1	WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel		
2 3	LISA D. ROSENTHAL, Cal. Bar # 179486 SARAH SCHROEDER, Cal. Bar # 221528		
4	KERRY O'BRIEN, Cal. Bar # 149264 AUSTIN A.B. OWNBEY, Cal. Bar # 272197		
5	Federal Trade Commission 901 Market Street, Ste. 570 Son Francisco, CA 04103		
6	San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 848-5100 (voice), (415) 848-5184 (fax) lrosenthal@ftc.gov, sschroeder@ftc.gov		
7	kobrien@ftc.gov, aownbey@ftc.gov		
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	L/ISTERN DISTRIC	of Calli Oktain	
13			
14	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	Case No.	
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER	
16	V.	EQUITABLE RELIEF	
17 18	BROADWAY GLOBAL MASTER INC., a corporation, also d/b/a BGM,		
19	IN-ARABIA SOLUTIONS INC., a corporation, and		
20	KIRIT PATEL, individually and as an officer of BROADWAY GLOBAL MASTER INC.,		
21	and IN-ARABIA INC.		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24 25	Plaintiff, the Federal Trade Commission	("FTC"), for its Complaint alleges:	
26	1. The FTC brings this action under Sections 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade		
27	Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 814 of the Fair Debt		
28	Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C.	§ 1692 <i>l</i> , to obtain temporary, preliminary, and	
	Complaint P	age 1	
	<u>-</u>	-	

Seal Pkg 10

22 | 23 |

Complaint Page 2

permanent injunctive relief, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, and other equitable relief for Defendants' acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345, and 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, and 1692*l*.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), and 15 U.S.C. § 53(b).

PLAINTIFF

- 4. The FTC is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. §§ 41-58. The FTC enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The FTC also enforces the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, which prohibits deceptive, abusive, and unfair collection practices.
- 5. The FTC is authorized to initiate federal district court proceedings, by its own attorneys, to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and the FDCPA, and to secure such equitable relief as may be appropriate in each case, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 56(a)(2)(A), 56(a)(2)(B), 57b, and 1692*l*(a). Section 814 of the FDCPA further authorizes the FTC to use all of the functions and powers of the Commission under the FTC Act to enforce compliance by any person with the FDCPA, including the power to enforce the provisions of the FDCPA in the same manner as if the violations were violations of an FTC trade regulation rule. 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*.

DEFENDANTS

6. Defendant Broadway Global Master Inc. ("BGM") is a closely-held California corporation with its principal place of business at 1570 Eastlake Circle, Tracy, California, 95304. BGM transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.

//

//

- 7. Defendant In-Arabia Solutions Inc. ("In-Arabia") is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 1570 Eastlake Circle, Tracy, California, 95304. In-Arabia transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.
- 8. Defendant Kirit Patel is the president, chief executive officer, secretary, chief financial officer, and sole director for both BGM and In-Arabia. He manages the merchant processing accounts used by BGM to process payment card charges, serves as the sole signatory for its deposit accounts, and acted as the registrant for its website. The principal place of business for BGM and In-Arabia is Defendant Patel's residence. At all times material to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has formulated, directed, controlled, had the authority to control, or participated in the acts and practices of BGM and In-Arabia, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint, and knew or should have known that such practices were deceptive or abusive. Defendant Patel, in connection with the matters alleged herein, transacts or has transacted business in this district and throughout the United States.
- 9. Defendants BGM and In-Arabia (collectively, "Corporate Defendants") operate as a common enterprise while engaging in the deceptive and abusive acts and practices alleged below. Defendants conduct the business practices described below through interrelated companies that have common ownership, officers, managers, business functions, and office locations, and that have commingled funds. Because these Corporate Defendants operate as a common enterprise, each of them is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices alleged below. Defendant Patel formulates, directs, controls, has the authority to control, or participates in the acts and practices of the Corporate Defendants that constitute the common enterprise.

COMMERCE

10. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants have maintained a substantial course of trade in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

Complaint

DEFENDANTS' DECEPTIVE AND ABUSIVE BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

- 11. Since at least July 2010, and continuing thereafter, Defendants have engaged in a scheme to defraud consumers through the collection and processing of payments for debts that consumers do not actually owe, or that are never applied to consumers' real debts. Defendants use callers in India to contact consumers and make a series of misrepresentations and threats to convince the consumers to pay the purported debts. Payments made by consumers then are processed through merchant accounts controlled by Defendants before being deposited into Defendants' bank accounts. The scheme targets consumers who previously applied for or received loans from payday loan companies.
- 12. Defendants' callers contact consumers and tell them that they are delinquent on a payday loan or another debt.
- 13. Defendants' callers often claim that they are law enforcement officers or that they are affiliated with a federal, state, or local government agency.
- 14. Defendants' callers threaten consumers that they will face arrest or legal action if they fail to pay the alleged debt immediately.
- 15. Defendants' callers also threaten to contact third parties about the consumers' alleged debt, including family members and employers.
- 16. Defendants' callers possess the consumers' private personal information, such as their Social Security Numbers or bank account numbers, and recite such information, convincing consumers that the calls are legitimate collection efforts and that consumers must pay the purportedly delinquent debts.
- 17. Defendants' callers repeatedly contact these consumers on their home, cell, and work telephones. Defendants' callers frequently use abusive or profane language during these telephone calls, all as a means of intimidating and harassing consumers to convince them to pay the alleged debts.
- 18. Many consumers pay the alleged debt that Defendants purport to be collecting because they are afraid of the threatened repercussions of failing to pay or because they want to

stop the harassment. Generally, consumers make these payments using a credit card, debit card,

merchant processing accounts that Defendants have established to process consumers' credit,

debit, and payment card payments. The payments appear on consumers' bank or card statements

with the billing descriptor "BGM," "Broadway Global Master," "Broadway Global," or a similar

name, typically along with a phone number associated with BGM. Payments processed through

Defendants' merchant processing accounts are then transferred into Defendants' operating bank

payments for debts that consumers do not owe and that Defendants have no authority to collect.

phone number associated with BGM on the billing descriptor. In many instances, when

consumers have called this number, no one answered the phone, they were disconnected, or,

Payments made by consumers are deposited into one of several of Defendants'

Since July 2010, Defendants have collected and processed millions of dollars in

Many consumers have attempted to obtain refunds from Defendants by calling the

or other payment card.

19.

5

10

accounts.

20.

21.

22.

back, reversed, or refunded.

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Complaint

after notifying the person who answered the phone that they were calling to request a refund, they were placed on hold for indefinite periods. Many consumers have filed complaints with the Better Business Bureau, their bank, or their credit card or payment card company, in an attempt to have their payment charged

- (a) Many of these complaints indicate that the consumer did not owe the money that Defendants' callers sought to collect.
- (b) Many of these complaints indicate that the money that the consumer had paid to Defendants was not applied to the debt they did owe, as the callers claimed it would be.
- (c) Many of these complaints attach correspondence in which the payday lender on whose behalf Defendants' callers claim the debt was being collected denied having received any payment or having any relationship with Defendants.

- (d) Many of these complaints report that Defendants' callers claimed to be law enforcement officers.
- (e) Many of these complaints report that Defendants' callers threatened to have the consumer arrested or to file legal actions against the consumer if the consumer did not pay the alleged debt immediately.
- (f) Many of these complaints indicate that Defendants called the consumer repeatedly.
- (g) Many of these complaints indicate that Defendants' callers were threatening to call, or actually calling, the consumer's family or place of employment.
- (h) Many of these complaints refer to third parties who had characterized Defendants' practices as a "scam."
- 23. In many instances, Defendants received and responded to the complaints that consumers filed with the Better Business Bureau, their bank, or their credit card or payment card company. Individual Defendant Patel responded on behalf of himself and the Corporate Defendants.
- 24. Based on the information in Paragraphs 21 through 23, Defendants knew or should have known that the payments they processed were for debts that consumers did not owe or that the payments they processed were not applied to debts consumers actually owed.
- 25. Despite their knowledge of their callers' abusive practices, Defendants continue to process payments made by consumers in response to these practices.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FTC ACT

- 26. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."
- 27. Misrepresentations or deceptive omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.

27

28 //

//

	Case 2.12-0	V-00055-JAIVI-GGH DOCUMENT Flied 04/05/12 Page 7 of 10	
1	COUNT ONE		
2	Deceptive Acts or Practices in Violation of the FTC Act		
3	28. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of alleged debts,		
4	Defendants represent, directly or indirectly, expressly or by implication, that:		
5	(a)	The consumer is delinquent on a payday loan that Defendants have the	
6		authority to collect;	
7	(b)	Defendants are a law enforcement authority or that they are otherwise	
8		affiliated with a government agency;	
9	(c)	The consumer will be arrested or imprisoned for failing to pay the	
10		Defendants; and	
11	(d)	Defendants can or will take formal legal action against a consumer who	
12		fails to pay, such as filing suit.	
13	29. In tr	uth and in fact, in numerous instances in which Defendants have made the	
14	representations set forth in Paragraph 28 of this Complaint:		
15	(a)	The consumer is not delinquent on a payday loan that Defendants have the	
16		authority to collect;	
17	(b)	Defendants are not a law enforcement authority and they are not otherwise	
18		affiliated with a government agency;	
19	(c)	The consumer will not be arrested or imprisoned for failing to pay	
20		Defendants; and	
21	(d)	Defendants cannot or will not take formal legal action against a consumer	
22		who fails to pay, such as filing suit.	
23	30. Then	refore, Defendants' representations as set forth in Paragraph 28 of this	
24	Complaint are false or misleading and constitute deceptive acts and practices in violation of		
25	Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).		
26	VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA		
27	31. In 1977, Congress passed the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., which became		
28	effective on March 20, 1978, and has been in force since that date. Section 814 of the FDCPA,		
	Complaint	Page 7	

Seal Pkg 16

15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*, provides that a violation of the FDCPA shall be deemed an unfair or deceptive act or practice in violation of the FTC Act.

- 32. Defendants are "debt collectors" as defined by Section 803(6) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 33. A "consumer," as defined in Section 803(3) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), "means any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt."
- 34. A "debt," as defined in Section 803(5) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), "means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment."

COUNT TWO

Deceptive Acts or Practices in Violation of the FDCPA

- 35. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of alleged debts,
 Defendants use false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means, in violation of Section
 807 of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of any debt, in violation of Section 807(2)(A) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A);
 - (b) Falsely representing or implying that Defendants are affiliated with the United States or a State, in violation of Section 807(1) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(1);
 - (c) Falsely representing or implying that nonpayment of a debt will result in the arrest or imprisonment of a person, when such action is not lawful or when the Defendants have no intention of taking such action, in violation of Section 807(4) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4);
 - (d) Threatening to take action that is not lawful or the Defendants do not intend to take, such as filing a lawsuit, in violation of Section 807(5) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5); and

Complaint

(e) Using false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer, in violation of Section 807(10) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).

COUNT THREE

Abusive Acts or Practices in Violation of the FDCPA

- 36. In numerous instances, in connection with the collection of alleged debts,
 Defendants engage in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the
 caller, in violation of FDCPA Section 806, 15 U.S.C. §1692d, including, but not limited to, by:
 - Using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequence of which is to abuse the hearer, in violation of FDCPA Section 806(2), 15
 U.S.C. §1692d(2); and
 - (b) Causing a telephone to ring or engaging a person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass a person at the called number, in violation of FDCPA Section 806(5), 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5).

CONSUMER INJURY

37. Consumers have suffered and will continue to suffer substantial injury as a result of Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and the FDCPA. In addition, Defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful acts or practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

38. Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b and Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), empower this Court to grant injunctive and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to halt and redress violations of any provision of law enforced by the FTC. The Court, in the exercise of its equitable jurisdiction, may award ancillary relief, including rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies

Complaint

paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies, to prevent and remedy any violation of any provision of law enforced by the FTC.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff FTC, pursuant to Sections 13(b) and 19 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 57b, and Section 814(a) of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692*l*(a), and the Court's own equitable powers, requests that the Court:

- A. Award Plaintiff such preliminary injunctive and ancillary relief as may be necessary to avert the likelihood of consumer injury during the pendency of this action and to preserve the possibility of effective final relief, including but not limited to, temporary and preliminary injunctions and an order freezing assets;
- B. Enter a permanent injunction to prevent future violations of the FTC Act and FDCPA by Defendants;
- C. Award such relief as the Court finds necessary to redress injury to consumers resulting from Defendants' violations of the FTC Act and FDCPA, including but not limited to, rescission or reformation of contracts, restitution, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies; and
- D. Award Plaintiff the costs of bringing this action, as well as such other and additional relief as the Court may determine to be just and proper.

Dated: April 3, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

WILLARD K. TOM General Counsel

/s/

LISA D. ROSENTHAL, Cal. Bar # 179486 SARAH SCHROEDER, Cal. Bar # 221528 KERRY O'BRIEN, Cal. Bar # 149264 AUSTIN A.B. OWNBEY, Cal. Bar # 272197 Federal Trade Commission 901 Market Street, Ste. 570 San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 848-5100 (voice), (415) 848-5184 (fax) lrosenthal@ftc.gov, sschroeder@ftc.gov, kobrien@ftc.gov, aownbey@ftc.gov

Complaint Page 10
Seal Pkg 19

2

1

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

20