head office location in Albuquerque, New Mexico.


Robert deV. Frierson,
Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00–7270 Filed 3–23–00; 8:45 am]
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FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
Sunshine Act Meeting

Agency Holding the Meeting: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Time and Date: 10:00 am, Wednesday, March 29, 2000.

Place: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

Status: Closed.

Matters to be Considered:
1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.
2. Any matters carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

Contact Person for More Information:
Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board;
202–452–3204.

Supplementary Information: You may call 202–452–3206 beginning at approximately 5 pm two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board’s Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.


Robert deV. Frierson,
Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 00–7428 Filed 3–22–00; 10:59 am]
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FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION
Public Workshop: Slotting Allowances and Other Grocery Marketing Practices: When Should They Raise Antitrust Concerns?

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice announcing workshop.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission has set May 31 and June 1 as the dates for its public workshop examining the appropriate antitrust assessment of slotting allowances, category management, and other grocery marketing practices.

DATES: The workshop will be held on May 31 and June 1 in the Commission Meeting Room (Room 432), 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580.

FOR PANEL PARTICIPATION OR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain information about possible panel participation or for questions about the workshop, please contact: David Balto, Bureau of Competition, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, telephone 202–326–2881, e-mail dbalto@ftc.gov; or William Cohen, Office of Policy Planning, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, telephone 202–326–2110, e-mail wcohen@ftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Workshop: Slotting Allowances and Other Grocery Marketing Practices: When Should They Raise Antitrust Concerns?

Overview

In recent years, debate has continued about when slotting allowances and other grocery marketing practices appropriately raise antitrust concerns and thus pose potential matters for antitrust enforcement. The Commission last held hearings in this area in November, 1995, and this past fall, both the Senate Small Business Committee and the House Judiciary Committee held hearings that addressed several issues, including antitrust issues, in connection with slotting allowances.

The term “slotting allowance” typically refers to a lump-sum, up-front payment that a food manufacturer must pay to a supermarket for access to its shelves. Very often, debates over slotting allowances have assumed that all slotting allowances, and all of the market conditions in which they are used, are the same. In fact, the term “slotting allowance” has been used to cover an extremely broad range of conduct, some of it clearly unlawful as commercial bribery, some clearly lawful, and a great deal of it in the gray area in between, the antitrust legality of which can be determined only in light of all the surrounding facts and circumstances. At the same time, the legal and economic literature on the appropriate antitrust analysis of these practices has not been as well developed as would be desirable.

The FTC plans to convene a workshop that will focus on the antitrust implications of slotting allowances and other grocery marketing practices, such as category management, in which retailers engage particular manufacturers to provide advisory or decisionmaking functions in determining how best to market certain products of a type produced by those manufacturers. The workshop is intended to facilitate a discussion among manufacturers and retailers (both small and large businesses), consumer groups, marketing experts, economists, and lawyers that will increase factual knowledge and illuminate the relevant antitrust issues with respect to these and other grocery marketing practices. The format will consist of panel presentations and discussions, which will include participation by attendees.

The goal of the workshop is to gain a better understanding of the types of slotting allowances and other grocery marketing practices that are used, the reasons for which they are used, and the criteria for assessing whether slotting allowances or other grocery marketing practices raise antitrust concerns. Interested parties are invited to participate or attend.

Specific Question To Be Addressed

The workshop will address the following questions, among others:

• What are the different types of slotting allowances, and what prompts the use of one type rather than another?
• Are slotting allowances used for both new and established products? In what proportion?
• How do slotting allowances vary from market to market?
• What is the impact of slotting allowances on new product development and innovation?
• Do slotting allowances significantly increase the capital costs of entry or doing business in particular markets? If so, how do capital markets respond?
• How do supermarkets ultimately use the fees they receive as slotting allowances?
• Under what circumstances do slotting allowances have an impact on prices to consumers and consumer demand? What is the impact?
• Are slotting allowances sometimes paid in order to obtain substantial exclusivity and, arguably, market power?
• If slotting allowances were prohibited, would that lead to material differences in the bargaining relationship between manufacturers and retailers—or would discounts to retailers simply take a different form?
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration.

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Department of Health and Human Services, is publishing the following summary of proposed collections for public comment. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency’s functions; (2) The accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) The use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Type of Information Collection Request: Reinstatement without change of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Title of Information Collection: Medicare Uniform Institutional Provider Bill and Supporting Regulations in 42 CFR 424.5.

Form No.: HCFA–1450 (OMB# 0938–0279);

Use: This standardized form is used in the Medicare/Medicaid program to apply for reimbursement for covered services by all providers that accept Medicare/Medicaid assigned claims. It reduces cost and administrative burden associated with claims since only one reimbursement coding system is used and maintained.

Frequency: On occasion;

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions, Federal Government, and State, Local or Tribal Government;

Number of Respondents 47,113;

Total Annual Responses: 149,609,549;

Total Annual Hours: 1,960,991.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement and any related forms for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access HCFA’s Web Site address at http://www.hcfa.gov/regs/prdact95.htm, or E-mail your request, including your address, phone number, OMB number, and HCFA document identifier, to Paperwork@hcfa.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (410) 786–1326. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 30 days of this notice directly to the OMB desk officer: OMB Human Resources and Housing Branch, Attention: Allison Eydt, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.


John P. Burke III,
Manager, HCFA Office of Information Services, Security and Standards Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards.

[FR Doc. 00–7285 Filed 3–23–00; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Department of Health and Human Services, has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information. Interested persons are invited to send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including any of the following subjects: (1) The necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency’s functions; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

Type of Information Collection Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title of Information Collection: Analysis of Malpractice Premium Data; Form No.: HCFA–R–143 (OMB# 0938–0575);

Use: This form is used for computing the Medicare physician fee schedule Malpractice Geographic Practice Cost Index (MGPCI) and the Medicare Economic Index (MEI). The data collected will be used to update the MGPCI and the new resource-based malpractice relative value units (MRVUs) component of the physician fee schedule. The malpractice data are critical to the accuracy of the Medicare physician fee schedule.

Frequency: Other: every 3 years; Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Gov., Business or other for-profit, and Not-for-profit institutions;

Number of Respondents: 50;

Total Annual Responses: 50;

Total Annual Hours: 150.

To obtain copies of the supporting statement for the proposed paperwork collections referenced above, access HCFA’s WEB SITE ADDRESS at http://www.hcfa.gov/regs/prdact95.htm, or E-mail your request, including your address and phone number, to Paperwork@hcfa.gov, or call the Reports Clearance Office on (410) 786–1326. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections must be mailed within 30 days of this notice directly to the OMB Desk Officer designated at the following address: OMB Human Resources and Housing Branch, Attention: Allison Eydt, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.


John P. Burke III,
HCFA Reports Clearance Officer, HCFA, Office of Information Services, Security and Standards Group, Division of HCFA Enterprise Standards.

[FR Doc. 00–7285 Filed 3–23–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–03–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4565–N–09]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Utility Allowance Adjustments

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.