

Complaint

78 F.T.C.

or indirectly, by any device or through subsidiaries or otherwise, the whole or any part of the stock, share capital or assets of any firm engaged in the manufacture or sale of cabinet hardware products without the prior approval of the Federal Trade Commission. Within thirty (30) days following the effective date of this order, and annually thereafter, Stanley shall furnish a verified written report setting forth the manner and form in which it intends to comply, is complying, or has complied with this paragraph.”

* * * * *

It is further ordered, That the hearing examiner's initial decision and order to cease and desist, as above modified and as modified by the accompanying opinion, be and they hereby are, adopted as the decision and order of the Commission.

 IN THE MATTER OF

CASCADE HAT & CAP CO., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE FLAMMABLE FABRICS ACTS

Docket C-1921. Complaint, May 18, 1971—Decision, May 18, 1971

Consent order requiring a Portland, Ore., marketer of textile fiber products, including scarves, to cease violating the Flammable Fabrics Act by importing and selling any fabric which fails to conform to the standards of said Act.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Cascade Hat & Cap Co., a corporation, and Hyman Stein, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts, and the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint, stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Cascade Hat & Cap Co. is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oregon. Respondent Hyman Stein is an officer

1084

Decision and Order

of the corporate respondent, and formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices, and policies of said corporate respondent.

The respondents are engaged in marketing and handling textile fiber products, including scarves, with their office and principal place of business located at 303 NW. Park Avenue, Portland, Oregon.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now and for some time last past have been engaged in the sale and offering for sale, in commerce, and have introduced, delivered for introduction, transported and caused to be transported in commerce, and have sold or delivered after sale or shipment in commerce, products, as the terms "commerce" and "product" are defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which products failed to conform to an applicable standard or regulation continued in effect, issued or amended under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

Among such products mentioned hereinabove were scarves.

PAR. 3. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondents were and are in violation of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and constituted and now constitute unfair methods of competition and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce, within the intent and meaning of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Division of Textiles and Furs proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have

Decision and Order

78 F.T.C.

violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in Section 2.34(b) of its Rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Cascade Hat & Cap Co. is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oregon.

Respondent Hyman Stein is an officer of said corporation, and formulates, directs, and controls the acts, practices and policies of said corporation.

Respondents are engaged in marketing and handling textile fiber products, including scarves, with their office and principal place of business located at 303 NW. Park Avenue, Portland, Oregon.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondents Cascade Hat & Cap Co., a corporation, and its officers, and Hyman Stein, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from manufacturing for sale, selling, or offering for sale, in commerce, or importing into the United States, or introducing, delivering for introduction, transporting or causing to be transported, in commerce, or selling or delivering after sale or shipment in commerce, any product, fabric, or related material; or manufacturing for sale, selling or offering for sale any product made of fabric or related material which has been shipped or received in commerce, as "commerce," "product," "fabric" or "related material" are defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which product, fabric, or related material fails to conform to an applicable standard or regulation continued in effect, issued or amended under the provisions of the aforesaid Act.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify all of their customers who have purchased or to whom have been delivered the products which gave rise to this complaint of the flammable nature of said products, and effect recall of said products from such customers.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein either process the products which gave rise to the complaint so as to bring them into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within ten (10) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning (1) the identity of the products which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the number of said products in inventory, (3) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said products and effect the recall of said products from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) any disposition of said products since February 27, 1970, and (5) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said products into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said products, and the results of such action. Such report shall further inform the Commission as to whether or not respondents have in inventory any product, fabric, or related material having a plain surface and made of paper, silk, rayon and acetate, nylon and acetate, rayon, cotton or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of two ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric or related material having a raised fiber surface. Upon request of the Commission respondents shall submit samples of not less than one square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent, such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Complaint

78 F.T.C.

IN THE MATTER OF

CITY STORES COMPANY

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

Docket C-1922. Complaint, May 18, 1971—Decision, May 18, 1971

Consent order requiring a New York City chainstore corporation to cease using collection documents which simulate official documents and falsely representing that an independent attorney will imminently file suit against the alleged debtor.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that City Stores Company, a corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondent, through its Franklin Simon division, has violated the provisions of said Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. City Stores Company is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal office and place of business located at 500 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York.

PAR. 2. Respondent is now, and for some time last past has been, engaged in operating a diversified group of approximately 133 retail stores, including the collection of delinquent accounts. Franklin Simon, a division of respondent, operates approximately 60 apparel specialty stores in 15 States and Washington, D.C.

PAR. 3. In the course and conduct of its business as aforesaid, respondent is now, and for some time last past has been, engaged in the transmission and receipt of goods, monies, checks, collection forms and letters and other written instruments among and between the various States of the United States and the District of Columbia and maintains, and at all times mentioned herein has maintained, a substantial course of trade in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act.

PAR. 4. In the course and conduct of its business and for the purpose of collecting delinquent accounts, respondent's Franklin Simon division mails or causes to be mailed to alleged debtors various form letters, demands for payment, and other printed material.

Typical and illustrative of Franklin Simon's forms, but not all inclusive thereof, is the following:¹

Said "Final Notice and Demand" document is mailed in a gray manila envelope carrying a return address, G.P.O. Box 8740, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

By and through the use of the aforesaid form and envelope, including the statements and representations thereon, respondent's Franklin Simon division represented, and now represents, directly or by implication that said "Final Notice and Demand" documents and envelope in form and content are official documents.

In truth and in fact, said "Final Notice and Demand" form and envelope are not official documents, but on the contrary are wholly private in origin.

Therefore, the statements and representations set forth in Paragraph Four hereof were, and are, false, misleading and deceptive.

PAR. 5. In the course and conduct of its business, respondent's Franklin Simon division often causes to be mailed to alleged debtors a letter from an independent attorney, Irwin J. Harrison, Esquire. Said letter reads in part as follows:

"As a result of your failure to make payment of the above amount, your account has been referred to me by Franklin Simon.

Unless payment is promptly made to Franklin Simon, it will be necessary to commence legal action and to obtain a judgment in the amount due with court costs and with the attendant expenses and time loss.

Such legal action can only be avoided by your immediate payment of the balance due on your account."

By and through the use of the aforesaid letter including the statements and representations contained therein, respondent's Franklin Simon division caused to be represented and now causes to be represented, directly or by implication, that the account has been placed with an independent attorney, Irwin J. Harrison, Esquire, for collection and that he has been instructed by Franklin Simon to file suit against the alleged debtor unless the alleged debt is immediately paid in full.

In truth and in fact, said attorney's services are limited to forwarding letters to alleged debtors, receiving telephone replies and the processing of alleged debtors answering letters, including the forwarding of monies received by him to Franklin Simon and said attorney does not institute collection suits for Franklin Simon. In the event that said attorney's letter is unsuccessful in collecting the

¹ Two pictorial forms were omitted in printing.

alleged debt, Franklin Simon refers the account to an independent collection agency which in turn repeats a series of individual dunning letters before any legal action is contemplated.

Therefore, the statements and representations set forth in Paragraph Five hereof were, and are, false, misleading and deceptive.

PAR. 6. In the course of its aforesaid business, and at all times mentioned herein, respondent's Franklin Simon division has been, and now is, in substantial competition, in commerce, with corporations, firms and individuals engaged in the business of the same general kind and nature of the business of Franklin Simon.

PAR. 7. The use by respondent's Franklin Simon division of the aforesaid false, misleading and deceptive statements, representations and practices has had, and now has, the capacity and tendency to mislead members of the purchasing public into the erroneous and mistaken belief that said statements and representations were and are true and to make payments on accounts by reason of said erroneous and mistaken belief.

PAR. 8. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondent's Franklin Simon division as herein alleged, were and are all to the prejudice and injury of the public and of Franklin Simon's competitors and constituted, and now constitute, unfair methods of competition in commerce and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondent named in the caption hereof, and the respondent having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Bureau of Consumer Protection proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondent with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act; and

The respondent and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondent of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondent that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondent has violated the said Act, and that complaint should issue stating its

charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in § 2.34(b) of its Rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent City Stores Company is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Delaware, with its office and principal place of business located at 500 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondent, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That the respondent City Stores Company, a corporation, and its officers, representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate device, in connection with the collection of delinquent accounts by its Franklin Simon division, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Using any document, form or envelope which simulates an official document, form or envelope authorized, issued or approved by any governmental authority.

2. Falsely representing or causing to be falsely represented that respondent corporation intends to imminently file suit against the debtor unless the alleged debt is immediately paid in full.

3. Falsely representing or causing to be falsely represented that respondent has instructed an independent attorney to file suit against an alleged debtor unless the alleged debt is immediately paid in full.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That respondent notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this order.

Complaint

78 F.T.C.

IN THE MATTER OF

OSAGE HANDKERCHIEF CO., INC., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE FLAMMABLE FABRICS ACTS*Docket C-1923. Complaint, May 18, 1971—Decision, May 18, 1971*

Consent order requiring a New York City importer and distributor of textile fiber products, including ladies' scarves, to cease violating the Flammable Fabrics Act by importing and selling any fabric which fails to conform with the standards of said Act.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Osage Handkerchief Co., Inc., a corporation, and Edward Debowsky, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts and the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Osage Handkerchief Co., Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York. Its office and principal place of business is located at 40 West 37th Street, New York, New York.

Respondent Edward Debowsky is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices and policies of the said corporate respondent including those hereinafter set forth.

Respondents are engaged in the importation, sale and distribution of textile fiber products, including, but not limited to, ladies' scarves.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now and for some time last past have been engaged in the sale and offering for sale, in commerce, and the importation into the United States, and have introduced, delivered for introduction, transported and caused to be transported in commerce, and have sold or delivered after sale or shipment in commerce, products, as the terms "commerce," and "product" are defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which fail to conform.

to an applicable standard or regulation continued in effect, issued or amended under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

Among such products mentioned hereinabove were ladies' scarves.

PAR. 3. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondents were and are in violation of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and constituted, and now constitute, unfair methods of competition and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce, within the intent and meaning of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Bureau of Consumer Protection proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in § 2.34(b) of its Rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Edward Debowsky is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices and policies of the said corporate respondent including those hereinafter set forth.

Respondent Osage Handkerchief Co., Inc., is a corporation or-

ganized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York. Its office and principal place of business is located at 40 West 37th Street, New York, New York.

Respondents are engaged in the importation, sale and distribution of textile fiber products, including, but not limited to ladies' scarves.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of the proceeding and of the respondents and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That the respondents Osage Handkerchief Co., Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Edward Debowsky, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from manufacturing for sale, selling, offering for sale, in commerce, or importing into the United States, or introducing, delivering for introduction, transporting or causing to be transported in commerce, or selling or delivering after sale or shipment in commerce, any product, fabric, or related material; or manufacturing for sale, selling or offering for sale, any product made of fabric or related material which has been shipped or received in commerce as "commerce," "product," "fabric" and "related material" are defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which product, fabric, or related material fails to conform to an applicable standard or regulation issued, amended or continued in effect, under the provisions of the aforesaid Act.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify all of their customers who have purchased or to whom have been delivered the ladies' scarves which gave rise to the complaint, of the flammable nature of said scarves and effect the recall of said scarves from such customers.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein either process the scarves which gave rise to the complaint so as to bring them into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said scarves.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within ten (10) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission an interim special report in writing setting forth the respondents' intentions as to compliance with this order. This special report shall also advise the Commission fully and specifically concerning (1) the identity of the scarves which gave rise to the complaint, (2) the number of said scarves in inventory, (3) any action taken and any further actions proposed to be taken to notify customers of the flammability of said scarves and effect the recall

1092

Complaint

of said scarves from customers, and of the results thereof, (4) any disposition of said scarves since September 2, 1970 and (5) any action taken or proposed to be taken to bring said scarves into conformance with the applicable standard of flammability under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, or destroy said scarves and the results of such action. Such report shall further inform the Commission as to whether or not respondents have in inventory any product, fabric, or related material having a plain surface and made of paper, silk, rayon and acetate, nylon and acetate rayon, cotton or any other material or combinations thereof in a weight of two ounces or less per square yard, or any product, fabric, or related material having a raised fiber surface. Respondents shall submit samples of not less than one square yard in size of any such product, fabric, or related material with this report.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent, such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of this order.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

 IN THE MATTER OF

WARREN-REED, INC., ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE FLAMMABLE FABRICS ACTS

Docket C-1924. Complaint, May 18, 1971—Decision, May 18, 1971

Consent order requiring a Birmingham, Ala., millinery shop which sells and distributes millinery, handbags and accessories, including scarves, to cease violating the Flammable Fabrics Act by importing and selling any fabric which fails to conform to the standards of said Act.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and by virtue of the

authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Warren-Reed, Inc., a corporation, and Armistead C. Warren, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts, and Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint, stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Warren-Reed, Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Alabama. Respondent Armistead C. Warren is an officer of said corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices and policies of said corporation.

Respondents are engaged in the business of the sale and distribution of products, namely millinery, handbags and accessories, including but not limited to scarves. Their office and principal place of business is located at 2215 First Avenue, Birmingham, Alabama.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now and for some time last past have been engaged in the sale and offering for sale, in commerce, and have introduced, delivered for introduction, transported and caused to be transported in commerce, and have sold or delivered after sale or shipment in commerce, products, as "commerce," and "product," are defined in the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, which fail to conform to an applicable standard or regulation continued in effect, issued or amended under the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended.

Among such products mentioned hereinabove were scarves.

PAR. 3. The aforesaid acts and practices of respondents were and are in violation of the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended, and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and as such constituted and now constitute unfair methods of competition and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce, within the intent and meaning of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the captioned hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Division of Textiles and Furs, Bureau of Consumer Protection proposed to present to the

Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Flammable Fabrics Act, as amended; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and other provisions as required by the Commission's Rules; and

The Commission having thereafter considered the matter and having determined that it had reason to believe that the respondents have violated the said Acts, and that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, and having thereupon accepted the executed consent agreement and placed such agreement on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days, now in further conformity with the procedure prescribed in § 2.34(b) of its Rules, the Commission hereby issues its complaint, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Warren-Reed, Inc., is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Alabama.

Respondent Armistead C. Warren is an officer of the proposed corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices and policies of said corporate respondent.

Respondents are engaged in the business of the sale and distribution of products, namely millinery, handbags and accessories, including but not limited to scarves. Their office and principal place of business is located at 2215 First Avenue, Birmingham, Alabama.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent Warren-Reed, Inc., a corporation, and its officers and Armistead C. Warren, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, do forthwith cease and desist from selling, offering for sale, in commerce or importing into the United States, or introducing, delivering for introduction, transporting or causing to be transported in com-

