

## APPENDIX A

- (C-1217) Standard Toykraft, Inc., "Petal Craft."
- (C-1218) Pressman Toy Corp., "Loomatic."
- (C-1219) Remco Industries, Inc., "Chemistry Science Kit."
- (C-1220) Avalon Manufacturing Corp., "Paint on Color Velvet."
- (C-1221) H. Davis Toy Corp., "Barrettes."
- (C-1222) Lisbeth Whiting Co., Inc., "Bingle Bangle Hat."
- (C-1223) Hassenfeld Bros., Inc., "Mary Poppins."
- (C-1224) E. S. Lowe Co., Inc., "Hoodwink."
- (C-1225) Ideal Toy Corp., "Snoop."
- (C-1226) Kohner Bros., Inc., "Doll Craft."

## IN THE MATTER OF

## KING DISTRIBUTING COMPANY ET AL.

CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

*Docket C-1227. Complaint, June 26, 1967—Decision, June 26, 1967*

Consent order requiring a Minneapolis, Minn., distributor of vending machines to cease misrepresenting that prospective purchasers will be specially selected, that their earnings will be any certain amount, that they will be given sales assistance, that the seller is a charitable institution, that purchasers will have exclusive territories and making other deceptive claims in selling its machines and supplies.

## COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that King Distributing Company, a corporation, and Richard J. Kennedy, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent King Distributing Company is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Minnesota, with its principal office and place of business located at 2500 39th Avenue, NE., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

1300

## Complaint

Respondent Richard J. Kennedy is an individual and is an officer of King Distributing Company, and its principal stockholder. He formulates, directs and controls the acts and practices of the corporate respondent, including the acts and practices hereinafter set forth. His address is the same as that of the corporate respondent.

PAR. 2. Respondents are now, and for some time last past have been, engaged in the advertising, offering for sale, sale and distribution of vending machines to the public.

PAR. 3. In the course and conduct of their aforesaid business, respondents now cause, and for some time last past have caused, their said vending machines and the supplies and equipment for use in connection therewith, when sold, to be shipped from the respective places of business of either the respondents, the supplier or the manufacturer thereof in the State of Minnesota to purchasers thereof located in various other States of the United States, and maintain, and at all times mentioned herein have maintained, a substantial course of trade in said products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act.

PAR. 4. In the course and conduct of their said business, and for the purpose of inducing the purchase of their products, respondents advertise and offer the same for sale by means of advertisements in local newspapers.

Typical and illustrative, but not all inclusive of said advertisements, are the following:

## BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY

For man or woman from this area to service and collect from coin-operated dispensers. We establish route. Car and references desirable. Party must have cash capital of \$985.00. Good potential earnings part-time; full-time more. For personal interview, give phone number, etc. Write to: KING DISTRIBUTING CO., 7190 RIVERVIEW TERRACE, MINNEAPOLIS 32, MINNESOTA.

## BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY

Man or woman in this area to own and operate a route of machines distributing nationally advertised products. We establish route, car and references desirable, minimum investment \$985.00 required. Good opportunity for spare time income, or full time business. Write KING DISTRIBUTING CO., 3710 Central Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55421, include phone.

Business Opportunity—this area to own and operate a route of machines distributing nationally adv. products. We establish route, car and references desirable, minimum investment \$985.00. King Dist. Co., 3710 Central Ave., Minneapolis, Minn., 55421. Include phone number.

## VERY PROFITABLE!

Earn up to \$10.00 per hour in your spare time: Service and collect from your own route of coin operated units. No selling; we establish all routes;

car and references desirable. Investment of \$985.00 to \$1,785.00 required. For personal interview in your area, write King Dist. Co., 2500 39th Ave. NE., Minneapolis, Minn. 55421. Include phone number.

Respondents employ sales agents or representatives in connection with their business who call on prospective purchasers responding to the foregoing and other advertisements. Respondents furnish advertising and promotional material and order blanks to said persons who exhibit them to prospective purchasers during the course of oral sales solicitations.

PAR. 5. By and through the use of the aforesaid advertising statements and representations and others of similar import and meaning, but not specifically set forth herein, and by means of said oral statements and representations made by respondents or their representatives, respondents represent, and have represented, directly or by implication that:

1. Respondents are making a bona fide offer to sell established businesses to persons who respond to their advertisements.
2. Purchasers of respondents' products must own an automobile, furnish references, have special qualities or be specially selected to qualify for purchase of respondents' products.
3. Persons who purchase respondents' products will not be required to engage in any type of selling activity.
4. Respondents grant exclusive territories to purchasers for the location of their vending machines and sales of respondents' machines will not be made to other persons in such territories.
5. Respondents' vending machines have a market value ranging from \$50 to \$100 each, or that the price at which respondents offer their vending machines is less than their fair market value.
6. Vending machines purchased from respondents will produce a net income of \$9 per machine every 10 to 14 days; purchasers of said machines can reasonably expect a return of their investment of \$985 from profits earned from the operation of 10 machines within a period of six to seven months; and one can reasonably expect an income ranging from \$400 to \$600 a month from the operation of fifteen machines, all in the ordinary and usual course of business and under normal conditions and circumstances and on the routes established by respondents.
7. Sales routes have been previously established by respondents for said purchasers; that satisfactory and profitable locations have been, or will be, secured for the purchaser; and that respondents will relocate the machines if the original locations are unsatisfactory.
8. Purchasers will be provided with continuing advice and as-

sistance by respondents in connection with the operation of said machines.

9. Persons who have previously purchased respondents' machines were making substantial earnings from the operation.

10. Machines purchased from respondents were of a specific structural type and had a specific capacity.

11. Respondents will repurchase machines at any time if the purchasers are not satisfied with the vending machine business.

12. Respondents are a nut and candy company and are seeking to establish future markets for said products and in so doing sell machines to purchasers at or near cost.

13. Respondents' prices for nuts and candies were 7% below normal wholesale prices in order to reimburse purchasers for freight charges on the delivery of said merchandise.

14. United Crippled Children Fund is a charitable institution similar in structural organization to other established charities engaged in research activities; said Fund is engaged in research activities for the prevention of children's diseases; and that said Fund is independent of and unconnected with respondents.

PAR. 6. In truth and in fact:

1. Respondents are not making a bona fide offer to sell established businesses to persons responding to their advertisements. Their sole purpose is to sell their vending machines and vending machine supplies and equipment to such persons.

2. It is not necessary for purchasers of respondents' products to own an automobile, to furnish references, have special qualities or be specially selected to qualify for purchase of respondents' products. The only requirement is that the purchase price be paid.

3. Persons who purchase said products are required to engage in extensive selling or soliciting in order to establish, operate and maintain locations for said products.

4. Purchasers of respondents' products are not granted exclusive territories within which machines purchased by them may be placed and operated, and sales of machines are made to other parties in said territories.

5. Respondents' vending machines do not have a market value ranging from \$50 to \$100 each but are regularly sold in the open market at prices that are substantially lower; and the price at which respondents offer their vending machines is not less than their fair market value.

6. \$9 per machine is greatly in excess of the net sum that can be expected by purchasers of said machines every 10 to 14 days; purchasers do not regain their investment of \$985 from net in-

come earned from the operation of 10 machines within a period of six to seven months; and amounts of \$400 to \$600 a month are greatly in excess of the net income purchasers make from the operation of fifteen machines. In most instances, persons who purchase respondents' products and engage in said vending machine business make little or no profit.

7. Neither respondents nor their agents have established sales routes for the purchasers prior to the purchase of respondents' machines, and in those instances where respondents' agents do locate or assist in locating the machines for the purchasers, the locations are generally found to be unsatisfactory and unprofitable. Respondents do not relocate machines for purchasers.

8. Respondents do not provide continuing advice and assistance to purchasers of their machines.

9. In most instances, persons who purchased respondents' products and engaged in said vending machine business did not make substantial earnings but made little or no profit.

10. Purchasers frequently find, upon delivery, that the machines sold to them by respondents are of a different structural design, or type, and of a smaller capacity than represented.

11. Respondents will not repurchase the machines sold by them in the event the purchasers are not satisfied or for any other reasons.

12. Respondents are not a nut and candy company seeking to establish future markets for said products but are primarily engaged in the sale of vending machines for profit.

13. Respondents' prices for nuts and candies are not seven percent below the normal wholesale prices and do not compensate purchasers for freight charges upon the delivery of said merchandise.

14. United Crippled Children Fund is not a charitable institution similar in structural organization to other established charities engaged in research activities; said Fund is not engaged in research activities for the prevention of children's diseases but is merely an organization of five persons established by respondents that makes charitable donations; and said Fund is not wholly independent of and unconnected with respondents who receive a percentage of all monies collected for said Fund as a fee for managing said Fund.

Therefore, the statements and representations as set forth in Paragraphs Four and Five hereof were, and are, false, misleading and deceptive.

PAR. 7. In the course and conduct of their business, at all times

mentioned herein, respondents have been in substantial competition, in commerce, with corporations, firms, and individuals in the sale of the same or similar products.

PAR. 8. The use by respondents of the aforesaid false, misleading and deceptive statements, representations and practices has had, and now has, the tendency and capacity to mislead members of the purchasing public into the erroneous and mistaken belief that said statements and representations were and are true and into the purchase of substantial quantities of respondents' products by reason of said erroneous and mistaken belief.

PAR. 9. The aforesaid acts and practices of the respondents as herein alleged, were and are all to the prejudice and injury of the public and of respondents' competitors and constituted, and now constitute, unfair methods of competition in commerce and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce, in violation of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Bureau of Deceptive Practices proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having thereafter executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having reason to believe that the respondents have violated the Federal Trade Commission Act, and having determined that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, hereby issues its complaint, accepts said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent King Distributing Company, is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the

laws of the State of Minnesota, with its office and principal place of business located at 2500 39th Avenue, NE., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Respondent Richard J. Kennedy is an officer of said corporation and his address is the same as that of said corporation.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

ORDER

*It is ordered,* That respondents King Distributing Company, a corporation, and its officers, and Richard J. Kennedy, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale or distribution of vending machines, vending machine supplies, or any other product, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing, directly or by implication, that established businesses are being offered for sale by respondents to persons who respond to their advertisements; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature of any business opportunity offered by any respondent.

2. Representing, directly or by implication, that purchasers of respondents' products must own an automobile, furnish references, have special qualities or be specially selected to qualify for purchase of respondents' products; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the qualifications or requirements for purchase of respondents' products.

3. Representing, directly or by implication, that selling or soliciting is not required of those investing in any product or business; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the amount of selling or soliciting required in connection with any business.

4. Representing, directly or by implication, that purchasers of respondents' products are granted exclusive territories within which their machines may be placed for operation or that sales will not be made to other persons in such territories: *Provided, however,* That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that any exclusive territories granted by them are, in fact, in accordance with any represented offer.

5. Representing, directly or by implication, that the price at which respondents offer their vending machines is any amount or percentage less than their fair market value in the vicinity of their anticipated use; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the prices or fair market value of respondents' products in the vicinity of their anticipated use.

6. Representing, directly or by implication, that persons investing in any product or business offered by respondents will have profits or any percentage of profit or will earn any amount of income: *Provided, however,* That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that any represented percentage of profit or any represented amount of income or profit is the percentage or amount generally realized by previous purchasers of such products or businesses as a result of such a purchase.

7. Representing, directly or by implication, that sales routes have been previously established by respondents for purchasers, or that respondents or their sales representatives have obtained or will obtain satisfactory or profitable locations for the purchaser's machines, or that respondents will relocate said machines; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the assistance that will be furnished in obtaining locations for the product or the business purchased.

8. Representing, directly or by implication, that purchasers of respondents' vending machines are given continuing advice and assistance in the operation of the machines: *Provided, however,* That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that such advice and assistance are actually furnished.

9. Representing, directly or by implication, that previous purchasers of respondents' vending machines are enjoying substantial earnings from the operation of said machines.

10. Representing, directly or by implication, that vending machines sold by respondents are of a specific structural design or type or of a specific capacity: *Provided, however,* That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that the machines sold are of the structural design or type and have the capacity represented.

11. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents will repurchase vending machines or supplies



from purchasers thereof who are dissatisfied with the vending machine business.

12. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents are a nut and candy company, or are seeking to establish future markets for said products, or are selling machines to purchasers at or near cost to establish a market for their nuts, candies or other products; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the kind or character of the business of any respondent or any company represented by any respondent.

13. Representing, directly or by implication, that respondents' prices for nuts or candies or any other product are seven percent or any other percentage or stated amount below normal wholesale prices: *Provided, however,* That it shall be a defense in any enforcement proceeding instituted hereunder for respondents to establish that their prices are, in fact, any represented or stated percentage or amount below normal wholesale prices.

14. Representing, directly or by implication, that the United Crippled Children Fund is similar in organization to other established charities engaged in research activities, or that United Crippled Children Fund is a charitable fund engaged in research activities for the prevention of children's diseases or that United Crippled Children Fund is wholly independent of or unconnected with respondents; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the nature or kind or function of or the past or present relationship with any organization sponsoring, or affiliated with, any respondent.

15. Failing to deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future salesmen or other persons engaged in the sale of the respondents' said products to purchasers; and failing to secure from each such person a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order and agreeing to abide by the requirements of said order and to refrain from engaging in any of the acts or practices prohibited by said order; and for failure to do so, agreeing to dismissal or to the withholding of commissions, salaries and other remunerations or both to dismissal and to withholding of commissions, salaries and other remunerations.

*It is further ordered,* That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

## Complaint

## IN THE MATTER OF

SOL TAMNY CO., INC., TRADING AS  
DUFFIELD CLOTHES ET AL.CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION AND THE WOOL PRODUCTS LABELING  
ACTS

*Docket C-1228. Complaint, June 26, 1967—Decision, June 26, 1967*

Consent order requiring a New York City clothing manufacturer to cease misbranding its wool products.

## COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Acts, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Sol Tamny Co., Inc., a corporation, trading as Duffield Clothes, and Sol Tamny, individually and as an officer of said corporation, hereinafter referred to as respondents, have violated the provisions of said Acts and the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Sol Tamny Co., Inc., trading as Duffield Clothes, is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

Respondent Sol Tamny is an officer of the corporate respondent. He formulates, directs and controls the acts, practices and policies of the said corporate respondent including those hereinafter set forth.

Respondents are manufacturers of wool products with their office and principal place of business located at 104 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York.

PAR. 2. Respondents now, and for some time last past, have manufactured for introduction into commerce, introduced into commerce, sold, transported, distributed, delivered for shipment, shipped and offered for sale in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in said Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, wool products as "wool product" is defined therein.

PAR. 3. Certain of said wool products were misbranded by re-

spondents within the intent and meaning of Section 4(a) (1) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, in that they were falsely and deceptively stamped, tagged, labeled, or otherwise identified with respect to the character and amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.

Among such misbranded wool products, but not limited thereto, were certain coats stamped, tagged, labeled, or otherwise identified as containing "100% Wool" whereas, in truth and in fact, said coats contained a substantial amount of fibers other than wool.

PAR. 4. Certain of said wool products were further misbranded by respondents in that they were not stamped, tagged, labeled, or otherwise identified as required under the provisions of Section 4(a) (2) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 and in the manner and form as prescribed by the Rules and Regulations promulgated under said Act.

Among such misbranded wool products, but not limited thereto, were certain coats with labels on or affixed thereto, which failed to disclose the percentage of the total fiber weight of the wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding 5 per centum of said total fiber weight of (1) wool; (2) reprocessed wool; (3) reused wool; (4) each fiber other than wool when said percentage by weight of such fiber was 5 per centum or more; and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers.

PAR. 5. The acts and practices of the respondents as set forth above were, and are, in violation of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and constituted, and now constitute, unfair methods of competition and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in commerce, within the intent and meaning of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The Federal Trade Commission having initiated an investigation of certain acts and practices of the respondents named in the caption hereof, and the respondents having been furnished thereafter with a copy of a draft of complaint which the Bureau of Textiles and Furs proposed to present to the Commission for its consideration and which, if issued by the Commission, would charge respondents with violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939; and

The respondents and counsel for the Commission having there-

1309

## Order

after executed an agreement containing a consent order, an admission by the respondents of all the jurisdictional facts set forth in the aforesaid draft of complaint, a statement that the signing of said agreement is for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by the respondents that the law has been violated as alleged in such complaint, and waivers and provisions as required by the Commission's rules; and

The Commission, having reason to believe that the respondents have violated said Acts, and having determined that complaint should issue stating its charges in that respect, hereby issues its complaint, accepts said agreement, makes the following jurisdictional findings, and enters the following order:

1. Respondent Sol Tamny Co., Inc., trading as Duffield Clothes, is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York, with its office and principal place of business located at 104 Fifth Avenue, in the city of New York, State of New York.

Respondent Sol Tamny is an officer of said corporation and his address is the same as that of said corporation.

2. The Federal Trade Commission has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this proceeding and of the respondents, and the proceeding is in the public interest.

## ORDER

*It is ordered,* That respondents Sol Tamny Co., Inc., a corporation, trading as Duffield Clothes, or under any other name or names, and its officers, and Sol Tamny, individually and as an officer of said corporation, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction, or manufacture for introduction, into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution, delivery for shipment or shipment, in commerce, of wool products, as "commerce" and "wool product" are defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling, or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein.

2. Failing to securely affix to or place thereon a stamp, tag, label, or other means of identification correctly showing in a clear and conspicuous manner each element of information required to be disclosed by Section 4(a)(2) of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

*It is further ordered,* That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

---

IN THE MATTER OF

LEE ROGERS DOING BUSINESS AS S.I. RESEARCH COMPANY  
CONSENT ORDER, ETC., IN REGARD TO THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THE  
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT

*Docket C-1229. Complaint, June 26, 1967—Decision, June 26, 1967*

Consent order requiring a Los Angeles, Calif., distributor of health pamphlets to cease using deceptive advertising in the sale of his publications.

COMPLAINT

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Trade Commission Act, and by virtue of the authority vested in it by said Act, the Federal Trade Commission, having reason to believe that Lee Rogers, an individual, doing business under the name and style of S.I. Research Company, hereinafter referred to as the respondent, has violated the provisions of said Act, and it appearing to the Commission that a proceeding by it in respect thereof would be in the public interest, hereby issues its complaint stating its charges in that respect as follows:

PARAGRAPH 1. Respondent Lee Rogers is an individual doing business under the name and style of S.I. Research Company, with his principal office and place of business located at 8833 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles, State of California.

Respondent is now and for some time last past has been engaged in the advertising, offering for sale, sale and distribution of a four page pamphlet, entitled "Surgical Techniques for Breast Enlargement," compiled by S.I. Research Company. This pamphlet very briefly described how the female breast may be enlarged by means of silicone breast implants and silicone injections both of which are surgical operations and neither of which can be legally performed by other than a duly qualified and licensed physician, or surgeon.

PAR. 2. Respondent causes the said pamphlet when sold to be shipped from his place of business in the State of California to purchasers thereof located in various other States of the United

States and in the District of Columbia and maintains, and at all times mentioned herein has maintained, a substantial course of trade in said pamphlet in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act.

PAR. 3. In the course and conduct of his aforesaid business, respondent has disseminated and has caused to be disseminated certain advertisements concerning the said pamphlet by the United States mails and various means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act including, but not limited to, magazines and tabloids of general circulation, for the purpose of inducing and which are likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the purchase of said pamphlet; and respondent has disseminated and caused the dissemination of advertisements concerning the said pamphlet by various means including, but not limited to, the aforesaid media for the purpose of inducing and which are likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the purchase of said pamphlet in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act.

PAR. 4. A typical advertisement, containing the statements and representations set forth follows:

*Learn How New Research Development*

**ADDS INCHES TO  
THE BUSTLINE!**

**MONEY BACK GUARANTEE**

AT LAST! women are taking advantage of a major medical breakthrough to beautify and enlarge their bust size!

YOU WILL BE AMAZED as you read how successful new technique has enlarged and beautified the bustline of movie actresses and showgirls.

DON'T WASTE hard-earned money on creams, exercises and so-called remedies that do not work.

**ACT NOW! Mail this no-risk coupon today! --**

*S.I. RESEARCH Dept. 0000*

*6311 Yucca St., Hollywood, Calif. 90028*

YES! I would like to learn about new research development (sent in plain wrapper) which has enlarged and beautified the bustlines of movie actresses and showgirls. I enclose \$2.98. I understand there is a 100% money back guarantee.

Name .....  
Address .....  
City .....  
State ..... Zip .....

PAR. 5. By and through the use of the statements contained in the aforesaid advertisements, and others similar thereto but not specifically set out herein, respondent has represented and is

