## Verne, B. Michael

From:

Sent:

Monday, February 07, 2005 4:17 PM

To: <u>Verne, B. Michael</u>

Cc:
Subject: acquiring voting securities of a sub after clearance with respect to particle.



Thanks for speaking with us this morning.

Again, our facts are as follows:

- 1. Our client has already filed an HSR at the \$500 million threshold for buying the voting securities of the acquired person of which P is the ultimate parent entity. The waiting period has expired so that our client is free to buy up to one share less than 25% of P. Although item 3(c) of our clients HSR form describes a purchase of voting securities of the issuer P, in our view the form covers the entire acquired person of which P is the ultimate parent entity and in particular covers S, a 55% sub of P (the 45% of S not held by P trades publicly). After all, S's revenues were included in the consolidated revenues the acquired person reported in its filing.
- 2. Our client is now interested in possibly acuiring more than \$50 million of voting securities of S. Example 2 of the attached interpretation that we found on the FTC website seems to be on point and would seem to allow our client to buy voting securities of S since we are clear to buy up to one share less than 25% of the acuired person of which S is a part.

We think that the way to run the math in applying the 25% threshold is to say we need to file before crossing the point where the combined percentage ownership interests in P and S hits 25% (or 50% if the value of the securities is less than \$1 billion). For example, if our client owns 4.9% of P it would be able to buy another 20% of S (or 45% if the value is less than \$1 billion) before triggering another filing. This makes sense to us because S and P are, for HSR purposes, synonomous given that P controls S (and for that reason a 4.9% interest in P is the same for HSR purposes as a 4.9% interest in S so that our client would only have room for another 20% or 45% of S).

Hope this email helps as you think this through.

Regards.

This e-mail is sent by a law firm and contains information that may be privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and notify us immediately.

NOTWITHSTANDING THE POSITION
TAKEN IN 2001, The PHO WILL
TREAT SUBSIDIANU NI DIFFERENT
ISSUES FROM THE PARENT FOR

PUNPOSES OF BOZ. 21. ANY
A COULTING OF A SUBSIDIANCES
VIS IS POTENTIALLY ASPORTABLE
IF THE VALUE EXCLEDS \$50 AM
THE OBVIOUS EXCEPTION IS IF
THE FILLING FOR THE IMPORT WAS
FOR A CONTROLLING INTEREST.

1. OVUEL & M. BRUND CONCUR.

1