# ORIGINAL

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES



In the Matter of

LabMD, Inc., a corporation, Respondent. PUBLIC

Docket No. 9357

# OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS TO QUASH AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING SUBPOENAS SERVED ON SCOTT MOULTON AND FORENSIC STRATEGY SERVICES, LLC

# **INTRODUCTION**

Complaint Counsel submits this opposition to the Motions to Quash and for Protective Order filed by LabMD, Scott Moulton, and Forensic Strategy Services, LLC ("Forensic") regarding Complaint Counsel's subpoenas to Scott Moulton and Forensic. Because the motions of LabMD, Inc. ("LabMD"), Moulton, and Forensic present largely identical arguments, Complaint Counsel hereby submits this consolidated response for the Court's convenience.

This Court should deny the Motions to Quash and for Protective Order because they seek to shield from discovery facts that bear on the allegations of the Complaint, the proposed relief, and LabMD's anticipated defenses. To the extent that any attorney work product immunity from discovery may apply, neither Respondent nor Moulton nor Forensic have made the requisite showing by producing a privilege log. Relatedly, Complaint Counsel is entitled to discovery of the facts underlying and asserted in a public affidavit used by LabMD in litigation.

Further, LabMD's and Moulton's respective motions are untimely. Finally, a confidentiality provision in a private contract – that in any event excludes information made

public by LabMD – is not a legitimate basis for resisting information sought in a government subpoena.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Complaint alleges that LabMD engaged in unfair practices in violation of Section 5 of the FTC Act by failing to take reasonable and appropriate measures to prevent unauthorized access to consumers' personal information. Compl. ¶¶ 6-11, 17-21. One of the results of LabMD's failures is that a LabMD file containing the sensitive personal information of approximately 9,300 consumers ("the P2P insurance aging file") was shared to a public peer-to-peer ("P2P") file sharing network without being detected by LabMD. *Id.* ¶¶ 10(g), 17-20.

As a preliminary matter, LabMD incorrectly assumes that this action relates only to LabMD's exposure of sensitive consumer data over P2P networks. In fact, the Complaint alleges that LabMD's overall data security practices were woefully inadequate, creating potential exposure of consumer data on many fronts. See Compl. ¶ 10 (outlining several deficiencies in LabMD's data security practices). The exposure of names, dates of birth, Social Security numbers, codes for lab tests conducted, health insurance company names, addresses, and policy numbers to the public over the P2P network is a prime example and a devastating consequence of LabMD's lax data security.

In May 2008, Tiversa, Inc. ("Tiversa") informed LabMD that the file LabMD exposed was available on a public file sharing network. *Id.* ¶ 17. LabMD subsequently filed suit in Georgia state court against Tiversa, asserting a variety of claims related to Tiversa obtaining the P2P insurance aging file. *LabMD, Inc. v. Tiversa, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-04044 (N.D. Ga. Nov. 23, 2011). The case was removed to federal court, and Tiversa filed a motion to dismiss.

- 2 -

In LabMD's response to Tiversa's motion to dismiss, LabMD attached an affidavit from Scott Moulton, an IT provider it retained. *See* Affidavit of Scott A. Moulton, *LabMD*, *Inc. v. Tiversa, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-04044 (N.D. Ga. Jan. 13, 2012), ECF No. 16-1 (attached as Exhibit A). Moulton is the President of and Lead Certified Computer Forensic Specialist for Forensic. *See id.* Moulton's affidavit, as outlined below, includes facts that bear directly on the Complaint's allegations, the proposed relief, and LabMD's anticipated defenses, including: the P2P insurance aging file, which the affidavit refers to as the "May 13 file"; LabMD's contention that Tiversa stole the P2P insurance aging file by opening a physical TCP/IP connection on LabMD's computer<sup>1</sup>; and the availability of the P2P insurance aging file on computers outside of LabMD.<sup>2</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 5-15.

Indeed, as part of its defense in this matter, LabMD has asserted that the P2P insurance aging file was stolen from LabMD through a hack of its network. *See, e.g.*, Transcript of Initial Scheduling Conference at 24, Statement of Reed Rubinstein, Cousel for LabMD, Inc., In the Matter of LabMD, Inc., FTC Docket No. 9357 (Sept. 25, 2013) ("And actually, I would like to if

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Complaint Counsel intends to show that LabMD is simply wrong that Tiversa accessed LabMD's network to obtain the insurance aging file. Instead, the evidence will show that Tiversa obtained the file not from LabMD but from the computers of parties not related to LabMD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Moulton's work for LabMD also is chronicled in *The Devil Inside the Beltway*, a book published by LabMD's CEO, Michael Daugherty. Michael J. Daugherty, *THE DEVIL INSIDE THE BELTWAY* 329, 332-33 (Broadland Press 2013).

I could, just take issue with the file that triggered this investigation was not shared; it was stolen. A company called Tiversa  $\dots$ .").<sup>3</sup>

Based on Moulton's affidavit in the Tiversa case, Complaint Counsel issued subpoenas to Moulton and Forensic on October 24, 2013.<sup>4</sup> Moulton and Forensic did not move to quash Complaint Counsel's subpoenas in the time period prescribed by Rule 3.34(c), which elapsed on November 6, 2013. 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c).

On November 5, 2013, LabMD filed a Motion for a Protective Order that sought to prevent Complaint Counsel from engaging in third party discovery, specifically naming Moulton and Forensic. *See* Respondent LabMD, Inc.'s Motion for a Protective Order at 2 n.1, In the Matter of LabMD, Inc., Docket No. 9357 (Nov. 5, 2013). At no point did LabMD raise in its November 5, 2013 Motion any of the arguments it now asserts with respect to Moulton and Forensic. *Id.* 

On November 21, 2013, the deadline for Moulton and Forensic to produce documents, Complaint Counsel received a letter from Moulton, dated November 19, 2013, outlining objections to Complaint Counsel's document subpoenas.<sup>5</sup> *See* Letter from Scott Moulton, Forensic Strategy Services, LLC to Matthew Smith, Paralegal, Federal Trade Commission (Nov. 19, 2013) (attached as Exhibit B) ("November 19 letter").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> LabMD further put the subject of Moulton's affidavit at issue in this matter by questioning Robert Boback, the CEO of Tiversa, in a November 2013 deposition regarding Tiversa's acquisition of the P2P insurance aging file.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> LabMD (Resp. Motion at 1) and Forensic's (Forensic Motion at 1) assertion that Complaint Counsel served a deposition subpoena on Forensic is mistaken. It served a deposition subpoena on Moulton, and document subpoenas on Moulton and Forensic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> At that time, Moulton and Forensic were not represented by counsel in this matter.

Upon receipt of the November 19 letter, Complaint Counsel called Moulton. During this call, Moulton agreed to be deposed on February 6, 2014 but stated that he would refuse to answer any questions about LabMD, citing attorney work product. Complaint Counsel re-served Moulton on November 27, 2013 for his February 6, 2014 deposition.

On December 6, 2013, Complaint Counsel spoke by phone with LabMD's counsel regarding the subpoenas to Moulton and Forensic. LabMD's counsel requested that Complaint Counsel withdraw its subpoenas and stated that it would move to quash the subpoenas and seek a protective order if Complaint Counsel did not withdraw them.

On December 9, 2013, Complaint Counsel spoke with counsel retained by Moulton and Forensic, who likewise requested that Complaint Counsel withdraw its subpoenas and indicated that it otherwise would move to quash the subpoenas and seek a protective order. At no point during the December 6, 2013 or December 9, 2013 calls did LabMD's counsel or Moulton and Forensic's counsel state that they considered Moulton an expert consultant; nor did they reveal LabMD's intentions about not designating Moulton as an expert in this matter. Although their motions invoke the attorney work product doctrine, Moulton and Forensic have not to date provided Complaint Counsel with a privilege log, as requested in the document subpoenas and as required under Rule 3.38(A). 16 C.F.R. § 3.38A.

#### ARGUMENT

# I. COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S SUBPOENAS TO MOULTON AND FORENSIC ARE REASONABLY EXPECTED TO YIELD INFORMATION RELEVANT TO ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT, PROPOSED RELIEF, OR DEFENSES IN THIS ACTION

Complaint Counsel's subpoenas seek discovery "reasonably expected to yield information relevant to the allegations of the complaint, to the proposed relief, or to the defenses

- 5 -

of any respondent." 16 C.F.R. § 3.31(c)(1). The facts Moulton asserts in his publicly filed sworn affidavit and that also appear in a published book relate directly to the Commission's allegations. These facts also were put at issue by LabMD in this litigation and therefore relate to LabMD's defenses. *See, e.g.*, Transcript of Initial Scheduling Conference at 24, Statement of Reed Rubinstein (Sept. 25, 2013).

For example, Moulton states in his affidavit that he examined the computer file Tiversa presented to LabMD and the file has a unique SHA-1 value.<sup>6</sup> See Affidavit of Scott A. Moulton ¶ 13. Moulton also states that he has not found any evidence that the file Tiversa presented to LabMD exists on any computer other than the LabMD computer where the file was saved. *Id.* ¶ 15. These facts are directly relevant to the Complaint's allegations regarding the reasonableness of LabMD's data security practices and the P2P file sharing incident, as well as LabMD's defenses about the widespread availability of the insurance aging file, Compl. ¶¶ 10, 13-20. Therefore, LabMD's contention that the documents and testimony sought from Moulton, particularly the facts in Moulton's affidavit and the facts supporting it, lack relevance to this action is without merit.

LabMD engaged Moulton and his company to examine the insurance aging file, analyze its metadata, and search P2P networks for the file. LabMD then publicly disclosed Moulton's work and the results of it in a court-filed affidavit and a publicly available book. Having done so, LabMD cannot now seek to hide Moulton's work, the methods and techniques he used, and the results of his investigation. Each is highly relevant to the claims at issue in this action, as well as defenses raised by LabMD in this action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> An SHA-1 value is a unique signature associated with the file.

# II. LABMD WAIVED THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE AS TO MOULTON'S AFFIDAVIT AND THE FACTS UNDERLYING IT AND CANNOT HIDE BY LABELING MOULTON AN EXPERT CONSULTANT

In evaluating LabMD's work product claim,<sup>7</sup> this Court should find that LabMD waived it with respect to Moulton's affidavit and the facts underyling it.<sup>8</sup> Facts put forth by LabMD to support its defense are included in Moulton's public affidavit and disclosed in Daugherty's book. Because LabMD publicly disclosed Moulton's affidavit and has squarely raised as a defense *in this litigation* the circumstances under which Tiversa came into possession of the P2P insurance aging file, it is appropriate for Complaint Counsel to seek discovery on these issues. *See* 8 CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT ET AL., Federal Practice and Procedure, §§ 2016.4, 2016.6 (3d ed. Apr. 2013) (explaining work product protection is waived when holder of protection puts protected material at issue).

LabMD (as well as Moulton and Forensic) cannot now attempt to frustrate discovery by labeling Moulton an expert consultant. Complaint Counsel should be permitted to obtain documents that Moulton relied upon when preparing his affidavit as well as question Moulton about the facts in his affidavit and the facts underyling it. Further, like Moulton and Forensic, LabMD has not to date provided Complaint Counsel with a privilege log or even a description of the documents subject to the protection invoked in its motion, and the Court should order one to

- 7 -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> It is well-established that to the extent that the attorney work product may be applicable here, it does not belong to Moulton and Forensic. *See In re OSF Healthcare Sys.*, No. 9349, 2012 WL 1355596, at \*1 n.2 (noting that work product does not belong to third party consultant retained by Respondents but to Respondents directly); *In re Grand Jury Subpoenas*, 561 F.3d 408, 411 (5th Cir. 2009) (holding that attorney work product belongs to attorney and client). On this basis, this Court should disregard Moulton and Forensic's invocation of attorney work product.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Moulton and Forensic could not be subjected to liability to LabMD for violating the work product doctrine when LabMD waived any such protection.

be produced. To the extent attorney work product may be applicable, Complaint Counsel should be permitted to assess the bases of such claims with a log required by Rule 3.38A, and to test this claim by examining Moulton.

# III. MOTIONS TO QUASH AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ARE NOT TIMELY

The Motions of Moulton and LabMD to quash the deposition subpoena served on Moulton are not timely. Under Rule 3.34(c), a motion to quash "shall be filed within the earlier of 10 days after service thereof or the time for compliance therewith." 16 C.F.R. § 3.34(c). Complaint Counsel effected service on Moulton's deposition subpoena on October 25, 2013, meaning that the 10-day window to file a motion to quash has long closed.

Further, LabMD has been in possession of Complaint Counsel's subpoenas since October 24, 2013. LabMD could have raised any of the arguments it is now making in its November 5, 2013 Motion for a Protective Order seeking to prevent the discovery of Moulton and Forensic but elected not to do so. *See* Respondent LabMD, Inc.'s Motion for a Protective Order, In the Matter of LabMD, Inc. Docket No. 9357 at 2, n.1 (Nov. 5, 2013). Now that this Court has ruled that the third party discovery of Moulton and Forensic should proceed, LabMD should not get another bite at the apple by raising arguments it could have previously raised.

# IV. A CONTRACTUAL CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISION IS NOT A DEFENSE

Moulton and Forensic also erroneously assert that a protective order is necessary to prevent them from breaching the confidentiality provision in Forensic's contract with LabMD. This claim is without merit, as a private contractual confidentiality provision must yield to a government subpoena. *See, e.g., E.E.O.C. v. Severn Trent Svcs., Inc.*, 358 F.3d 438, 442 (7th

- 8 -

Cir. 2004) (private contracts cannot trump government subpoenas). Similary, private confidentiality agreements cannot serve as a bar to discovery, especially when balanced against the need for discovery in litigation. *See, e.g., Zoom Imaging, L.P. v. St. Luke's Hosp. & Health Network*, 513 F.Supp.2d 411, 417 (E.D. Pa. 2007) (holding private confidentiality agreement does not protect material from discovery).

Alternatively, the confidentiality provision in the contract between Forensic and LabMD contains an exception for publicly disclosed information (attached as Exhibit C). LabMD's public disclosure in an affidavit and book of the nature of Moulton and Forensic's work and their findings therefore vitiates any contractual requirement of Moulton and Forensic regarding disclosure of this information.

# **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should deny the Motions to Quash and for Protective Order regarding Scott Moulton and Forensic Strategy Services, LLC.

Dated: December 19, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

Alain Sheer Laura Riposo VanDruff Megan Cox Margaret Lassack Ryan Mehm John Krebs

Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Room NJ-8100 Washington, DC 20580 Telephone: (202) 326-2918 – Mehm Facsimile: (202) 326-3062 Electronic mail: rmehm@ftc.gov

Complaint Counsel

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on December 19, 2013, I filed the foregoing document electronically through the Office of the Secretary's FTC E-filing system.

I also certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be delivered *via* electronic mail and by hand to:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Chief Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Room H-110 Washington, DC 20580

I further certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served *via* electronic mail and *via* Federal Express to:

Elizabeth G. Howard Barrickman, Allred & Young, LLC 5775 Glenridge Drive Building E, Suite 100 Atlanta, GA 30328 egh@bayatl.com

I further certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served *via* electronic mail to:

Michael D. Pepson Cause of Action 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006 michael.pepson@causeofaction.org lorinda.harris@causeofaction.org hallee.morgan@causeofaction.org

Reed Rubinstein William Sherman, II Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 610 Washington, DC 20004 reed.rubinstein@dinsmore.com william.sherman@dinsmore.com Counsel for Respondent LabMD, Inc.

# **CERTIFICATE FOR ELECTRONIC FILING**

I certify that the electronic copy sent to the Secretary of the Commission is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that I possess a paper original of the signed document that is available for review by the parties and the adjudicator.

December 19, 2013

By: Ryan Mehm

Federal Trade Commission Bureau of Consumer Protection

# Exhibit A

Case 1:11-cv-04044-JOF Document 17-1 Filed 01/13/12 Page 1 of 9

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

LABMD, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIVERSA, INC., TRUSTEES OF DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, M. ERIC JOHNSON, **Civil Action** 

File No. 1:11-cv-04044-JOF

Defendants.

## **AFFIDAVIT OF SCOTT A. MOULTON**

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, Scott A. Moulton, who after being duly sworn, deposes as follows:

1.

I am over 18 years of age, I am under no disability, and I am competent to give this affidavit. I give this affidavit of my own free will, and for use in the above-styled case, and for any other lawful purpose. The contents of this affidavit are based on my personal knowledge and my professional expertise.

2.

I am President of and Lead Certified Computer Forensic Specialist for Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. Since becoming involved in computer forensics, I have developed extensive expertise in this area as well as provide training for police agencies all over the world on the specifics of forensics. I am a Certified Computer Forensic Specialist and have been in the industry of computer forensics for eleven years. I have been certified as a computer forensic specialist for nine years. My Curriculum Vitae is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

3.

In order to discuss forensics and perform the duties of investigations and surveillance, the State of Georgia requires me to hold a Private Investigators License. I am a licensed Private Investigator in the State of Georgia as required.

4.

I have reviewed the Complaint and supporting exhibits filed in the abovereferenced action. After reviewing Exhibit B to the Complaint, I learned that Defendants Tiversa and M. Eric Johnson, with Defendant Dartmouth's knowledge and consent, searched peer-to-peer ("P2P") networks and randomly gathered a sample of shared files related to health care and health care institutions. Defendant Tiversa's servers and software allowed Defendant Dartmouth and Defendant Johnson to sample for files in the four most popular P2P networks (each of which supports the most popular clients) including Gnutella, Aries and e-donkey. *See* Exhibit B to complaint, p.8. Case 1:11-cv-04044-JOF Document 17-1 Filed 01/13/12 Page 3 of 9

5.

Through my work as a private investigator, I have examined P2P networks, including the Gnutella network. In my examination of the Gnutella P2P file sharing network, I have learned that computers on the Gnutella P2P network have software installed on them that facilitate the trading of computer files including images and videos. The software, when installed, allows the user to search for the pictures, movies, and other digital files by entering text as search terms. Some names of the software used include, but are not limited to, BearShare, LimeWire, Shareaza, Morpheus, Gnucleus, Phex and other software clients. Those software programs interface with the Gnutella Network and are called Gnutelliums and are simply user interfaces with the underlying network of other users.

6.

When a user makes a search request on the P2P Gnutella network, the search goes through an Ultra-peer and checks the listings on the computers connected to the Gnutella network. When a file is found that the user wants to download and a request for the file is made, the file comes directly from the Internet Protocol ("IP") address of the computer where the file is physically located because Ultra-peers only have the file listing and not the actual file. 7.

When a user seeks to download a file from the P2P Gnutella network, the P2P Gnutella network software program opens a Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol ("TCP/IP") port at the site where the file is located.

8.

TCP/IP is a way of connecting to a host computer. In order to connect to a host computer, the computer seeking access to the host computer sends a command to the host computer to open a port at the host site and to transfer data from the host site.

## 9.

Opening a TCP/IP port to connect to a host computer at another location is the same as physically being at the host site to take action on the file.

## 10.

When Defendants Tiversa, Mr. Johnson and Dartmouth College searched for the May 13 File, they opened a physical TCP/IP connection on LabMD's computer located in the State of Georgia.

#### 11.

Every computer file being shared on the Gnutella P2P network has a unique file signature called a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA) version 1 ("SHA 1").

SHA 1 was developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), along with the National Security Agency (NSA). A SHA-1 value can be likened (in layman terms) to DNA. It is a mathematical fingerprint of a computer file that will remain the same for an unchanged file no matter where the file is found or on which computer the file is located. Changing the file name will not make a change to the actual digital file, nor will sending or trading the same file across the Internet change the digital signature.

12.

The Gnutella P2P network software clients that connect and share files calculate the SHA-1 values of the files in the user's shared folder upon start up of the software. The Gnutella Client Software makes the file names and those values available on the network.

### 13.

I have examined the computer file presented to LabMD from Defendant Tiversa on May 13, 2008 ("May 13 File"). The May 13 File has a unique SHA-1 value.

# 14.

If LabMD deleted the May 13 File, also known as the 1,718 File in LabMD's Complaint, from its computers, a person searching for the file will be unable to

locate a copy of the file because the P2P Gnutella network searches for files based upon the SHA-1 value.

15.

In connection with my forensic work on this matter, I have not found any evidence that the May 13 File exists on any other computer other than the LabMD computer where the file was saved.

16.

I hold all the foregoing opinions to a reasonable degree of certainty. All fees paid for my services are in no way contingent upon the results of my examination and report. I have no financial interest in the outcome of this action.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAITH NOT, this 2 day of 2 day.

2012.

MOULTON

Sworn and subscribed before me This 12 day of  $A_{1}$ , 2012

NOTARY PUBLIC My commission expires:

May 12, 2014

PATRICIA GILBRETH NOTARY PUBLIC FORSYTH COUNTY GEORGIA My Commission Expires May 12, 2014 Case 1:11-cv-04044-JOF Document 17-1 Filed 01/13/12 Page 7 of 9

Scott A. Moulton Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. 601B Industrial Court Woodstock, Ga 30189 Email: smoulton@ForensicStrategy.com

Phone: 770-926-5588 Fax: 770-926-7089 Cell: 770-402-0191 Web: www.ForensicStrategy.com

# Scott A. Moulton

Mr. Scott Moulton, CCFS: Certified Computer Forensic Specialist

Mr. Moulton is president of Forensics Strategy Services, LLC. and began the company in 2000. Mr. Moulton is skilled in the areas of data recovery and system recovery including rebuilding Exchange servers and has spent the last seven years focusing on computer forensics.

**Positions & Skills** 

President, Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. Woodstock, GA (2000-Present) Forensic Data Recovery Litigation Support Expert, Private Detective

- Handle complete forensic data collection and preparation of evidence where a personal computer contains data that may be useful in a legal case
- Developed and implemented a methodology when handling equipment and hard drives involved in forensic data recovery while maintaining the chain of custody
- Authored and published in magazines on the topic of computer forensics
- Skilled in rebuilding hard drives and forensic preservation of damaged drives
- Speaker on topic of data recovery and rebuilding hard drives and forensic topics
- Identification of internal security issues
- Georgia Employee Licensed Private Detective

#### President, Network Installation Computer Services, Inc. Woodstock, GA (1993-Present) Senior Computer System Specialist

- Technical Support for Data Recovery and Backup Protection
- Responsible for informing other staff of new methods for security and recovery
- Primary lead technician and system engineer

#### Partner, Docupak Technologies, Inc. Kennesaw, GA (2001-Present) Forensic Developer

- This team has a staff of web developers that has done projects for
- Georgia Pacific, Six Flags, etc.
- When a case that involves custom code or a specialized case that requires
- someone with experience in development, my status allows me to redirect
- employees from this company to help in forensic cases

# Time Plus, Inc. Marietta, GA (June 1990-1993)

- Networking and Accounting Support Consultant
- Responsible for building and support of Novell Networks
- Responsible for support for all customer accounting servers using Solomon III/IV
- Development and code testing on project to Lockheed Martin

Case 1:11-cv-04044-JOF Document 17-1 Filed 01/13/12 Page 8 of 9

Scott A. Moulton

Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. 601B Industrial Court Woodstock, Ga 30189 Email: smoulton@ForensicStrategy.com

Phone: 770-926-5588 Fax: 770-926-7089 Cell: 770-402-0191 Web: www.ForensicStrategy.com

#### Experience with Software and Hardware:

- Forensic Imaging Specifications
- Experienced with Encase 4, 5 and 6
- Access Data FTK and Registry Tools
- Rebuilding Raid Arrays
- Expert in Data Recovery and Data Recovery Software, Runtime Software
- Expert in Rebuilding damaged Hard Drives
- Internal Windows System Recovery Formats
- Evidence Eliminator Software
- Hardware Write Blockers for Forensic Images with Tamper Resistant Processes
- CD Manufacturing and Data Recovery from CD's/DVD's
- RAID Array Systems and Recovery of Crashed RAID Systems
- Indexing and Search Software
- · Most Hard Drives ever made, including assembly and disassembly of inner components
- Exchange Server, All Email Servers, Lotus Notes Email Servers.
- Novell Operating Systems
- Microsoft Products Including but not limited to:
  - Microsoft Operating Systems
    - Windows 2003 Server
    - Windows 2003 Advanced Server
    - Windows NT Server
    - Exchange Server 2000 & 2003
  - ISA and Proxy Server and firewalls
  - Terminal Server and Advanced Terminal Server
  - Microsoft applications
- Internet and Web Applications
- Palm and Pocket PC System including the Data Recovery of both.
- Recovery of Photos and Pictures from Digital Camera and Digital Memory Sticks
- Recovery of all Firewire and USB Equipment
- Hardware and Software Sniffers, including Wireless
- Custom Written Tracking Systems and Monitoring Systems
- Firewalls both Hardware and Software
- Routers including Cisco, Ascend, Lucent
  - Remote Application Software Including:
    - VPN, LAN, WAN
    - Web Sites
    - Web Applications
    - E-Commerce
- Windows Based Security Systems

#### Memberships and Clubs:

- Member of the Certified Fraud Examiners
- Woodstock Powercore Team Coordinator
- Toastmasters Cobb Micro Enterprises Kennesaw
- Interz0ne, LLC. Seminar Speaker
- GrayArea, LLC. Training Leader
- Defcon 404 Local Chapter
- Attending Defcon Las Vegas
- Electronic Frontier Foundation Member
- Licensed Encase 4 & 5 Investigator
- Licensed FTK Investigator

#### Case 1:11-cv-04044-JOF Document 17-1 Filed 01/13/12 Page 9 of 9

Scott A. Moulton

Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. 601B Industrial Court Woodstock, Ga 30189 Email: smoulton@ForensicStrategy.com

Phone: 770-926-5588 Fax: 770-926-7089 Cell: 770-402-0191 Web: www.ForensicStrategy.com

#### Certifications

- CCFS: Certified Computer Forensic Specialist
- CCFT: Certified Computer Forensic Technician
- Georgia Employee Licensed Private Detective
- Aptec IOUC System Programmer and Developer Certified
- Microsoft Developer Network
- Microsoft Business Partner
- Lotus Business Partner
- Lotus Notes Developer
- Solomon III Accounting Server
- Solomon IV Accounting Server
- Solomon IV Accounting System Developer
- Novell Certified Network Administrator
- Trend Micro Security Solution Partner
- Dell Solution Provider

#### **Education & Training**

#### 1993 – Present Training Events and Courses

- Taught Several Training Seminars on Computer Forensics, Computer Technology and Terminology, Application Usage and Presentation Formats
- Taught Forensics 101 Class to EarthLink's Fraud Department
- Completed Standard Computer Forensics & Electronic Discovery Training Course
- Completed Advanced Computer Forensics & Electronic Discovery Training Course
- Completed Lotus Notes Training Course
- Attended Training at Southeastern Cybercrime Summit.
- Forensic Training from Business Intelligence Associates
- "The Certified Fraud Examiner in Court"
- "Trends in Fraud Litigation"
- "Ethical Lessons for Financial Professionals"
- "Data Presentation" for Court sponsored by Certified Fraud Examiners
- "Best Practices for Data Protection and Recovery" by Winternals
- "Using Data Analysis Techniques to Find Fraud"
- "Data Retrieval and Data Protection" by David Benton, Georgia Bureau of Investigation

#### Attending:

1986 – 1991 Southern College of Technology Computer Science Major Marietta, Ga

- Campus Radio Announcer
- Computer consultant
- 1982 1986 Benedictine Military Academy College Preparatory With Distinction

Savannah, Ga

Savannah Stamp and Philatelic Society

#### Accomplishments

- Written and published in magazines on the topic of computer forensics
- Rebuilt hard drives and head assemblies successfully
- Attend All Certified Fraud Examiner meetings possible
- Participate in ACT Training Program as an Instructor for Internships
- Developed "Proof of Concept" Forensic Data Slurping Application
- Worked on application for F-22 for Lockheed under TimePlus
- Responsible for Reporting several bugs and fixes to Encase and Access Data teams

# Exhibit B

Forensic Strategy Services 601-B Industrial Court Woodstock, Georgia 30189 Phone 770-926-5588 Fax 770-926-7089 Web forensicstrategy.com

Date: 11/19/13 To: Matthew Smith Federal Trade Commission 601 New Jersey Ave NW. Room NJ-8100 Washington, DC. 20001

Subject: Subpoena Duces Tecum

I object to the request for production of materials. At the time I was engaged, it was by Lab MD's legal team and my contract is with Lab MDs Lawyer. I was engaged as a Licensed Private Investigator in Georgia and my contract specifically precludes me from complying with your requests. The documents and material are protected as privileged Attorney work product and I am bound by my contract not to reveal this material. I am not a fact witness and would have no involvement in this case if I had not been hired by Lab MDs lawyers to produce this work product.

Additionally there is considerable expense associated with production of this material that also would include hardware and forensics to produce the material in question, and that has a cost that is expensive and that is excessive for which I cannot incur.

I am objecting to production of any of this material due to these circumstances and being bound to a contract for privileged work product that was produced as a Licensed Private Investigator.

Thank yo

Scott Moulton / PDE049747 Forensic Strategy Services, LLC 601-B Industrial Court Woodstock, GA 30189

# Exhibit C

#### PUBLIC

Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. 601-B Industrial Court Woodstock, Georgia 30189

Phone 770-926-5588 Fax 770-926-7089 Web <u>forensicstrategy.com</u>

# **Engagement Letter**

DATE: July 20, 2011

This letter is to set forth the terms and objectives of our proposed engagement and the nature and limitations of the services we will provide to your company until mutually changed. SCOPE OF WORK

A. Scope Limitations. We will examine equipment, computers, tapes, hard drives, etc. provided and reports our findings based on any requests. We will create an unmodified clone of any hard drives we receive if necessary for examination.

B. Agreed Upon Services. We will work for your firm as a consulting specialist and a part of your research staff. All material is confidential between Forensic Strategy and your Company. We hope to better meet your expectations of service by having you clearly identify what items specifically you wish reviewed. There is no guarantee that what you are asking for actually exists; We will only report on what actually exists.

**Confidentiality Clause:** 

- The Client vishes to engage the Consultant to provide grant consuling services according to this engagement letter;
- b. The Client wishes to identify its specific responsibilities and to provide for confidentiality of any information relating to this agreement; and
- The Consultant represents that they are duly licensed as a LLC and valid under the State of Georgia;
- d. Any and all work products which the Consultant produces to fulfill the terms of the Statement of Work shall be the property of the Client and shall not be expressed in any form to any other party without the express written consent of the Client.
- e. The Consultant and its designate, shall at all times, both during and after the term of this Agreement, take all reasonable and necessary steps to ensure that both the Consultant, and any of their agents, employees, independent Consultants, or other representatives maintain in confidence and do not disclose or permit disclosure (via any action or inaction) of any Confidential Information to any entity or utilize any Confidential information, except as may be required to perform the services under this Agreement.
- f. For the purposes of this Agreement "Confidential Information" shall include all information (whether or not reduced to writing), received or acquired by the Consultant or any of their agents, employees, independent Consultants, or other representatives during the term of this Agreement, or incidental to the property, business, undertakings, or affairs of:
  - a. the Client; and
  - b. the Cilent's proprietary knowledge with the exception only of information which is public or becomes public information through no action of the Consultant and information which is received from another entity lawfully in possession of the information and under no obligation to keep the information confidential.
- g. Immediately upon completion of the services provided for hereunder, the Consultant shall download from its computers and store in a safe location all originals and copies of any documentation relating to said Services and any other Confidential Information in its possession or control.

Forensic Strategy Services Engagement Letter

| ag⊵ i  |         |     |
|--------|---------|-----|
| Ę      | EXHIBIT | 140 |
| 8      | Δ       |     |
| - AGAD |         |     |
| E.     |         |     |

#### PUBLIC

Forensic Strategy Services, LLC. 601-B Industrial Court Woodstock, Georgia 30189 Phone 770-926-5588 Fax 770-926-7089 Web <u>forensicstrategy.com</u>

h. This Agreement shall constitute the sole contract between the Consultant and the Client and shall commence on the date of signing (below). In the event of renewal, the provisions of this agreement shall govern unless otherwise amended in writing, signed by both parties.

#### **FEE SCHEDULE**

This letter is to set forth the terms and objectives of our proposed engagement and the nature and limitations of the services we will provide to your company until mutually changed.

- A. Professional Fees. The engagement fee for these services v/iii be \$3000. This engagement will reserve 10 hours of my time and is non-refundable and all items will be billed against this including drive time, review time, examining evidence, etc. Following the 10 hours all time will be billed at the professional fee of \$300 an hour plus expenses.
- B. If there are any additional material needed, such as hard drives, photos, etc there will be an additional charge for those items and you will be billed for them.
- C. If there is a need for data to be stored; there will be a charge for each hard drive necessary. Any additional research needed after initial assessment will also be billed at the hourly rate provided Forensic Strategy Services LLC ("Forensic") has exceeded the ten (10) hours of work outlined in Section A above. In the event Forensic has not exceeded the ten (10) hours of work outlined in Section A above, Forensic will apply any research needed after the initial assessment to the ten (10) hours of ime provided for in Section A above and then bill client at the applicable hourly rate. Any additional time to be billed to client in excess of the ten (10) hours of ime provided for in Section A above and then bill client at the applicable hourly rate. Any additional time to be billed to client in excess of the ten (10) hours of time provided for in Section A.
- D. Any additional items needed after the initial image, and index and basic review and phone call reporting the findings will be charged at \$300 per hour and the client will be informed at what point that begins. Any additional time to be billed to client in excess of the ten (10) hours of time provided for in Section A above must be first approved by Client.
- E. If Scott Moulton or anyone from Forensic Strategy Services or representative of the company in any way is called by any party in response to this agreement for any reason, or aubpoena for any reason due to this engagement, you as the party are responsible for all time and expenses. These items will be billed to you at \$300 an hour and to be prepaid in advance of testimony at a cost of reserving each day at \$2000 per a day to alleviate any time including waiting, or preparation, or testimony on the stand.

B. Factors Affecting Your Professional Fee. Professional fees will be based upon several factors; the most important of which includes time and labor involved, skill requisite to perform the professional services properly, and any special circumstances imposed.

There are additional costs for storage of data and equipment. This is dependent on the amount of data and number of systems needing to be stored and maintained. These prices will vary in accordance with the items and will be discussed as needed.

C. Direct Expenses. Additional charges for computer services, fax transmissions, report production, and out of pocket costs, including travel costs, will be added to our professional fees. There are additional charges for color documents and color copies. Such charges are in addition to any estimated fees given and will be due and payable upon presentation as billed.

#### TERMINATION

Right to Terminate. Either party may terminate this relationship at any time by any form of written notification including email with confirmation by both parties.

#### LIMIT OF LIABILITY

Both parties agree that liability hereunder for damages, regardless of the form of action, shall not exceed the total amount paid for the services described herein. This shall be either party's exclusive remedy.

Both parties further agree that neither party shall be liable for any lost profils, or for any claim or demand against it by any other party. In no event will either party be liable for incidental or consequential damages even if it has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

No action, regardless of form, arising out of the services under this agreement, may be brought

Forensic Strategy Services Engagement Letter



# PUBLIC

| Forensic Strategy Services, LLC.                         | Phone              | 770-926-5588               |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 601-B Industrial Court                                   | Fax                | 770-926-7089               |
| Woodstock, Georgia 30189                                 | Web                | forensicstrategy.com       |
| by either party more than one year after the date of the | last services prov | ided under this agreement. |

APPLICABLE LAW

This engagement letter shall be governed as to validity, interpretation, construction, effect and in all other respects by the laws and decisions of the state of Georgia. In the event of commencement of any legal action regarding any term or condition of this engagement such action by agreement is to be subject to the jurkdiction of the courts of Georgia.

COMPLETE AGREEMENT

This letter comprises the complete and exclusive statement of the agreement between the parties, superseding all proposals oral or written and all other communications between the parties. If any provision of this letter is determined to be unenforceable, all other provisions shall remain in force. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this engagement letter or desired services, Please contact us at the above address.

| Agreed on behalf of Client:   |
|---|
| Signature Neilard algorith  |
| - Internet of the second |
| Date: July 20, 2011   |
| Print Name: Michael J. Daugherty  |
|   |
| Title: President LabMD, Inc. General Counsel  |
|   |
|   |
| Accepted for Forensic Strategy Services Hat   |
| VIZ PL  |
| Company Officer Signatures  |
| Date:   |
|   |
| Print Name: 2. 2007 1 loc Dod   |
| Title: (purser  |

# Forensic Strategy Services Engagement Letter

Page 3 -