802.51





May 23, 2003

Via Facsimile Transmission

Mr. Michael Verne,
Premerger Notification Office,
Room H-314,
Federal Trade Commission,
Bureau of Competition,
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20580.

PREMERGER NOTIFICATION

OFFICE

MAY 23 D 3. 1-

Re: Request for Informal Interpretation Regarding Applicability of the Notification and Waiting Period Requirements of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976

## Dear Mr. Verne:

I write to follow up on our telephone conversation of yesterday regarding my request for an informal interpretation of the notification and waiting period requirements (the "Requirements") of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (the "Act") as applied to the proposed acquisition (the "Acquisition") described in this letter. Following our telephone conversation, I obtained additional information regarding the parties and the Acquisition, which I believe confirms my expectation that the acquisition is exempt from the Requirements under Rule 802.51(b) because the issuer lacks the requisite quantity of United States assets and "sales in or into the United States." For your convenience, I will fax the letter to you then telephone you to discuss the relevant considerations.

As I indicated during our telephone conversation yesterday, the Acquisition involves the purchase by R of voting securities of Foldings Limited is a correction engaged in the business of reinsurance and is organized

Mr. Michael Verne

under the laws of, and headquartered in, France. This is a corporation engaged in the business of reinsurance, and is organized under the laws of, and headquartered in, the Republic of Ireland.

, which holds Currently, there are three shareholders of 46.68% of the outstanding voting securities of , which holds in the aggregate (through four wholly-owned subsidiaries) 46.64% of outstanding voting securities: and which holds the remaining 6.66%. The Acquisition involves the pro-rata allocation of holdings of tock to R and H would hold 50.02% of the outstanding voting securities result of that acquisition would hold the remaining shares. There are five directors of has the right to designate two of those directors, and has the right to designate one ircctor. The remaining two directors are independent directors, who are selected through voting by the lareholders. As a result of the Acquisition, ffectively would have the right to appoint four of the five

Since the formation of the state of the state of the formation of the state of the formation of the state of the formation of the state of the state

formation, all of that company's commercial endeavors have consisted of the entry into Quota Share Arrangements between cither directly or through a wholly-owned subsidiary) and or s subsidiary in the United States. Pursuant to the Quota Share Arrangements, sumes a portion of s reinsurance obligations in exchange for premium payments to Thus, to-date, all of the "sales" have resulted from reinsurance premiums in connection with the Quota Share Arrangements. has the right to provide reinsurance to third parties (on a joint basis with amounts of up to 10% annual premium revenues; but, to-date. has not engaged in such third-party business.)

jurisdiction in the United States. We understand that conducts all of its business through offices in and does not maintain an office or conduct or solicit business in the United States. We further understand that, in the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002, the arned approximately £111.6 million from reinsurance premiums from We understand that all of the communications regarding the reinsurance arrangements from which those premium revenues were carned occurred either by telephone or in writing or through in-person communications that took place outside the

United States. The Quota Share Arrangements were signed in Dublin by and in the United States by

In view of these considerations, it appears that the neither holds assets located in the United States nor made sales in or into the United States in it most recent fiscal year. Accordingly, we have concluded that, even though would acquire control (for purposes of the Act) of the Acquisition, the Acquisition is exempt from the Requirements pursuant to Rule 802.51(b). We would appreciate your confirming whether the Premerger Notification Office concurs in that conclusion.

In view of the nature of this communication, the espectfully requests that the Premerger Notification Office accord confidential treatment to this letter and hold exempt from any request for public disclosure pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act any portion of this communication that could lead to identification of the Acquisition, or the parties involved in, or terms of, the Acquisition.

I look forward to the opportunity to discuss with you the contents of this letter. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

B. Michal Uses

51 L7 103