

**ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED AGREEMENT CONTAINING CONSENT ORDER  
TO AID PUBLIC COMMENT**  
*In the Matter of Solera Holdings, Inc., File No. 121-0165*

**I. Introduction**

The Federal Trade Commission (“Commission”) has accepted, subject to final approval, an Agreement Containing Consent Order (“Consent Agreement”) with Solera Holdings, Inc. (“Solera”), which is designed to remedy the anticompetitive effects of its consummated acquisition of Actual Systems of America, Inc. (“Actual Systems”). Under the terms of the Consent Agreement, Solera is required to divest assets related to Actual Systems’ United States and Canadian yard management system (“YMS”) business to ASA Holdings, Inc. (“ASA Holdings”).

The proposed Consent Agreement requires Solera to provide ASA Holdings with assets related to Actual Systems’ United States and Canadian YMS business. The assets include contracts and licenses with current Actual Systems customers in the United States and Canada, and co-ownership of all intellectual property related to Actual Systems products sold in the United States and Canada. This Consent Agreement would preserve the competition that was eliminated through the acquisition.

The proposed Consent Agreement has been placed on the public record for thirty days, and comments from interested persons have been requested. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After thirty days, the Commission will again review the proposed Consent Agreement and the comments received, and will decide whether it should withdraw from the proposed Consent Agreement, modify it, or make final the accompanying Decision and Order.

Pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 29, 2012, Solera acquired all of the stock of Actual Systems. Through a separate Stock Purchase Agreement and Asset Purchase Agreement executed that same day, Solera acquired 100% of the stock of Actual Systems U.K., Ltd. (“ASUK”) and Beech Systems, Ltd. (“Beech”). Solera paid approximately \$8.7 million collectively for the three companies, which shared common ownership.

Solera, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Hollander, Inc. (“Hollander”), and Actual Systems both provide YMS to the automotive recycling industry. In particular, at the time of the acquisition, Hollander and Actual Systems were two of only three meaningful providers of YMS in the United States and Canada. The Commission’s Complaint alleges that the consummated acquisition violated Section 7 of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 45, in the market for YMS. The proposed Consent Agreement remedies the alleged violations by replacing the lost competition in the relevant market that resulted from the acquisition.

## **II. The Product and Structure of the Market**

The relevant product market in which to analyze the competitive effects of the acquisition is YMS. The relevant geographic market in which to analyze the competitive effects of the acquisition is the United States and Canada. Hollander and Actual Systems are closest competitors in this market and are two of only three competitively meaningful YMS providers.

## **III. Effects of the Acquisition**

The acquisition is likely to result in significant anticompetitive harm in the highly-concentrated YMS market. Solera and Actual Systems were two of only three significant competitors in this market. The acquisition has eliminated actual, direct, and substantial competition between Solera and Actual Systems, and likely will result in higher prices and reduced innovation for YMS.

## **IV. Entry**

Entry or repositioning is not likely to avert the anticompetitive impact of Solera's acquisition of Actual Systems. The time and cost required to develop a YMS are substantial, and far outweigh the potential profit incentives for either new entrants or firms operating in adjacent markets. In addition, it would be difficult for a new entrant to obtain a license to the Hollander Interchange, an auto parts database required to compete in the YMS market.

## **V. The Proposed Consent Agreement**

The proposed Consent Agreement remedies the competitive concerns raised by the transaction by requiring Solera to divest assets related to Actual Systems' United States and Canadian business to ASA Holdings. This divestiture preserves competition that was eliminated as a result of the acquisition.

ASA Holdings is comprised of individuals with extensive experience with Actual Systems and the YMS market. The main principal of ASA Holdings is Peter Riddle. Mr. Riddle founded ASUK in 1985, developed the base YMS software program that would become Actual Systems' YMS, and formed Actual Systems in the United States. The other members of ASA Holdings are Emilio Fontana and Peter Bishop. Mr. Fontana was involved with Actual Systems since the mid-1990s, including serving as a member of its Board of Directors. Mr. Bishop worked for Actual Systems for over 10 years, including serving as its General Manager and Director from 2004 until its acquisition by Solera. The terms required by the proposed Consent Agreement will enable ASA Holdings to effectively replace the competition in the YMS market lost as a result of the acquisition.

The proposed Consent Agreement also contains several provisions designed to ensure that the divestiture is successful. For instance, Solera must provide ASA Holdings with a license to the Hollander Interchange lasting the length of the proposed Consent Agreement.

If the Commission determines that ASA Holdings is not an acceptable acquirer of the assets to be divested, or that the manner of the divestiture is not acceptable, Solera must rescind the divestiture and divest the assets within 120 days of the date the Order becomes final to another Commission-approved acquirer. If Solera fails to divest the assets within the 120 days, the Commission may appoint a trustee to divest the relevant assets.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed Consent Agreement, and it is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed Consent Agreement or to modify its terms in any way.