature)".

Legislative History. For legislative history and purposes of Pub. L. 94-550, see 117 Cong. Rec. and Admin. Rec. 117 Cong. Rec. and Admin. Rec.

Federal Practice as a Practitioner
Raised Corpus Practitioner and Wright
Miller & Cooper Jurisdiction 406 at
eq.

Affidavits of poverty &
Folio statement 1

1. Verifications
all proceedings by prison inmates required by law to be verified, should be authorized, was in contravention of this statute providing that, in all federal government proceedings, written declarations made under "penalty of perjury" were

Dickinson v. Walenski, C.A. 9, 1988.
828 F.2d 1184.