

Complaint

IN THE MATTER OF

HERSON'S, INC., TRADING AS HERSON'S AND HERSON'S AUTO
& APPLIANCE CO., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE TRUTH IN LENDING ACTS

Docket C-2446. Complaint, Sept. 11, 1973—Decision, Sept. 11, 1973.

Consent order requiring a Washington, D.C., retailer and distributor of used cars, among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by failing to disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the said Act.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Alan Cohen and Bernard Rowitz.*

For the respondents: *Irving B. Yochelson, Grossberg, Yochelson, Fox & Beyda, Washington, D.C.*

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Herson's, Inc., a corporation, trading and doing business as Herson's and Herson's Auto & Appliance Co., and Gerald Herson, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter sometimes referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts and implementing regulation, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Herson's, Inc., trading and doing business as Herson's and Herson's Auto & Appliance Co., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal office and place of business located at 4100 Georgia Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Gerald Herson is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the policies, acts and practices of the corporation, including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

Complaint

83 F.T.C.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been engaged in the offering for sale and retail sale and distribution of used cars to the public.

PAR. 3. In the ordinary course and conduct of their business as aforesaid, respondents regularly extend consumer credit, as "consumer credit" is defined in Regulation Z, the implementing regulation of the Truth in Lending Act, duly promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

PAR. 4. Subsequent to July 1, 1969, respondents in the ordinary course of business as aforesaid, and in connection with their credit sales, as "credit sale" is defined in Regulation Z, have caused, and are causing, customers to execute a binding conditional sales contract. Respondents also provide these customers with a Credit Disclosure Statement.

By and through the use of the credit disclosure statement, respondents:

1. Fail in some instances to disclose the annual percentage rate with an accuracy at least to the nearest quarter of one percent, in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

2. Fail in some instances to disclose the annual percentage rate, computed in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

PAR. 5. Pursuant to Section 103(q) of the Truth in Lending Act, respondents' aforesaid failures to comply with the provisions of Regulation Z constitute violations of that Act and, pursuant to Section 108 thereof, respondents have thereby violated the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Washington, D.C. Regional Office proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and the Federal Trade Commission Act; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by

the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's rules;

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in Section 2.34(b) of its rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Herson's, Inc., trading and doing business as Herson's and Herson's Auto & Appliance Co., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Maryland with its principal office and place of business located at 4100 Georgia Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Gerald Herson is an officer of said corporation. He formulates, directs and controls the policies, acts and practices of said corporation, and his principal office and place of business is located at the above stated address.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondents Herson's, Inc., a corporation, trading and doing business as Herson's and Herson's Auto & Appliance Co., or under any name or names, its successors and assigns and its officers, and Gerald Herson, individually and as an officer of said corporation and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with any extension of consumer credit or advertisement to aid, promote or assist directly or indirectly any extension of consumer credit, as "consumer credit" and "advertisement" are defined in Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. § 226) of the Truth in Lending Act

Decision and Order

83 F.T.C.

(Pub. L. 90-321, 15 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*), do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Failing to disclose the annual percentage rate, with an accuracy of at least to the nearest quarter of one percent, in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.
2. Failing to disclose the annual percentage rate computed in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.
3. Failing in any consumer credit transaction or advertising to make all disclosures determined in accordance with Sections 226.4 and 226.5 of Regulation Z at the time and in the manner, form and amount required by Sections 226.6, 226.8 and 226.10 of Regulation Z.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of preparation, creation, or placing of advertising, and that respondents secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent, such as dissolution, assignment or sale, resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Complaint

IN THE MATTER OF

HERSON AUTO PARTS & GLASS, INC., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
TRADE COMMISSION AND THE TRUTH IN LENDING ACTS

Docket C-2447. Complaint, Sept. 11, 1973—Decision, Sept. 11, 1973.

Consent order requiring a Washington, D.C., retailer and distributor of used cars, among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by failing to disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the said Act.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Bernard Rowitz.*

For the respondents: *pro se.*

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Herson Auto Parts & Glass, Inc., a corporation, and Nathaniel Herson, individually and as an officer of said corporation, herein after sometimes referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts and implementing regulation, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Herson Auto Parts & Glass, Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the District of Columbia, with its principal office and place of business located at 72 Florida Avenue, N.E., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Nathaniel Herson is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the policies, acts and practices of the corporation including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been engaged in the offering for sale and retail sale and distribution of used cars to the public.

PAR. 3. In the ordinary course and conduct of their business as aforesaid, respondents regularly extend consumer credit, as "consumer credit" is defined in Regulation Z, the implementing regulation of the Truth in Lending Act, duly promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

PAR. 4. Subsequent to July 1, 1969, in the ordinary course of business as aforesaid, and in connection with their credit sales, as "credit sale" is defined in Regulation Z, respondents have caused and are causing customers to enter into credit sales contracts and execute binding conditional sales contracts accompanied by credit sales disclosure statements. On the contracts referred to hereinabove in this paragraph, hereinafter referred to as "the contract," respondents have provided certain limited consumer credit cost information, but have not provided these customers with any other consumer credit cost disclosures.

By and through the use of the contract, respondents:

1. Fail to disclose the annual percentage rate accurately to the nearest quarter of one percent in accordance to Section 226.5(b) of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

PAR. 5. Pursuant to Section 103(q) of the Truth in Lending Act, respondents' aforesaid failures to comply with the provisions of Regulation Z constitute violations of that Act and pursuant to Section 108 thereof, respondents have thereby violated the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Washington, D.C. Regional Office proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts as set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with such procedure prescribed in Section 2.34(b) of its rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Herson Auto Parts & Glass, Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the District of Columbia, with its office and principal place of business located at 72 Florida Avenue, N.E., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Nathaniel Herson is an officer of said corporation. He formulates, directs, and controls the policies, acts, and practices of said corporation, and his principal office and place of business is located at the above stated address.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondents Herson Auto Parts & Glass, Inc., a corporation, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Nathaniel Herson, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device in connection with any extension of consumer credit or advertisement to aid, promote or assist directly or indirectly any extension of consumer credit, as "consumer credit" and "advertisement" are defined in Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. § 226) of the Truth in Lending Act (Pub. L. 90-321, 15 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*), to forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Failing to disclose the annual percentage rate accurately to the nearest quarter of one percent in accordance with Section 226.5(b) of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

2. Failing in any consumer credit transaction or advertisement to make all disclosures determined in accordance with Sections 226.4 and 226.5 of Regulation Z at the time and in the manner, form and amount required by Sections 226.6, 226.8 and 226.10 of Regulation Z.

Decision and Order

83 F.T.C.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit, and that respondents secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

IN THE MATTER OF

MARKET MOTORS, INC., TRADING AS AUTO MARKET,
ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
TRADE COMMISSION AND THE TRUTH IN LENDING ACTS

Docket C-2448. Complaint, Sept. 11, 1973—Decision, Sept. 11, 1973.

Consent order requiring a Washington, D.C., retailer and distributor of used cars, among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by failing to disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the said Act.

Appearances

For the Commission: *Bernard Rowitz.*

For the respondents: *pro se.*

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Market Motors, Inc., a corporation, trading and doing business as Auto Market, and Abe Mason, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter sometimes referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Market Motors, Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the District of Columbia, with its principal office and place of business located at 510 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Said corporate respondent trades and does business as Auto Market.

Respondent Abe Mason is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts and practices of the corporate respondent including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been engaged in the offering for sale and retail sale and distribution of used cars to the public.

PAR. 3. In the ordinary course and conduct of their business as aforesaid, respondents regularly extend consumer credit, as "consumer credit" is defined in Regulation Z, the implementing regulation of the Truth in Lending Act, duly promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

PAR. 4. Subsequent to July 1, 1969, in the ordinary course of business as aforesaid, and in connection with their credit sales, as "credit sales" is defined in Regulation Z, respondents have caused and are causing customers to enter into credit sales contracts and execute binding conditional sales contracts, accompanied by credit sales disclosure statements. On the contracts referred to hereinabove in this paragraph, hereinafter referred to as "the contract," respondents have

Complaint

83 F.T.C.

provided certain limited consumer credit cost information, but have not provided these customers with any other consumer credit cost disclosures.

By and through the use of the contract, respondents:

1. Fail to disclose the annual percentage rate accurately to the nearest quarter of one percent in accordance with Section 226.5(b) of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

2. Fail to disclose the annual percentage rate, computed in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.

3. Fail to accurately disclose the sum of the cash price, all charges which are included in the amount financed but which are not part of the finance charge, and the finance charge, as required by Section 226.8(c)(8)(ii) of Regulation Z.

PAR. 5. Pursuant to Section 103(q) of the Truth in Lending Act, respondents' aforesaid failures to comply with the provisions of Regulation Z constitute violations of that Act and, pursuant to Section 108 thereof, respondents have thereby violated the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Washington, D.C. Regional Office proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have

violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in Section 2.34(b) of its rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Market Motors, Inc., trading and doing business as Auto Market, is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the District of Columbia, with its principal office and place of business located at 510 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Respondent Abe Mason is an officer of said corporation. He formulates, directs and controls the policies, acts and practices of said corporation, and his principal office and place of business is located at the above stated address.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondents Market Motors, Inc., a corporation, trading and doing business as Auto Market, or under any name or names, its successors and assigns, and its officers, and Abe Mason, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with any extension of consumer credit or advertisement to aid, promote or assist directly or indirectly any extension of consumer credit, as "consumer credit" and "advertisement" are defined in Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. § 226) of the Truth in Lending Act (Pub. L. 90-321, 15 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*), do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Failing to disclose the annual percentage rate accurately to the nearest quarter of one percent in accordance with Section 226.5(b) of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.
2. Failing to disclose the annual percentage rate, computed in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b)(2) of Regulation Z.
3. Failing to accurately disclose the sum of the cash price, all charges which are included in the amount financed but which are

Decision and Order

83 F.T.C.

not a part of the finance charge, and the finance charge, as required by Section 226.8(c) (8) (ii) of Regulation Z.

4. Failing, in any consumer credit transaction or advertisement, to make all disclosures determined in accordance with Sections 226.4 and 226.5 of Regulation Z at the time and in the manner, form and amount required by Sections 226.6, 226.8 and 226.10 of Regulation Z.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of preparation, creation, or placing of advertising, and that respondents secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondent named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of his present business or employment and of his affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondent's current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which he is engaged as well as a description of his duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent, such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

IN THE MATTER OF

RALPH M. SUTHERLAND TRADING AS NEW AUTO LAND

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
TRADE COMMISSION AND THE TRUTH IN LENDING ACTS

Docket C-2449. Complaint, Sept. 11, 1973—Decision, Sept. 11, 1973.

Consent order requiring a Fairfax, Virginia, retailer and distributor of used cars, among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by failing to

disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the said Act.

Appearances

For the Commission : *Michael Mpras* and *Bernard Rowitz*.

For the respondent : *pro se*.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Ralph M. Sutherland, an individual trading and doing business as New Auto Land, hereinafter sometimes referred to as respondent, has violated the provisions of said Acts, and the implementing regulation promulgated under the Truth in Lending Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Ralph M. Sutherland is an individual trading and doing business as New Auto Land with his principal office and only place of business located at 11325 Lee Highway, Fairfax, Virginia.

PAR. 2. Respondent is now, and for some time last past has been, engaged in the offering for sale and retail sale and distribution of used cars to the public.

PAR. 3. In the ordinary course and conduct of his business as aforesaid, respondent regularly extends consumer credit, as "consumer credit" is defined in Regulation Z, the implementing regulation of the Truth in Lending Act, duly promulgated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

PAR. 4. Subsequent to July 1, 1969, respondent in the ordinary course of business as aforesaid, and in connection with his credit sales, as "credit sale" is defined in Regulation Z, has caused, and is now causing, customers to execute a binding Used Car Order Contract, hereinafter referred to as the "Order Contract."

PAR. 5. By and through the use of the "Order Contract," respondent :

1. Fails to disclose the annual percentage rate, computed in accordance with Section 226.5 of Regulation Z, as required by Section 226.8(b) (2) of Regulation Z.

Complaint

83 F.T.C.

2. Fails, in some instances, to disclose the number, amount, and due dates of payments scheduled to repay the indebtedness, as required by Section 226.8(b)(3) of Regulation Z.

3. Fails to disclose the difference between the "cash price" and the "total downpayment," and to describe that amount as the "unpaid balance of cash price," as required by Section 226.8(c)(3) of Regulation Z.

4. Fails to disclose the amount of the "amount financed," as required by Section 226.8(c)(7) of Regulation Z.

5. Fails to disclose the sum of the cash price, all charges which are included in the amount financed but which are not part of the finance charge, and the finance charge, and to describe that sum as the "deferred payment price," as required by Section 226.8(c)(8)(ii) of Regulation Z.

PAR. 6. Pursuant to Section 103(q) of the Truth in Lending Act, respondent's aforesaid failures to comply with the provisions of Regulation Z constitute violations of that Act and, pursuant to Section 108 thereof respondent has thereby violated the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission, having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and the respondent having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Washington, D.C. Regional Office proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondent with violation of the Truth in Lending Act and the implementing regulation promulgated thereunder and violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act; and

The respondent and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondent of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondent that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondent has violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed

